Bohdan Zelinka Locally snake-like graphs

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 38 (1988), No. 1, 85--88

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136464

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1988

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

LOCALLY SNAKE-LIKE GRAPHS

BOHDAN ZELINKA

This paper continues the study of local properties of graphs pursued by various authors, eg. [1]-[4]. Surveys of these investigations are in [5] and [6].

Let G be an undirected graph (without loops and multiple edges), let v be its vertex. The symbol $N_G(v)$ denotes the subgraph of G induced by the set of all vertices which are adjacent to v in G.

A snake is a graph whose vertices and edges form a path. (We distinguish a "path" as a sequence of vertices and edges and a "snake" as a graph. But a graph which is called here "snake" is often called also "path".) In other words, a snake is a connected graph in which exactly two vertices have the degree 1 and all others have the degree 2. The length of a snake is the number of its edges.

A graph G with the property that $N_G(v)$ is a snake of the length at least 1 for each vertex v of G will be called a locally snake-like graph.

Here we shall study locally snake-like graphs which are finite, planar and 3-connected. We require them to be 3-connected, because in this case the faces of a finite planar graph are uniquely determined. The number of edges forming a boundary of a face will be called the degree of that face.

Note that if a locally snake-like graph G is 3-connected and not isomorphic to K_3 , then $N_G(v)$ for each v is a snake of the length at least 2; otherwise G would contain a vertex of the degree 2 and thus it would not be 3-connected.

Theorem 1. Let G be a finite planar 3-connected graph. Then the following two assertions are equivalent:

(i) G is locally snake-like.

(ii) Each vertex of G is adjacent to exactly one face of degree greater than 3 and each triangle in G is the boundary of a face of G.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). First we shall prove that each triangle in G. Consider an arbitrary triangle T in G with the vertices u, v, w. Suppose that T is not the boundary of a face of G. Then $V(G) - \{u, v, w\}$ (where V(G) is the vertex set of G) is the union of two non-empty disjoint sets A, B with the property that each path in G connecting a vertex of A with a vertex of B contains at least one of the vertices u, v, w. Each of the vertices u, v, w is adjacent to a vertex of A and

to a vertex of B; otherwise the remaining two of these vertices would form a two-element cutset in G and G would not be 3 connected. Consider the graph $N_G(u)$. It contains the edge vw and moreover at least one vertex of A and at least one vertex of B. As $N_G(u)$ is a snake, it contains a path connecting a vertex of A with a vertex of B; this path goes through the edge $v_{\rm M}$. Hence there exists a vertex $x_1 \in A$ adjacent to u and to one of the vertices v w without loss of generality let x_1 be adjacent to v. Now consider $N_G(w)$, nalogously we prove that there exists a vertex $x_2 \in A$ adjacent to w and to one of the vertices u, v; without loss of generality suppose that x_2 is adjacent to u. Now return to $N_G(u)$. It contains a path connecting x_1 with x_2 and having inner vertices v, w. Both terminal vertices of this path are in A. But u is adjacent also to a vertex $x_3 \in B$. Thus $N_G(u)$ must contain a path connecting x_3 with x_1 or x_2 As $x_1 \in A$, $x_2 \in A$, $x_3 \in B$, such a path must contain v or w (the vertex u is not in $N_G(u)$). But then v or w is adjacent in $N_G(u)$ to a vertex of B and thus it has the degree at least 3 in $N_G(u)$, which is a contradiction with the assumption that $N_G(u)$ is a snake. Hence T is the boundary of a face in G; as it was chosen arbitrarily, this is true for all triangles in G.

Now consider a vertex v of G. As G is locally snake-like, the graph $N_G(\iota)$ is a snake. Let its vertices be $u_0, u_1, ..., u_k$, let its edges be $u_{i-1}u_i$ for i = 1, ..., k. Then there exist triangles $u_{i-1}u_iv$ in G for i = 1, ..., k; they are faces of G, as it was proved above. The vertices u_1, u_k are not adjacent (otherwise $N_G(v)$ would contain a circuit), thus the edges vu_1, vu_k belong to a face of G of a degree greater than 3.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $F_1, ..., F_k$ be the faces incident with a vertex v of G such that F_i and F_{i+1} for i = 1, ..., k - 1 have a common edge vu_i and F_k , F_1 have a common edge vu_k . Let $F_2, ..., F_k$ be trianguar, let F_1 have more than 3 edges. Then the vertex set of $N_G(v)$ is $\{u_1, ..., u_k\}$ and this graph contains the edges u_iu_{i+1} for i = 1, ..., k - 1. Suppose that there exists an edge joining u_i, u_j , where $1 \leq i < i + 1 < j \leq k$. If i = 1, j = k, then the vertices v, u_1, u_k form a triangle and this is the boundary of F_1 ; the face F_1 is triangular, which is a contradiction. If i > 1(or j > k), then the vertices v, u_i, u_j form a triangle and simultaneously they separate u_{i-1} from u_{i+1} (or u_{j-1} from u_{j+1}); thus they form a triangle which is not a face of G, again a contradiction. Hence $N_G(v)$ is a snake. As this holds for each vertex v of G, the graph G is locally snake-like. \Box

Corollary. Let G be a finite planar 3-connected locally snake-like graph. Then the circuits of G which are boundaries of faces of degrees at least 4 form a spanning subragph of G, all of whose connected components are circuits.

Theorem 2. The maximum number of edges of a finite planar 3-connected locally snake-like graph with n vertices, where $n \ge 8$, is equal to 2n + 3[n/4] - 6.

Proof. Let G be a planar 3-connected locally snake-like graph with $n \ge 8$ vertices. Let G_0 be its spanning subgraph described in Corollary. Let the circuits of G_0 be $D_1, ..., D_k$, let d_i be the length of D_i for i = 1, ..., k. Obviously $\sum_{i=1}^k d_i = n$. As each D_i is the boundary of a face of G, it has no chord inside this face. It has

neither a chord outside this face, because then the end vertices of this chord would form a cutset in G and G would not be 3-connected. Hence none of the circuits $D_1, ..., D_k$ has a chord. Thus to each D_i we may add $d_i - 3$ chords in such a way that the graph G_1 obtained from G by adding them is also planar (obviously we put them inside the face bounded by this circuit). If m denotes the number of edges of G, then G_1 has $m + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (d_i - 3) = m + n - 3k$ edges. The

maximum number of edges of a planar graph with *n* vertices is 3n - 6; hence $m + n - 3k \leq 3n - 6$, which implies $m \leq 2n + 3k - 6$. As $d_i \geq 4$ for i = 1, ..., ..., k, the maximum possible k is [n/4] and this gives $m \leq 2n + 3[n/4] - 6$.

Now let $n \ge 8$ be given. Let r be the number from the set $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ such that $r \equiv n \pmod{4}$, let $k = \lfloor n/4 \rfloor$. Consider two disjoint circuits C_1 and C_2 . The vertex set of C_1 is $\{u_1, \ldots, u_{2k}\}$, the vertex set of C_2 is $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{2k+r}\}$. The edges of C_1 are $u_i u_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 2k - 1$ and $u_{2k} u_1$, the edges of C_2 are $v_i v_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 2k - 1$ and $u_{2k} u_1$, the edges of C_2 are $v_i v_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 2k - 1$ and $u_{2k} v_1$. We add the edges $u_i v_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 2k - 1$ and $u_{2k} v_{2k+r}$ and further the edges $u_i v_{i+1}$ for even $i \le 2k - 2$ and $u_{2k} v_1$. Finally, we add the edges $u_1 u_i$ for $i = 3, \ldots, 2k - 1$ and $v_1 v_i$ for $i = 3, \ldots, 2k + r - 1$. The resulting graph satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1, as the reader may verify himself. \Box



In Fig. 1 we see such a graph for n = 23. A planar 3-connected locally snake-like graph with eight vertices is shown in Fig. 2.



REFERENCES

- BLASS, A. HARARY, F MILLER, Z.: Which trees are link graphs? J. Comb. Theory (B) 29, 1980, 277–292.
- [2] CHARTRAND, G. PIPPERT, G.: Locally connected graphs Časop. pěst. mat. 99, 1974, 158–163.
- [3] CLARK, L.: Hamiltonian properties of connected, locally connected graphs. Congr. Num. 32, 1981, 199-204.
- [4] HELL, P.: Graphs with given neighbourhoods I. Colloq. Int. CNRS Orsay, 1976, 219 223.
- [5] SEDLÁČEK, J.: Lokální vlastnosti grafů. (Local properties of graphs) Časop. pěst. mat. 106, 1981, 290–298
- [6] SEDLÁČEK, J.: On local properties of finite graphs. In: Graph Theory, Łagów 1981. Springer-Verlag Berlin-Heidelberg-New York Tokyo 1983.

Received November 10, 1985

Katedra tváření a plastu Vysoké školy strojní a textilní Studentská 1292 461 17 Liberec 1.

ЛОКАЛЬНО ЗМЕЕОБРАЗНЫЕ ГРАФЫ

Bohdan Zelinka

Резюме

Симболом $N_G(v)$ обозначается полграф G порожденный множством всех вершин, смежных с вершиной v в G. Если $N_G(v)$ является цепью для каждой вершины v графа G, то G называется локально змееобразным графом. Исследуются локально змееобразные графы, которые планарны и 3-связны.