

Richard M. Davitt; John Frederick Fink; Michael S. Jacobson
On isopart parameters of complete bipartite graphs and n -cubes

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 35 (1985), No. 4, 409--415

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/131904>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1985

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON ISOPART PARAMETERS OF COMPLETE BIPARTITE GRAPHS AND n -CUBES

RICHARD M. DAVITT—JOHN FREDERICK FINK—MICHAEL S. JACOBSON

Introduction

If H is a graph and H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n ($n > 2$) are non-empty, pairwise edge-disjoint subgraphs of H having the property that

$$E(H) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E(H_i),$$

then we say that H is the *edge sum* of H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n and write

$$H = H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \dots \oplus H_n.$$

In such a case we also say that H can be *decomposed* into the subgraphs H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n . If there is a graph G that is isomorphic to each of the subgraphs H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n , then we have a G -*decomposition* of H . The graph H is said to be G -*decomposable*, and G is an *isopart* of H . See [1] for undefined terms.

Most investigators (e.g. König [5], Petersen [6], Reiss [7]) of decompositions of a given graph H require that H be a regular graph. For example, Reiss [7] showed that, when p is even, the complete graph K_p can be decomposed into spanning 1-regular subgraphs called 1-*factors*. Wilson [8], Fink [2], and Fink and Ruiz [4] have shown, in different ways, that every nonempty graph G is an isopart of infinitely many connected regular graphs H .

With the results of [8], [2], and [4] in mind, Fink [3] introduced and investigated three “isopart parameters”, $p_0(G)$, $r_0(G)$, and $f_0(G)$. The numbers $p_0(G)$ and $r_0(G)$ are respectively the minimum order and minimum degree of regularity among all connected, regular, G -decomposable graphs. The parameter $f_0(G)$ is the smallest number t (≥ 2) for which there exists a connected regular graph H decomposable into t copies of G . We write r_0 , p_0 and f_0 rather than $r_0(G)$, $p_0(G)$ and $f_0(G)$ when the graph G is clear. In [3], Fink determines r_0 , p_0 and f_0 for K_n , C_n and $K_{1,n}$. In this paper we find $r_0(K_{m,n})$, $p_0(K_{m,n})$ and $f_0(K_{m,n})$ for all positive integers m and n . Also, we determine the isopart parameters for $K_{m[n]}$, the

complete m -partite graph with n vertices in each partite set. We end the paper by finding $p_0(Q_n)$, $r_0(Q_n)$ and $f_0(Q_n)$ where Q_n is the n -dimensional cube.

Main results. We begin by stating Fink's results for stars.

Theorem A ([3]) *If $n \geq 2$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} r_0(K_{1,n}) &= n, \\ p_0(K_{1,n}) &= 2n \quad \text{and} \\ f_0(K_{1,n}) &= n. \end{aligned}$$

We now give the upper bounds for the isofactor parameters for complete bipartite graphs $K_{m,n}$ when $m \neq n$.

Theorem 1. *If $m < n$ and $l = \text{lcm}(m, n)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} r_0(K_{m,n}) &\leq l, \\ p_0(K_{m,n}) &\leq 2l \quad \text{and} \\ f_0(K_{m,n}) &\leq \frac{l^2}{mn}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let m and n be distinct positive integers and $l = \text{lcm}(m, n)$. Let $G = K_{l,l}$ and denote by $A = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l\}$ and $B = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l\}$ the partite sets of G . Let $A_i = \{u_{(i-1)n+k} \mid k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{l}{n}$ and $B_i = \{v_{(i-1)m+j} \mid j = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{l}{m}$. Clearly, $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\frac{l}{n}} A_i$ and $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\frac{l}{m}} B_i$. For $1 \leq i \leq \frac{l}{n}$ and $1 \leq j \leq \frac{l}{m}$ define $H_{ij} = \langle A_i \cup B_j \rangle$. Clearly, $H_{ij} \cong K_{m,n}$ and thus this defines a $K_{m,n}$ -decomposition of G . Hence it follows that $r_0(K_{m,n}) \leq l$, $p_0(K_{m,n}) \leq 2l$ and $f_0(K_{m,n}) \leq \frac{l^2}{mn}$.

We proceed by showing $r_0(K_{m,n}) = \text{lcm}(m, n)$.

Theorem 2. *If $m < n$ and $l = \text{lcm}(m, n)$, then $r_0(K_{m,n}) = l$.*

Proof. Let m and n be distinct positive integers and $l = \text{lcm}(m, n)$. To show $r_0 = l$, we need only to show that $r_0 \geq l$ since we showed $r_0 \leq l$ in Theorem 1. Assume, to the contrary, that for some $r < l$, there exists an r -regular connected graph H which is $K_{m,n}$ -decomposable. Clearly, since vertices of $K_{m,n}$ are of degree m or n , $r = am + bn$ for some non-negative integers a and b . This is a unique representation, since if there exist non-negative integers c and d with $am + bn = cm + dn = r < l$, then it follows that $a - c = d - b = 0$. Hence it follows that in any $K_{m,n}$ -decomposition of H every vertex must be a vertex of degree m in a copies of $K_{m,n}$ and a vertex of degree n in b copies of $K_{m,n}$. If p is of the order of H , and

there are t copies of $K_{m,n}$ in the decomposition, then $\frac{pa}{n} = t = \frac{pb}{m}$. Hence it follows that $am = bn$. But this implies that l divides r and $r \geq l$, which is a contradiction. Hence it follows that $r_0 = l$.

Lemma 3. Let H be a connected r -regular $K_{m,n}$ -decomposable graph of order p . If $r > r_0(K_{m,n}) = l$, then $p > 2l$.

Proof. Let H be a connected r -regular, $K_{m,n}$ -decomposable graph of order p . Clearly, if $r \geq 2l$, then $p > 2l$. Thus assume that $l < r < 2l$. As we saw in the previous proof, if r can be written uniquely as $r = am + bn$ for some non-negative integers a and b , then l must divide r . But since $l < r < 2l$, it follows that r can be written in at least two distinct ways as a linear combination of m and n over the positive integers. A straightforward arithmetic argument reveals that r can be written in precisely two ways. Namely, $r = am + \left(\frac{r-am}{n}\right)n$ and $r = \left(a + \frac{l}{m}\right)m + \left(\frac{r-am-l}{n}\right)n$ or alternatively, $r = \left(\frac{r-bn}{n}\right)m + bn$ and $r = \left(\frac{r-bn-l}{n}\right)m + \left(b + \frac{l}{n}\right)n$ where $a = \frac{r-bn-l}{n}$, $b = \frac{r-am-l}{n}$ and $r = am + bn + l$. Note that since $r > l$, at least one of a or b is non-zero. For convenience, we will refer to a vertex of degree d in an isopart as a d -vertex. Let $A = \{u \in V(H) \mid u \text{ occurs as an } m\text{-vertex in a different isoparts, } K_{m,n}\}$ and $B = \{v \in V(H) \mid v \text{ occurs as an } m\text{-vertex in } a + \frac{l}{m} \text{ different isoparts, } K_{m,n}\}$. If $|A| = p_1$ and $|B| = p_2$, then clearly

$$p_1 + p_2 = p. \quad (1)$$

If we consider the m -vertices in the $\frac{rp}{2mn}$ copies of $K_{m,n}$, it follows that

$$ap_1 + \left(a + \frac{l}{m}\right)p_2 = \frac{rp}{2m} \quad (2)$$

since each isopart contains n m -vertices. Equations (1) and (2) give $p_2 = \left(\frac{r-2am}{l}\right)p$ and $p_1 = \left(\frac{2l+2am-r}{2l}\right)p$. Consequently, $p_1 = \left(\frac{2l+2am-r}{r-2am}\right)p_2$.

Consider now the maximum number of edges in $\langle A \rangle$. Since each edge in $\langle A \rangle$ is an edge of some $K_{m,n}$, it joins an m -vertex to an n -vertex. Since each vertex in $\langle A \rangle$ is an m -vertex of am edges, it follows that there are at most $p_1 am$ edges in $\langle A \rangle$. Hence it follows that there must be a vertex u in A adjacent to at most am vertices in A and thus adjacent to at least $r - am$ vertices in B .

Therefore $p_2 \geq r - am$ and $p_1 \geq \left(\frac{2l+2am-r}{r-2am}\right)(r - am)$, which implies that

$p_1 \geq 2l + 2am - r$ and consequently $p = p_1 + p_2 \geq 2l + am$. A symmetrical argument gives $p_1 \geq r - bn$, $p_2 \geq 2l + 2b - r$ and $p \geq 2l + bn$. It now follows that $p > 2l$.

Theorem 4. *If $m < n$ and $l = \text{lcm}(m, n)$, then $p_0(K_{m,n}) = 2l$.*

Furthermore, the only connected regular $K_{m,n}$ -decomposable graph of order $2l$ is $K_{l,l}$.

Proof. Let m and n be distinct positive integers and $l = \text{lcm}(m, n)$. Let H be a connected r -regular $K_{m,n}$ -decomposable graph of order p_0 . Clearly, from Lemma 3 it follows that H is an l -regular graph and from Theorems 1 and 2 that $p_0 \leq 2l$.

Since $m \neq n$, there are precisely two distinct ways that l can be written as a linear combination of m and n , namely $l = \left(\frac{l}{n}\right)n = \left(\frac{l}{m}\right)m$. Let A be the subset of $V(H)$ whose vertices are m -vertices in some isopart and B be the set of vertices that are n -vertices in some $K_{m,n}$. Clearly, $V(H) = A \cup B$ and it follows that both sets, A and B , are independent. Since each vertex of A and B has degree l it must be the case that $|A| \geq l$ and $|B| \geq l$.

Consequently, it follows that $p_0 \geq 2l$ and thus $p_0 = 2l$. Therefore $|A| = l$, $|B| = l$, each vertex of A is adjacent to every vertex of B , and $H \cong K_{l,l}$.

Theorem 5. *If $m < n$ and $l = \text{lcm}(m, n)$, then $f_0(K_{m,n}) = \frac{l^2}{mn}$,*

Proof. Let m and n be distinct positive integers and $l = \text{lcm}(m, n)$. Let H be a connected r -regular $K_{m,n}$ -decomposable graph of order p containing precisely f_0 copies of $K_{m,n}$. It follows that

$$f_0 = \frac{rp}{2mn} \geq \frac{r_0 p_0}{2mn} = \frac{l^2}{mn},$$

and the proof is complete.

We get as a corollary of these results, Theorem A.

Corollary 6. *If $n \geq 2$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} r_0(K_{1,n}) &= n, \\ p_0(K_{1,n}) &= 2n \quad \text{and} \\ f_0(K_{1,n}) &= n. \end{aligned}$$

The only case that remains for complete bipartite graphs is when the partite sets are of the same order. For convenience we denote by $K_{n[m]}$ the complete n -partite graph, with partite sets each of order m . We prove the following:

Theorem 7. *If m, n are positive integers ($n \geq 2$), then*

$$\begin{aligned} r_0(K_{n[m]}) &= 2m(n-1), \\ p_0(K_{n[m]}) &= m \binom{n+1}{2} \quad \text{and} \end{aligned}$$

$$f_0(K_{n[m]}) = n + 1.$$

Proof. First, we show that there exists a regular graph G which can be decomposed into $K_{n[m]}$'s, which gives the desired upper bounds. Let F_i be a family of m distinct vertices for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \binom{n+1}{2}$. Let

$$V(G) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\binom{n+1}{2}} F_i. \quad \text{Clearly, } |V(G)| = m \binom{n+1}{2}.$$

Consider the following ordered classes of families; $C_1 = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n\}$, $C_{i+1} = \{\text{the } i^{\text{th}} \text{ family of } C_m; m = 1, 2, \dots, i\} \cup \{\text{the next } n - i \text{ families}\}$, (Ex., $C_2 = \{F_1, F_{n+1}, F_{n+2}, \dots, F_{2n-1}\}$ and $C_3 = \{F_2, F_{n+1}, F_{2n}, F_{2n+1}, \dots, F_{3n-3}\}$), $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. It follows that there are $n + 1$ classes of families with the properties that every family is in exactly two classes and any pair of families is in at most one common class. Let $xy \in E(G)$ iff $x \in F_i$ and $y \in F_j$ with $i \neq j$ and both F_i and $F_j \in C_k$ for some k . Hence $\langle C_j \rangle \cong K_{n[m]}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$, with $E(\langle C_i \rangle) \cap E(\langle C_j \rangle) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Also by the above observation, each family, and thus each vertex, is in 2 classes. So each vertex is in two $K_{n[m]}$'s and has degree $2m(n - 1)$. Therefore, $r_0(K_{n[m]}) \leq 2m(n - 1)$, $p_0(K_{n[m]}) \leq m \binom{n+1}{2}$, and $f_0(K_{n[m]}) \leq n + 1$.

Since any $K_{n[m]}$ -decomposable connected graph G must contain at least $2K_{n[m]}$'s, it follows that $r_0(K_{n[m]}) \geq 2m(n - 1)$ and consequently $r_0(K_{n[m]}) = 2m(n - 1)$.

Finally, suppose G is an r -regular $K_{n[m]}$ -decomposable graph. Let C_1 be one copy of $K_{n[m]}$ with partite sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n . It follows that x_1 in A_1 must be contained in a second copy of $K_{n[m]}$, C_2 . Also, C_2 can contain at most m vertices of C_1 , which implies that G contains at least $(n - 1)m$ additional vertices. For x_2 in $C_1 - C_2$, x_2 must be in a third copy of $K_{n[m]}$, C_3 . Again C_3 can contain at most m vertices of C_1 and m vertices of C_2 , which implies G contains at least $(n - 2)m$ additional vertices. By continuing this argument, we conclude that

$$|V(G)| \geq nm + (n - 1)m + (n - 2)m + \dots + 1m = \binom{n+1}{2} m$$

and that G contains at least $n + 1$ copies of $K_{n[m]}$. Therefore the result follows. For completeness we state the following:

Theorem 8. Let m, n be positive integer with $l = \text{lcm}(m, n)$. If $n < n$, then $r_0(K_{m,n}) = l$, $p_0(K_{m,n}) = 2l$ and $f_0(K_{m,n}) = \frac{l^2}{mn}$. If $m = n$, then $r_0(K_{m,m}) = 2m$, $p_0(K_{m,m}) = 3m$ and $f_0(K_{m,m}) = 3$.

Finally, we determine the isofactor parameters for another class of bipartite graphs, the n -dimensional cubes, Q_n . Since Q_1 and Q_2 are isomorphic to $K_{1,1}$ and $K_{2,2}$, respectively, the isofactor parameters for these graphs are easily calculated using Theorem 8. Thus we only need to find $r_0(Q_n)$, $p_0(Q_n)$ and $f_0(Q_n)$ when $n \geq 3$.

Theorem 9. *If $n \geq 3$, then $r_0(Q_n) = 2n$, $p_0(Q_n) = 2^n$ and $f_0(Q_n) = 2$.*

Proof. The n -cube, Q_n is an n -regular bipartite graph of order 2^n with 2^{n-1} vertices in each of its partite sets. Let U and V denote these partite sets. Since Q_n is a proper subgraph of $K_{2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}}$ and $n \geq 3$, there is a perfect matching from U to V in the complement \bar{Q}_n . Thus, since U and V induce complete graphs in \bar{Q}_n , it follows that $K_{2^{n-1}} \times Q_1$ is a subgraph of \bar{Q}_n . Hence $Q_n \cong Q_{n-1} \times Q_1 \subseteq \bar{Q}_n$. Consequently, by identifying the two edge disjoint copies of Q_n , it follows that $r_0(Q_n) \leq 2n$, $p_0(Q_n) \leq 2^n$ and $f_0(Q_n) \leq 2$. However, equality follows since there are at least 2 copies of Q_n , at least 2^n vertices, and, clearly, regularity at least $2n$ in any connected regular Q_n -decomposable graph.

Conclusion. There appear to be a number of feasible questions that these parameters present. Two key problems would be to determine r_0 , p_0 and f_0 for any bipartite graph and subsequently for any n -partite graph.

The authors are (currently) preparing an article on the dependence and independence of the parameters on one another.

REFERENCES

- [1] BEHZAD, M.—CHARTRAND, G.—LESNIAK-FOSTER, L.: *Graphs & Digraphs*. Wadsworth International, Belmont, CA, 1979.
- [2] FINK, J. F.: Every graph is an induced isopart of a connected regular graph. Submitted for publication.
- [3] FINK, J. F.: On smallest regular graphs with a given isopart. Submitted for publication.
- [4] FINK, J. F.—RUIZ, S.: Every graph is an induced isopart of a circulant. Submitted for publication.
- [5] KÖNIG, D.: Über Graphen und ihre Anwendung auf Determinantentheorie and Mengenlehre. *Math. Ann.* 77, 1916, 453—465.
- [6] PETERSEN, J.: Die Theorie der regulären Graphen. *Acta Math.* 15, 1891, 193—220.
- [7] REISS, M.: Über eine Steinersche kombinatorische Aufgabe. *J. reine u. ang. Mathematik* 56, 1859, 326—344.
- [8] WILSON, R. M.: Decompositions of complete graphs into subgraphs isomorphic to a given graph. *Proceedings of the Fifth British Combinatorial Conference (Univ. Aberdeen, Aberdeen, 1975)* 647—659. *Congressus Numerantium*, No. XV, Utilitas Math., Winnipeg, Man. (1976).

Received September 10, 1984

*Department of Mathematics
University of Louisville
Louisville, KY 40292*

О ПАРАМЕТРАХ ИЗОЧАСТЕЙ ПОЛНЫХ ДВУДОЛЬНЫХ ГРАФОВ И n -КУБОВ

Richard M. Davitt—John Frederick Fink—Michael S. Jacobson

Резюме

Пусть H -граф с $H = H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n$. Если $G = H_i$ для всякого $i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, тогда H разлагается на G , а G является изочастью H .

Доказано, что каждый не пустой граф G является изочастью бесконечного множества связных обычных графов H .

Числа $p_0(G)$ и $r_0(G)$ — это минимальные порядок и степень регулярности (соответственно) среди всех связных обычных разлагаемых на G графов.

Параметр $f_0(G)$ — наименьшее число t ($t = 2$), для которого существует связный обычный граф H , разлагаемый на t изоморфных копий G .

Цель этой работы определить параметры этих изочастей для всех полных двудольных графов и n -кубов.