Jozef Doboš On a certain lattice of topologies on a product of metric spaces

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 32 (1982), No. 4, 397--402

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/130239

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

ON A CERTAIN LATTICE OF TOPOLOGIES ON A PRODUCT OF METRIC SPACES

JOZEF DOBOŠ

Introduction

Let T be a nonempty set. Denote by R the real line and by T^+ the set of all non-negative functions $a: T \rightarrow R$. Denote by $\mathcal{M}(T)$ the set of all functions $f: T^+ \rightarrow R$ such that

$$d(x, y) = f(\{d_t(x(t), y(t))\}_{t \in T})$$
(1)

is a metric on the set $\prod_{t \in T} M_t$ for every collection of metric spaces $\{(M_t, d_t)\}_{t \in T}$.

In [1] we investigate the metrizability by the metric d of the product topology on $\prod_{i \in T} M_i$.

In the present paper we extend some results of [1]. In the special case of the set T being finite, the paper presents a complete characterization of the lattice of topologies on the set $\prod_{i \in T} M_i$ generated by the set $\mathcal{M}(T)$.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. Notation. If δ is a binary relation on R, define the binary relation δ_T on R^T as follows: $x\delta_T y$ if and only if $x(t)\delta y(t)$ for each $t \in T$. Define the function θ_T : $T \rightarrow R$ by $\theta_T(t) = 0$ for each $t \in T$. If $T = \{t\}$, we write δ_t and θ_t .

In paper [1] the following results (1.2-1.6) are proved.

1.2. Lemma. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Then

$$\forall a, b \in T^* : f(a+b) \leq f(a) + f(b), \tag{2}$$

$$\forall a, b \in T^* : a \leq \tau 2b \Rightarrow f(a) \leq 2f(b). \tag{3}$$

1.3. Theorem. Let $f: T^+ \rightarrow R$. Then $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ if and only if

$$\forall x \in T^* : f(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = \theta_T, \tag{4}$$

$$\forall x, y, z \in T^+ : (x \leq \tau y + z \& y \leq \tau x + z \& z \leq \tau x + y) \Rightarrow$$
(5)
$$\Rightarrow f(x) \leq f(y) + f(z).$$

1.4. Proposition. Let the set T be finite. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Then f is continuous (we consider T^* by subspace of the topological product R^T) if and only if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \; \exists x \in T^+, \; x > \tau \theta_T : f(x) < \varepsilon.$$

1.5. Notation. Let $S \subset T$ be a nonempty set. Define a mapping $i_{S_T}: S^+ \to T^+$ as follows

$$(i_{S T}(a))(t) = \begin{cases} a(t) & \text{for } t \in S, \\ 0 & \text{for } t \in T-S, \end{cases}$$

for each $a \in S^+$. If $S = \{s\}$ we write $i_{s,T}$.

1.6. Lemma. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Then $(f \circ i_{S,T}) \in \mathcal{M}(S)$. 1.7. Remark. The mapping $i_{S,T}$ is continuous (see [2], p. 59, Theorem 1).

1.8. Proposition. Let Q be a nonempty finite set. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(Q)$. Then f is continuous if and only if $f \circ i_{q,Q}$ is continuous for each $q \in Q$.

Proof. \Rightarrow : By 1.7.

 \Leftarrow : Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $q \in Q$. Since by 1.6 we have

$$(f i_{q Q}) \in \mathcal{M}(\{q\}),$$

by 1.4 we obtain

$$\exists x_q \in \{q\}^+, x_q > {}_q\theta_q: (f \circ i_q Q)(x_q) < \varepsilon/(\text{card } Q).$$

We put

$$a = \sum_{q \in Q} i_{q,Q}(x_q)$$

Thus $a \in Q^+$, $a > Q \theta_Q$ and by 1.2 we have

$$f(a) \leq \sum_{q \in Q} (f \circ i_{q Q})(x_q) < \varepsilon.$$

Then by 1.4 the function f is continuous.

1.9. Notation. For each $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ we put

 $F(f) = \{t \in T; f \ i_{t \ T} \text{ is continuous}\}.$

Define a function $j_T: T^+ \rightarrow R$ as follows

$$j_{\tau}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x = \theta_{\tau}, \\ 1 & \text{for } x \neq \theta_{\tau}. \end{cases}$$

The following Example shows that the condition "finite" in Proposition 1.8 cannot be omitted.

1.10. Example. Let P be a nonempty set. Define a mapping $f: P^+ \rightarrow R$ as follows

$$f(x) = \sup \{\min (1, x_i); t \in P\}$$

Then $f \in \mathcal{M}(P)$, F(f) = P and f is continuous if and only if P is finite.

1.11. Corollary. Let the set T be finite. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Then $f \circ i_{S,T}$ is continuous if and only if $S \subset F(f)$.

Proof. By 1.6 we have $(f \circ i_{S,T}) \in \mathcal{M}(S)$. Then by 1.8 we obtain that $f \circ i_{S,T}$ is continuous if and only if $f \circ i_{s,T} = (f \circ i_{S,T}) \circ i_{s,S}$ is continuous for each $s \in S$.

1.12. Proposition. Let the set T be finite, $\emptyset \neq S \subset T$. Let $h \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ be continuous. Define a mapping $h_s: T^+ \to R$ as follows

$$h_s(x) = \begin{cases} h(x)/(1+h(x)) & \text{for } x \in \text{Im } (i_{s,T}), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $h_s \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ and $F(h_s) = S$.

Proof. Let $x \in T^+$. Then $h_s(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow h(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = \theta_T$.

Let x, y, $z \in T^+$, $x \leq Ty + z$, $y \leq Tx + z$, $z \leq Tx + y$. Since $h \in \mathcal{M}(T)$, by 1.3 we have

$$h(x) \leq h(y) + h(z).$$

If $h_s(y) + h_s(z) < 1$, then x, y, $z \in \text{Im}(i_{s, T})$, thus $h_s(x) = h(x)/(1 + h(x)) \le h(y)/(1 + h(y)) + h(z)/(1 + h(z)) = h_s(y) + h_s(z)$. If $h_s(y) + h_s(z) \ge 1$, then $h_s(x) \le 1 \le h_s(y) + h_s(z)$. Then by 1.3 we have $h_s \in \mathcal{M}(T)$.

Since h is continuous, by 1.7 we obtain $h_{s \circ i_{s,T}} = (h/(1+h)) \circ i_{s,T}$ is continuous. Thus by 1.11 we get $S \subset F(h_s)$.

Let $t \in T-S$. Since $h_s \circ i_{t,T} = j_T \circ i_{t,T}$ is not continuous, by 1.11 we have $t \in T - F(h_s)$. Thus $T - S \subset T - F(h_s)$.

2. Lattice of topologies generated by the set $\mathcal{M}(T)$

2.1. Notation. Let T be a nonempty set. Let $\{(M_i, d_i)\}_{i \in T}$ be a collection of metric spaces. We put $M = \prod_{i \in T} M_i$. For each $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ denote by \mathcal{T}_f the topology on the set M derived from the metric (1). We put

$$\mathcal{L} = \{\mathcal{T}_{f}: f \in \mathcal{M}(T)\},\$$
$$H = \{t \in T: M_{i}' \neq \emptyset\}$$

(where A' is the derived set of A).

2.2. Proposition. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Let $\mathcal{T}_f \subset \mathcal{T}_g$ Then

$$F(f) \supset F(g) \cap H$$
.

Proof. Let $t \in F(g) \cap H$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Select $a \in M$ such that $a(t) \in M'$. Since $\mathcal{T}_t \subset \mathcal{T}_g$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$S_a(a, 2\delta) \subset S_f(a, \varepsilon).$$
 (7)

Since $g \circ i_{t,T}$ is continuous, by 1.4 we have

$$\exists y \in \{t\}^+, y > t \theta_t: (g \circ i_{t, T})(y) < \delta.$$

Let $q \in M_t$ such that $0 < d_t(a(t), q) < y(t)$. Define a mapping $b: T \to \bigcup_{t \in T} M_t$ as follows

$$b(s) = \begin{cases} q & \text{for } s = t, \\ a(s) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define a mapping x. $\{t\} \rightarrow R$ as follows

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = d_t(a(t), b(t)).$$

Then obviously $x \in \{t\}^+$, $x >_i \theta_i$. Since $(g \circ i_{t-T}) \in \mathcal{M}(\{t\})$ and $x \leq_i y$, by 1.2 (3) we obtain $g(\{d_i(a(t), b(t))\}_{i \in T}) = (g \circ i_{t-T})(x) \leq 2 \cdot (g \circ i_{t-T})(y) < 2\delta$. Thus $b \in S_g(a, 2\delta)$. Then by (7) we have $(f \circ i_{t-T})(x) = f(\{d_i(a(t), b(t))\}_{i \in T}) < \varepsilon$. Hence by 1.4 the function $f \circ i_{t,T}$ is continuous.

In the following it will be proved that if T is finite, then the topologies of metrics which are generated by functions from $\mathcal{M}(T)$ are determined by subsets of the set of all indices t, so that d_t is not discrete.

2.3. Theorem. Let the set T be finite. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Then $\mathcal{T}_f \subset \mathcal{T}_g$ if and only if $F(f) \supset F(g) \cap H$.

Proof. \Rightarrow : By 2.2. \Leftarrow : Let $a \in M$, $\varepsilon > 0$. We show that

$$\exists \delta > 0: S_{\theta}(a, \delta) \subset S_{f}(a, \epsilon).$$

Let $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\forall t \in T - H \,\forall b \in T^* : (d_t(a(t), b(t)) < \gamma) \Rightarrow a(t) = b(t).$$
(8)

Let $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\forall t \in T - F(g) \ \forall x \in \{t\}^+, \ x > t \theta_t: (g \circ i_t \tau)(x) \ge \eta.$$
(9)

Let $t \in F(f)$. Since $f \circ i_{t,T}$ is continuous, there exists $x_t \in \{t\}^+$, $x_t > t \theta_t$ such that

$$(f \ i_{t} \tau)(x_{t}) < \varepsilon/(2 \text{ card } T).$$

$$(10)$$

We put

$$\delta_t = g(i_{t,T}(x_t))/2.$$

For each $t \in T - F(f)$ we put $x_t = \theta_t$. For each $t \in T$ define a function $y_t: \{t\} \to R$ by $y_t(t) = \gamma$ and put

$$\gamma_i = g(i_{i,\tau}(y_i))/2.$$

We put $\delta = \min(\{\delta_i: t \in F(f)\} \cup \{\gamma_i: t \in T\} \cup \{\eta/2\})$. Let $b \in S_u(a, \delta), t \in F(f)$. Since $2g(\{d_u(a(u), b(u))\}_{u \in T}) < 2\delta \leq g(i_{i,T}(x_i))$, by 1.2 (3) we obtain

 $2d_i(a(t), b(t)) < x_i(t).$

Let $t \in T - H$. Since $2g(\{d_u(a(u), b(u))\}_{u \in T}) \leq 2\delta \leq g(i_{t,T}(y_t))$, by 1.2 (3) we have $2d_t(a(t), b(t)) < \gamma$. Then by (8) we get a(t) = b(t).

Let $t \in T - F(g)$. Define a function $u: \{t\} \rightarrow R$ by

$$u(t) = d_t(a(t), b(t)).$$

Since $i_{t,T}(u) \leq T^2 \{ d_v(a(v), b(v)) \}_{v \in T}$, by 1.2 (3) we have

$$(g \circ i, \tau)(u) \leq 2 \cdot g(\{d_v(a(v), b(v))\}_{v \in \tau}) < 2\delta \leq \eta.$$

Thus by (9) we set a(t) = b(t).

Then by 1.2 and (10) we obtain

$$f(\{d_i(a(t), b(t))\}_{i \in T}) \leq \leq 2 \cdot f\left(\sum_{i \in T} i_{i, T}(x_i)\right) \leq 2 \cdot \sum_{i \in T} (f \circ i_{i, T})(x_i) < \varepsilon$$

Hence $b \in S_f(a, \varepsilon)$.

2.4. Corollary. Let the set T be finite. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Then $\mathcal{T}_f = \mathcal{T}_g$ if and only if $H \cap F(f) = H \cap F(g)$.

The following Example shows that the condition "finite" in Theorem 2.3 cannot be omitted.

2.5. Example. Let W be a infinite set. Let $a: W \rightarrow N$ be a surjection (when N denotes the set of all natural numbers). Define a mapping $g: W^+ \rightarrow R$ as follows

$$g(x) = \sup \{\min (1, a_t \cdot x_t); t \in W\}.$$

Then $g \in \mathcal{M}(W)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(W)$ be the function from Example 1.10. Consider the collection of metric spaces $\{(M_i, d_i)\}_{i \in W}$ given by $M_i = R$, $d_i(x, y) = |x - y|$ for each $t \in W$. Evidently $S_g(\theta_W, 1) \in \mathcal{T}_g$. We prove that $S_g(\theta_W, 1) \notin \mathcal{T}_f$. Since for every constant function $u \in W^+$, $u \neq \theta_W$ we have g(u) = 1, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we obtain

$$S_f(\theta_W, \varepsilon) \not\subset S_g(\theta_W, 1).$$

Thus $S_{\mu}(\theta_{W}, 1)$ is not the neighbourhood of θ_{W} in \mathcal{J}_{f} . Hence $S_{\mu}(\theta_{W}, 1) \notin \mathcal{T}_{f}$. Then $\mathcal{T}_{g} \notin \mathcal{T}_{f}$, but F(f) = F(g) = W.

2.6. Proposition. Let the set T be finite. Let $h \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ be continuous. Put $h_{\theta} = j_{\tau}$. Then

$$\mathscr{L} = \{ \mathscr{T}_{h_{S}} \colon S \subset H \}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ We put $S = H \cap F(f)$. Then by 1.12 we have $H \cap F(h_s) = H \cap S = H \cap F(f)$. Hence by 2.3 we obtain

 $\mathcal{T}_{f} = \mathcal{T}_{hs}.$

2.7. Remark. It is not difficult to prove that the partially ordered set (\mathcal{L}, \subset) is a lattice.

2.8. Theorem. The lattice (\mathcal{L}, \subset) is dually isomorphic to the lattice $(\exp H, \subset)$. Proof. Define a mapping $\Omega: \mathcal{L} \to \exp H$ by

$$\Omega(\mathcal{T}_t) = H \cap F(f)$$

for each $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. By 1.12, 2.3, 2.4 and 2.6 the mapping Ω is a dual isomorphism.

REFERENCES

[1] BORSIK, J.-DOBOŠ, J.: On a product of metric spaces, Math. Slovaca 31, 2, 1981, 193-205.

[2] BOURBAKI, N.: Topologie generale (Russian translation, Nauka, Moscow, 1968).

ОБ ОДНОЙ СТРУКТУРЕ ТОПОЛОГИИ НА ПРОИЗВЕДЕНИИ МЕТРИЧЕСКИХ ПРОСТРАНСТВ

Иозеф Добош

Резюме

Пусть *T* является непустым конечным множеством. Обозначим T^* множество всех неотрицательных вещественных функции, определенных на множестве *T* Обозначим $\mathcal{M}(T)$ множество всех функций *f*: $T^* \to R$, для которых

$$d(x, y) = f(\{d_i(x(t), y(t))\}_{i \in T})$$

является метрикой на множестве

$\prod M_i$

для каждого семеиства метрических пространств $\{(M, d)\}_{n,T}$. В настоящей работе мы предлагаем характеризацию структуры топологий, порожденной множеством $\mathcal{M}(T)$.