

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum
Naturalium. Mathematica

Jan Kühn

Dually residuated ℓ -monoids having no non-trivial convex subalgebras

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 45 (2006), No. 1, 103--108

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133438>

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 2006

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>



Dually Residuated ℓ -monoids Having No Non-trivial Convex Subalgebras*

JAN KÜHR

*Department of Algebra and Geometry, Faculty of Science,
 Palacký University, Tomkova 40, 779 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic
 e-mail: kuhr@inf.upol.cz*

(Received February 27, 2006)

Abstract

In this note we describe the structure of dually residuated ℓ -monoids (*DR ℓ -monoids*) that have no non-trivial convex subalgebras.

Key words: *DR ℓ -monoid*; *GPMV-algebra*; Archimedean property.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06F05, 03G25

A *dually residuated ℓ -monoid*, a *DR ℓ -monoid* for short, is an algebra

$$(A, \oplus, 0, \vee, \wedge, \oslash, \odot)$$

of type $\langle 2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 2 \rangle$ such that

- (a) $(A, \oplus, 0, \vee, \wedge)$ is a lattice-ordered monoid, i.e., $(A, \oplus, 0)$ is a monoid, (A, \vee, \wedge) is a lattice and \oplus distributes over both \vee and \wedge ,
- (b) for any $a, b \in A$, $a \oslash b$ is the least element $x \in A$ with $x \oplus b \geq a$, and $a \odot b$ is the least element $y \in A$ with $b \oplus y \geq a$, and
- (c) A satisfies the identities

$$\begin{aligned} ((x \oslash y) \vee 0) \oplus y &\leq x \vee y, & y \oplus ((x \odot y) \vee 0) &\leq x \vee y, \\ x \oslash x &\geq 0, & x \odot x &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Supported by the Research Project MSM 6198959214.

If the operation \oplus is commutative then A is called a *commutative DRℓ-monoid*. In such a case, the operations \otimes and \odot coincide, and also conversely, A is commutative whenever $\otimes = \odot$.

Commutative DRℓ-monoids were originally introduced by K. L. N. Swamy [10] in order to capture the common features of Abelian ℓ-groups and Boolean algebras. The above definition, omitting the commutativity of \oplus , is due to T. Kovář [6] and allows us to consider all ℓ-groups in the setting of DRℓ-monoids. Indeed, given an arbitrary ℓ-group $(G, +, -, 0, \vee, \wedge)$, then $(G, +, 0, \vee, \wedge, \otimes, \odot)$ is a DRℓ-monoid in which $x \otimes y := x - y$ and $x \odot y := -y + x$.

The reader familiar with residuated lattices easily recognizes that the name “dually residuated ℓ-monoid” says less than the definition since DRℓ-monoids are equivalent to a certain proper subclass of residuated lattices. To be more precise, by a *residuated lattice* we mean an algebra $(L, \cdot, e, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \rightsquigarrow)$ of type $\langle 2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 2 \rangle$, where (L, \cdot, e) is a monoid, (L, \vee, \wedge) is a lattice and the equivalences

$$a \cdot b \leq c \quad \text{iff} \quad a \leq b \rightarrow c \quad \text{iff} \quad b \leq a \rightsquigarrow c \quad (1)$$

hold for all $a, b, c \in L$. Though it need not be evident at once, it not hard to show that our DRℓ-monoids are termwise equivalent to those residuated lattices satisfying the identities

$$x \wedge y = ((x \rightarrow y) \wedge e) \cdot x = x \cdot ((x \rightsquigarrow y) \wedge e). \quad (2)$$

Residuated lattices that fulfil (2) were considered e.g. in [2], [5] under the name *GBL-algebras*.

Now, we shortly review some relevant concepts from [7]. Given a DRℓ-monoid A , we define the *absolute value* of $x \in A$ by

$$|x| := x \vee (0 \otimes x) = x \vee (0 \odot x).$$

A non-empty subset I of A is called an *ideal* if

$$(I1) \quad a \oplus b \in I \text{ for all } a, b \in I,$$

$$(I2) \quad a \in I \text{ and } |b| \leq |a| \text{ imply } b \in I.$$

By a *non-trivial* ideal of we mean an ideal I with $\{0\} \subset I \subset A$.

The set $\mathcal{I}(A)$ of all ideals of A partially ordered by set-inclusion forms an algebraic distributive lattice in which infima agree with set-theoretical intersections. Hence for every $X \subseteq A$ there exists the smallest ideal $I(X)$ containing X ; for $\emptyset \neq X$ we have

$$I(X) = \{a \in A : |a| \leq |x_1| \oplus \cdots \oplus |x_n| \text{ for some } x_1, \dots, x_n \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

It can be easily proved that $I \subseteq A$ is an ideal if and only if I is a convex subalgebra of A .

The congruence kernels are characterized as the so-called *normal ideals*: An ideal I of A is said to be *normal* if

$$a \otimes b \in I \quad \text{iff} \quad a \odot b \in I$$

for every $a, b \in A$. If I is a normal ideal then the relation Θ_I defined via

$$(a, b) \in \Theta_I \quad \text{iff} \quad (a \otimes b) \vee (b \otimes a) \in I$$

is a congruence with $[0]_{\Theta_I} = I$, and conversely, for any congruence Θ on A , $I = [0]_{\Theta}$ is a normal ideal such that $\Theta_I = \Theta$. Therefore, the congruence lattice of A is isomorphic to the lattice of all normal ideals of A . For the sake of brevity, we write A/I for the quotient algebra A/Θ , where $I = [0]_{\Theta}$, and the elements of A/I are denoted by a/I rather than $[a]_{\Theta}$.

There are two basic kinds of *DRℓ*-monoids from which every *DRℓ*-monoid can be built using direct products: ℓ -groups and lower bounded *DRℓ*-monoids, i.e., *DRℓ*-monoids having 0 as a least element.

Let A be an arbitrary *DRℓ*-monoid. Put

$$G_A := \{a \in A : a \oplus (0 \otimes a) = 0 = (0 \otimes a) \oplus a\}$$

and

$$S_A := \{a \in A : 0 \otimes a = 0\} = \{a \in A : 0 \odot a = 0\}.$$

Both G_A and S_A are ideals of A ; obviously, the first one is an ℓ -group and the second one is a lower bounded *DRℓ*-monoid. T. Kovář proved in [6] that A is the direct sum of G_A and S_A . The same result for *GBL*-algebras was independently obtained by N. Galatos and C. Tsinakis (see [2]).

Assume that a *DRℓ*-monoid A has no non-trivial ideals. Since both G_A and S_A are (normal) ideals of A , it is clear that either $A = G_A$ or $A = S_A$. In the former case, A is an ℓ -group having no non-trivial convex ℓ -subgroups, and hence it is an Archimedean totally ordered group which is isomorphic to a subgroup of the additive group of reals equipped with the usual order. Therefore, in the sequel we concentrate on lower bounded *DRℓ*-monoids which have no non-trivial ideals.

For every $x, y \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we inductively define

$$0 \odot x := 0, \quad (n + 1) \odot x := n \odot x \oplus x,$$

and

$$x \odot^0 y := x, \quad x \odot^{n+1} y := (x \odot^n y) \otimes y;$$

$x \odot^n y$ is defined analogously.

Lemma 1 *Let A be a lower bounded *DRℓ*-monoid. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) A has no non-trivial ideals;
- (b) for every $a, b \in A$, $a \neq 0$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b \leq n \odot a$;
- (c) for every $a, b \in A$, $a \neq 0$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b \odot^n a = 0$;
- (d) for every $a, b \in A$, $a \neq 0$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b \odot^n a = 0$.

Proof Obviously, (b)–(d) are equivalent. Moreover, since

$$I(a) := I(\{a\}) = \{b \in A : b \leq n \odot a \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

it follows that each of these conditions is equivalent to (a). \square

Lemma 2 *Let A be a lower bounded DRl-monoid and H be its normal ideal. Then the ideal lattice $\mathcal{I}(A/H)$ of the quotient DRl-monoid A/H is isomorphic to the interval $[H, A]$ of the lattice $\mathcal{I}(A)$.*

Proof If $I \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ and $H \subseteq I$ then

$$\phi(I) := \{x/H : x \in I\}$$

is an ideal of A/H . Conversely, if $J \in \mathcal{I}(A/H)$ then

$$\psi(J) := \{x \in A : x/H \in J\}$$

is an ideal of A such that $H \subseteq \psi(J)$. It is easily seen that the mappings ϕ and ψ are mutually inverse order-preserving bijections between $\mathcal{I}(A/H)$ and $[H, A]$ ordered by set-theoretical inclusion. \square

An ideal $I \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ is called *maximal* if $I \subset A$ and there is no ideal $J \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ such that $I \subset J \subset A$. In view of Lemma 2 we have:

Proposition 3 *Let A be a lower bounded DRl-monoid and H be a normal ideal with $H \subset A$. Then H is maximal if and only if the quotient DRl-monoid A/H has no non-trivial ideals.*

Lemma 4 *Let A be a lower bounded DRl-monoid that has no non-trivial ideals. Then for every $a, b \in A$, $a \neq 0$,*

$$a \odot b = a \implies b = 0, \quad a \odot b = a \implies b = 0.$$

Proof We show that the set

$$J_a := \{x \in A : a \odot x = a\}$$

is an ideal of A . Clearly, $0 \in J_a$. If $x, y \in J_a$ then $a \odot (x \oplus y) = (a \odot y) \odot x = a \odot x = a$, so that $x \oplus y \in J_a$. Finally, if $x \in J_a$ and $y \leq x$ then $a = a \odot x \leq a \odot y \leq a$, and hence $a = a \odot y$.

However, since $a \notin J_a$ and A has no non-trivial ideals, it follows that $J_a = \{0\}$, and consequently, $a \odot b = a$ entails $b = 0$ as claimed. \square

Lemma 5 *Let A be a lower bounded DRl-monoid having no non-trivial ideals. If $0 < x \leq y < a$ and $a \odot x = a \odot y$ or $a \odot x = a \odot y$, then $x = y$.*

Proof We have $y = x \vee y = (y \odot x) \oplus x$, so that $a \odot x = a \odot y = a \odot ((y \odot x) \oplus x) = (a \odot x) \odot (y \odot x)$. Since $a \odot x \neq 0$, we obtain $y \odot x = 0$ by Lemma 4, yielding $y \leq x$, so $x = y$. \square

Theorem 6 *Let A be a DR ℓ -monoid that has no non-trivial ideals. Then A satisfies the identities*

$$x \wedge y = x \otimes ((x \otimes y) \vee 0) = x \otimes ((x \otimes y) \vee 0). \tag{3}$$

Proof In the case when A is an ℓ -group the identities (3) evidently hold. Hence assume that A is a lower bounded DR ℓ -monoid. Note that $x \otimes ((x \otimes y) \vee 0) = x \otimes (x \otimes y)$ and $x \otimes ((x \otimes y) \vee 0) = x \otimes (x \otimes y)$. If $x \leq y$ then $x \otimes (x \otimes y) = x \otimes 0 = x = x \wedge y$ and also $x \otimes (x \otimes y) = x = x \wedge y$. Further, let $x \not\leq y$, i.e., $x \wedge y < x$. Since both $x \otimes (x \otimes y)$ and $x \otimes (x \otimes y)$ are common lower bounds of $\{x, y\}$, we may suppose that $0 < x \wedge y < x$. In this case we have $0 < x \otimes (x \otimes y) \leq x \wedge y < x$ because $x \otimes (x \otimes y) = 0$ would mean $x = x \otimes y$ yielding $y = 0$ which is impossible due to $0 < x \wedge y$. Finally, we have $x \otimes (x \otimes (x \otimes y)) = x \otimes y = x \otimes (x \wedge y)$ which entails $x \otimes (x \otimes y) = x \wedge y$ by Lemma 5. By replacing \otimes and \otimes we get $x \otimes (x \otimes y) = x \wedge y$. \square

Therefore, a DR ℓ -monoid without non-trivial ideals is either an ℓ -group or is lower bounded and verifies the identities

$$x \wedge y = x \otimes (x \otimes y) = x \otimes (x \otimes y). \tag{4}$$

Such DR ℓ -monoids were investigated in [8], [9] and called here *generalized pseudo MV-algebras* (*GPMV-algebras* for short). The name is motivated by the fact that bounded GPMV-algebras are termwise equivalent to pseudo MV-algebras. In the literature, there exist two classes of algebras that are equivalent to GPMV-algebras, namely, *integral GMV-algebras* and *Wajsberg pseudo-hoops* (see [2] and [3], respectively).

By [9], every GPMV-algebra A can be embedded into the positive cone $G(A)^+$ of an ℓ -group $G(A)$ such that, assuming $A \subseteq G(A)$, A is a lattice ideal of $G(A)^+$ which generates $G(A)^+$ as a semigroup, and the operations \otimes, \otimes on A are given as follows:

$$a \otimes b := (a - b) \vee 0, \quad a \otimes b := (-b + a) \vee 0.$$

Moreover, the ideal lattice $\mathcal{I}(A)$ of A and the lattice $\mathcal{C}(G(A))$ of all convex ℓ -subgroups of $G(A)$ are isomorphic under the mapping assigning to each $I \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ the convex ℓ -subgroup of $G(A)$ generated by I . In view of the well-known fact that an ℓ -group is totally ordered exactly if its lattice of all convex ℓ -subgroups is a chain, this means that A is totally ordered if and only if so is $G(A)$, and hence we gain:

Corollary 7 *Every DR ℓ -monoid which has no non-trivial ideals is totally ordered.*

In [9], the Archimedean property for GPMV-algebra is defined in the following way. Given a GPMV-algebra A , we introduce a partial addition $+$ by setting $a + b := a \oplus b$ iff $(a \oplus b) \otimes b = a$, or equivalently, $(a \oplus b) \otimes a = b$. Observe that if $A \subseteq G(A)$, then $+$ is the restriction of the group addition to those pairs of elements of A whose sum belongs to A .

This partial operation is associative in the sense that $a + b$ and $(a + b) + c$ exist iff $b + c$ and $a + (b + c)$ exist and $(a + b) + c = a + (b + c)$, and therefore, for any $a \in A$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we may define

$$0 \cdot a := 0, \quad (n + 1) \cdot a := n \cdot a + a.$$

Accordingly, we write $a \ll b$ whenever $n \cdot a$ exists and $n \cdot a \leq b$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, we say that a *GPMV*-algebra A is *Archimedean* if $a \ll b$ for all $a, b \in A \setminus \{0\}$.

As proved in [9], a *GPMV*-algebra A is Archimedean if and only if $G(A)$ is an Archimedean ℓ -group, hence all Archimedean *GPMV*-algebras are commutative. Therefore we conclude:

Theorem 8 *Let A be a $DR\ell$ -monoid having no non-trivial ideals. Then A is either an Archimedean totally ordered group or A is Archimedean totally ordered *GPMV*-algebra.*

In fact, if A is a totally ordered Archimedean *GPMV*-algebra then the ℓ -group $G(A)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the additive group \mathbb{R} of real numbers with the usual order, and consequently, we may always assume that A is a subset of \mathbb{R}^+ ; the operations \odot and \ominus agree and we have $a \odot b = a \ominus b = \max\{a - b, 0\}$.

Corollary 9 *Let A be a lower bounded $DR\ell$ -monoid. If H is a normal ideal of A which is simultaneously a maximal ideal, then A/H is a totally ordered Archimedean *GPMV*-algebra.*

References

- [1] Anderson, M., Feil, T.: *Lattice-Ordered Groups (An Introduction)*. D. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1988.
- [2] Galatos, N., Tsinakis, C.: *Generalized MV-algebras*. J. Algebra **283** (2005), 254–291.
- [3] Georgescu, G., Leuştean, L., Preoteasa, V.: *Pseudo-hoops*. J. Mult.-Val. Log. Soft Comput. **11** (2005), 153–184.
- [4] Glass, A. M. W.: *Partially Ordered Groups*. World Scientific, Singapore, 1999.
- [5] Jipsen, P., Tsinakis, C.: *A survey of residuated lattices*. In: *Ordered Algebraic Structures* (Martinez, J., ed.), Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2002, pp. 19–56.
- [6] Kovář, T.: *A General Theory of Dually Residuated Lattice Ordered Monoids*. Ph.D. thesis, Palacký University, Olomouc, 1996.
- [7] Kühr, J.: *Ideals of noncommutative $DR\ell$ -monoids*. Czechoslovak Math. J. **55** (2005), 97–111.
- [8] Kühr, J.: *On a generalization of pseudo MV-algebras*. J. Mult.-Val. Log. Soft Comput. (to appear).
- [9] Kühr, J.: *Generalizations of pseudo MV-algebras and generalized pseudo effect algebras*. Submitted.
- [10] Swamy, K. L. N.: *Dually residuated lattice ordered semigroups*. Math. Ann. **159** (1965), 105–114.