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# CHARACTERIZATION OF DISTRIBUTIVE MUITILATTICES BY A BETWEENNESS RELATION 

OLGA KLAUČOVÁ

Some authors have studied the following betweenness relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a \wedge x) \vee(x \wedge b)=x=(a \vee x) \wedge(x \vee b) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the metric lattices this relation is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho(a, x)+\varrho(x, b)=\varrho(a, b) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A characterization of lattices by the relation (1) is given in paper [3]. In the present paper an analogous characterization of distributive directed multilattices is given (Thm. 2). Following [4] we take the ternaryrelation defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[(a \wedge x) \vee(x \wedge b)]_{x}=x, \quad(a \wedge x) \wedge(x \wedge b) \subset a \wedge b \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

as the starting point. In metric directed multilattices (b) is equivalent to (2) In distributive multilattices (b) holds iff the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
[(a \wedge x) \vee(x \wedge b)]_{x}=x=[(a \vee x) \wedge(x \vee b)]_{x} \tag{r}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied (see Thm. 1 and [6, Lemma 14]). In lattices ( $r$ ) reduces to (1).
The author was stimulated by conversations with M. Kolibiar in developing this approach to the problem.

## Basic concepts and properties

A multilattice [1] is a poset $M$ in which the conditions (i) and its dual (ii) are satisfied: (i) If $a, b, h \in M$ and $a \leqq h, b \leqq h$, then there exists $v \in M$ such that ( $a$ ) $v \leqq h, v \geqq a, v \geqq b$, and (b) $z \in M, z \geqq a, z \geqq b, z \leqq v$ implies $z=v$.

Analogously as in [1] denote by $(a \vee b)_{h}$ the set of all elements $v \in M$ from (i) and by $(a \wedge b)_{d}$ the set of all elements $u \in M$ from (ii) and define the sets:

$$
a \vee b=\bigcup_{\substack{a \leq h \\ b \leqq h}}(a \vee b)_{h}, \quad a \wedge b=\bigcup_{\substack{d \leqq a \\ d \leqq b}}(a \wedge b)_{d}
$$

Let $A$ and $B$ be nonvoid subsets of $M$, then we define

$$
A \vee B=\bigcup(a \vee b), \quad A \wedge B=\bigcup(a \wedge b)
$$

where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Troughout the paper we denote $[(a \vee x) \wedge(b \vee x)] x-$ $=x\left([(a \wedge x) \vee(b \wedge x)]_{x}=x\right)$, if $a, b, x \in M$ and $[(a \vee x) \wedge(b \vee x)] x=\{x\}([(a$ $\left.\wedge x) \vee(b \wedge x)]_{x}=\{x\}\right)$.

A poset $A$ is called upper (lower) directed if for each pair of elements $a, b \in A$ there exists an element $h \in A(d \in A)$ such that $a \leqq h, b \leqq h(d \leqq a, d \leqq b)$. The upper and lower directed poset $A$ is called directed.

A multilattice $M$ is modular [1] iff for every $a, b, b^{\prime}, d, h \in M$ satisfying the conditions $d \leqq a \leqq h, d \leqq b \leqq b^{\prime} \leqq h,(a \vee b)_{h}=h\left(a \wedge b^{\prime}\right)_{d}=d$ we have $b=b^{\prime}$.

A multilattice $M$ is distributive [1] iff for every $a, b, b^{\prime}, d, h \in M$ satisfying the conditions $d \leqq a, b, b^{\prime} \leqq h,(a \vee b)_{h}=\left(a \vee b^{\prime}\right)_{h}=h,(a \wedge b)_{d}=\left(a \wedge b^{\prime}\right)_{d}=$ $=d$ we have $b=b^{\prime}$.

Let $M$ be a multilattice and $N$ a nonvoid subset of $M . N$ is called a submultilattice [1] of $M$ iff $N \cap(a \vee b)_{h} \neq \emptyset$ and $N \cap(a \wedge b)_{d} \neq \emptyset$ for every $a$, $b, d, h \in N$ satisfying $a \leqq h, b \leqq h, a \geqq d, b \geqq d$. It is obvious that each interval is a submultilattice.

The following definition and results are in [4]:
The multilattices $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are said to be isomorphic (denoted as $M \sim M^{\prime}$ ) if the partially ordered set $M$ is isomorphic with the partially ordered set $M^{\prime}$.

Let $M$ be a cardinal product of two posets $M_{1}, M_{2} . M$ is upper (lower) directed iff $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ is upper (lower) directed. $M$ is a multilattice iff $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are multilattices. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}\left(x_{i} \in M_{i}\right)$ be Cartesian coordinates of any element $x \in M$. For all $a, b, h, v \in M v \in(a \vee b)_{h}\left(v \in(a \wedge b)_{h}\right)$ iff $v_{i} \in\left(a_{i} \vee b_{i}\right)_{l}$ $\left(v_{i} \in\left(a_{i} \wedge b_{i}\right)_{h_{i}}\right)$ for $i=1,2$.

## § 1.

Lemma 1. If $M$ is a distributive multilattice $a, b, u v \in M, u \in a \wedge b, v \in a \quad b$, then a mapping $f:\langle u, a\rangle \rightarrow\langle b, v\rangle$ with $f(x)=(b \vee x)_{v}$ for $x \in\langle u, a\rangle(g:\langle b, v\rangle \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow\langle u, a\rangle$ with $g(y)=(a \wedge y)_{u}$ for $\left.y \in\langle b, v\rangle\right)$ is a isomorphism of $\langle u, a\rangle(\langle b, v)$ onto $\langle b, v\rangle(\langle u, a\rangle)$.

The proof of the Lemma 1 follows from 6.4, § 6 of paper [1].
Lemma 2. If $M$ is a distributive multilattice, $a, b, u, v \in M, u \in a \wedge b, v \in a \vee b$, then a mapping $m:\langle u, v\rangle \rightarrow\langle a, v\rangle \times\langle b, v\rangle$ with $m(x)=\left((a \vee x)_{v},(b \vee x)_{v}\right)$ for $x \in\langle u, v\rangle\left(n:\langle a, v\rangle \times\langle b, v\rangle \rightarrow\langle u, v\rangle\right.$ with $n\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1} \wedge x_{2}\right)_{u}$ for $x_{1} \in$ $\in\langle a, v\rangle$ and $\left.x_{2} \in\langle b, v\rangle\right)$ is a isomorphism of $\left.\langle u, v\rangle(\langle a, v\rangle \times b, v\rangle\right)$ onto $\langle a$, $v\rangle \times\langle b, v\rangle(\langle u, v\rangle)$.

This Lemma is a corollary of $3.2,3.4,3.7$ of paper [2].
Remark. Edidently the dual assertion with respect to Lemma 2 is valid too. Throughout the paper we consider one of the isomorphisms from Lemma 1 (Lemma 2) if we have the isomorphism of any interval onto another interval (of any interval onto a direct product of two intervals).

Lemma 3. Let $M$ be a distributive multilattice, $a, b, u, v, x, x_{1}, y \in M, u \in a \wedge$ $\wedge b, v \in a \vee b, u \leqq x \leqq v, x_{1} \in(a \wedge x)_{u}, y \in\left(x_{1} \vee b\right)_{v}$, then $x_{1} \leqq x \leqq y$.

Lemma 3 is dual to Lemma 12 from [5].
Lemma 4. Let $M$ be a distributive multilattice $a, b, p, q, r, x \in M, r \in a \vee x$, $r \in b \vee x, p \in a \wedge x, p \in a \wedge x, q \in b \wedge x, p \leqq q$, then $a \leqq b$.

Proof. It is obvious that the intervals $\langle a, r\rangle$ and $\langle p, x\rangle$ are isomorphic. Denote by $s \in\langle a, r\rangle$ the image of the element $q \in\langle p, x\rangle$ in this isomorphism. There hold $(a \vee q)_{r}=s$ and $(s \wedge x)_{p}=q$. Evidently $r \in s \vee x$ and

$$
(s \wedge x)_{q}=q=(x \wedge b)_{q}, \quad(s \vee x)_{r}=r=(x \vee b)_{r}
$$

By distributivity $s=b$ and consequently $a \leqq b$.
Lemma 5 ([5, Lemma 13]). Let $M$ be a distributive multilattice, $a, b, c, d, e$, $f \in M$. If $f \in e \vee d, c \in e \wedge d, d \in c \vee b, a \in e \wedge b, a \leqq c$, then $f \in e \vee b$.

Theorem 1. Let $M$ be a directed distributive multilattice, $a, b, x \in M$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

$$
\begin{equation*}
[(a \wedge x) \vee(b \wedge x)]_{x}=x=[(a \vee x) \wedge(b \vee x)]_{x} \tag{r}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a \wedge x) \wedge(b \wedge x) \subset a \wedge b,(a \vee x) \vee(b \vee x) \subset a \vee b \tag{s}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us choose $x_{1} \in a \wedge x, x_{2} \in b \wedge x, x_{1}^{\prime} \in a \vee x, x_{2}^{\prime} \in b \vee x, u \in x_{1} \wedge x_{2}$, $v \in x_{1}^{\prime} \vee x_{2}^{\prime}$. First we prove that ( $r$ ) implies ( $s$ ). It is sufficient to show that $u \in a \wedge b$ (the proof of the assertion $v \in a \vee b$ is dual). First we show

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in a \wedge x_{2}, u \in b \wedge x_{1}, v \in a \vee x_{2}^{\prime}, v \in b \vee x_{1}^{\prime} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{\prime} \in a \vee x_{2}, x_{2}^{\prime} \in b \vee x_{1}, x_{1} \in a \wedge x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{2} \in b \wedge x_{1}^{\prime} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $f \in\left(a \wedge x_{2}\right)_{u}$ and $g \in(a \wedge x)_{f}$. By $(r)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in g \vee x_{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next let us choose $h \in\left(x_{1} \vee f\right)_{x}$. From the isomorphism of the intervals $\left\langle u, x_{2}\right\rangle$, $\left\langle x_{1}, x\right\rangle$ it follows that $\left(h \wedge x_{2}\right)_{u}=f$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in h \wedge x_{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f \in a \wedge x_{2}, f \leqq g \leqq a$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in g \wedge x_{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $x \in x_{1} \vee x_{2}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in h \vee x_{2} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By distributivity and using (5), (6), (7), (8) we get $g=h$, hence $g=x_{1}$.

Consequently $f \leqq x_{1}$ and $f=u$. We have proved that $u \in a \quad x_{2}$. By symmetry and duality we get the other assertions from (3). The assertions in (4) can be proved by Lemma 5 and its dual.

Next we prove $u \in a \wedge b$. Let $r \in(a \wedge b)_{u}, s \in(a \vee b)_{v}, a_{1} \in\left(x_{1} \vee r\right)_{a}, a_{\bullet} \in$ $\in\left(a_{1} \vee x\right)_{x_{1}}, c \in\left(r \vee x_{2}\right)_{a_{2}}$. From (3), (4) and the dual of Lemma 2 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u, x_{1}\right\rangle \sim\langle u, a\rangle \times\left\langle u, x_{2}\right\rangle \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a \mapsto(a, u), c \mapsto\left(r, x_{2}\right), x \mapsto\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), a_{2} \mapsto\left(a_{1}, x_{2}\right)$. (We use the isomorphism of the intervals $\left\langle x_{1}, a\right\rangle,\left\langle x, x_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and the isomorphism of the intervals $\left\langle x_{2}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right.$, $\langle u, a\rangle$, where $\left(a_{1} \vee x\right)_{x_{1}^{\prime}}=a_{2}$ and $\left(a_{2} \wedge a\right)_{x_{1}}=a_{1}=\left(a_{2} \wedge a\right)_{u}$. Because $c \in$ $\in\left(r \vee x_{2}\right)_{a_{2}}$ it follows that $c \in\left(r \vee x_{2}\right)_{x_{1}^{\prime}}$ and we get $r \in\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & c\end{array}\right)_{u}$. .) Now we prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2} \in c \vee x, x_{2} \in c \wedge x \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $z \in(x \vee c)_{a_{2}}$. Evidently $z \in\left\langle u, x_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle$. In the isomorphism (9) $z \mapsto\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$, where $z_{1} \in\left(x_{1} \vee r\right)_{a_{1}}$ and $z_{2} \in\left(x_{2} \vee x_{2}\right)_{x_{2}}=x_{2}$. Since $\left(x_{1} \vee r\right)_{a_{1}}=a_{1}$, we get $z_{1}=a_{1}, z_{2}=x_{2}$. Since ( $a_{1}, x_{2}$ ) corresponds to the element $a_{2}$ in theisomorphism (9), it follows $z=a_{2}$. The assertion $x_{2} \in c \wedge x$ can be proved analogously.

Now we shall show that the assertion $u \in a \wedge b$ follows from

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \leqq s \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if (11) holds from $c \in\langle r, s\rangle, r \in(a \wedge c)_{u}$ by Lemma 3 it follows that $r \leqq c \leqq b$. Hence we get $x_{2} \leqq c \leqq b, x_{2} \leqq c \leqq x_{1}^{\prime}$. Since $x_{2} \in x_{1}^{\prime} \wedge b$, we get $c=x_{2}$ and therefore $r \leqq x_{2}$. Since $u \leqq r \leqq a, u \in a \wedge x_{2}$, we have $r-u$. This gives $u \in a \wedge b$.

It remains to prove (11). Let $a_{3}=\left(a_{2} \vee x_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{v}$. By Lemma 2

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{2}, v\right\rangle \sim\left\langle x_{1}^{\prime}, v\right\rangle \times\langle b, v\rangle \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this isomorphism $x_{1}^{\prime} \mapsto\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, v\right), x \mapsto\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right), a_{2} \mapsto\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, a_{3}\right), s \mapsto(v, s)$ and $x_{2} \mapsto\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, b\right)$. Let $b_{2}^{\prime} \in\left(s \wedge x_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{b}$ and $w \in\left(s \wedge a_{3}\right)_{b_{2}^{\prime}{ }_{2}}$. It is obvious that $b_{2}^{\prime} \in w \backslash x_{2}^{\prime}$. As $v \in s \vee x_{2}^{\prime}, b_{2}^{\prime} \in s \wedge x_{2}^{\prime}$, the intervals $\left\langle b_{2}^{\prime}, s\right\rangle,\left\langle x_{2}^{\prime}, v\right\rangle$ are isomorphic and from $w=\left(s \wedge a_{3}\right)_{b_{2}}$ we get $a_{3}=\left(w \vee x_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{v}$. Denote $d=\left(x_{1}^{\prime} \wedge w\right)_{x_{2}}$. In the isomorphism (12) $d \mapsto\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, w\right)$. We shall prove that $d \in\left(a_{2} \wedge s\right)_{x_{2}}$. Let $k \in\left(a_{2} \wedge s\right)_{x_{2}}$. The element $k$ corresponds to an element ( $k_{1}, k_{2}$ ), where $k_{1} \in\left(x_{1}^{\prime} \quad v\right)_{x_{1}{ }^{\prime} \text { and } l_{2} \in, ~}^{\nu_{2}}$ $\in\left(a_{3} \wedge s\right)_{b}$. Since $\left(x_{1}^{\prime} \wedge v\right)_{x_{1}^{\prime}}=x_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\left(a_{3} \wedge s\right)_{b}=w$, we have $k_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}$ and $k_{2}=w$. To the element ( $x_{1}^{\prime}, w$ ) there corresponds the element $d$ under the isomorphism (12), hence $k=d$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \in a_{2} \wedge s \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we denote $y=\left(x_{1}^{\prime} \wedge b_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{x_{2}}$, then $y \mapsto\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, b_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ under the isomorphism (12) We shall show that

$$
y \in(x \quad d)_{x_{2}}, a_{2} \in\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & d \tag{14}
\end{array}\right)_{x_{1}}
$$

Let $n \in(x \wedge d)_{x_{2}}$. The element $n$ corresponds to an element ( $n_{1}, n_{2}$ ) under the isomorphism (12) and $n_{1} \in\left(x_{1}^{\prime} \wedge x_{1}^{\prime}\right) x_{1}^{\prime}=x_{1}^{\prime} n_{2} \in\left(x_{2}^{\prime} \wedge w\right)_{b}=b_{2}^{\prime}$. Since in (12) $y \mapsto\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, b_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, we get $n=y$ and consequently $y \in(x \wedge d) x_{2}$. The assertion $a_{2} \in$ $\in(x \vee d)_{x_{1}^{\prime}}$ can be proved analogously. From (10), (14) by Lemma 4 we get $c \leqq d$. This and (13) imply (11). We have proved that ( $r$ ) implies ( $s$ ).

By Lemma 2 and its dual ( $s$ ) implies ( $r$ ).
Let $M$ be a multilattice, $a, b, c \in M$. We shall write $a b c$, iff $(r)$ and $(s)$ is valid. From Theorem 1 it follows that in a directed distributive multilattice $M$ we have $a b c$ iff $(r)$ holds. Analogously as in [3] denote by $B(a, b)$ the set of all elements $x \in M$ for which $a x b$ holds.

Lemma 6. If $M$ is a multilattice, $a, b \in M$, then $B(a, b)=B(b, a)$ and $a, b \in B(a, b)$.

Proof. The assertion follows directly from ( $r$ ) and ( $s$ ).
Lemma 7. Let $M$ be a multilattice, $a, b, x \in M$. If $a \leqq b$, then $x \in B(a, b)$ iff $a \leqq x \leqq b$, consequently $B(a, b)=\langle a, b\rangle$.

Proof. Evidently from $a \leqq x \leqq b$ it follows that $a x b$, hence $x \in B(a, b)$. Conversely, let $x \in B(a, b), u \in a \wedge x, u^{\prime} \in(b \wedge x)_{u}$. Then $x=\left(u \vee u^{\prime}\right)_{x}=u^{\prime}$, hence $x \in b \wedge x$ and $x \leqq b$. The proof of the assertion $a \leqq x$ is dual.

Lemma 8. Let $M$ be a multilattice, $a, x, b \in M$. If $x \leqq a$ and $x \leqq b$, then $x \in B(a, b)$ iff $x \in a \wedge b$.

Proof. Evidently from $x \in a \wedge b$ it follows that $x \in B(a, b)$. Conversely, let $x \in B(a, b)$. Since $a \vee x=a, b \vee x=b$, we get $x=[(a \vee x) \wedge(b \vee x)]_{x}=(a \wedge$ $\wedge b)_{x}$, hence $x \in a \wedge b$.

Lemma 9. Let $M$ be a distributive directed multilattice. Then $B(a, b)$ is an interval iff $a \wedge b$ and $a \vee b$ are one-element sets.

Proof. Let $B(a, b)=\langle u, v\rangle$. By Lemma 8 and its dual we get $u \in a \wedge b$ and $v \in a \vee b$. Let $u_{1} \in a \wedge b$. By Lemma 8 it follows that $u_{1} \in B(a, b)$, hence $u \leqq u_{1}$, consequently $u=u_{1}$. The proof of the assertion $a \vee b=\{v\}$ is dual.

Conversely, let $a \wedge b$ and $a \vee b$ be sets with exactly one element. Denote $a \wedge b=\{u\}, a \vee b=\{v\}$. We prove $B(a, b)=\langle u, v\rangle$. First we show $B(a, b) \subset$ $c\langle u, v\rangle$. Let $x \in B(a, b) . \mathrm{Bv}$ theorem 1 we get

$$
(a \wedge x) \wedge(b \wedge x)=u,(a \vee x) \vee(b \vee x)=v,
$$

which implies $u \leqq x \leqq v$. Next we prove $\langle u, v\rangle \subset B(a, b)$. Let $x \in\langle u$, $v\rangle$, we show that ( $r$ ) holds. First we prove

$$
[(a \wedge x) \vee(b \wedge x)] x=x
$$

Denote $x_{1} \in(a \wedge x)_{u}, x_{2} \in(b \wedge x)_{u}$. From the dual of Lemma 2 we get

$$
\langle u, v\rangle \sim\langle u, a\rangle \times\langle u, b\rangle,
$$

where $a \mapsto(a, u), b \mapsto(u, b), x \mapsto\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$. Evidently $[(a \wedge x) \vee(b \wedge x)]_{x}=x$ iff

$$
\left[\left\{(a, u) \wedge\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\} \vee\left\{(u, b) \wedge\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}\right]_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\left[\left\{(a, u) \wedge\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\} \vee\left\{(u, b) \wedge\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}\right]\right]_{\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)}= \\
=\left[\left(a \wedge x_{1}, u \wedge x_{2}\right) \vee\left(u \wedge x_{1}, b \wedge x_{2}\right)\right]\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)= \\
=\left[\left(x_{1}, u\right) \vee\left(u, x_{2}\right)\right]_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}= \\
=\left(x_{1} \vee u, u \vee x_{2}\right)_{\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

we get $[(a \wedge x) \vee(b \wedge x)]_{x}=x$. The assertion $[(a \vee x) \wedge(b \vee x)]_{x}=x$ follows by duality. Hence $\langle u, v\rangle \subset B(a, b)$.

Lemma 10. Let the elements $a, b, x$ of $a$ distributive directed multilattice satisfy the condition
$(m)$ there exist elements $x_{1} \in a \wedge x, x_{2} \in b \wedge x$ and $u \in x_{1} \wedge x_{2}$ such that $x \in x_{1} \vee x_{2}$ and $u \in a \wedge b$.
Then axb.
Proof. 1. First we prove that ( $m$ ) implies

$$
[(a \vee x) \wedge(b \vee x)]_{x}=x,(a \vee x) \vee(b \vee x) \subset a \vee b
$$

Choose $y_{1} \in a \vee x, y_{2} \in b \vee x, y \in\left(y_{1} \wedge y_{2}\right)_{x}, v \in y_{1} \vee y_{2}$. We show that $y=x$. Clearly $u \in x_{1} \wedge b$. By Lemma 5 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2} \in x_{1} \vee b . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $r \in\left(a \wedge y_{2}\right)_{x_{1}}$. Then $u \in r \wedge b$. It implies (by (15) using modularity) $r=x_{1}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1} \in a \wedge y_{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $x_{1} \in a \wedge y$. From this and from $y_{1} \in a \vee x$ we get $x=y$. Consequently ( $m$ ) implies $[(a \vee x) \wedge(b \vee x)] x=x$. Next we prove that $v \in a \vee b$. By Lemma 5 from (16) we get $v \in a \vee y_{2}$. From this and from (15), (16) and $u \in a \wedge b$ we have by Lemma, $5 v \in a \vee b$. Hence $(m)$ implies $(a \vee x) \vee(b \vee x) \subset a \vee b$.
2. By the first part of the proof, ( $m$ ) implies the dual condition of $(m)$. Hence we get

$$
(a \wedge x) \wedge(b \wedge x) \subset a \wedge b,[(a \wedge x) \vee(b \wedge x)] x=x
$$

by duality.

Lemma 11. $A$ directed multilattice $M$ is distributive iff $B(u, v)=\langle u, v\rangle \subset$ $\subset B(a, b)$ for each $a, b \in M, u \in a \wedge b, v \in a \vee b$.

Proof. Let $M$ be a directed distributive multilattice. By Lemma $7 B(u, v)=$ $=\langle u, v\rangle$. We prove that $\langle u, v\rangle \subset B(a, b)$. Let $x \in\langle u, v\rangle, x_{1} \in(a \wedge x)_{u}, x_{2} \in$ $\in(b \wedge x)_{u}$. By the dual Lemma of Lemma 2 we get $\left(x_{1} \vee x_{2}\right)_{x}=x$. Hence the assertion ( $m$ ) holds, consequently $x \in B(a, b)$. It remains to prove the second part of Lemma 11. Let $M$ be a4 non-distributive directed multilattice. Then $M$ contains a submultilattice $M_{5}$ or $N_{5}$ of Figures 1 and 2. In $M_{5}$ and $N_{5} x \in$ $\in\langle u, v\rangle$ and $x \notin B(a, b)$. Hence if $M$ is non-distributive, then $B(u, v) \subset B(a, b)$ do not hoid.
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Lemma 12. Let $M$ be a distributive directed multilattice, $a, b \in M$. Then

$$
B(a, b)=\bigcup_{\substack{u \in a \wedge b \\ v \in a \vee b}}\langle u, v\rangle .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 11 we get

$$
\bigcup_{\substack{u \in a \wedge b \\ v \in a \vee b}}\langle u, v\rangle \subset B(a, b)
$$

We prove the converse inclusion. Let $x \in B(a, b)$. Denote $x_{1} \in a \wedge x, x_{2} \in b \wedge x$ $y_{1} \in a \vee x, y_{2} \in b \vee x$. By Theorem $1 y_{1} \vee y_{2} \subset a \vee b$ and $x_{1} \wedge x_{2} \subset a \wedge b$. Let $u \in x_{1} \wedge x_{2}, v \in y_{1} \vee y_{2}$, then $u \in a \wedge b, v \in a \vee b$. Hence there exist $u \in a \wedge b$, $v \in a \vee b$ such that $x \in\langle u, v\rangle$.

Lemma 13. Let $M$ be a directed distributive multilattice, $a, b, x \in M . x \in$ $\in B(a, b)$ iff $B(a, x) \cap B(b, x)=\{x\}$.

Proof. Let $x \in B(a, b)$ and $y \in B(a, x) \cap B(b, x)$. Obviously $y \in B(a, x)$ and by Lemma 12 there exist $x_{1} \in a \wedge x$ and $x_{1}^{\prime} \in a \vee x$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1} \leqq y \leqq x_{1}^{\prime} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly $y \in B(b, x)$ and there exist $x_{2} \in b \wedge x, x_{2}^{\prime} \in b \vee x$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2} \leqq y \leqq x_{2}^{\prime} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $u \in x_{1} \wedge x_{2}, v \in x_{1}^{\prime} \vee x_{2}^{\prime}$. Since $x \in B(a, b)$ by Theorem $1 u \in a \wedge b$ and $v \in a \vee b$. By the dual assertion with respect to Lemma 2 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle u, v\rangle \sim\langle u, a\rangle \times\langle u, b\rangle, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \mapsto\left(x_{1}, \dot{x_{2}}\right), x_{1} \mapsto\left(x_{1}, u\right), x_{2} \mapsto\left(u, x_{2}\right), x_{1}^{\prime} \mapsto\left(a, x_{2}\right), x_{2}^{\prime} \mapsto\left(x_{1}, b\right)$ and $y \mapsto\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$. From (17), (18), (19) it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{1}, u\right) \leqq\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \leqq\left(a, x_{2}\right) \\
& \left(u, x_{2}\right) \leqq\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \leqq\left(x_{1}, b\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From this we get $x_{1} \leqq y_{1}, y_{2} \leqq x_{2}, x_{2} \leqq y_{2}, y_{1} \leqq x_{1}$, consequently $x_{1}=y_{1}$, $x_{2}=y_{2}$ and $x=y$. We have proved that $x \in B(a, b)$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(a, x) \cap B(b, x)=\{x\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, let (20) hold. Choose $x_{1} \in a \wedge x, x_{2} \in b \wedge x, x_{1}^{\prime} \in a \vee x, x_{2}^{\prime} \in b \vee x$, $t \in\left(x_{1} \vee x_{2}\right)_{x}$. Clearly $t \in\left\langle x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle \subset B(a, x)$ and $t \in\left\langle x_{2}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle \subset B(b, x)$. From (20) we get $t=x$. The assertion $x=\left(x_{1}^{\prime} \wedge x_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{x}$ follows by duality. Consequently (20) implies $(r)$, hence $x \in B(a, b)$.

Lemma 14. Let $M$ be a distributive directed multilattice, $a, b, c \in M$. Then abc and $a c b$ iff $b=c$.

Proof. If $a b c$ and $a c b$, then $b \in B(a, c)$ and $c \in B(a, b)$. By Lemma $13 B(a$, b) $\cap B(b, c)=\{b\}$. Since $c \in B(a, b)$ and $c \in B(b, c)$ we get $c \in B(a, b) \cap B(b$, $c)=\{b\}$, consequently $c=b$. The converse assertion is obvious.

Lemma 15. Let $M$ be a distributive directed multilattice, $a, b, c, d \in M$. If abc and acd, then bcd.

Proof. Let $a b c$ and $a c d$, hence $b \in B(a, c)$ and $c \in B(a, d)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[(a \wedge b) \vee(b \wedge c)]_{b}=b=[(a \vee b) \wedge(b \vee c)]_{b}}  \tag{21}\\
& {[(a \wedge c) \vee(c \wedge d)]_{c}=c=[(a \vee c) \wedge(c \vee d)]_{c}} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Choose $x_{1} \in b \wedge c, x_{2} \in c \wedge d, y_{1} \in a \wedge b, u \in x_{1} \wedge y_{1}$. From (21) we get by Theorem $1 u \in a \wedge c$. Hence if $x_{1} \in b \wedge c$, then there exists $u \in a \wedge c$ such that $u \leqq x_{1}$. From (22) it follows that $\left(u \vee x_{2}\right)_{c}=c$. Consequently we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{1} \vee x_{2}\right)_{c}=c \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x_{1}^{\prime} \in b \vee c, x_{2}^{\prime} \in c \vee d$. By duality we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{1}^{\prime} \wedge x_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{c}=c \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

(23) and (24) implies $c \in B(b, d)$, hence $b c d$.

Let $A$ be a set with a ternary relation $a x b$ and with a specified element $o \in A$ such that the next conditions hold:
(i) $B(a, b)=B(b, a)$;
(ii) $a b c$ and $a c b$ iff $b=c$;
(iii) from $a b c$ and $a c d$ it follows that $b c d$;
(iv) for each two elements $a, b \in A$ there exist sets
$\left\{u_{i} \mid i \in I\right\},\left\{v_{j} \mid j \in J\right\}$ contained in $B(a, b)$ such that:

1. oav ${ }_{j}, o b v_{j}, o u_{i} a, o u_{i} b$ for all $i \in I$ and $j \in J$;
2. for each $c \in B(a, b)$ there exist $i \in I, j \in J$ such that $\mathrm{ou}_{i} c$, ocvj;
3. if $d \in A$, oad, obd (oda, odb), then there exists $j \in J(i \in I)$ such that $o v_{j} d$ (odut);
4. if $z \in A$, oaz, obz and $o z v_{j}\left(o z a, o z b\right.$ and $\left.o u_{i} z\right)$ for some $j \in J(i \in I$, then $z=v_{j}\left(z=u_{i}\right)$.
(v) if for $x \in A$ there exist $u_{i}, v_{j} \in B(a, b)$ such that $o u_{i} x$, oxv $v_{j}$, then $x \in B(a, b)$.

Lemma 16. Let $A$ be a set with a ternary relation axb which satisfies (i), (ii) and (iii). If $a, b, x \in A, x \in B(a, b)$, then

$$
B(a, x) \cap B(x, b)=\{x\}
$$

Proof. Let $y \in B(a, x) \cap B(x, b)$. Clearly $a y x, b y x$ and we suppose $a x b$. By (iii) from $a y x$ and $a x b$ we get $y x b$. By (i) and (ii) from $b y x$ and $y x b$ it follows that $y-x$.

Theorem 2. Let $A$ be a set with a specified element o and with a ternary relation axb such that (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) are satisfied. Then there is a directed distributive multilattice on $A$ with the least element $o$ in which axb iff $(r)$ is valid. Conversely, if in a directed distributive multilattice we define axb by ( $r$ ), then the conditions (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) are satisfied.

Proof. Assume that (i) - (v) hold. First we prove that $A$ is a poset. We define $a \leqq b$ iff $o a b$, hence $a \in B(o, b)$. From (i) and (ii) it follows that $a, b \in$ $\in B(a, b)$. Consequently $o a a$ and the relation $\leqq$ is reflexive. Suppose $a \leqq b$ and $b \leqq a$, hence $o a b$ and $o b a$. By (ii) $a=b$ and the relation $a \leqq b$ is antisymmetric. Let $a \leqq b$ and $b \leqq c$, hence $o a b$ and $o b c$. By (iii) $a b c$, therefore $b \in B(a, c)$. By (iv) for $b \in B(a, c)$ there exists $v_{j} \in B(a, c)$ such that oav $v_{j}$, obv $v_{j}$, $o c v_{j}$. Now by (iii) from $o a b, o b v_{j}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b v_{j} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

from $o b c, o c v_{j}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
b c v_{j} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and finally (25) and $a v_{j} c$ imply
$b v_{j} c$.
From (26), (27) and (ii) it follows $c=v_{j}$. Since $o a v_{j}$ we get oac, hence $a \leqq c$ and the relation $\leqq$ is transitive. We proved that $A$ is a poset. Since $o \in B(o, x)$ for each element $x \in A, o$ is the least element of $A$.

The condition 1 of (iv) implies that $A$ is a directed set.
Now we shall show that $A$ is a multilattice. The property (a) from the definition of the multilattice follows from 1 and 3 of (iv). The property (b) from the definition of the multiattice follows from 4 of (iv). Consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \vee b=\left\{v_{j} \mid v_{j} \in B(a, b), j \in J\right\}, \\
& a \wedge b=\left\{u_{i} \mid u_{i} \in B(a, b), i \in I\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we suppose that $a, x, b \in A$ and $a x b$, hence $x \in B(a, b)$. We shall show that ( $r$ ) holds. Let $u_{i} \in a \wedge x, u_{n} \in b \wedge x, v_{j} \in a \vee x, v_{k} \in b \vee x$ where $u_{i}, v_{j} \in$ $\in B(a, x)$ and $u_{n}, v_{k} \in B(b, x)$. We shall prove

$$
\left(u_{i} \vee u_{n}\right)_{x}=x,\left(v_{j} \wedge v_{k}\right)_{x}=x
$$

Let $\left(u_{i} \vee u_{n}\right)_{x}=z$. Clearly $z \leqq x, u_{i} \leqq z, u_{n} \leqq z, x \leqq v_{j}, x \leqq v_{k}$. Hence $z \in\left\langle u_{i}, v_{j}\right\rangle$ and $z \in\left\langle u_{n}, v_{k}\right\rangle$. By (v) $z \in B(a, x)$ and $z \in B(b, x)$, consequently $z \in B(a, x) \cap B(b, x)$ and by Lemma 16 from $x \in B(a, b)$ we get $z=x$. The assertion $\left(v_{j} \vee v_{k}\right)_{x}=x$ follows by duality. Hence $a x b$ implies ( $r$ ).

Now we shall show that $A$ is a distributive multilattice. Let $a, b, b^{\prime}, u$, $v \in A$ and $u \leqq a \leqq v, u \leqq b \leqq v, u \leqq b^{\prime} \leqq v$,

$$
(a \vee b)_{v}=\left(a \vee b^{\prime}\right)_{v}=v,(a \wedge b)_{u}=\left(a \wedge b^{\prime}\right)_{u}=u
$$

Obviously $u, v \in B(a, b)$. By (v) $b^{\prime} \in B(a, b)$ and (r)implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(a \wedge b^{\prime}\right) \vee\left(b^{\prime} \wedge b\right)\right] b^{\prime}=b^{\prime} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t \in\left(b \wedge b^{\prime}\right)_{u}$. Since $\left(a \wedge b^{\prime}\right)_{u}=u$, from (28) we get $b^{\prime}=(u \vee t)_{b^{\prime}} \quad t$, hence $b^{\prime} \leqq b$. Analogously we obtain $b \leqq b^{\prime}$. We have proved that $A$ is a dis tributive multilattice.

It remains to prove that ( $r$ ) implies axb. Let ( $r$ ) hold. By Lemma $12 x \in$ $\in B(a, b)$, hence $a x b$.

The converse assertion follows from Lemma 6, Lemma 12, Lemma 14 and Lemma 15.
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