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# VARIANCE COMPONENTS ESTIMATORS IN A REPLICATED REGRESSION MODEL 

FRANTIŠEK ṠTULAJTER

## Introduction

The locally and uniformly best estimators for the function $\gamma=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ in a replicated regression model

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{Y}=(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{X}) \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}]=0, E\left[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right]=\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathbf{1}=(1, \ldots, 1)^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{Y}=\left(\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{Y}_{m}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ - is a $m \cdot n$ random vector whose components $\boldsymbol{Y}_{i} ; i=1, \ldots, m$ are assumed to be independent, $N_{n}(\mathbf{X \beta}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$ distributed random vectors, are given in the paper [5]. These quadratic estimators are based on $\overline{\boldsymbol{Y}}=1 / m \sum_{i=1}^{m} \boldsymbol{Y}_{i}$ and

$$
\mathbf{S}=\frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\mathbf{Y}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{Y}}\right)\left(\mathbf{Y}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{Y}}\right)^{\prime}
$$

The aim of our paper is to study some (unbiased) invariant estimators for the fuction $\gamma=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C \Sigma})$. This approach covers the problem of estimation of a covariance function of a stationary time series, the mean value of which is given by the usual linear regression model, on the base of repeated independent observations $\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{Y}_{m}$. Each observation is of the length $n$. If we denote by $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ the covariance matrix of any observation $\boldsymbol{Y}_{i} ; i=1, \ldots, m$ of the stationary time series $\boldsymbol{Y}_{t}$; $t=0,1, \ldots$ having the covariance function $R(\tau) ; \tau=0,1, \ldots$, then it can be written: $R(\tau)=\frac{1}{n-\tau} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}(\tau) \mathbf{\Sigma}) ; \tau=0, \ldots, \mathrm{n}-1$, where

$$
\mathbf{A}(\tau)_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 / 2 & \text { if }|i-j|=\tau \\
0 & \text { elsewhere }
\end{array} ; \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{n} ; \tau=0, \ldots, \mathrm{n}-1 .\right.
$$

Thus the problem of estimation of a covariance function of a stationary time series with an unknown mean value given by the linear regression model on the basis of repeated independent observations is a special case of estimation of the function $\gamma=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C \Sigma})$.

## 1. Unbiased invariant estimators for the function $\gamma=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C} \mathbf{\Sigma})$

Let $\mathbf{P}$ be any $n \times n$ matrix. Let us denote by

$$
\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}=\frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\boldsymbol{Y}_{i}-\mathbf{P} \overline{\boldsymbol{Y}}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{Y}_{i}-\mathbf{P} \overline{\boldsymbol{Y}}\right)^{\prime}
$$

We show that the random matrix $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$ can be expressed with the help of the matrix $\mathbf{S}$ and some other matrix depending on the random vectory $\overline{\boldsymbol{Y}}$. Hence we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
(m-1) \tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}}=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\boldsymbol{Y}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{Y}}+\overline{\mathbf{Y}}-\mathbf{P} \overline{\mathbf{Y}}\right)\left(\mathbf{Y}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{Y}}+\overline{\mathbf{Y}}-\mathbf{P} \overline{\mathbf{Y}}\right)^{\prime}= \\
=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\left(\boldsymbol{Y}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{Y}}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{Y}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{Y}}\right)^{\prime}+(\overline{\mathbf{Y}}-\mathbf{P} \overline{\mathbf{Y}})(\overline{\mathbf{Y}}-\mathbf{P} \overline{\mathbf{Y}})^{\prime}\right]= \\
=(m-1) \mathbf{S}+m \mathbf{M} \overline{\mathbf{Y}} \overline{\mathbf{Y}}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}, \text { where } \mathbf{M}=\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus we can write:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}}=\mathbf{S}+\frac{m}{m-1} \mathbf{M} \overline{\mathbf{Y}} \overline{\mathbf{Y}}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}^{\prime} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\gamma}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\mathbf{C}-\frac{1}{m} \mathbf{M}^{\prime} \mathbf{C M}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This random variable can be regarded as an estimator for the function $\gamma=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C \Sigma})$. The following theorem describes the properties of $\tilde{\gamma}$.

Theorem 1. Let the matrix $\mathbf{P}$ be such that $\mathbf{P}^{2}=\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{P X}=\mathbf{X}$. Then the estimator $\tilde{\gamma}$ given by (3) is unbiased and invariant for the function $\gamma=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C} \mathbf{\Sigma})$. It has the dispersion given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}(\tilde{\gamma})=\frac{2}{m-1} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{2}-\frac{2}{m(m-1)}\left[\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{2}-\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{M}^{\prime} \mathbf{C M}\right) \mathbf{\Sigma}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The condition $\mathbf{P X}=\mathbf{X}$ guarantees that the random matrix $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$ is invariant with respect to the mean value $\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ of the random vectors $\boldsymbol{Y}_{i} ; i=1, \ldots, m$ and thus the estimator $\tilde{\gamma}$ is invariant too. The condition $\mathbf{P}^{2}=\mathbf{P}$ implies that $\mathbf{M}^{2}=\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{M}^{\prime 2}=\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$. Using these factors and (2) we can write:

$$
\tilde{\gamma}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\mathbf{C}-\frac{1}{m} \mathbf{M}^{\prime} \mathbf{C M}\right)\left(\mathbf{S}+\frac{m}{m-1} \mathbf{M} \overline{\mathbf{Y}} \bar{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

from which we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\gamma}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\mathbf{C}-\frac{1}{m} M^{\prime} \mathbf{C M}\right) \mathbf{S}\right)+\bar{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}^{\prime} \mathbf{C M} \bar{Y} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we can write, using (5):

$$
E_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}[\tilde{\gamma}]=\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\mathbf{C}-\frac{1}{m} \mathbf{M}^{\prime} \mathbf{C M}\right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\right)+\frac{1}{m} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{M}^{\prime} \mathbf{C M} \mathbf{\Sigma}\right)=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C} \mathbf{\Sigma}) .
$$

The last two equalities are consequences of the known facts that

$$
E_{\Sigma}[\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A S})]=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A \Sigma}) \text { and } E_{\Sigma}\left[\boldsymbol{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{Y}\right]=\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{B X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{B \Sigma})
$$

for $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ any symmetric matrices and of the fact that $\mathbf{M X}=\mathbf{0}$ if $\mathbf{P X}=\mathbf{X}$. The dispersion of $\tilde{\gamma}$ can be computed using the known relations (see [3])

$$
D_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}[\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A S})]=\frac{2}{m-1} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{2} \text { and } D_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}\left[\boldsymbol{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{Y}\right]=2 \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{B \Sigma})^{2} \text { if } \mathbf{B X}=\mathbf{0}
$$

From these expresions, using the independence of $\bar{Y}$ and $\mathbf{S}$ and (5), we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}[\tilde{\gamma}]=D_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\mathbf{C}-\frac{1}{m} \mathbf{M}^{\prime} \mathbf{C M}\right) \mathbf{S}\right)+\overline{\mathbf{Y}}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}^{\prime} \mathbf{C M} \overline{\mathbf{Y}}\right]= \\
= & \frac{2}{m-1} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\mathbf{C}-\frac{1}{m} \mathbf{M}^{\prime} \mathbf{C M}\right) \mathbf{\Sigma}\right)^{2}+\frac{2}{m} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{M}^{\prime} \mathbf{C M} \mathbf{\Sigma}\right)^{2}= \\
= & \frac{2}{m-1} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C} \mathbf{\Sigma})^{2}-\frac{2}{m(m-1)} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(2 \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{M}^{\prime} \mathbf{C M}\right) \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{M}^{\prime} \mathbf{C M} \mathbf{\Sigma}\right)= \\
= & D_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}[\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C S})]-\frac{2}{m(m-1)}\left[\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C \Sigma})^{2}-\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{M}^{\prime} \mathbf{C M}\right) \mathbf{\Sigma}\right)^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remarks:

1. If we set $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{I}$, then $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}=\mathbf{S}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}=\operatorname{tr}$ (CS).
2. $\mathbf{P}$ can be equal to any projector on the space $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X})$, the subspace of $E^{n}$ generated by the columns of the matrix $\mathbf{X}$. Especially the estimator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\gamma}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(C-\frac{1}{m} M C M\right) \hat{\Sigma}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

given by (3) with $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}$,

$$
\tilde{\Sigma}=\hat{\Sigma}=\frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(Y_{i}-\mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}\right)\left(Y_{i}-\mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}\right)^{\prime}, \hat{\beta}=\left(X^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \overline{\mathbf{Y}}
$$

being the usual least squres estimator of $\beta$ from the model (1) is unbiased and invariant for the function $\gamma=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C \Sigma})$.

## 2. The locally best unbiased invariant estimator for the function $\gamma=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C \Sigma})$

It is easy to show that in the model (1) the locally (at $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\mathbf{\Sigma}_{0}$ ) best unbiased estimator $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{*}$ of the regression vector $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is given by

$$
\boldsymbol{\beta}^{*}=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{i}^{*} \text {, where } \boldsymbol{\beta}_{i}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1} \boldsymbol{Y}_{i} ;
$$

$i=1, \ldots, m$. Let $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{*}=\frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\boldsymbol{Y}_{i}-\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{*}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{Y}_{i}-\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{*}\right)^{\prime}$. It is clear that the matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{*}$ is a special case of the matrix $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$ with $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{P}_{0}=\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}$. Thus the estimator $\gamma^{*}$ given by

$$
\gamma^{*}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\mathbf{C}-\frac{1}{m} \mathbf{M}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{M}_{0}\right) \mathbf{\Sigma}^{*}\right), \quad \mathbf{M}_{0}=\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}_{0}
$$

is, according to the Theorem 1, an unbiased and invariant estimator for the function $\gamma=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C} \mathbf{\Sigma})$. We shall prove now the following theorem.

Theorem 2. The estimator $\gamma^{*}$ given by (7) is the locally (at $\mathbf{\Sigma}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}$ ) best unbiased invariant estimator (LBUIE) for the function $\gamma=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C} \mathbf{\Sigma})$.

Proof. The LBUIE $\gamma_{0}^{*}$ for $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C \Sigma})$ was derived in [5]. It was shown that

$$
\gamma_{0}^{*}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\mathbf{C}-\frac{1}{m} \mathbf{M}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{M}_{0}\right) \mathbf{S}\right)+\overline{\mathbf{Y}}^{\prime} \mathbf{M}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{C M}_{0} \overline{\boldsymbol{Y}}, \text { with } \mathbf{M}_{0}=\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}_{0} .
$$

But using (5) we can see that $\gamma_{0}^{\prime}=\gamma^{*}$.
Remark: Since $\gamma^{*}$ is the LBUIE and $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C S})$ is an unbiased and invariant estimator for $\gamma=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C \Sigma})$ too, the inequality $D_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}}\left[\gamma^{*}\right] \leqslant D_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}}[\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C S})]$ holds. From this inequality, using (4), we get the inequality $\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}\right)^{2} \geqslant \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{M}_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{M}_{0}\right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}\right)^{2}$, which holds for any symmetric matrix $\mathbf{C}$ and any covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}$.

## 3. Comparison of some invariant estimators

The LBUIE has the disadvantage that it depends on the matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}$ at which we want to minimize the dispersion of the estimator. The LBUIE $\gamma^{*}$ given by (7) can have a great dispersion for $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \neq \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}$. In this part of the paper we shall compare the estimator $\operatorname{tr}$ (CS) with the estimator $\hat{\gamma}$ given by (6). These two estimators do not depend on $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}$. Our aim is to show that in some special cases the estimator $\operatorname{tr}$ (CS) is not admissible, because the estimator $\hat{\gamma}$ is uniformly better than $\operatorname{tr}$ (CS). To prove this, let us begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 1. The estimator $\hat{\gamma}$ given (6) is uniformly better than the estimator $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C S})$ iff for any covariance matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{2} \geqslant \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{M C M}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}$.
Proof: It follows from (4) and from the fact that $D_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}[\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C S})]=\frac{2}{m-1} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{2}$.
Consequence: If $E\left[\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right]=0 ; i=1, \ldots, m \quad(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{0})$, then the estimator $\hat{\gamma}=$ $\frac{1}{m} \operatorname{tr}\left(C \sum_{i=1}^{m} Y_{i} Y_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is uniformly better than $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C S})$.

Proof: The equality (8) holds trivially for $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{I}$.
The following theorem can be proved now.
Theorem 3. Let $\gamma=\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{\Sigma},(\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{I})$. Then in the model (1) the unbiased invariant estimator $\hat{\gamma}$ given by (6) with $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{I}$ is uniformly better than the unbiased invariant estimator $\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{S}$.

Proof: According to (8) it is enough to prove that for any covariance matrix $\Sigma$ the inequality $\operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{2}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P} \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{2}$ holds. Here $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{P}^{\prime}=\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}$. Because $\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{B}^{\prime}\right)=(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$ is an inner product in the space of $n \times n$ matrices, we can write (using the Schwarz inequality and the properties $\mathbf{P}^{2}=\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}=\mathbf{P}^{\prime}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P} \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{2}=(\mathbf{P} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{P}) \leqslant\|\mathbf{P} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\|^{2} \leqslant\|\mathbf{P} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\|^{2}+\|\mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\|^{2}= \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{P} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{2} \mathbf{P}\right)+\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{2} \mathbf{M}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left((\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{M}) \mathbf{\Sigma}^{2}\right)=\operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we shall study the problem, whether the estimator $\hat{\gamma}$ given by (6) is admissible in the class of invariant (not necesserily unbiased) estimators for the function $\gamma=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C} \mathbf{\Sigma})$. Let $k>0$ be any constant. Then the mean square error (MSE) of the estimator $k \cdot \hat{\gamma}$ is

$$
E_{\Sigma}[k \cdot \hat{\gamma}-\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C \Sigma})]^{2}=\mathrm{k}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{D}_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}[\hat{\gamma}]+(1-k)^{2} \cdot[\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C} \mathbf{\Sigma})]^{2}
$$

Thus the MSE of $k \cdot \gamma$ is uniformly smaller than the MSE of the estimator $\hat{\gamma}$ iff

$$
[\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\Sigma})]^{2} \leqslant \frac{1+k}{1-k} D_{\Sigma}[\hat{\gamma}] \text { for all } \boldsymbol{\Sigma}
$$

The following lemma is obvious.
Lemma 2. The invariant estimator $k \cdot \hat{\gamma}$ for the function $\gamma=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C \Sigma})$ is uniformly better than the unbiased invariant estimator $\hat{\gamma}$ given by (6) iff there exists such a constant $d, 1<d<\infty$, that for every $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C \Sigma})]^{2} \leqslant d \cdot D_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}[\hat{\gamma}] \text { holds. } \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k$ and $d$ we have: $k=\frac{d-1}{d+1}$.
Now we are able to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4. Let $\gamma=\operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. Then the invariant estimator $\frac{m \cdot n-1}{m \cdot n+1} \hat{\gamma}$ for $\gamma$ is uniformly better than the unbiased invariant estimator $\hat{\gamma}$ defined by (6) with $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{I}$.

Proof:: It is enough to prove that the inequality (9) is true for $d=m \cdot n$. This last inequality is, using (4) with $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{I}$, equivalent to the inequality

$$
(\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{\Sigma})^{2} \leqslant 2 n \cdot\left[\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{2}+\frac{1}{m-1} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P} \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{2}\right]
$$

But, using the Schwarz inequality, we get:

$$
(\operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{2} \leqslant\|\mathbf{I}\|^{2} \cdot\|\mathbf{\Sigma}\|^{2}=n \cdot \operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{2} \leqslant 2 n \cdot\left[\operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{2}+\frac{1}{m-1} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P} \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{2}\right]=d \cdot D_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}[\hat{\gamma}] \text { for any }
$$ covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$.

Remark: For the special case $n=1$, when $Y_{i} ; i=1, \ldots, m$ are independent $N\left(\beta, \sigma^{2}\right)$ distributed random variables,

$$
\hat{\gamma}=\frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}:
$$

The estimator $\frac{m-1}{m+1} \hat{\gamma}$ is the uniformly best invariant estimator for $\gamma=\sigma^{2}$.
Examples.
For $\mathbf{C} \neq \mathbf{I}$ the estimator $\hat{\gamma}$ given by (6) for $\gamma=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C \Sigma})$ is not uniformly better than the estimator $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C S})$. Thus the Theorem 3 is not true for $\mathbf{C} \neq \mathbf{I}$ (see Example 3).

Example 1. Let $n=2, \mathbf{C}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right), \mathbf{\Sigma}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{R}(0) & \mathbf{R}(1) \\ \mathbf{R}(1) & \mathbf{R}(0)\end{array}\right)$.
Then $\gamma=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\Sigma})=2 \cdot R(1)$. It is easy to show that $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{2}=\operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{2}$ and $\operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{M C M}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{2}=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{P \Sigma})^{2}$. Thus from the proof of the Theorem 3 we have that the estimator $\hat{\gamma}$ given by (6) is uniformly better than $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C S})$.

Example 2.
Let $n=2$,

$$
\Sigma=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
R(0) & R(1) & R(2) \\
R(1) & R(0) & R(1) \\
R(2) & R(1) & R(0)
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbf{C}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $X=(1,1,1)^{\prime}$. Then $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C \Sigma})=2 R(2), \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C \Sigma})^{2}=2 \cdot\left(R(0)^{2}+R(2)^{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{tr}((C-M C M) \Sigma)^{2}=\frac{4}{81} \cdot\left(18 R^{2}(0)-14 R^{2}(1)+16 R^{2}(2)+12 R(0) R(1)\right.$ $+33 R(0) R(2)+16 R(1) R(2))$. So, $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C \Sigma})^{2} \geqslant \operatorname{tr}((C-M C M) \Sigma)^{2}$ iff the function
$\phi\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=49 r_{2}^{2}+28 r_{1}^{2}-24 r_{1}-66 r_{2}-32 r_{1} r_{2}+45$ is nonnegative for every $r_{i}=\frac{R(i)}{R(0)} ; i=1,2$ such that $\left|r_{i}\right| \leqslant 1$. A solution of the equations $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r_{1}}=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r_{2}}=0$ is $r_{1}=r_{2}=1$ and $\phi(1,1)=0$.
The matrix $K=\left\{\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial r_{i} \partial r_{j}}\right\}_{i, j=1}^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}56 & -32 \\ -32 & 98\end{array}\right)$ is positive definite and the function $\phi$ has its minimum at the point $(1,1)$. Thus we have proved that the estimator $\hat{\gamma}$ is uniformly better than $\operatorname{tr}$ (CS).

Example 3. Let $n, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ and $\boldsymbol{X}$ be the same as in the previous example and let $\mathbf{C}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right) . \quad$ Then $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C \Sigma})=4 R(1), \quad \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C \Sigma})^{2}=4 \cdot\left(R^{2}(0)+\quad 2 R^{2}(1)\right.$ $+R(0) R(2))$ and $\operatorname{tr}((C-M C M) \Sigma)^{2}=\frac{4}{81}\left(45 R^{2}(0)+82 R^{2}(1)+4 R^{2}(2)\right.$ $+120 R(0) R(1)+33 R(0) R(2)+40 R(1) R(2))$.
Now let $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{C \Sigma _ { 0 }}\right)^{2}=0$, but $\operatorname{tr}\left((\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{M C M}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}\right)^{2}=\frac{64}{81}$.
Thus in this case $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C S})$ is the locally (at $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}$ ) best unbiased invariant estimator for $\gamma=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C \Sigma})$ with $D_{\Sigma_{,}}(\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C S})]=0$.
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## Резюме

Предложены (несмещенные) инвариантные оценки функции $\gamma=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C \Sigma})$, кде $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ - ковариационная матрица случайных векторов $\mathbf{Y}_{i} \sim N_{n}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{\Sigma}) ; i=1, \ldots, m, \mathbf{C}-$ любая симметричная матрица. Эти оценки сравниваются с несмещенной инвариантной оценкой $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C S})$, где

$$
\mathbf{S}=\frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i-1}^{m}\left(\mathbf{Y}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{Y}}\right)\left(\mathbf{Y}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{Y}}\right)^{\prime}
$$

Показано, что для некоторых $\mathbf{C}$ оценка $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C S})$ недопустима.

