Tuo-Yeong Lee Multipliers for generalized Riemann integrals in the real line

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 131 (2006), No. 2, 161-166

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/134090

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2006

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

MULTIPLIERS FOR GENERALIZED RIEMANN INTEGRALS IN THE REAL LINE

TUO-YEONG LEE, Singapore

(Received September 30, 2005)

Dedicated to Prof. J. Kurzweil on the occasion of his 80th birthday

Abstract. We use an elementary method to prove that each BV function is a multiplier for the C-integral.

Keywords: multiplier, C-integral, BV function

MSC 2000: 26A39

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that if f is Henstock-Kurzweil integrable on a compact interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and g is of bounded variation there, then fg is Henstock-Kurzweil integrable on [a, b] and the integration by parts formula holds; see, for example, [7, Theorem 12.21]. Here g is known as a multiplier for the Henstock-Kurzweil integral. In [2] Bongiorno used the above mentioned result to prove that each BV function is a multiplier for the C-integral. See [2, Theorem 4.2] for details. In this paper, we will use elementary properties of the C-integral to obtain a new proof of [2, Theorem 4.2]. As a result, we also obtain an alternative proof of the well-known results that each BV function is a multiplier for both the McShane and Henstock-Kurzweil integrals.

2. Preliminaries

The set of all real numbers is denoted by \mathbb{R} . A set $Z \subset \mathbb{R}$ is said to be μ_1 -negligible whenever $\mu_1(Z) = 0$, where μ_1 is the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure. Given two subsets X, Y of \mathbb{R} , we say that X and Y are non-overlapping if their intersection is μ_1 -negligible. A function is always real-valued. When no confusion is possible we do not distinguish between a function defined on a set Z and its restriction to a set $W \subset Z$.

An interval in \mathbb{R} is always a compact non-degenerate interval in \mathbb{R} . The family of all non-degenerate subintervals of [a, b], where $-\infty < a < b < \infty$, is denoted by \mathcal{I}_1 . For any given $I \in \mathcal{I}_1$, we write $\mu_1(I)$ as |I|.

A partition P is a finite collection $\{(I_1, \xi_1), \ldots, (I_p, \xi_p)\}$, where I_1, \ldots, I_p are pairwise non-overlapping intervals in \mathcal{I}_1 , and $\xi_i \in [a, b]$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, p$. Given $Z \subseteq [a, b]$, a positive function δ on Z is called a gauge on Z. A partition $\{(I_1, \xi_1), \dots, (I_p, \xi_p)\}$ is said to be:

- (i) a partition of Z if $\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} I_i = Z;$ (ii) a subpartition of Z if $\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} I_i \subseteq Z;$
- (iii) δ -fine if $I_i \subset (\xi_i \delta(\xi_i), \xi_i + \delta(\xi_i))$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p$;
- (iv) McShane if for each $i = 1, ..., p, \xi_i$ need not be in I_i .

Lemma 2.1 [8, Lemma 6.2.6]. Given a gauge δ on [a, b], δ -fine partitions of [a, b]exist.

Definition 2.2 ([3]). A function $f: [a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *C*-integrable on [a,b] if there exists $A \in \mathbb{R}$ with the following property: for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ on [a, b] such that

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{p} f(\xi_i) |I_i| - A\right| < \varepsilon$$

for each δ -fine McShane partition $\{(I_1, \xi_1), \ldots, (I_p, \xi_p)\}$ of the interval [a, b] such that $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \text{dist}(\xi_i, I_i) < 1/\varepsilon. \text{ Here } A \text{ is called the } C\text{-integral of } f \text{ over } [a, b], \text{ and we write } A$ as $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$ or $\int_{[a,b]} f(x) dx$.

The C-integral is the minimal integral which includes Lebesgue integrable functions and derivatives. See [3, Main Theorem] for details. The following properties of the C-integral can be found in [1], [2], [3], [6].

Remark 2.3. (a) The C-integral is linear; the class of C-integrable functions on [a, b] is a linear space.

(b) C-integrability on an interval I implies C-integrability on each subinterval of I.

Lemma 2.4 (Saks-Henstock). Let f be C-integrable on [a, b]. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ on [a, b] such that

(1)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \left| f(\xi_i) |I_i| - \int_{I_i} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right| < \varepsilon$$

for each δ -fine McShane subpartition $\{(I_1, \xi_1), \dots, (I_p, \xi_p)\}$ of [a, b] such that $\sum_{i=1}^p \operatorname{dist}(\xi_i, I_i) < 1/\varepsilon$.

3. Multipliers for the C-integral

Let χ_X denote the characteristic function of a set X. The following lemma is an easy consequence of [9, 4.32 Theorem].

Lemma 3.1. If $a \leq u < v \leq b$, $g \in BV[a, b]$ and g(a) = 0, then

$$\int_a^b \chi_{[u,v]}(x)g(x) \,\mathrm{d}x = \int_a^b \left(\int_x^b \chi_{[u,v]}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t\right) \mathrm{d}g(x).$$

As an easy application of Lemma 3.1, we have the following crucial theorem for this paper.

Theorem 3.2. Let f be C-integrable on [a, b]. If $g \in BV[a, b]$ and g(a) = 0, then the inequality

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left\{ f(\xi_{i})g(\xi_{i})(v_{i}-u_{i}) - \int_{a}^{b} \left(\int_{x}^{b} f(t)\chi_{[u_{i},v_{i}]}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \right) \,\mathrm{d}g(x) \right\} \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{p} |f(\xi_{i})| \int_{u_{i}}^{v_{i}} |g(\xi_{i}) - g(t)| \,\mathrm{d}t$$

$$+ \sup_{x \in [a,b]} \left| \int_{x}^{b} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \{f(\xi_{i})\chi_{[u_{i},v_{i}]}(t) - f(t)\chi_{[u_{i},v_{i}]}(t) \} \,\mathrm{d}t \right| \operatorname{Var}(g, [a, b])$$

holds for each subpartition $\{([u_1, v_1], \xi_1), \ldots, ([u_p, v_p], \xi_p)\}$ of [a, b].

Proof. Let $\{([u_1, v_1], \xi_1), \ldots, ([u_p, v_p], \xi_p)\}$ be a subpartition of [a, b]. In view of Lemma 3.1, we see that

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{p} \left\{ f(\xi_i)g(\xi_i)(v_i - u_i) - \int_a^b \left(\int_x^b f(t)\chi_{[u_i,v_i]}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t\right) \mathrm{d}g(x) \right\} \right|$$

163

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{p} |f(\xi_{i})| \left| g(\xi_{i})(v_{i} - u_{i}) - \int_{u_{i}}^{v_{i}} g(t) dt \right|$$

$$+ \left| \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left\{ f(\xi_{i}) \int_{u_{i}}^{v_{i}} g(t) dt - \int_{a}^{b} \left(\int_{x}^{b} f(t)\chi_{[u_{i},v_{i}]}(t) dt \right) dg(x) \right\} \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{p} |f(\xi_{i})| \left| g(\xi_{i})(v_{i} - u_{i}) - \int_{u_{i}}^{v_{i}} g(t) dt \right|$$

$$+ \left| \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left\{ f(\xi_{i}) \int_{a}^{b} \left(\int_{x}^{b} \chi_{[u_{i},v_{i}]}(t) dt \right) dg(x) - \int_{a}^{b} \left(\int_{x}^{b} f(t)\chi_{[u_{i},v_{i}]}(t) dt \right) dg(x) \right\} \right|.$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} |f(\xi_i)| \left| g(\xi_i)(v_i - u_i) - \int_{u_i}^{v_i} g(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{p} |f(\xi_i)| \int_{u_i}^{v_i} |g(\xi_i) - g(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t$$

and

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} \left(\int_{x}^{b} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \{f(\xi_{i})\chi_{[u_{i},v_{i}]}(t) - f(t)\chi_{[u_{i},v_{i}]}(t)\} dt \right) dg(x) \right|$$

$$\leq \sup_{x \in [a,b]} \left| \int_{x}^{b} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \{f(\xi_{i})\chi_{[u_{i},v_{i}]}(t) - f(t)\chi_{[u_{i},v_{i}]}(t)\} dt \right| \operatorname{Var}(g, [a,b]),$$

the theorem is proved.

We can now give an elementary proof of the following result.

Theorem 3.3 [2, Theorem 4.2]. Each BV function is a multiplier for the *C*-integral.

Proof. We may assume that g(a) = 0 and $\operatorname{Var}(g, [a, b]) < 1$. According to the Saks-Henstock Lemma for the *C*-integral, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ_1 on [a, b] such that

(2)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \left| f(\zeta_i)(t_i - s_i) - \int_{s_i}^{t_i} f(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

for each δ_1 -fine McShane subpartition $\{([s_1, t_1], \zeta_1), \dots, ([s_q, t_q], \zeta_q)\}$ of [a, b] such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \operatorname{dist}(\zeta_i, [s_i, t_i]) < \frac{3}{\varepsilon}.$$

164

Observe that if s < r < t, then (r,t] = (s,t] - (s,r]. Then it follows from our choice of δ_1 that for each $x \in [a,b]$, the inequality

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{q} \left\{ f(\zeta_{i}) \mu_{1}([x,b] \cap [s_{i},t_{i}]) - \int_{a}^{b} f(t) \chi_{[x,b] \cap [s_{i},t_{i}]}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right\} \right| < \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}$$

holds for each δ_1 -fine McShane subpartition $\{([s_1, t_1], \zeta_1), \dots, ([s_q, t_q], \zeta_q)\}$ of [a, b] such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \operatorname{dist}(\zeta_i, [s_i, t_i]) < \frac{3}{\varepsilon}.$$

As f is real-valued and g is of bounded variation on [a, b], it is not difficult to select a gauge δ_2 on [a, b] such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} |f(z_j)| \int_{\alpha_i}^{\beta_i} |g(z_j) - g(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

for each δ_2 -fine McShane subpartition $\{([\alpha_1, \beta_1], z_1), \dots, ([\alpha_r, \beta_r], z_r)\}$ of [a, b].

Define a gauge δ on [a, b] by $\delta(x) = \min\{\delta_1(x), \delta_2(x)\}$. For each δ -fine McShane partition $\{([u_1, v_1], \xi_1), \dots, ([u_p, v_p], \xi_p)\}$ of [a, b] satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \operatorname{dist}(\xi_i, [u_i, v_i]) < \frac{1}{\varepsilon},$$

we infer from Theorem 3.2 and the above estimates that

$$\begin{split} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{p} f(\xi_{i})g(\xi_{i})(v_{i}-u_{i}) - \int_{a}^{b} \left(\int_{x}^{b} f(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \right) \mathrm{d}g(x) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left\{ f(\xi_{i})g(\xi_{i})(v_{i}-u_{i}) - \int_{a}^{b} \left(\int_{x}^{b} f(t)\chi_{[u_{i},v_{i}]}(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \right) \mathrm{d}g(x) \right\} \right| \\ &\leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left| f(\xi_{i}) \right| \int_{u_{i}}^{v_{i}} \left| g(\xi_{i}) - g(t) \right| \,\mathrm{d}t \\ &+ \sup_{x \in [a,b]} \left| \int_{x}^{b} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left\{ f(\xi_{i})\chi_{[u_{i},v_{i}]}(t) - f(t)\chi_{[u_{i},v_{i}]}(t) \right\} \mathrm{d}t \right| \operatorname{Var}(g, [a, b]) < \varepsilon, \end{split}$$

thereby completing the proof of the theorem.

By modifying the proof of the above theorem, we obtain the following well-known theorem.

Theorem 3.4. Each BV function is a multiplier for each of the generalized Riemann integrals:

- (i) the McShane integral;
- (ii) the classical Henstock-Kurzweil integral;
- (iii) the \widetilde{C} -integral in [5];
- (iv) the improper Lebesgue integral in [4].

References

- B. Bongiorno: A new integral for the problem of primitives. Matematiche (Catania) 51 (1997), 299–313. (In Italian.)
 Zbl 0929.26007
- B. Bongiorno: On the minimal solution of the problem of primitives. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 251 (2000), 479–487.
 Zbl 0991.26004
- [3] B. Bongiorno, L. Di Piazza, D. Preiss: A constructive minimal integral which includes Lebesgue integrable functions and derivatives. J. London Math. Soc. 62 (2000), 117–126.

 [4] D. Bongiorno: Riemann-type definition of the improper integrals. Czechoslovak Math. J. 54 (2004), 717–725.

- [5] D. Bongiorno: On the problem of nearly derivatives. Sci. Math. Jpn. 61 (2005), 299–311.
- [6] L. Di Piazza: A Riemann-type minimal integral for the classical problem of primitives. Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste 34 (2003), 143–153.
- [7] R. A. Gordon: The Integrals of Lebesgue, Denjoy, Perron, and Henstock. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, AMS, 1994.
 Zbl 0807.26004
- [8] Peng Yee Lee, R. Výborný: The integral, An Easy Approach after Kurzweil and Henstock. Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series 14, Cambridge University Press, 2000. Zbl 0941.26003
- [9] Š. Schwabik, M. Tvrdý, O. Vejvoda: Differential and Integral Equations. Boundary Value Problems and Adjoints. D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht-Boston, Mass.-London, 1979.

Author's address: Tuo-Yeong Lee, Mathematics and Mathematics Education, National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, 1 Nanyang Walk Singapore 637616, Republic of Singapore, e-mail: tylee@nie.edu.sg.