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# COMMENT ON C. R. RAO'S MINQUE FOR REPLICATED OBSERVATIONS 

LUBOMÍ KUBÁČEK

## Introduction

A replicated regression experiment [1] is a realization of a random vector $\boldsymbol{Y}=\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{y}_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{y}_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=(\boldsymbol{i} \otimes \boldsymbol{X}) \boldsymbol{\beta}+\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{1}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$, where $\boldsymbol{y}_{j}$ is an $N$-dimensional random vector, $j=1, \ldots, n, i=(1, \ldots, 1)^{\prime}$ is $n$-dimensional, $\boldsymbol{X}$ is a known $N \times k$ matrix (design matrix), $\otimes$ designates the tensor product of matrices, $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is a $k$-dimensional unknown parameter, $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathscr{R}^{k}$ ( $k$-dimensional Euclidean space) and $\varepsilon_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$, is a vector of random errors. It is supposed that

$$
E\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)=0, E\left(\varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j}^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i \neq j \quad i, j=1, \ldots, p . \\ \sum_{r=1}^{p} \Theta_{r} V_{r} & \text { if } i=j\end{cases}
$$

The $N \times N$ matrices $\mathbf{V}_{i}, i=1, \ldots, p,(p>1)$ are known and $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{F}}=\left(\Theta_{1}, \ldots, \Theta_{p}\right)^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta} \subset$ $\mathscr{R}^{p}$. The set $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$ is supposed to fulfil the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta} \Rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{a}}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{i} \mathbf{V}_{i} \text { is positive definite. } \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantities $\Theta_{i}, i=1, \ldots, p$, are variance components. In an $n$-times replicated experiment an estimator of the variance components can be determined $n$-times from the different single component vectors $\boldsymbol{y}_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$ (see [5]). Further the estimator can be based on the vector $\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}=(1 / n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{y}_{i}$ (see [1], [5], [6]), on the matrix $\mathbf{S}=[1 /(n-1)] \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{i}-\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{i}-\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}\right)^{\prime}$ see $([1],[2])$ and mainly on the vector $\boldsymbol{Y}$ (see [1]).

The aim of this note is to compare dispersions of those estimators in the case when all variance components are unbiasedly estimable and errors are normally distributed.

## 1. NOTATIONS AND AUXILIARY STATEMENTS

According to [3] the class of estimators of a function $f():. \boldsymbol{\theta} \rightarrow \mathscr{R}^{1}, f(\boldsymbol{\theta})=\boldsymbol{f}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\theta}$, $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathscr{R}^{p}$, is restricted to the following kinds of estimators
(1) $\hat{\gamma}=Y^{\prime} A_{1} \boldsymbol{Y}$;
(2) $\hat{\gamma}_{2}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{A}_{2} \mathbf{S}\right)(\operatorname{Tr}($.$) means the trace )$;
(3) $\hat{\gamma}_{3}=\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}^{\prime} \mathbf{A}_{3} \overline{\boldsymbol{y}}$;
(4) $\hat{\gamma}_{4}=(1 / n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{y}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{A}_{4} \boldsymbol{y}_{i}$.

Statistical properties of those estimators are investigated in [1] (estimator of the type (1)), in [2] (estimator of the type (2)) and in [1], [5], [6] (estimators of the types (3) and (4), respectively).

According to [1] the following symbols are used: $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right) \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\left(\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)\right.$ is a generalized inverse [4] of the matrix $\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}$ );
$\left\{\mathbf{S}_{\left(\mathbf{M V}_{a} \mathbf{M}^{+}\right\}_{i, j}} \quad\left((i, j)\right.\right.$-th element of the matrix $\left.\mathbf{S}_{\left(\mathbf{M V} \mathbf{V}^{\prime}\right)^{+}}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\mathbf{M V} \mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \mathbf{M}\right)^{+} \mathbf{V}_{i}\left(\mathbf{M} \mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \mathbf{M}\right)^{+} \mathbf{V}_{i}\right], i, j=1, \ldots, p,(\mathbf{M V} \mathbf{M})^{+}$is the Moore-Penrose inverse of the matrix $\mathbf{M} \mathbf{V}_{a} \mathbf{M},\left\{\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{V}_{\alpha}}\right\}_{i, j}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{V}_{\alpha}^{-1} \mathbf{V}_{i} \mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{a}}^{-1} \mathbf{V}_{j}\right), i, j=1, \ldots, p$.

If all variance components are unbiasedly estimable by means of the estimator (1), (2), (3) and (4), then $\mathscr{R}^{p}=\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbf{K}_{0}\right)=\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{v}_{\alpha}}{ }^{1}\right)$, where $\left\{\mathbf{K}_{0}\right\}_{i, j}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{V}_{i} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{V}_{j}\right)=$ $i, j=1, \ldots, p$ (see Theorem 2.1 and Corrollary in [2]). The symbol $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbf{K}_{0}\right)$ denotes the column space of the matrix $\mathbf{K}_{0}$. When MINQUE's (3) and (4) exist for all covariance components, then the matrix $\mathbf{S}_{\left(\mathrm{MV}_{a} \mathrm{M}\right)^{+}}$is regular.

In the following the assumption of normality of the vector $\boldsymbol{Y}$ is used. The Rao-Cramér lower bound for dispersions is denoted as $R \cdot C \cdot\left[\boldsymbol{Y},\left(\boldsymbol{O}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{f}^{\prime}\right)\right.$ $\left.\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right]$ when the estimator of the function $f($.$) is based on the vector \boldsymbol{Y}$ (the parametric space is $\mathscr{R}^{k} \times \boldsymbol{\theta}$; the notations $R . C .\left[\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{f}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\theta}\right]$ in the case of $\mathbf{S}$ (the parametric space is $\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $R . C \cdot\left[\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}},\left(\boldsymbol{O}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{f}^{\prime}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right]$ in the case of $\overline{\boldsymbol{y}}$ (the parametric space is $\left.\mathscr{R}^{k} \times \boldsymbol{\theta}\right)$ is used.

## Lemma 1.1.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { R.C. }\left[\boldsymbol{Y},\left(\mathbf{0}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{f}^{\prime}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right]=(2 / n) \boldsymbol{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{v}_{a}-1}^{-1} \boldsymbol{f} \leqslant \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& R . C .\left[\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{f}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Theta}\right]=[2 /(n-1)] \boldsymbol{f}^{\prime} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{v}_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}}^{-1}{ }^{1} \boldsymbol{f}=\mathscr{D}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{2}\right) \text {; }  \tag{2}\\
& \boldsymbol{R} . \boldsymbol{C} \cdot\left[\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}},\left(\boldsymbol{O}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{f}^{\prime}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right]=2 \boldsymbol{f}^{\prime} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{v}_{\boldsymbol{e}}^{-1}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{f} \leqslant 2 \boldsymbol{f}^{\prime} \mathbf{S}_{\left(\mathrm{m}_{\boldsymbol{e}}\right)^{\prime}+\boldsymbol{f}}^{-1}=\mathscr{D}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{3}\right) ;  \tag{3}\\
& (2 / n) \boldsymbol{f}^{\prime} \mathbf{S}_{\left(\text {M }^{-1} V_{e M}\right)}^{-1}+\boldsymbol{f}=\mathscr{D}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{4}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. It follows from the Remark 3.4 in [2] and from the definition of the Rao-Cramér lower bound for dispersions.

Lemma 1.2. Let $\mathbf{V}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\left(\mathbf{M} \mathbf{V}_{\alpha}\right)^{+}}$be regular matrices; then for the matrices $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{v}_{\alpha}-1}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\left(\mathbf{m v} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{a}}\right)^{+}}$there exists a regular $p \times p$ matrix $\mathbf{G}$ such that $\mathbf{G}^{\prime} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{v}_{\boldsymbol{a}}-1} \mathbf{G}=\mathbf{I}$ (identity
matrix), $\mathbf{G}^{\prime} \mathbf{S}_{\left(\mathrm{Mv} \mathrm{v}^{\prime}\right)} \mathbf{G}=\mathbf{D}$ (diagonal matrix) and $0<d_{i, i}=\{\mathbf{D}\}_{i, i} \leqslant 1, i=1, \ldots, p$.
Proof. The regularity of the matrix $\mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ implies $\left(\mathbf{M V}_{\alpha} \mathbf{M}\right)^{+}=\mathbf{V}_{\alpha}^{-1}$ $-\mathbf{V}_{\alpha}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}_{\alpha}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}_{\alpha}^{-1}$; thus the matrix $\left(\mathbf{M} \mathbf{V}_{\alpha} \mathbf{M}\right)^{+}$is positive semidefinite. That is why there exists a matrix $\mathbf{J}$ with the property $\left(\mathbf{M V}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \mathbf{M}\right)^{+}=\mathbf{J} \mathbf{J}^{\prime}$. Because of the relation $\left\{\mathbf{S}_{(\mathbf{M V a m})^{+}}\right\}_{i, j}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{J} \mathbf{J}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{J J} \mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\mathbf{J}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{J}\right)\left(\mathbf{J}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{J}\right)\right]$ the matrix $\mathbf{S}_{\left(\mathbf{m v}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)^{+}}$is the Gramm matrix of the elements $\mathbf{J}^{\prime} \mathbf{V}_{i} \mathbf{J}, i=1, \ldots, p$, and therefore it is positive semidefinite. Under assumption of regularity it is positive definite. Now the existence of the matrix $\mathbf{G}$ follows from the symmetry of the matrices $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{v a}_{\mathrm{a}}-1}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\left(\mathbf{M v a} \mathbf{M}^{+}\right.}$. The positive definiteness of the matrix $\mathbf{S}_{(\mathbf{M v a m})^{+}}$implies the relations $0<d_{i, i}, i=1, \ldots, p$ and the relations $d_{i, i} \leqslant 1, i=1, \ldots, p$, follow from (3) of Lemma 1.1.

Lemma 1.3. Let $h(., \ldots):, \mathscr{R}_{+}^{2} \rightarrow \mathscr{R}_{+}^{1}$, where $\mathscr{R}_{+}^{2}=\{(y, z): y \geqslant 0, \quad z \geqslant 0\}$ $-\{(0,0)\}, \mathscr{R}_{+}^{1}=\{x: x \geqslant 0\}$, be defined by the relation $h(y, z)=y z /(y+z)$. For $s=1,2, \ldots$ there holds:
(a) $\forall\left\{\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z} \in \mathscr{R}^{s}:\left(y_{i}=\{\boldsymbol{y}\}_{i}, z_{i}=\{\boldsymbol{z}\}_{i}\right) \in \mathscr{R}_{+}^{2}, i=1, \ldots, s\right\}$

$$
\forall\left\{\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathscr{R}^{s}: c_{i}=\{\boldsymbol{c}\}_{i} \in \mathscr{R}+, i=1, \ldots, s,\left(c^{\prime} \boldsymbol{y}\right)^{2}+\left(c^{\prime} z\right)^{2} \neq 0\right\}
$$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{s} c_{i} h\left(y_{i}, z_{i}\right) \leqslant h\left(\boldsymbol{c}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{c}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{z}\right)
$$

(b) if $\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{c} \in \mathscr{R}^{s}(s \geqslant 2)$ fulfil the conditions from (a), then

$$
\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{s} c_{i} h\left(y_{i}, z_{i}\right)=h\left(\boldsymbol{c}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{z}\right)\right\} \Leftrightarrow\left\{\exists\left\{k_{1} \geqslant 0\right\} \forall\{i=1, \ldots, s\} y_{i}=k_{1} z_{i}\right\}
$$

or

$$
\left\{\exists\left\{k_{2} \geqslant 0\right\} \forall\{i=1, \ldots, s\} z_{i}=k_{2} y_{i}\right\}
$$

Proof. (a) The tangential plane of the function $h(y, z)=y z /(y+z)$ at the point $\left(y_{0}, z_{0}\right) \in \mathscr{R}_{+}^{2}$ is $x=\left[z_{0} /\left(y_{0}+z_{0}\right)\right]^{2} y+\left[y_{0} /\left(y_{0}+z_{0}\right)\right]^{2} z$. The relation $\min \left\{p^{2} y+q^{2} z: p+q=1, p \geqslant 0, q \geqslant 0\right\}=y z /(y+z)$, where $y \geqslant 0, z \geqslant 0$ and $y^{2}+z^{2}>0$ implies $x \geqslant h(y, z),(y, z) \in \mathscr{R}_{+}^{2}$. Therefore the function $h(., .$.$) is$ concave. Suppose a vector $\boldsymbol{c}$ satisfies all conditions listed in (a) together with the additional condition $\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i}=1$. Then assertion (a) is obviously true. Since $h(k y, k z)=k h(y, z)$ for $k \geqslant 0$ and $(y, z) \in R_{+}^{2}$ the proof of the statement (a) is obviously concluded.
(b) The equality $\sum_{i=1}^{s} c_{i} h\left(y_{i}, z_{i}\right)=h\left(\boldsymbol{c}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{c}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{z}\right)$ holds if and only if all triples $\left(y_{i}, z_{i}\right.$, $\left.h\left(y_{i}, z_{i}\right)\right), i=1, \ldots, s$, fulfil the equation of some tangential plane $\left[z_{0} /\left(y_{0}+z_{0}\right)\right]^{2} y_{i}$ $+\left[y_{0} /\left(y_{0}+z_{0}\right)\right]^{2} z_{i}=y_{i} z_{i} /\left(y_{i}+z_{i}\right) \Leftrightarrow p^{2} y_{i}^{2}+q^{2} z_{i}^{2}-y_{i} z_{i}\left(1-p^{2}-q^{2}\right)=0\left(p=z_{0} /\right.$ $\left./\left(y_{0}+z_{0}\right), q=y_{0} /\left(y_{0}+z_{0}\right)\right)$. Because of $1=(p+q)=(p+q)^{2}=p^{2}+q^{2}+2 p q$, we get $p^{2} y_{i}^{2}+q^{2} z_{i}^{2}-2 p q y_{i} z_{i}=0 \Leftrightarrow\left(p y_{i}-q z_{i}\right)^{2}=0$. Thus either $y_{i} / z_{i}=y_{0} / z_{0}$ or $z_{i} /$ $/ y_{i}=z_{0} / y_{0}$ for $i=1, \ldots, s$, and (b) is proved.

## 2. Comparison of estimators

Theorem. (a) Let $f_{i}(),. i=1, \ldots, p$, be functions such that $f_{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta})=\boldsymbol{f}_{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Theta}$ and that $\mathbf{G}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{f}_{i}=\boldsymbol{e}_{i}=\left(0_{1}, \ldots, 0_{i-1}, 1_{i}, 0_{i+1}, \ldots, 0_{p}\right)^{\prime}$, where $\mathbf{G}$ is the matrix from Lemma 1.2. Then there exist real numbers $c_{i, 2}, c_{i, 3}, c_{i, 2} \geqslant 0, c_{i, 3} \geqslant 0, c_{i, 2}+c_{i, 3}=1$ so that

$$
\mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{1}^{(l)}\right)=\mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[c_{i, 2} \hat{\gamma}_{2}^{(l)}+c_{t, 3} \hat{\gamma}_{3}^{(i)}\right] .
$$

(b) Let a function $f(\boldsymbol{\Theta})=\boldsymbol{f}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Theta}, \boldsymbol{\Theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}$, not be a constant multiple of some function from (a). Then a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of numbers $c_{2, f} \geqslant 0, c_{3, f} \geqslant 0, c_{2, f}+c_{3, f}=1$ with the property $\mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[c_{2, f} \hat{\gamma}_{2}^{(f)}+c_{3, f} \hat{\gamma}_{3}^{(f)}\right]$ $=\mathscr{D}_{a}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{3}^{(f)}\right)$ is the existence of a number $d_{0}, 0<d_{0} \leqslant 1$ such that $\forall\left\{j:\left\{\mathbf{G}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{f}\right\}_{j} \neq 0\right\} d_{,, 1}$ $=\left\{\mathbf{G}^{\prime} \mathbf{S}_{\left(\mathbf{M V} \mathbf{V}_{a}\right)^{+}} \mathbf{G}\right\}_{j, j}=d_{0}$.

Proof. (a) Owing to Lemma 1.1 we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{D}_{a}\left[\hat{\gamma}^{(i)}(\mathbf{Y})\right]=2 \boldsymbol{f}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}^{-1}\left[\mathbf{S}_{\left(\mathbf{M} \mathbf{V}_{a} \mathbf{M}\right)^{+}}+(n-1) \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{v}_{a}}\right]^{-1} \mathbf{G}^{\prime-1} \mathbf{G}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{f}_{l}= \\
=2 \boldsymbol{e}_{i}^{\prime}[\mathbf{D}+(n-1) \mathbf{I}]^{-1} \boldsymbol{e}_{i}=2 /\left(d_{l, i}+n-1\right), \\
\mathscr{D}_{a}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{2}^{(i)}(\mathbf{S})\right]=2 /(n-1), \mathscr{D}_{a}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{3}^{(i)}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})\right]=2 / d_{i, 1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By taking into account the definitions $c_{i, 2}=(n-1) /\left(n-1+d_{i, i}\right), \quad c_{i, 3}=d_{1, i} /$ $/\left(n-1+d_{i, i}\right)$ and the stochastic independence of estimators $\hat{\gamma}_{2}(\mathbf{S})$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{3}(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}})$ we easily get (a).
(b) If the function $f($.$) is not a constant multiple of some function from (a), then$ in the expression $\mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{1}^{(f)}(\boldsymbol{Y})\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left\{\mathbf{G}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{f}\right\}_{i}^{2} /\left(n-1+d_{i, i}\right)$ at least two members differ from zero. From Lemma 1.1, Lemma 1.2 and from the stochastical independence of the estimators $\hat{\gamma}_{2}^{(f)}(\mathbf{S})$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{3}^{(f)}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})$ it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{D}_{a}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{1}^{(f)}(\boldsymbol{Y})\right] \leqslant \min \left\{\mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[k_{2, f} \hat{\gamma}_{2}^{(f)}(\mathbf{S})+k_{3, f} \hat{\gamma}_{3}^{(f)}(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}})\right]: k_{2, f} \geqslant 0,\right. \\
\left.k_{3, f} \geqslant 0, k_{2, f}+k_{3, f}=1\right\} \\
\left(=\mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{2}^{(f)}(\mathbf{S})\right] \mathscr{D}_{a}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{3}^{(f)}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})\right] /\left\{\mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{2}^{(f)}(\mathbf{S})\right]+\mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{3}^{(f)}(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}})\right]\right\}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

That is why the numbers $c_{2, f}, c_{3, f}$ can exist iff

$$
\mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{1}^{(f)}(\boldsymbol{Y})\right]=\mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{2}^{(f)}(\mathbf{S})\right] \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{3}^{(f)}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})\right] /\left\{\mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{2}^{(f)}(\mathbf{S})\right]+\mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{3}^{(f)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}})\right]\right\} .
$$

Thus, with notation $\left\{\mathbf{G}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{f}\right\}_{i}=g_{i}, i=1, \ldots, p$ ( $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ are matrices from Lemma 1.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \sum_{i=1}^{p} g_{i}^{2} /\left(n-1+d_{i, i}\right)=  \tag{**}\\
=[2 /(n-1)] \sum_{i=1}^{p} g_{i}^{2} 2 \sum_{j=1}^{p}\left(g_{j}^{2} / d_{j, j}\right) /\left\{[2 /(n-1)] \sum_{i=1}^{p} g_{i}^{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{p}\left(g_{j}^{2} / d_{j, j}\right)\right\} .
\end{gather*}
$$

In Lemma 1.3 we substitute for $c, y_{i}, z_{i}, i=1, \ldots, p$ :

$$
\boldsymbol{c}=(1, \ldots, 1)^{\prime} \in \mathscr{R}^{p}, y_{i}=2 g_{i}^{2} /(n-1), \quad z_{i}=2 g_{i}^{2} / d_{i, i}, i=1, \ldots, p
$$

Because of

$$
2 g_{i}^{2} /\left(n-1+d_{i, i}\right)=\left[2 g_{i}^{2} /(n-1)\right]\left(2 g_{i}^{2} / d_{i, i}\right) /\left\{\left[2 g_{i}^{2} /(n-1)\right]+2 g_{i}^{2} / d_{i, i}\right\}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, p$, the equality $(* *)$ is valid iff there exists the real number $k \geqslant 0$ from Lemma 1.3 with the property

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall\left\{j \in\left\{i: g_{i} \neq 0\right\}\right\} 2 g_{j}^{2} /(n-1)=k 2 g_{j}^{2} / d_{j, j} \Leftrightarrow \\
& \Leftrightarrow \forall\left\{j \in\left\{i: g_{i} \neq 0\right\}\right\} d_{j, j}=k(n-1)\left(=d_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 1. Let $\mathbf{D}$ be the matrix from Lemma 1.2. Then

$$
\{\mathbf{D}\}_{i, i}<1 \text { implies } \exists\left\{n_{i}\right\} \forall\left\{n \geqslant n_{i}\right\} \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{4}^{(i)}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{y}_{n}\right)\right]>\mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{2}^{(i)}(\mathbf{S})\right] .
$$

The proof follows from (4) of Lemma 1.1, from the Theorem and from Lemma 1.2.

Corollary 2. The implication $n \uparrow \infty \Rightarrow c_{i, 2} \uparrow 1 \& c_{i, 3} \downarrow 0$ (see [1] p. 194) shows the growing importance of the estimator $\hat{\gamma}_{3}^{(i)}(\mathbf{S})$ with the growing number of replication of the experiment and the relation $\mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{2}^{(i)}(\mathbf{S})\right] / \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[\gamma_{1}^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{Y})\right] \downarrow 1$. Following the Theorem these facts are valid for an arbitrary function $f(\boldsymbol{\theta})=\boldsymbol{f}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\theta}$.

Corollary 3. The relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{i, 2}=(n-1) /\left(n-1+d_{i, i}\right)= \\
=\left\{1 / \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{2}(\mathbf{S})\right]\right\} /\left\langle\left\{1 / \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{2}(\mathbf{S})\right]\right\}+\left\{1 / \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{3}(\overline{\mathbf{y}})\right]\right\}\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{i, 3}=d_{i, i} /\left(n-1+d_{i, i}\right)= \\
=\left\{1 / \mathscr{D}_{a}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{3}(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}})\right]\right\} /\left\langle\left\{1 / \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{2}(\mathbf{S})\right]\right\}+\left\{1 / \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{3}(\overline{\boldsymbol{y}})\right]\right\}\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

imply
$\mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{2}(\mathbf{S})\right] / \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\left[\hat{\gamma}_{3}(\bar{y})\right]=c_{i, 3} / c_{i, 2}=d_{i, i} /(n-1) \downarrow 0$.
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Резюме

В работе приводятся необходимые и достаточные условия для равенства между двумя оценками. Первая оценка - MINQUE Рао в повторяющемся регрессионном эксперименте, созданная Клеффе ; вторая оценка является оптимальной комбинацией оценок, основанных на матрице Уишарта и на векторе арифметических средних наблюдений.

