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## 1. Introduction

We study here some noncooperative elliptic systems defined on a connected and unbounded open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geqslant 3)$ of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+q_{1} u=a \varrho_{1} u+b \varrho_{2} v+f \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{S}\\
-\Delta v+q_{2} v=c \varrho_{3} u+d \varrho_{4} v+g \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=v=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \quad u, v \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}, \varrho_{3}, \varrho_{4}$ (sometimes referred to as weight functions), $q_{1}, q_{2}$, are positive functions; $f$ and $g$ are measurable functions; $a, b, c$ and $d$ are real numbers. $u$ and $v$ are unknown real-valued functions defined in $\Omega$ and belonging to appropriate function spaces. The system ( S ) is noncooperative since $b$ and $c$ are not necessarily positive. Under appropriate assumptions on the coefficients, we show the existence of non-trivial solutions.

[^0]Generally, in order to study a nonlinear problem, we consider the linear approximation, which is easy enough to resolve of course. Here we have explored the inverse process. In other words, we show that the linear system (S) can be taken as the limit of a sequence of nonlinear systems.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we establish a Maximum Principle result in the scalar case. We choose decreasing weight functions which lead to a gain of compactness, (see [7] and [8]). In Section 2, we obtain an existence and uniqueness theorem for system (S). In order to prove it, we apply a nonlinear method introduced in [3] and [4]. The main tool used here is Schauder's fixed point theorem. The Maximum Principle guarantees the invariance of subsets under operators. So, we can prove the existence of solution for nonlinear systems. We observe that there exists a vast literature on the use of nonlinear methods to the study of noncooperative elliptic systems. We point out that an interesting version of the Maximum Principle for noncooperative systems was given in [13]. We refer the reader to the works in [5], [6] and references therein.

## 2. Notation

We denote
(1) $u^{ \pm}=\max ( \pm u, 0)$, so $u=u^{+}-u^{-}$.
(2) $\operatorname{mes}(\cdot)$ is the Lebesgue $N$-dimensional measure.
(3) For $\varepsilon \in] 0,1\left[\right.$ fixed: $B_{\varepsilon}=\Omega \cap B(0,1 / \varepsilon)=\{x \in \Omega /|x|<1 / \varepsilon\}$.
$1_{B_{\varepsilon}}$ is the characteristic function of $B_{\varepsilon}$.
(4) $p_{\alpha}(x)=\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{-\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*} ; \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ is the set of positive real numbers.
(5) Let $D(\Omega)$ be the set of all infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in $\Omega$. We denote by $D^{\prime}(\Omega)$ the dual space of $D(\Omega)$. Let us define $V\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=$ $\left\{u \in D^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) / \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+p_{1}|u|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x<+\infty\right\}$.
The space $V$ is the closure of $D(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|u\|_{V}=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+p_{1}|u|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

equivalent (under some conditions) to the norms

$$
\|u\|_{i}=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\left(q_{i}+m \varrho_{j}\right)|u|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad\left(i=1,2 ; j=1,4 ; m \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}\right)
$$

$V\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a Hilbert space, $\forall N \geqslant 3$ (see [17], p. 230).
(6) $L_{p}^{2}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in D^{\prime}(\Omega) / p^{\frac{1}{2}} u \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right\}$ where $p(x)$ is a positive function defined on $\Omega$.
$H^{m}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in D^{\prime}(\Omega) / D^{\alpha} u \in L^{2}(\Omega), 0 \leqslant|\alpha| \leqslant m\right\}$.
(7) $\varrho=\max _{x \in \Omega}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}, \varrho_{3}, \varrho_{4}\right)$.

## 3. Hypotheses

We suppose that
(8) $\exists \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha>N / 2, \beta \geqslant 1 ; \exists k_{i}>0(i=1,2,3,4), \exists c_{i}>0(i=1,2)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
0 \leqslant \rho_{i}(x) \leqslant k_{i} p_{\alpha}(x), & i=1,2,3,4, \\
0 \leqslant q_{i}(x) \leqslant c_{i} p_{\beta}(x), \quad i=1,2 .
\end{array}
$$

(9) $\varrho_{2}(x) \leqslant \sqrt{\varrho_{1}(x) \varrho_{4}(x)}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \varrho_{3}(x) \leqslant \sqrt{\varrho_{1}(x) \varrho_{4}(x)} \quad \forall x \in \Omega$.
(10) $f, g \in L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in D^{\prime}(\Omega) / \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)|u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x<+\infty\right\}$.

## 4. Existence of solutions

### 4.1. Remarks on the scalar case

We consider the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+q_{1} u=\lambda \varrho_{1} u \text { in } \Omega  \tag{11}\\
u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \quad u \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

By [7], [8], Problem (11) possesses an increasing infinite sequence of positive eigenvalues.

Moreover, the first eigenvalue $\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)$ is principal ([9]) and is characterized variationally by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}|u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+q_{1}|u|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x ; \quad \forall u \in V . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider now the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+q_{1} u=\lambda \varrho_{1} u+h \text { in } \Omega  \tag{13}\\
u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \quad u \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

We claim that the Maximum Principle holds for Problem (13) with condition $h \geqslant 0$, if all solutions of Problem (13) are nonnegative.

Theorem 1. Assume that (8) holds and $h \in L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)$. Problem (13) satisfies the Maximum Principle if and only if $\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)>\lambda$.

Proof. Let $\varphi$ be an eigenfunction associated with $\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)$, then $\varphi$ does not change sign. Multiplying the equation in (13) by $\varphi$ and integrating over $\Omega$, we obtain

$$
\left(\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)-\lambda\right) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1} u \varphi \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\Omega} h \varphi \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

If the Maximum Principle holds then $\int_{\Omega} h \varphi \mathrm{~d} x>0$ implies $\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1} u \varphi \mathrm{~d} x>0$.
Consequently $\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)>\lambda$.
Conversely, if $\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)>\lambda$ then $u \geqslant 0$. Indeed, observe that $u=u^{+}-u^{-}$. Now, multiplying the equation of Problem (13) by $u^{-}$and integrating over $\Omega$, we get

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u \nabla u^{-}+q_{1} u u^{-}\right) \mathrm{d} x=\lambda \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1} u u^{-} \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega} h u^{-} \mathrm{d} x .
$$

Since

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u^{+} \nabla u^{-} \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\Omega} q_{1} u^{+} u^{-} \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1} u^{+} u^{-} \mathrm{d} x=0
$$

we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|^{2}+q_{1}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x=\lambda \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Omega} h u^{-} \mathrm{d} x
$$

By (12), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant \lambda \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Omega} h u^{-} \mathrm{d} x \\
0<\left(\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)-\lambda\right) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant-\int_{\Omega} h u^{-} \mathrm{d} x \leqslant 0
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence $u \geqslant 0$.

Theorem 2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, Problem (13) with condition $h \geqslant 0$ has one nonnegative solution in $V$ if and only if $\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)>\lambda$.

Proof. In view of Theorem 1, the necessary condition holds.
Let us consider the sufficient condition. The differential form

$$
a(u, v)=\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v+q_{1} u v\right) \mathrm{d} x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1} u v \mathrm{~d} x
$$

is continuous and coercive on $V \times V$. Indeed, let $m \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ be such that $\lambda+m>0$. Taking into account the variational characterization of $\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(u, u) & =\int_{\Omega}\left[|\nabla u|^{2}+\left(q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right)|u|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x-(\lambda+m) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}|u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \geqslant\left(1-\frac{\lambda+m}{\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)+m}\right)\|u\|_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ is a norm equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{V}$ by virtue of (8) and Hardy's inequality

$$
\left(\forall N \geqslant 3, \exists \gamma>0: \forall u \in D\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \frac{|u|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant \gamma \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)
$$

Since $f \in L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)$, the application $v \mapsto \int_{\Omega} h v \mathrm{~d} x$ is a continuous linear form on $V$. Hence by the Lax-Milgram lemma, Problem (13) possesses one solution $u$. By Theorem $1, u$ is nonnegative.

### 4.2. Vectorial case

Let us consider the system ( S ) now. In what follows, we will find a sufficient condition for the system (S) to have a unique solution.

Theorem 3. Let (8), (9) and (10) be satisfied, as well as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)>a ; \quad\left(\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)-a\right)\left(\lambda\left(q_{2}, \varrho_{4}\right)-d\right)>|b c| \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the system (S) has one (weak) solution in $V \times V$.
First, we state the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Assume that the hypothesis of Theorem 3 holds. Let $(z, w) \in V \times V$ be a solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(-\Delta+q_{1}\right) z=a \varrho_{1} z+b \varrho_{2} w \text { in } \Omega  \tag{15}\\
\left(-\Delta+q_{2}\right) w=c \varrho_{3} z+d \varrho_{4} w \text { in } \Omega \\
z=w=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \quad z, w \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $(z, w)=(0,0)$.
Proof. We multiply the first equation of the system (15) by $z$ and integrate over $\Omega$ :

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla z|^{2}+q_{1}|z|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x=a \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+b \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{2} z w \mathrm{~d} x
$$

Using the variational characterization of $\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
0<\left(\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)-a\right) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant|b|\left|\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{2} z w \mathrm{~d} x\right|
$$

By hypothesis (9) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)-a\right) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant|b|\left(\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{4}|w|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, multiplying the second equation of system (15) by $w$ and integrating over $\Omega$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda\left(q_{2}, \varrho_{4}\right)-d\right) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{4}|w|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant|c|\left(\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{4}|w|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (16) with (17), we obtain

$$
0<\left[\left(\lambda\left(q_{1} ; \varrho_{1}\right)-a\right)\left(\lambda\left(q_{2}, \varrho_{4}\right)-d\right)-|b c|\right] \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \cdot \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{4}|w|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant 0
$$

which implies that $z=w=0$ a.e.
Proof of Theorem 3. Let $m \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ be such that $a+m>0$ and $d+m>0$.
We define an operator

$$
T: L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega) \times L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow V \times V, \quad(\xi, \eta) \mapsto T(\xi, \eta)=(\omega, v)
$$

such that $(\omega, v)$ verifies the system

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
&\left(-\Delta+q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right) \omega=(a+m) \frac{\varrho_{1} \xi}{1+\varepsilon|\xi|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+b \frac{\varrho_{2} \eta}{1+\varepsilon|\eta|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+f \text { in } \Omega  \tag{18}\\
&\left(-\Delta+q_{2}+m \varrho_{4}\right) v= c \frac{\varrho_{3} \xi}{1+\varepsilon|\xi|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+(d+m) \frac{\varrho_{4} \eta}{1+\varepsilon|\eta|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+g \text { in } \Omega \\
& \omega=v=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega, \quad \omega, v \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{align*}\right.
$$

(i) First we verify that $T$ is well defined.

Let $(\xi, \eta) \in L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega) \times L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega)$, we put

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta)=(a+m) \frac{\varrho_{1} \xi}{1+\varepsilon|\xi|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+b \frac{\varrho_{2} \eta}{1+\varepsilon|\eta|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}  \tag{19}\\
\Psi_{2}(\xi, \eta)=c \frac{\varrho_{3} \xi}{1+\varepsilon|\xi|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+(d+m) \frac{\varrho_{4} \eta}{1+\varepsilon|\eta|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varrho_{i} \xi}{1+\varepsilon|\xi|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & \leqslant \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\varrho_{i} \xi\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leqslant k_{i} \int_{\Omega} \varrho|\xi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x, \quad(i=1,3)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varrho_{i} \eta}{1+\varepsilon|\eta|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & \leqslant \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\varrho_{i} \eta\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leqslant k_{i} \int_{\Omega} \varrho|\eta|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x, \quad(i=2,4)
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta), \Psi_{2}(\xi, \eta) \in L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)$.
In view of Theorem 2, the system

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
&\left(-\Delta+q_{1}\right) \omega=-m \varrho_{1} \omega+\left(\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta)+f\right) \text { in } \Omega ; \omega=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega  \tag{20}\\
& \omega \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty \\
&\left(-\Delta+q_{2}\right) v=-m \varrho_{4} v+\left(\Psi_{2}(\xi, \eta)+g\right) \text { in } \Omega ; v=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
& v \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{align*}\right.
$$

has one solution $(\omega, v)$ in $V \times V$, since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta)+f\right) \in L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega), \quad\left(\Psi_{2}(\xi, \eta)+g\right) \in L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega) \\
\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)>-m \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda\left(q_{2}, \varrho_{4}\right)>-m
\end{gathered}
$$

(ii) For all $(\xi, \eta) \in L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega) \times L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\left|\frac{\varrho_{i} \xi}{1+\varepsilon|\xi|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}\right|=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{i}|\xi|}{1+\varepsilon|\xi|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}} \leqslant \frac{k_{i}}{\varepsilon} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}} \text { a.e. in } \Omega, \quad(i=1,3), \quad 1_{B_{\varepsilon}} \in L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega) .
$$

Similarly

$$
\left|\frac{\varrho_{i} \eta}{1+\varepsilon|\eta|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}\right| \leqslant \frac{k_{i}}{\varepsilon} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}} \text { a.e. in } \Omega, \quad(i=2,4) .
$$

Then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left|\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta)\right| \leqslant 2 \max (a+m,|b|) \frac{k}{\varepsilon} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}  \tag{21}\\
\left|\Psi_{2}(\xi, \eta)\right| \leqslant 2 \max (|c|, d+m) \frac{k}{\varepsilon} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $k=\max \left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right)$.

We put $h=2 \max (a+m,|b|,|c|, d+m) \frac{k}{\varepsilon} 1_{B_{c}}$.
We have

$$
h \in L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega) \text { and }\left|\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta)\right| \leqslant h ;\left|\Psi_{2}(\xi, \eta)\right| \leqslant h ; \forall(\xi, \eta) \in L_{e}^{2}(\Omega) \times L_{e}^{2}(\Omega) .
$$

According to Theorem 2, the problem

$$
P_{1}:=\left(-\Delta+q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right) u=h+f \text { in } \Omega ; u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega ; u \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
$$

(or with $P_{1}^{\prime}$ defined by replacing $h$ by $-h$ in $P_{1}$ ) possesses one solution $\xi^{0}\left(\xi_{0}\right)$ in $V$.
Similarly, the problem

$$
P_{2}:=\left(-\Delta+q_{2}+m \varrho_{4}\right) v=h+g \text { in } \Omega ; v=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega ; v \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
$$

(or with $P_{2}^{\prime}$ obtained by replacing $h$ by $-h$ in $P_{2}$ ) has one solution $\eta^{0}\left(\eta_{0}\right)$ in $V$.
Observe that $\xi_{0} \leqslant \xi^{0}$. Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(-\Delta+q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right)\left(\xi^{0}-\xi_{0}\right)=2 h \text { in } \Omega, \quad \xi^{0}-\xi_{0}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega, \\
\left(\xi^{0}-\xi_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

According to Theorem $1, \xi^{0}-\xi_{0} \geqslant 0$. Similarly $\eta_{0}-\eta^{0} \leqslant 0$.
We consider now the restriction of $T$, denoted again by $T$, to the rectangle $\left[\xi_{0}, \xi^{0}\right] \times$ $\left[\eta_{0}, \eta^{0}\right]$.

We show that $T$ admits a fixed point using Schauder's Theorem.
(iii) We prove first that the closed convex $\left[\xi_{0}, \xi^{0}\right] \times\left[\eta_{0}, \eta^{0}\right]$ is invariant by $T$.

Let $(\xi, \eta) \in\left[\xi_{0}, \xi^{0}\right] \times\left[\eta_{0}, \eta^{0}\right]$. We show that $\omega \in\left[\xi_{0}, \xi^{0}\right]$ and $v \in\left[\eta_{0}, \eta^{0}\right]$. Combining (20) with $P_{1}$, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(-\Delta+q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right)\left(\xi^{0}-\omega\right)=h-\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta) \text { in } \Omega, \quad \xi^{0}-\omega=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega, \\
\left(\xi^{0}-\omega\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $h-\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta) \geqslant 0$ then $\omega \leqslant \xi^{0}$. In the same way, we obtain $v \leqslant \eta^{0}$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(-\Delta+q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right)\left(\omega-\xi_{0}\right)=\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta)+h \text { in } \Omega, \quad \omega-\xi_{0}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega, \\
\left(\omega-\xi_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta)+h \geqslant 0$ then $\xi_{0} \leqslant \omega$. Analogously, we obtain $\eta_{0} \leqslant v$.
Consequently $\left[\xi_{0}, \xi^{0}\right] \times\left[\eta_{0}, \eta^{0}\right]$ is invariant by $T$.
(iv) We show now that $T$ is continuous. Let $\left(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of $\left[\xi_{0}, \xi^{0}\right] \times$ [ $\eta_{0}, \eta^{0}$ ] convergent to $(\xi, \eta)$ in $L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega) \times L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega)$.

We put $T\left(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}\right)=\left(\omega_{n}, v_{n}\right), \quad T(\xi, \eta)=(\omega, v)$.
From (18) and (19), we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(-\Delta+q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right)\left(\omega_{n}-\omega\right)=\Psi_{1}\left(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}\right)-\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta) \text { in } \Omega  \tag{22}\\
\left(-\Delta+q_{2}+m \varrho_{4}\right)\left(v_{n}-v\right)=\Psi_{2}\left(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}\right)-\Psi_{2}(\xi, \eta) \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\omega_{n}=\omega=v_{n}=v=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \quad \omega_{n}, \omega, v_{n}, v \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

Multiplying both the first and the second equation of the system (22) by ( $\omega_{n}-\omega$ ) and $\left(v_{n}-v\right)$ respectively, later integrating over $\Omega$, we obtain by virtue of Hardy's inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\omega_{n}-\omega\right\|_{1} \leqslant \sqrt{\gamma}\left\|\Psi_{1}\left(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}\right)-\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta)\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& \left\|v_{n}-v\right\|_{2} \leqslant \sqrt{\gamma}\left\|\Psi_{2}\left(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}\right)-\Psi_{2}(\xi, \eta)\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, to prove $\left(\omega_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow(\omega, v)$ in $V \times V$, it suffices to show that

$$
\Psi_{1}\left(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta) \quad \text { and } \quad \Psi_{2}\left(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Psi_{2}(\xi, \eta) \text { in } L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)
$$

We have

$$
\left\|\frac{\varrho_{1} \xi_{n}}{1+\varepsilon\left|\xi_{n}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}-\frac{\varrho_{1} \xi}{1+\varepsilon|\xi|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{2}}^{2}(\Omega)}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left\|\frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} \xi_{n}}{1+\varepsilon\left|\xi_{n}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}-\frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} \xi}{1+\varepsilon|\xi|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{2}}^{2}(\Omega)}
$$

The function $l(x)=x(1+|x|)^{-1}$ is Lipschitzian on $\mathbb{R}$ and verifies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad|l(x)-l(y)| \leqslant|x-y| \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left\|\frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} \xi_{n}}{1+\varepsilon\left|\xi_{n}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}-\frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} \xi}{1+\varepsilon|\xi|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)} & \leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left\|\varepsilon \varrho_{1} \xi_{n}-\varepsilon \varrho_{1} \xi\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{2}}^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& \leqslant\left\|\varrho_{1} \xi_{n}-\varrho_{1} \xi\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } n \rightarrow+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right) \varrho_{1}^{2}\left|\xi_{n}-\xi\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & \leqslant \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right) \frac{k_{1}}{1+|x|^{2}} \varrho\left|\xi_{n}-\xi\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leqslant k_{1}\left\|\xi_{n}-\xi\right\|_{L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } n \rightarrow+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we show that $\varrho_{2} \eta_{n}\left(1+\varepsilon\left|\eta_{n}\right|\right)^{-1} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}} \rightarrow \varrho_{2} \eta(1+\varepsilon|\eta|)^{-1} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)$.

Then $\Psi_{1}\left(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta)$ in $L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)$ and therefore $\omega_{n} \rightarrow \omega$ in $V$.
In the same way, $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ in $V$. Consequently, $T$ is continuous.
(v) Next we show that $T: L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega) \times L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega) \times L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega)$ is compact.

We have the compact imbedding $V \subset L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega)$.
Indeed, let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a bounded sequence in $V .\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $H^{1}\left(B_{\varepsilon}\right)$. Hence the imbedding $H^{1}\left(B_{\varepsilon}\right)$ into $L^{2}\left(B_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is compact; there exists a subsequence denoted again by $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$, such that

$$
\int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \varrho\left|u_{p}-u_{q}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant \int_{B_{\varepsilon}}\left|u_{p}-u_{q}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { when } p, q \rightarrow+\infty
$$

On the other hand, since the weight $\varrho$ tends to 0 at infinity, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}} \varrho\left|u_{p}-u_{q}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & =\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right) \varrho \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)}\left|u_{p}-u_{q}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leqslant k \sup _{|x|>1 / \varepsilon} \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\alpha-1}}\left\|u_{p}-u_{q}\right\|_{V}^{2} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega)$.
Since $T: L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega) \times L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow V \times V$ is continuous, $T: L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega) \times L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega) \times$ $L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega)$ is compact. According to (iii) and (v), we can apply Schauder's fixed point theorem. Then there exists $(\xi, \eta) \in\left[\xi_{0}, \xi^{0}\right] \times\left[\eta_{0}, \eta^{0}\right]$ such that $T(\xi, \eta)=(\xi, \eta)$.

Since $\xi$ and $\eta$ depend on $\varepsilon$, we denote $\xi=u_{\varepsilon}$ and $\eta=v_{\varepsilon}$.
So $u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}$ verify the system

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
&\left(-\Delta+q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right) u_{\varepsilon}=(a+m) \frac{\varrho_{1} u_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+b \frac{\varrho_{2} v_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+f \text { in } \Omega  \tag{24}\\
&\left(-\Delta+q_{2}+m \varrho_{4}\right) v_{\varepsilon}= c \frac{\varrho_{3} u_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+(d+m) \frac{\varrho_{4} v_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+g \text { in } \Omega \\
& u_{\varepsilon}=v_{\varepsilon}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \quad u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{align*}\right.
$$

(vi) We show that $\left(\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}$ (as well as $\left(\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}$ ) is a bounded sequence in $V$.

We multiply the first equation of the system (24) by $\varepsilon^{2} u_{\varepsilon}$ and integrate over $\Omega$, obtaining

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla\left(\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{2}+\left(q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right)\left|\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x= & (a+m) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} u_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}} \mathrm{d} x \\
& +b \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{2} v_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|} \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}} \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega} \varepsilon f \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|\left(1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|\right)^{-1}<1, \varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|\left(1+\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|\right)^{-1}<1,0<\varepsilon<1, f \in L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)$ and $\alpha>N / 2$, there exists a constant $M>0$ such that $\left\|\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{1} \leqslant M$.

Similarly, there exists $M^{\prime}>0$ such that $\left\|\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2} \leqslant M^{\prime}$.
(vii) We show that $\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ (as well as $\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ ) when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $V$.

Since $\left(\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded in $V$, there exists a subsequence denoted again by $\left(\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}$ (we denote it by writing for example $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{n}, n>1$ ) weakly convergent to $u^{*}$ in $V$ and hence strongly convergent to $u^{*}$ in $L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega)$.

We multiply the first equation of the system (24) by $\varepsilon$ :

$$
\left(-\Delta+\left(q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right)\right)\left(\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}\right)=(a+m) \frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} u_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+b \frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{2} v_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+\varepsilon f \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

Then $\forall \varphi \in D(\Omega)$,

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left[\nabla\left(\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}\right) \cdot \nabla \varphi+\left(q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right) \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon} \varphi\right] \mathrm{d} x \int_{\Omega}\left[\nabla u^{*} \cdot \nabla \varphi+\left(q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right) u^{*} \varphi\right] \mathrm{d} x \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

Moreover $\forall \varphi \in D(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon f \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} u_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}-\frac{\varrho_{1} u^{*}}{1+\left|u^{*}\right|}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x= & \int_{B_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} u_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}-\frac{\varrho_{1} u^{*}}{1+\left|u^{*}\right|}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varrho_{1} u^{*}}{1+\left|u^{*}\right|}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varrho_{1} u^{*}}{1+\left|u^{*}\right|}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant & \int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\varrho_{1} u^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\leqslant & k_{1} \int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}} \varrho\left|u^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking (23) into account, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} u_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}-\frac{\varrho_{1} u^{*}}{1+\left|u^{*}\right|}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & \leqslant \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\varepsilon \varrho_{1} u_{\varepsilon}-\varrho_{1} u^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leqslant k_{1} \int_{\Omega} \varrho\left|\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}-u^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we show that $\varepsilon \varrho_{2} v_{\varepsilon}\left(1+\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|\right)^{-1} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}} \rightarrow \varrho_{2} v^{*}\left(1+\left|v^{*}\right|\right)^{-1}$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)$.

In the same manner we can establish that

$$
\varepsilon \Psi_{2}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow c \frac{\varrho_{3} u^{*}}{1+\left|u^{*}\right|}+(d+m) \frac{\varrho_{4} v^{*}}{1+\left|v^{*}\right|} \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text { in } L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)
$$

We get, when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\left(-\Delta+q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right) u^{*}=(a+m) \frac{\varrho_{1} u^{*}}{1+\left|u^{*}\right|}+b \frac{\varrho_{2} v^{*}}{1+\left|v^{*}\right|} & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{25}\\
\left(-\Delta+q_{2}+m \varrho_{4}\right) v^{*} & =c \frac{\varrho_{3} u^{*}}{1+\left|u^{*}\right|}+(d+m) \frac{\varrho_{4} v^{*}}{1+\left|v^{*}\right|}
\end{align*} \text { in } \Omega,\right.
$$

We show now that $u^{*}=v^{*}=0$.
We multiply the first equation of the system (25) by $u^{*}$ and integrate over $\Omega$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u^{*}\right|^{2}+\left(q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right)\left|u^{*}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x & =(a+m) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varrho_{1}\left|u^{*}\right|^{2}}{1+\left|u^{*}\right|}=\mathrm{d} x+b \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varrho_{2} u^{*} v^{*}}{1+\left|v^{*}\right|} \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leqslant(a+m) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}\left|u^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+|b| \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{2}\left|u^{*} v^{*}\right| \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

By virtue of the variational characterization of $\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)$ and using (10), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)-a\right) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}\left|u^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant|b|\left(\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}\left|u^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot\left(\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{4}\left|v^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way, we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda\left(q_{2}, \varrho_{4}\right)-d\right) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{4}\left|v^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant|c|\left(\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}\left|u^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot\left(\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{4}\left|v^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (26), (27) with (14), we have $u^{*}=v^{*}=0$.
(viii) We show that the sequence $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}$ (as well as $\left.\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is bounded in $V$. We suppose that $\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{V} \rightarrow+\infty$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{V} \rightarrow+\infty$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{\varepsilon} & =\max \left(\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{V},\left\|v_{\varepsilon} t\right\|_{V}\right) \\
z_{\varepsilon} & =\frac{1}{t_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} \text { then }\left\|z_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{V} \leqslant 1 \\
w_{\varepsilon} & =\frac{1}{t_{\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon} \text { then }\left\|w_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{V} \leqslant 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}$ is a bounded sequence in $V$, there exists a subsequence denoted again by $\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}$, weakly convergent to $z$ in $V$ and hence strongly convergent to $z$ in $L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega)$.

Similarly, $\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}$ converges to $w$, weakly in $V$ and strongly in $L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega)$.
Taking (24) into account, we get

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
&\left(-\Delta+q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right) z_{\varepsilon}=(a+m) \frac{\varrho_{1} z_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+b \frac{\varrho_{2} w_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+\frac{1}{t_{\varepsilon}} f \text { in } \Omega  \tag{28}\\
&\left(-\Delta+q_{2}+m \varrho_{4}\right) w_{\varepsilon}= c \frac{\varrho_{3} z_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+(d+m) \frac{\varrho_{4} w_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+\frac{1}{t_{\varepsilon}} g \text { in } \Omega \\
& z_{\varepsilon}=w_{\varepsilon}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \quad z_{\varepsilon}, w_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{align*}\right.
$$

We know that $\forall \varphi \in D(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla z_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \varphi+\left(q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right) z_{\varepsilon} \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla z \cdot \nabla \varphi+\left(q_{1}+\right.\right.$ $\left.m \varrho_{1}\right) z \varphi$ ) $\mathrm{d} x$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{t_{\varepsilon}} f \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{\varrho_{1} z_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{1}} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}-\varrho_{1} z\right\|_{{D_{p_{1}^{1}}^{2}(\Omega)}_{2}}^{2} & \int_{B_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varrho_{1} z_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}-\varrho_{1} z\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\varrho_{1} z\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\varrho_{1} z\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant k_{1} \int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}} \varrho|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varrho_{1} z_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}-\varrho_{1} z\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & \leqslant \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varrho_{1} z_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}-\varrho_{1} z\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leqslant \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varrho_{1}\left(z_{\varepsilon}-z\right)-\varepsilon \varrho_{1} z\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leqslant 2 \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left(\left|\frac{\varrho_{1}\left(z_{\varepsilon}-z\right)}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} z\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varrho_{1}\left(z_{\varepsilon}-z\right)}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & \leqslant \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\varrho_{1}\left(z_{\varepsilon}-z\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leqslant k_{1} \int_{\Omega} \varrho\left|z_{\varepsilon}-z\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\frac{\varepsilon_{1} z\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}}\right|^{2} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text { a.e. in } \Omega, \\
\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} z\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}\right|^{2} \leqslant\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\varrho_{1} z\right|^{2} \leqslant k_{1} \varrho|z|^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $z \in L_{e}^{2}(\Omega)$, by virtue of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we deduce that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} z\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

Hence

$$
\left\|\frac{\varrho_{1} z_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}-\varrho_{1} z\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

Analogously, we obtain

$$
\left\|\frac{\varrho_{3} z_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}-\varrho_{3} z\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
\left\|\frac{\varrho_{i} w_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}-\varrho_{i} w\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad(i=2,4)
$$

When $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(-\Delta+q_{1}\right) z=a \varrho_{1} z+b \varrho_{2} w \text { in } \Omega  \tag{29}\\
\left(-\Delta+q_{2}\right) w=c \varrho_{3} z+d \varrho_{4} w \text { in } \Omega \\
z=w=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \quad z, w \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

By virtue of Lemma 1, we have $z=w=0$, which is in contradiction with the fact that at least one sequence $\left(\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)\right.$ or $\left.\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$ has the norm equal to one. The sequence $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)$ (as well as $\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)$ ) is bounded in $V$.
(ix) We extract a sequence denoted again by $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$ weakly convergent to $u^{0}$ (or $v^{0}$ ) in $V$ and hence strongly convergent to the same limit in $L_{\rho}^{2}(\Omega)$.

We have $\forall \varphi \in D(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \varphi+\left(q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right) u_{\varepsilon} \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u^{0} \cdot \nabla \varphi+\left(q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right) u^{0} \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} x, \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\
& \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla v_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \varphi+\left(q_{2}+m \varrho_{4}\right) v_{\varepsilon} \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla v^{0} \cdot \nabla \varphi+\left(q_{2}+m \varrho_{4}\right) v^{0} \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} x \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way as in (viii), we show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{\varrho_{i} u_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}-\varrho_{i} u^{0}\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}}^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad(i=1,3) \\
& \left\|\frac{\varrho_{i} v_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}-\varrho_{i} v^{0}\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad(i=2,4)
\end{aligned}
$$

When $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get
(S)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(-\Delta+q_{1}\right) u^{0}=a \varrho_{1} u^{0}+b \varrho_{2} v^{0}+f \text { in } \Omega \\
\left(-\Delta+q_{2}\right) v^{0}=c \varrho_{3} u^{0}+d \varrho_{4} v^{0}+g \text { in } \Omega \\
u^{0}=v^{0}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \quad u^{0}, v^{0} \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

We conclude that $\left(u^{0}, v^{0}\right)$ is a solution of (S).

The uniqueness of the solution follows from Lemma 1. Indeed, let ( $u^{1}, v^{1}$ ) be another solution of the system (S). We put $w=u^{0}-u^{1}$ and $z=v^{0}-v^{1}$, then ( $w, z$ ) is a solution of the system (15). Lemma 1 gives $(w, z)=(0,0)$.
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## 1. Introduction

We study here some noncooperative elliptic systems defined on a connected and unbounded open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geqslant 3)$ of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+q_{1} u=a \varrho_{1} u+b \varrho_{2} v+f \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{S}\\
-\Delta v+q_{2} v=c \varrho_{3} u+d \varrho_{4} v+g \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=v=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \quad u, v \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}, \varrho_{3}, \varrho_{4}$ (sometimes referred to as weight functions), $q_{1}, q_{2}$, are positive functions; $f$ and $g$ are measurable functions; $a, b, c$ and $d$ are real numbers. $u$ and $v$ are unknown real-valued functions defined in $\Omega$ and belonging to appropriate function spaces. The system (S) is noncooperative since $b$ and $c$ are not necessarily positive. Under appropriate assumptions on the coefficients, we show the existence of non-trivial solutions.

[^1]Generally, in order to study a nonlinear problem, we consider the linear approximation, which is easy enough to resolve of course. Here we have explored the inverse process. In other words, we show that the linear system (S) can be taken as the limit of a sequence of nonlinear systems.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we establish a Maximum Principle result in the scalar case. We choose decreasing weight functions which lead to a gain of compactness, (see [7] and [8]). In Section 2, we obtain an existence and uniqueness theorem for system (S). In order to prove it, we apply a nonlinear method introduced in [3] and [4]. The main tool used here is Schauder's fixed point theorem. The Maximum Principle guarantees the invariance of subsets under operators. So, we can prove the existence of solution for nonlinear systems. We observe that there exists a vast literature on the use of nonlinear methods to the study of noncooperative elliptic systems. We point out that an interesting version of the Maximum Principle for noncooperative systems was given in [13]. We refer the reader to the works in [5], [6] and references therein.

## 2. Notation

We denote
(1) $u^{ \pm}=\max ( \pm u, 0)$, so $u=u^{+}-u^{-}$.
(2) mes $(\cdot)$ is the Lebesgue $N$-dimensional measure.
(3) For $\varepsilon \in] 0,1\left[\right.$ fixed: $B_{\varepsilon}=\Omega \cap B(0,1 / \varepsilon)=\{x \in \Omega /|x|<1 / \varepsilon\}$.
$1_{B_{\varepsilon}}$ is the characteristic function of $B_{\varepsilon}$.
(4) $p_{\alpha}(x)=\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{-\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$; $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ is the set of positive real numbers.
(5) Let $D(\Omega)$ be the set of all infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in $\Omega$. We denote by $D^{\prime}(\Omega)$ the dual space of $D(\Omega)$. Let us define $V\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=$ $\left\{u \in D^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) / \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+p_{1}|u|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x<+\infty\right\}$.
The space $V$ is the closure of $D(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|u\|_{V}=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+p_{1}|u|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

equivalent (under some conditions) to the norms

$$
\|u\|_{i}=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\left(q_{i}+m \varrho_{j}\right)|u|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad\left(i=1,2 ; j=1,4 ; m \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}\right) .
$$

$V\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a Hilbert space, $\forall N \geqslant 3$ (see [17], p. 230).
(6) $L_{p}^{2}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in D^{\prime}(\Omega) / p^{\frac{1}{2}} u \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right\}$ where $p(x)$ is a positive function defined on $\Omega$.

$$
H^{m}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in D^{\prime}(\Omega) / D^{\alpha} u \in L^{2}(\Omega), 0 \leqslant|\alpha| \leqslant m\right\}
$$

(7) $\varrho=\max _{x \in \Omega}\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}, \varrho_{3}, \varrho_{4}\right)$.

## 3. Hypotheses

We suppose that
(8) $\exists \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha>N / 2, \beta \geqslant 1$; $\exists k_{i}>0(i=1,2,3,4), \exists c_{i}>0(i=1,2)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
0 \leqslant \varrho_{i}(x) \leqslant k_{i} p_{\alpha}(x), & i=1,2,3,4 \\
0 \leqslant q_{i}(x) \leqslant c_{i} p_{\beta}(x), & i=1,2
\end{array}
$$

(9) $\varrho_{2}(x) \leqslant \sqrt{\varrho_{1}(x) \varrho_{4}(x)}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \varrho_{3}(x) \leqslant \sqrt{\varrho_{1}(x) \varrho_{4}(x)} \quad \forall x \in \Omega$. (10) $f, g \in L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in D^{\prime}(\Omega) / \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)|u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x<+\infty\right\}$.

## 4. Existence of solutions

### 4.1. Remarks on the scalar case

We consider the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+q_{1} u=\lambda \varrho_{1} u \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{11}\\
u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \quad u \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

By [7], [8], Problem (11) possesses an increasing infinite sequence of positive eigenvalues.

Moreover, the first eigenvalue $\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)$ is principal ([9]) and is characterized variationally by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}|u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+q_{1}|u|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x ; \quad \forall u \in V \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider now the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+q_{1} u=\lambda \varrho_{1} u+h \text { in } \Omega  \tag{13}\\
u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \quad u \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

We claim that the Maximum Principle holds for Problem (13) with condition $h \geqslant 0$, if all solutions of Problem (13) are nonnegative.

Theorem 1. Assume that (8) holds and $h \in L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)$. Problem (13) satisfies the Maximum Principle if and only if $\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)>\lambda$.

Proof. Let $\varphi$ be an eigenfunction associated with $\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)$, then $\varphi$ does not change sign. Multiplying the equation in (13) by $\varphi$ and integrating over $\Omega$, we obtain

$$
\left(\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)-\lambda\right) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1} u \varphi \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\Omega} h \varphi \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

If the Maximum Principle holds then $\int_{\Omega} h \varphi \mathrm{~d} x>0$ implies $\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1} u \varphi \mathrm{~d} x>0$.
Consequently $\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)>\lambda$.
Conversely, if $\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)>\lambda$ then $u \geqslant 0$. Indeed, observe that $u=u^{+}-u^{-}$. Now, multiplying the equation of Problem (13) by $u^{-}$and integrating over $\Omega$, we get

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u \nabla u^{-}+q_{1} u u^{-}\right) \mathrm{d} x=\lambda \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1} u u^{-} \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega} h u^{-} \mathrm{d} x .
$$

Since

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u^{+} \nabla u^{-} \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\Omega} q_{1} u^{+} u^{-} \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1} u^{+} u^{-} \mathrm{d} x=0,
$$

we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|^{2}+q_{1}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x=\lambda \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Omega} h u^{-} \mathrm{d} x .
$$

By (12), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant \lambda \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Omega} h u^{-} \mathrm{d} x, \\
0<\left(\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)-\lambda\right) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}\left|u^{-}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant-\int_{\Omega} h u^{-} \mathrm{d} x \leqslant 0
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence $u \geqslant 0$.

Theorem 2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, Problem (13) with condition $h \geqslant 0$ has one nonnegative solution in $V$ if and only if $\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)>\lambda$.

Proof. In view of Theorem 1, the necessary condition holds.
Let us consider the sufficient condition. The differential form

$$
a(u, v)=\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v+q_{1} u v\right) \mathrm{d} x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1} u v \mathrm{~d} x
$$

is continuous and coercive on $V \times V$. Indeed, let $m \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ be such that $\lambda+m>0$. Taking into account the variational characterization of $\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(u, u) & =\int_{\Omega}\left[|\nabla u|^{2}+\left(q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right)|u|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} x-(\lambda+m) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}|u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \geqslant\left(1-\frac{\lambda+m}{\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)+m}\right)\|u\|_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ is a norm equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{V}$ by virtue of (8) and Hardy's inequality

$$
\left(\forall N \geqslant 3, \exists \gamma>0: \forall u \in D\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)
$$

Since $f \in L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)$, the application $v \mapsto \int_{\Omega} h v \mathrm{~d} x$ is a continuous linear form on $V$. Hence by the Lax-Milgram lemma, Problem (13) possesses one solution $u$. By Theorem $1, u$ is nonnegative.

### 4.2. Vectorial case

Let us consider the system (S) now. In what follows, we will find a sufficient condition for the system (S) to have a unique solution.

Theorem 3. Let (8), (9) and (10) be satisfied, as well as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)>a ; \quad\left(\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)-a\right)\left(\lambda\left(q_{2}, \varrho_{4}\right)-d\right)>|b c| . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the system (S) has one (weak) solution in $V \times V$.
First, we state the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Assume that the hypothesis of Theorem 3 holds. Let $(z, w) \in V \times V$ be a solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(-\Delta+q_{1}\right) z=a \varrho_{1} z+b \varrho_{2} w \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{15}\\
\left(-\Delta+q_{2}\right) w=c \varrho_{3} z+d \varrho_{4} w \text { in } \Omega \\
z=w=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \quad z, w \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $(z, w)=(0,0)$.
Proof. We multiply the first equation of the system (15) by $z$ and integrate over $\Omega$ :

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla z|^{2}+q_{1}|z|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x=a \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+b \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{2} z w \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

Using the variational characterization of $\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
0<\left(\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)-a\right) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant|b|\left|\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{2} z w \mathrm{~d} x\right| .
$$

By hypothesis (9) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)-a\right) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant|b|\left(\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{4}|w|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, multiplying the second equation of system (15) by $w$ and integrating over $\Omega$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda\left(q_{2}, \varrho_{4}\right)-d\right) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{4}|w|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant|c|\left(\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{4}|w|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (16) with (17), we obtain

$$
0<\left[\left(\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)-a\right)\left(\lambda\left(q_{2}, \varrho_{4}\right)-d\right)-|b c|\right] \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \cdot \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{4}|w|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant 0
$$

which implies that $z=w=0$ a.e.
Proof of Theorem 3. Let $m \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ be such that $a+m>0$ and $d+m>0$.
We define an operator

$$
T: L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega) \times L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow V \times V, \quad(\xi, \eta) \mapsto T(\xi, \eta)=(\omega, v)
$$

such that $(\omega, v)$ verifies the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\left(-\Delta+q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right) \omega=(a+m) \frac{\varrho_{1} \xi}{1+\varepsilon|\xi|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+b \frac{\varrho_{2} \eta}{1+\varepsilon|\eta|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+f \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{18}\\
\left(-\Delta+q_{2}+m \varrho_{4}\right) v=c \frac{\varrho_{3} \xi}{1+\varepsilon|\xi|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+(d+m) \frac{\varrho_{4} \eta}{1+\varepsilon|\eta|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+g \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\omega=v=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega, \quad \omega, v \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

(i) First we verify that $T$ is well defined.

Let $(\xi, \eta) \in L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega) \times L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega)$, we put

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta)=(a+m) \frac{\varrho_{1} \xi}{1+\varepsilon|\xi|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+b \frac{\varrho_{2} \eta}{1+\varepsilon|\eta|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}},  \tag{19}\\
\Psi_{2}(\xi, \eta)=c \frac{\varrho_{3} \xi}{1+\varepsilon|\xi|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+(d+m) \frac{\varrho_{4} \eta}{1+\varepsilon|\eta|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varrho_{i} \xi}{1+\varepsilon|\xi|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & \leqslant \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\varrho_{i} \xi\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leqslant k_{i} \int_{\Omega} \varrho|\xi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x, \quad(i=1,3)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varrho_{i} \eta}{1+\varepsilon|\eta|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & \leqslant \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\varrho_{i} \eta\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leqslant k_{i} \int_{\Omega} \varrho|\eta|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x, \quad(i=2,4)
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta), \Psi_{2}(\xi, \eta) \in L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)$.
In view of Theorem 2, the system

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
&\left(-\Delta+q_{1}\right) \omega=-m \varrho_{1} \omega+\left(\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta)+f\right) \text { in } \Omega ; \omega=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega ;  \tag{20}\\
& \omega \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty \\
&\left(-\Delta+q_{2}\right) v=-m \varrho_{4} v+\left(\Psi_{2}(\xi, \eta)+g\right) \text { in } \Omega ; v=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \\
& v \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{align*}\right.
$$

has one solution $(\omega, v)$ in $V \times V$, since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta)+f\right) \in L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega), \quad\left(\Psi_{2}(\xi, \eta)+g\right) \in L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega), \\
\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)>-m \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda\left(q_{2}, \varrho_{4}\right)>-m .
\end{gathered}
$$

(ii) For all $(\xi, \eta) \in L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega) \times L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\left|\frac{\varrho_{i} \xi}{1+\varepsilon|\xi|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}\right|=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{i}|\xi|}{1+\varepsilon|\xi|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}} \leqslant \frac{k_{i}}{\varepsilon} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}} \text { a.e. in } \Omega, \quad(i=1,3), \quad 1_{B_{\varepsilon}} \in L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)
$$

Similarly

$$
\left|\frac{\varrho_{i} \eta}{1+\varepsilon|\eta|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}\right| \leqslant \frac{k_{i}}{\varepsilon} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}} \text { a.e. in } \Omega, \quad(i=2,4)
$$

Then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left|\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta)\right| \leqslant 2 \max (a+m,|b|) \frac{k}{\varepsilon} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}},  \tag{21}\\
\left|\Psi_{2}(\xi, \eta)\right| \leqslant 2 \max (|c|, d+m) \frac{k}{\varepsilon} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $k=\max \left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}\right)$.

We put $h=2 \max (a+m,|b|,|c|, d+m) \frac{k}{\varepsilon} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}$.
We have

$$
h \in L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega) \text { and }\left|\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta)\right| \leqslant h ;\left|\Psi_{2}(\xi, \eta)\right| \leqslant h ; \forall(\xi, \eta) \in L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega) \times L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega)
$$

According to Theorem 2, the problem

$$
P_{1}:=\left(-\Delta+q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right) u=h+f \text { in } \Omega ; u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega ; u \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
$$

(or with $P_{1}^{\prime}$ defined by replacing $h$ by $-h$ in $P_{1}$ ) possesses one solution $\xi^{0}\left(\xi_{0}\right)$ in $V$.
Similarly, the problem

$$
P_{2}:=\left(-\Delta+q_{2}+m \varrho_{4}\right) v=h+g \text { in } \Omega ; v=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega ; v \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
$$

(or with $P_{2}^{\prime}$ obtained by replacing $h$ by $-h$ in $P_{2}$ ) has one solution $\eta^{0}\left(\eta_{0}\right)$ in $V$. Observe that $\xi_{0} \leqslant \xi^{0}$. Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(-\Delta+q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right)\left(\xi^{0}-\xi_{0}\right)=2 h \text { in } \Omega, \quad \xi^{0}-\xi_{0}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
\left(\xi^{0}-\xi_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{gathered}
$$

According to Theorem $1, \xi^{0}-\xi_{0} \geqslant 0$. Similarly $\eta_{0}-\eta^{0} \leqslant 0$.
We consider now the restriction of $T$, denoted again by $T$, to the rectangle $\left[\xi_{0}, \xi^{0}\right] \times$ [ $\eta_{0}, \eta^{0}$ ].

We show that $T$ admits a fixed point using Schauder's Theorem.
(iii) We prove first that the closed convex $\left[\xi_{0}, \xi^{0}\right] \times\left[\eta_{0}, \eta^{0}\right]$ is invariant by $T$.

Let $(\xi, \eta) \in\left[\xi_{0}, \xi^{0}\right] \times\left[\eta_{0}, \eta^{0}\right]$. We show that $\omega \in\left[\xi_{0}, \xi^{0}\right]$ and $v \in\left[\eta_{0}, \eta^{0}\right]$. Combining (20) with $P_{1}$, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(-\Delta+q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right)\left(\xi^{0}-\omega\right)=h-\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta) \text { in } \Omega, \quad \xi^{0}-\omega=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
\left(\xi^{0}-\omega\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $h-\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta) \geqslant 0$ then $\omega \leqslant \xi^{0}$. In the same way, we obtain $v \leqslant \eta^{0}$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(-\Delta+q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right)\left(\omega-\xi_{0}\right)=\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta)+h \text { in } \Omega, \quad \omega-\xi_{0}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
\left(\omega-\xi_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta)+h \geqslant 0$ then $\xi_{0} \leqslant \omega$. Analogously, we obtain $\eta_{0} \leqslant v$.
Consequently $\left[\xi_{0}, \xi^{0}\right] \times\left[\eta_{0}, \eta^{0}\right]$ is invariant by $T$.
(iv) We show now that $T$ is continuous. Let $\left(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of $\left[\xi_{0}, \xi^{0}\right] \times$ [ $\eta_{0}, \eta^{0}$ ] convergent to $(\xi, \eta)$ in $L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega) \times L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega)$.

We put $T\left(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}\right)=\left(\omega_{n}, v_{n}\right), \quad T(\xi, \eta)=(\omega, v)$.
From (18) and (19), we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(-\Delta+q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right)\left(\omega_{n}-\omega\right)=\Psi_{1}\left(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}\right)-\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta) \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{22}\\
\left(-\Delta+q_{2}+m \varrho_{4}\right)\left(v_{n}-v\right)=\Psi_{2}\left(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}\right)-\Psi_{2}(\xi, \eta) \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
\omega_{n}=\omega=v_{n}=v=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \quad \omega_{n}, \omega, v_{n}, v \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Multiplying both the first and the second equation of the system (22) by ( $\omega_{n}-\omega$ ) and $\left(v_{n}-v\right)$ respectively, later integrating over $\Omega$, we obtain by virtue of Hardy's inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\omega_{n}-\omega\right\|_{1} \leqslant \sqrt{\gamma}\left\|\Psi_{1}\left(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}\right)-\Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta)\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& \left\|v_{n}-v\right\|_{2} \leqslant \sqrt{\gamma}\left\|\Psi_{2}\left(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}\right)-\Psi_{2}(\xi, \eta)\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, to prove $\left(\omega_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow(\omega, v)$ in $V \times V$, it suffices to show that

$$
\Psi_{1}\left(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta) \quad \text { and } \quad \Psi_{2}\left(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Psi_{2}(\xi, \eta) \text { in } L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)
$$

We have

$$
\left\|\frac{\varrho_{1} \xi_{n}}{1+\varepsilon\left|\xi_{n}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}-\frac{\varrho_{1} \xi}{1+\varepsilon|\xi|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left\|\frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} \xi_{n}}{1+\varepsilon\left|\xi_{n}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}-\frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} \xi}{1+\varepsilon|\xi|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{2}}^{2}(\Omega)} .
$$

The function $l(x)=x(1+|x|)^{-1}$ is Lipschitzian on $\mathbb{R}$ and verifies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad|l(x)-l(y)| \leqslant|x-y| . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left\|\frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} \xi_{n}}{1+\varepsilon\left|\xi_{n}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}-\frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} \xi}{1+\varepsilon|\xi|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)} & \leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left\|\varepsilon \varrho_{1} \xi_{n}-\varepsilon \varrho_{1} \xi\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{2}}^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& \leqslant\left\|\varrho_{1} \xi_{n}-\varrho_{1} \xi\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{2}}^{2}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } n \rightarrow+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right) \varrho_{1}^{2}\left|\xi_{n}-\xi\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & \leqslant \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right) \frac{k_{1}}{1+|x|^{2}} \varrho\left|\xi_{n}-\xi\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leqslant k_{1}\left\|\xi_{n}-\xi\right\|_{L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } n \rightarrow+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we show that $\varrho_{2} \eta_{n}\left(1+\varepsilon\left|\eta_{n}\right|\right)^{-1} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}} \rightarrow \varrho_{2} \eta(1+\varepsilon|\eta|)^{-1} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)$ 。

Then $\Psi_{1}\left(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Psi_{1}(\xi, \eta)$ in $L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)$ and therefore $\omega_{n} \rightarrow \omega$ in $V$.
In the same way, $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ in $V$. Consequently, $T$ is continuous.
(v) Next we show that $T: L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega) \times L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega) \times L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega)$ is compact.

We have the compact imbedding $V \subset L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega)$.
Indeed, let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a bounded sequence in $V .\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $H^{1}\left(B_{\varepsilon}\right)$. Hence the imbedding $H^{1}\left(B_{\varepsilon}\right)$ into $L^{2}\left(B_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is compact; there exists a subsequence denoted again by $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$, such that

$$
\int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \varrho\left|u_{p}-u_{q}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant \int_{B_{\varepsilon}}\left|u_{p}-u_{q}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { when } p, q \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

On the other hand, since the weight $\varrho$ tends to 0 at infinity, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}} \varrho\left|u_{p}-u_{q}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & =\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right) \varrho \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)}\left|u_{p}-u_{q}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leqslant k \sup _{|x|>1 / \varepsilon} \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\alpha-1}}\left\|u_{p}-u_{q}\right\|_{V}^{2} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega)$.
Since $T: L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega) \times L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow V \times V$ is continuous, $T: L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega) \times L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega) \times$ $L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega)$ is compact. According to (iii) and (v), we can apply Schauder's fixed point theorem. Then there exists $(\xi, \eta) \in\left[\xi_{0}, \xi^{0}\right] \times\left[\eta_{0}, \eta^{0}\right]$ such that $T(\xi, \eta)=(\xi, \eta)$.

Since $\xi$ and $\eta$ depend on $\varepsilon$, we denote $\xi=u_{\varepsilon}$ and $\eta=v_{\varepsilon}$.
So $u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}$ verify the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\left(-\Delta+q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right) u_{\varepsilon}=(a+m) \frac{\varrho_{1} u_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+b \frac{\varrho_{2} v_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+f \text { in } \Omega  \tag{24}\\
\left(-\Delta+q_{2}+m \varrho_{4}\right) v_{\varepsilon}= \\
=\frac{\varrho_{3} u_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+(d+m) \frac{\varrho_{4} v_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+g \text { in } \Omega \\
u_{\varepsilon}=v_{\varepsilon}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \quad u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

(vi) We show that $\left(\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}$ (as well as $\left(\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}$ ) is a bounded sequence in $V$.

We multiply the first equation of the system (24) by $\varepsilon^{2} u_{\varepsilon}$ and integrate over $\Omega$, obtaining

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla\left(\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{2}+\left(q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right)\left|\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x= & (a+m) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} u_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}} \mathrm{d} x \\
& +b \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{2} v_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|} \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}} \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega} \varepsilon f \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|\left(1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|\right)^{-1}<1, \varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|\left(1+\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|\right)^{-1}<1,0<\varepsilon<1, f \in L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)$ and $\alpha>N / 2$, there exists a constant $M>0$ such that $\left\|\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{1} \leqslant M$.

Similarly, there exists $M^{\prime}>0$ such that $\left\|\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2} \leqslant M^{\prime}$.
(vii) We show that $\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ (as well as $\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ ) when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $V$.

Since $\left(\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded in $V$, there exists a subsequence denoted again by $\left(\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}$ (we denote it by writing for example $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{n}, n>1$ ) weakly convergent to $u^{*}$ in $V$ and hence strongly convergent to $u^{*}$ in $L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega)$.

We multiply the first equation of the system (24) by $\varepsilon$ :

$$
\left(-\Delta+\left(q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right)\right)\left(\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}\right)=(a+m) \frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} u_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+b \frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{2} v_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+\varepsilon f \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

Then $\forall \varphi \in D(\Omega)$,

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left[\nabla\left(\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}\right) \cdot \nabla \varphi+\left(q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right) \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon} \varphi\right] \mathrm{d} x \int_{\Omega}\left[\nabla u^{*} \cdot \nabla \varphi+\left(q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right) u^{*} \varphi\right] \mathrm{d} x \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

Moreover $\forall \varphi \in D(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon f \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} u_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}-\frac{\varrho_{1} u^{*}}{1+\left|u^{*}\right|}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x= & \int_{B_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} u_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}-\frac{\varrho_{1} u^{*}}{1+\left|u^{*}\right|}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varrho_{1} u^{*}}{1+\left|u^{*}\right|}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varrho_{1} u^{*}}{1+\left|u^{*}\right|}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant & \int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\varrho_{1} u^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\leqslant & k_{1} \int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}} \varrho\left|u^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking (23) into account, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} u_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}-\frac{\varrho_{1} u^{*}}{1+\left|u^{*}\right|}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & \leqslant \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\varepsilon \varrho_{1} u_{\varepsilon}-\varrho_{1} u^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leqslant k_{1} \int_{\Omega} \varrho\left|\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}-u^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we show that $\varepsilon \varrho_{2} v_{\varepsilon}\left(1+\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|\right)^{-1} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}} \rightarrow \varrho_{2} v^{*}\left(1+\left|v^{*}\right|\right)^{-1}$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)$.

In the same manner we can establish that

$$
\varepsilon \Psi_{2}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow c \frac{\varrho_{3} u^{*}}{1+\left|u^{*}\right|}+(d+m) \frac{\varrho_{4} v^{*}}{1+\left|v^{*}\right|} \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text { in } L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)
$$

We get, when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\left(-\Delta+q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right) u^{*}=(a+m) \frac{\varrho_{1} u^{*}}{1+\left|u^{*}\right|}+b \frac{\varrho_{2} v^{*}}{1+\left|v^{*}\right|} \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{25}\\
\left(-\Delta+q_{2}+m \varrho_{4}\right) v^{*}=c \frac{\varrho_{3} u^{*}}{1+\left|u^{*}\right|}+(d+m) \frac{\varrho_{4} v^{*}}{1+\left|v^{*}\right|} \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u^{*}=v^{*}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \quad u^{*}, v^{*} \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

We show now that $u^{*}=v^{*}=0$.
We multiply the first equation of the system (25) by $u^{*}$ and integrate over $\Omega$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u^{*}\right|^{2}+\left(q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right)\left|u^{*}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x & =(a+m) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varrho_{1}\left|u^{*}\right|^{2}}{1+\left|u^{*}\right|}=\mathrm{d} x+b \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varrho_{2} u^{*} v^{*}}{1+\left|v^{*}\right|} \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leqslant(a+m) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}\left|u^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+|b| \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{2}\left|u^{*} v^{*}\right| \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

By virtue of the variational characterization of $\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)$ and using (10), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda\left(q_{1}, \varrho_{1}\right)-a\right) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}\left|u^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant|b|\left(\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}\left|u^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot\left(\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{4}\left|v^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way, we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda\left(q_{2}, \varrho_{4}\right)-d\right) \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{4}\left|v^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant|c|\left(\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{1}\left|u^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot\left(\int_{\Omega} \varrho_{4}\left|v^{*}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (26), (27) with (14), we have $u^{*}=v^{*}=0$.
(viii) We show that the sequence $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}$ (as well as $\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}$ ) is bounded in $V$. We suppose that $\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{V} \rightarrow+\infty$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{V} \rightarrow+\infty$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{\varepsilon} & =\max \left(\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{V},\left\|v_{\varepsilon} t\right\|_{V}\right), \\
z_{\varepsilon} & =\frac{1}{t_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} \text { then }\left\|z_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{V} \leqslant 1, \\
w_{\varepsilon} & =\frac{1}{t_{\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon} \quad \text { then }\left\|w_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{V} \leqslant 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}$ is a bounded sequence in $V$, there exists a subsequence denoted again by $\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}$, weakly convergent to $z$ in $V$ and hence strongly convergent to $z$ in $L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega)$.

Similarly, $\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}$ converges to $w$, weakly in $V$ and strongly in $L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega)$.
Taking (24) into account, we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\left(-\Delta+q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right) z_{\varepsilon}=(a+m) \frac{\varrho_{1} z_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+b \frac{\varrho_{2} w_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+\frac{1}{t_{\varepsilon}} f \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{28}\\
\left(-\Delta+q_{2}+m \varrho_{4}\right) w_{\varepsilon}= \\
c \frac{\varrho_{3} z_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+(d+m) \frac{\varrho_{4} w_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}+\frac{1}{t_{\varepsilon}} g \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
z_{\varepsilon}=w_{\varepsilon}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \quad z_{\varepsilon}, w_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

We know that $\forall \varphi \in D(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla z_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \varphi+\left(q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right) z_{\varepsilon} \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla z \cdot \nabla \varphi+\left(q_{1}+\right.\right.$ $\left.m \varrho_{1}\right) z \varphi$ ) $\mathrm{d} x$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{t_{\varepsilon}} f \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{\varrho_{1} z_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}-\varrho_{1} z\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}= & \int_{B_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varrho_{1} z_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}-\varrho_{1} z\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\varrho_{1} z\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\varrho_{1} z\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant k_{1} \int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}} \varrho|z|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{\varepsilon}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varrho_{1} z_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}-\varrho_{1} z\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & \leqslant \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varrho_{1} z_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}-\varrho_{1} z\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leqslant \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varrho_{1}\left(z_{\varepsilon}-z\right)-\varepsilon \varrho_{1} z\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leqslant 2 \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left(\left|\frac{\varrho_{1}\left(z_{\varepsilon}-z\right)}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} z\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}\right|^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varrho_{1}\left(z_{\varepsilon}-z\right)}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & \leqslant \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\varrho_{1}\left(z_{\varepsilon}-z\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leqslant k_{1} \int_{\Omega} \varrho\left|z_{\varepsilon}-z\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\frac{\varepsilon_{1} z\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}\right|^{2} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega, \\
\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} z\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}\right|^{2} \leqslant\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\varrho_{1} z\right|^{2} \leqslant k_{1} \varrho|z|^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $z \in L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega)$, by virtue of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we deduce that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)\left|\frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{1} z\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

Hence

$$
\left\|\frac{\varrho_{1} z_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}-\varrho_{1} z\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{2}}^{2}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

Analogously, we obtain

$$
\left\|\frac{\varrho_{3} z_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}-\varrho_{3} z\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{2}}^{2}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
\left\|\frac{\varrho_{i} w_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}-\varrho_{i} w\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{2}}^{2}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad(i=2,4) .
$$

When $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(-\Delta+q_{1}\right) z=a \varrho_{1} z+b \varrho_{2} w \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{29}\\
\left(-\Delta+q_{2}\right) w=c \varrho_{3} z+d \varrho_{4} w \text { in } \Omega \\
z=w=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \quad z, w \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

By virtue of Lemma 1, we have $z=w=0$, which is in contradiction with the fact that at least one sequence $\left(\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)\right.$ or $\left.\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$ has the norm equal to one. The sequence $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)$ (as well as $\left.\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$ is bounded in $V$.
(ix) We extract a sequence denoted again by $\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$ weakly convergent to $u^{0}$ (or $v^{0}$ ) in $V$ and hence strongly convergent to the same limit in $L_{\varrho}^{2}(\Omega)$.

We have $\forall \varphi \in D(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \varphi+\left(q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right) u_{\varepsilon} \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u^{0} \cdot \nabla \varphi+\left(q_{1}+m \varrho_{1}\right) u^{0} \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} x, \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\
& \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla v_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \varphi+\left(q_{2}+m \varrho_{4}\right) v_{\varepsilon} \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla v^{0} \cdot \nabla \varphi+\left(q_{2}+m \varrho_{4}\right) v^{0} \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} x \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way as in (viii), we show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{\varrho_{i} u_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}-\varrho_{i} u^{0}\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{-1}}}^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad(i=1,3), \\
& \left\|\frac{\varrho_{i} v_{\varepsilon}}{1+\varepsilon\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|} 1_{B_{\varepsilon}}-\varrho_{i} v^{0}\right\|_{L_{p_{1}^{-1}}^{2}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad(i=2,4) .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(-\Delta+q_{1}\right) u^{0}=a \varrho_{1} u^{0}+b \varrho_{2} v^{0}+f \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{S}\\
\left(-\Delta+q_{2}\right) v^{0}=c \varrho_{3} u^{0}+d \varrho_{4} v^{0}+g \text { in } \Omega, \\
u^{0}=v^{0}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega ; \quad u^{0}, v^{0} \rightarrow 0 \text { for }|x| \rightarrow+\infty .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We conclude that $\left(u^{0}, v^{0}\right)$ is a solution of (S).

The uniqueness of the solution follows from Lemma 1. Indeed, let $\left(u^{1}, v^{1}\right)$ be another solution of the system (S). We put $w=u^{0}-u^{1}$ and $z=v^{0}-v^{1}$, then $(w, z)$ is a solution of the system (15). Lemma 1 gives $(w, z)=(0,0)$.
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