Elżbieta Sadowska Almost midconvex and almost convex set-valued functions

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 50 (2000), No. 4, 453--461

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136786

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2000

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Math. Slovaca, 50 (2000), No. 4, 453-461



ALMOST MIDCONVEX AND ALMOST CONVEX SET-VALUED FUNCTIONS

Elżbieta Sadowska

(Communicated by Michal Zajac)

ABSTRACT. Let X be a topological vector space and D be a convex and open subset of X. Let Y be a separable normed space. Denote by \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 proper linearly invariant σ -ideals in X and $X \times X$, respectively, satisfying certain conditions (a) and (b). Given a set-valued function $F: D \to cc(Y)$ such that

$$\frac{F(x)+F(y)}{2} \subset F\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \qquad \Im_2\text{-a.e. in } D\times D\,,$$

we claim that there exists a unique midconvex set-valued function $G\colon D\to cc(Y)$ such that

$$F(x) = G(x)$$
 \Im_1 -a.e. in D .

A similar result is obtained for almost convex set-valued functions.

This paper is devoted to \Im -almost midconvex and \Im -almost convex setvalued functions. We will answer the question if every almost midconvex (convex) set-valued function is equivalent to a midconvex (convex) one. This problem was considered for single-valued functions by M. Kuczma and R. Ger (cf. [4], [3] and [2]). Earlier such a question was raised for additive functions by P. Erdös in 1960. A positive answer was given by N. G. de Bruijn and W. B. Jurkat; some generalization was also obtained by J. L. Denny and R. Ger (cf. [4], [2] and the references given there).

We shall use definitions and notations introduced by M. Kuczma in [4]. Nevertheless we will recall some definition and lemmas.

Let X be an arbitrary set and let 2^X denote the set of all subsets of X. A non-empty family $\Im \subset 2^X$ is said to be σ -*ideal*, if it satisfies conditions

(i)
$$A \in \Im \land B \subset A \implies B \in \Im$$
,

$$\text{(ii)} \ A_n\in \Im, \ n\in \mathbb{N}, \implies \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n\in \Im.$$

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 26A51, 39B72, 54C60.

Key words: midconvex set-valued function, convex set-valued function.

If a σ -ideal \Im additionally satisfies the condition

(iii) $X \notin \Im$,

then it is called *proper*.

Let \Im be a proper σ -ideal. We say that a condition depending on $x \in X$ is satisfied \Im -almost everywhere in X (\Im -a.e. in X), if there exists a set $A \in \Im$ such that the given condition is satisfied for all $x \in X \setminus A$.

We say that a σ -ideal defined on a (not necessarily commutative) group (X, +) is *linearly invariant*, if it satisfies the condition

(iv) $\forall x \in X \quad \forall A \in \Im \qquad x - A \in \Im$.

In the sequel the phrase "a proper linearly invariant σ -ideal" will be abbreviated to "a p.l.i. σ -ideal".

LEMMA A. ([4]) If (X, +) is a group and $\mathfrak{I} \subset 2^X$ is a linearly invariant σ -ideal, then for all $x \in X$ and $A \in \mathfrak{I}$ we have:

$$-A \in \mathfrak{F}, \qquad x + A \in \mathfrak{F}, \qquad A + x \in \mathfrak{F}.$$

Let us define, for an arbitrary set $A \subset X \times X$ and $x \in X$, the set

$$A[x] := \left\{ y \in X : \ (x,y) \in A \right\}.$$

Let \mathfrak{F}_1 be a σ -ideal in X and let \mathfrak{F}_2 be a σ -ideal in $X \times X$. We say that σ -ideals \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 are *conjugate*, if for any set $A \in \mathfrak{F}_2$

$$A[x] \in \mathfrak{S}_1$$
 \mathfrak{S}_1 -a.e. in X .

Now let X be a topological vector space. In the sequel we will be considering σ -ideals \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 in X and $X \times X$, respectively, satisfying conditions

- (a) $A \in \mathfrak{S}_1 \land a \in \mathbb{R} \implies aA \in \mathfrak{S}_1$,
- (b) $A \in \mathfrak{S}_2 \implies T(A) \in \mathfrak{S}_2$,

where $T: X \times X \to X \times X$ is given by the formula $T(x, y) := \frac{1}{2}(x + y, x - y)$, $x, y \in X$.

LEMMA B. ([4]) Let \Im be a p.l.i. σ -ideal in X satisfying condition (a). If $D \subset X$ is a non-empty open set, then $D \notin \Im$.

LEMMA C. ([4]) Let X be a topological vector space, $D \subset X$ be a convex and open set, \Im be a p.l.i. σ -ideal in X satisfying condition (a) and let $f: D \to [-\infty, \infty)$ and $g: D \to [-\infty, \infty)$ be midconvex functions equal \Im -a.e. in D. Then f = g in D.

Now let us recall a result of M. Kuczma.

THEOREM A. Let D be a convex and open subset of a topological vector space X. Let us denote by \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 p.l.i. conjugate σ -ideals in X and $X \times X$, respectively, satisfying conditions (a) and (b).

If $f: D \to [-\infty, \infty)$ is \mathfrak{T}_2 -almost midconvex, then there exists a unique midconvex function $g: D \to [-\infty, \infty)$ such that $f(x) = g(x) \mathfrak{T}_1$ -a.e. in D.

In fact K u c z m a's theorem is formulated for $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ and for real-valued functions, but it can be proved for any topological vector space X and functions with values in $[-\infty, \infty)$, in the same way (see also R. G er [2; Theorem 3] and its proof for $\varepsilon = 0$).

Let Y be a topological vector space. We will denote by n(Y) the family of all non-empty subsets of the set Y, by ccl(Y) the family of all convex and closed elements of n(Y) and by cc(Y) the family of all convex and compact elements of n(Y).

A set-valued function $F: D \to n(Y)$ is called *midconvex* if

$$\frac{F(x) + F(y)}{2} \subset F\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right), \qquad x, y \in D.$$

If \mathfrak{F}_2 is a σ -ideal in $X \times X$ and the above condition holds \mathfrak{F}_2 -a.e. in $D \times D$, then F is called \mathfrak{F}_2 -almost midconvex.

Using the quoted above theorem we can prove the following result.

THEOREM 1. Let X be a topological vector space and D be a convex and open subset of X. Denote by \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 two conjugate p.l.i. σ -ideals in X and $X \times X$, respectively, satisfying conditions (a) and (b).

If a set-valued function $F: D \to \operatorname{ccl}(\mathbb{R})$ is \mathfrak{F}_2 -almost midconvex then there exists a unique midconvex set-valued function $G: D \to \operatorname{ccl}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$F(x) = G(x) \qquad \Im_1 \text{-}a.e. \text{ in } D.$$
(1)

Proof. Let us consider two functions $f: D \to [-\infty, \infty)$ and $g: D \to (-\infty, \infty)$ defined by the formulas

$$f(x) := \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \inf F(x) \,, & ext{if } F(x) ext{ is bounded below,} \\ -\infty \,, & ext{if } F(x) ext{ is unbounded below,} \end{array}
ight.$$

and

$$g(x) := \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \sup F(x)\,, & ext{if } F(x) ext{ is bounded above,} \\ +\infty\,, & ext{if } F(x) ext{ is unbounded above.} \end{array}
ight.$$

Since F is \mathfrak{S}_2 -almost midconvex, the functions f and g are such that

$$\frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2} \ge f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{g(x) + g(y)}{2} \leqslant g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$$
$$\Im_2\text{-a.e. in } D \times D.$$

Thus by K u c z m a's theorem there exist a midconvex function $r: D \to [-\infty, \infty)$ equal to $f \ \mathfrak{F}_1$ -a.e. in D and a midconcave function $s: D \to (-\infty, \infty]$ equal to $g \ \mathfrak{F}_1$ -a.e. in D. Let $A \subset X$ be such that f(x) = r(x) and g(x) = s(x) for all $x \in D \setminus A$.

We will show that $r(x) \leq s(x)$ for all $x \in D$. Notice that if r is equal to $-\infty$ at some point $x \in D$, then it is equal to $-\infty$ everywhere in D, and if $s(x) = +\infty$ for some $x \in D$, then s equals $+\infty$ for every $x \in D$. So, when $r \equiv -\infty$ or $s \equiv +\infty$, then, certainly, $r(x) \leq s(x)$, $x \in D$.

Now assume that both of these functions are real-valued. If x belongs to $D \setminus A$, then $r(x) = f(x) \leq g(x) = s(x)$. Suppose now that there exists $x_0 \in D$ such that $r(x_0) > s(x_0)$. Certainly $x_0 \in A$. Since D is an open set, there exists a neighbourhood U of x_0 contained in D. Without loss of generality we may assume that $U = x_0 + V_0$, where V_0 is a symmetric neighbourhood of zero $(V_0 = -V_0)$.

Fix an arbitrary $x \in (x_0 + V_0) \setminus A$ and take $y := 2x_0 - x \in (x_0 + V_0)$. Since $x \notin A$, $r(x) \leq s(x)$ we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{s(x) + s(y)}{2} &\leqslant s\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = s(x_0) \\ &< r(x_0) = r\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leqslant \frac{r(x) + r(y)}{2} \\ &\leqslant \frac{s(x) + r(y)}{2} \,. \end{split}$$

Thus s(y) < r(y). This means that $y \in A$ and consequently $x = 2x_0 - y \in 2x_0 - A$.

So $(x_0 + V_0) \setminus A \subset 2x_0 - A \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ and hence $(x_0 + V_0) \setminus A \in \mathfrak{S}_1$. Since

 $(x_0 + V_0) \subset \left[(x_0 + V_0) \setminus A \right] \cup A \in \mathfrak{S}_1 \,.$

then $(x_0 + V_0) \in \mathfrak{F}_1$, and this is a contradiction with Lemma B. Hence the setvalued function $G(x) := \{y \in \mathbb{R} : r(x) \leq y \leq s(x)\}$ is well-defined and it is midconvex.

Now let us take another midconvex set-valued function $H: D \to \operatorname{ccl}(\mathbb{R})$ equal to $F \ \mathfrak{F}_1$ -a.e. in D. Certainly it can be represented in the following way $H(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R} : u(x) \leq y \leq v(x)\}, x \in D$. where $u: D \to [-\infty, \infty)$ is midconvex and $v: D \to (-\infty, \infty]$ is midconcave. Because $u = r \ \mathfrak{F}_1$ -a.e. in D and both of these functions are midconvex, then, according to Lemma C, they are equal. Similarly v is equal to s, so in fact H = G in D, which means that G is unique

To prove the next theorem we need the following result (cf. [1]) which forces us to make an additional assumption that the set-valued functions under consideration have values in a separable normed space. **THEOREM B.** Let Y be a separable normed space. Then there is a countable set W of continuous linear functionals on Y such that if K is a non-empty, compact, convex subset of Y and B is a closed ball in Y disjoint from K, then there exists $f \in W$ for which max $f(K) < \inf f(B)$.

The main result of this paper reads as follows:

THEOREM 2. Let X be a topological vector space, D be a convex and open subset of X and Y be a separable normed space. Denote by \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 p.l.i. conjugate σ -ideals in X and $X \times X$, respectively, satisfying conditions (a) and (b).

If a set-valued function $F: D \to cc(Y)$ is \mathfrak{T}_2 -almost midconvex, then there exists a unique midconvex set-valued function $G: D \to cc(Y)$ such that

$$F(x) = G(x) \qquad \Im_1 \text{-}a.e. \text{ in } D.$$
(2)

Proof. It is easy to verify that for each $p \in Y^*$ the set-valued function $p \circ F \colon D \to 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ is \mathfrak{F}_2 -almost midconvex. Notice that $p \circ F$ has compact and convex values in \mathbb{R} , so by Theorem 1 for each $p \in Y^*$ there exists a midconvex set-valued function $F_p \colon D \to \operatorname{ccl}(\mathbb{R})$ and a set $A_p \in \mathfrak{F}_1$ such that for all $x \in D \setminus A_p$ one has $p \circ F(x) = F_p(x)$. The function $G_p \colon D \to 2^Y$ defined as follows

$$G_p(x):=\left\{y\in Y:\ p(y)\in F_p(x)\right\},\qquad x\in D\,,$$

has non-empty, convex and closed values.

Let W be a countable family of continuous linear functionals on Y as in Theorem B. Let us consider the set-valued function $G: D \to 2^Y$ defined by the formula

$$G(x):=\bigcap_{p\in W}G_p(x)\,,\qquad x\in D\,.$$

Clearly, all the values G(x) are convex and closed.

Notice that, because the set W is countable, $\bigcup_{p\in W}A_p$ belongs to $\sigma\text{-ideal}\ \Im_1.$ We will show that

$$F(x) = G(x) \,, \qquad x \in D \setminus \bigcup_{p \in W} A_p \,.$$

Let us take an $x \in D \setminus \bigcup_{p \in W} A_p$. For each $y \in F(x)$ we have $p(y) \in (p \circ F)(x) = F_p(x)$ so, by definition, $y \in G_p(x)$. Hence $F(x) \subset G_p(x)$ for each $p \in W$ and, as a consequence, $F(x) \subset G(x)$. Now let us take $y \in G(x)$. For every $p \in W$ we have $p(y) \in F_p(x) = (p \circ F)(x)$. Suppose that $y \notin F(x)$. Then, because F(x) is compact and convex, there exists a $p_0 \in W$ and a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $p_0(y) > c > \max_{z \in F(x)} p_0(z) \ge p_0(z)$ for all $z \in F(x)$. Hence $p_0(y) \notin (p_0 \circ F)(x)$, which is a contradiction. Thus G(x) = F(x) for \mathfrak{I}_1 -almost all x from D.

To show that G is midconvex we shall first prove the midconvexity of G_p for arbitrarily chosen $p \in Y^*$. Let us fix arbitrary $x, y \in D$, $u \in G_p(x)$ and $v \in G_p(y)$. Then, by midconvexity of F_p ,

$$p\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) = \frac{p(u)+p(v)}{2} \in \frac{F_p(x)+F_p(y)}{2} \subset F_p\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$$

that is $\frac{u+v}{2} \in G_p(\frac{x+y}{2})$. Hence $\frac{G_p(x)+G_p(y)}{2} \subset G_p(\frac{x+y}{2})$. So $\frac{G(x)+G(y)}{2} \subset \frac{G_p(x)+G_p(y)}{2} \subset G_p(\frac{x+y}{2})$

$$\frac{G(x)+G(y)}{2} \subset \frac{G_p(x)+G_p(y)}{2} \subset G_p\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) ,$$

for any $p \in W$. Thus

$$\frac{G(x)+G(y)}{2} \subset \bigcap_{p \in W} G_p\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = G\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \,,$$

i.e. G is midconvex.

The next step of our proof is to show that the values of the function G are not empty. Suppose that there exists a point $x_0 \in D$ for which $G(x_0) = \emptyset$. Because for all $x \in D \setminus \bigcup_{p \in W} A_p$ we have that G(x) = F(x) and the values of Fare not empty, there exists $p \in W$ such that $x_0 \in A_p$. Let us take a symmetric neighbourhood V of zero such that $x_0 + V \subset D$. Let us choose an arbitrary point $x \in (x_0 + V) \setminus \bigcup_{p \in W} A_p$. Notice that such an element x exists because otherwise $x_0 + V \subset \bigcup_{p \in W} A_p \in \mathfrak{S}_1$, which contradicts Lemma B. Of course $G(x) = F(x) \neq \emptyset$. Let us take $y := 2x_0 - x \in x_0 + V$. Then

$$\frac{G(x)+G(y)}{2}\subset G(x_0)=\emptyset\,.$$

It means that $G(y) = \emptyset$ and hence $y \in \bigcup_{p \in W} A_p$. Thus $x \in 2x_0 - \bigcup_{p \in W} A_p$. Consequently, we have

$$x_0 + V \subset \left((x_0 + V) \setminus \bigcup_{p \in W} A_p \right) \cup \bigcup_{p \in W} A_p \subset \left(2x_0 - \bigcup_{p \in W} A_p \right) \cup \bigcup_{p \in W} A_p \in \mathfrak{S}_1 \,,$$

which contradicts Lemma B.

Notice that all the values of G are compact. To show this let us fix an arbitrary x_0 and take a neighbourhood $V_1 \subset D$ of x_0 . The set $V_2 := \frac{1}{2}(V_1 - x_0) + x_0 \subset D$ is open and non-empty, so $V_2 \notin \mathfrak{I}_1$. Hence there exists an $x \in V_2$ such that F(x) = G(x). Let us take $y = 2x - x_0 \in V_1$ and some $u \in G(y)$. Then

$$\frac{G(x_0)+u}{2}\subset \frac{G(x_0)+G(y)}{2}\subset G(x)\,.$$

458

Because $G(x_0)$ is a closed subset of the compact set 2G(x) - u, it is compact itself.

To verify the uniqueness of G let us consider another midconvex set-valued function $H: D \to cc(Y)$ equal to $F \mathfrak{F}_1$ -a.e. in D. Suppose that $G(x) \neq H(x)$ for some $x \in D$. Let $u \in H(x) \setminus G(x)$ (or $u \in G(x) \setminus H(x)$). By Theorem B, there is $p \in W$ for which $p(u) \notin p(G(x))$ (or $p(u) \notin p(H(x))$). Hence $p(H(x)) \setminus$ $p(G(x)) \neq \emptyset$ (or $p(G(x)) \setminus p(H(x)) \neq \emptyset$). On the other hand, for all $p \in Y^*$ the superpositions $p \circ H$ and $p \circ G$ are midconvex and \mathfrak{F}_1 -a.e. equal in D. Hence, by Theorem 1, they are equal, which gives a contradiction and ends the proof.

We say that a set-valued function $F: D \to n(Y)$ is *convex* if it satisfies the following condition

$$\lambda F(x) + (1 - \lambda)F(y) \subset F(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)$$
(3)

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $x, y \in D$.

A set-valued function $F: D \to n(Y)$ is termed \mathfrak{S}_2 -almost convex if for all $\lambda \in [0,1]$ there exists a set $M(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{S}_2$ such that condition (3) is satisfied for all $(x, y) \in D^2 \setminus M(\lambda)$.

To prove the next result we will use the following theorem of R. Ger (cf. [2; Theorem 2] and its proof for $\varepsilon = 0$):

THEOREM C. For each \Im_2 -almost convex function $f: D \to [-\infty, +\infty)$ there exists a convex function $g: D \to [-\infty, +\infty)$ such that $f = g \ \Im_1$ -almost everywhere in D.

In fact it was formulated for real-valued functions, but it can be proved for functions with values in $[-\infty, +\infty)$, in the same way.

THEOREM 3. Let X be a topological vector space and D be a convex and open subset of X. Denote by \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 p.l.i. conjugate σ -ideals in X and $X \times X$, respectively, satisfying conditions (a) and (b).

If a set-valued function $F: D \to \operatorname{ccl}(\mathbb{R})$ is \mathfrak{T}_2 -almost convex, then there exists a unique convex set-valued function $G: D \to \operatorname{ccl}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$F(x) = G(x)$$
 \Im_1 - a.e. in D.

Proof. If we define functions f and g as in the proof of Theorem 1, it is enough to notice that functions f and g are \mathfrak{F}_2 -almost convex and \mathfrak{F}_2 -almost concave, respectively. Hence, by Theorem C, there exist a convex function requal to $f \mathfrak{F}_1$ -a.e. in D and a concave function s equal to $g \mathfrak{F}_1$ -a.e. in D. The functions r and s are midconvex and midconcave, respectively, so by Theorem A

they are unique and like in the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain that $r(x) \leq s(x)$, $x \in D$. Hence we infer that $G: D \to \operatorname{ccl}(\mathbb{R})$ given by the formula

$$G(x) := \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R} : r(x) \leqslant y \leqslant s(x) \right\}, \qquad x \in D,$$

is well-defined, convex and unique function equal to $F \Im_1$ -a.e. in D.

THEOREM 4. Let X be a topological vector space, D be a convex and open subset of X and Y be a separable normed space. Denote by \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 p.l.i. conjugate σ -ideals in X and X × X, respectively, satisfying conditions (a) and (b).

If a set-valued function $F: D \to cc(Y)$ is \mathfrak{F}_2 -almost convex, then there exists a unique convex set-valued function $G: D \to cc(Y)$ such that

$$F(x) = G(x)$$
 \Im_1 - a.e. in D.

Proof. The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 2. Let us notice that for each $p \in Y^*$ a set-valued function $p \circ F \colon D \to 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ is \mathfrak{F}_2 -almost convex. Notice that $p \circ F$ has compact and convex values in \mathbb{R} , so by Theorem 3 for each $p \in Y^*$ there exists a convex set-valued function $F_p \colon D \to \operatorname{ccl}(\mathbb{R})$ and a set $A_p \in \mathfrak{F}_1$ such that for all $x \in D \setminus A_p$ one has $p \circ F(x) = F_p(x)$. A function $G_p \colon D \to 2^Y$ defined by

$$G_p(x):=\left\{y\in Y:\ p(y)\in F_p(x)\right\},\qquad x\in D\,,$$

has non-empty, convex and closed values.

Let W be a countable family of continuous linear functionals on Y as in Theorem B. Let us consider a set-valued function $G: D \to 2^Y$ defined by the formula

$$G(x) := \bigcap_{p \in W} G_p(x), \qquad x \in D.$$

Clearly, all the values G(x) are convex and closed.

Notice that because the set W is countable, $\bigcup_{p \in W} A_p$ belongs to the σ -ideal \mathfrak{I}_1 . Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 2 we can show that

$$F(x) = G(x), \qquad x \in D \setminus \bigcup_{p \in W} A_p,$$

and that the function G is convex (and of course midconvex). Hence by Theorem 2 the function G has non-empty convex and compact values and it is the only convex function such that F(x) = G(x) for \mathfrak{I}_1 -almost all $x \in D$. \Box

460

ALMOST MIDCONVEX AND ALMOST CONVEX SET-VALUED FUNCTIONS

REFERENCES

- DEBREU, G.: Integration of Correspondences. In: Proc. Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, University of California Press, 1965/66, pp. 351-372.
- [2] GER, R.: Almost approximately convex functions, Math. Slovaca 38 (1988), 61-77.
- [3] KUCZMA, M.: Almost convex functions, Colloq. Math. 21 (1970), 279-284.
- [4] KUCZMA, M.: An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities. Cauchy's Equation and Jensen's Inequality. Prace Nauk. Uniw. Śląsk. Katowic. 489, PWN-Uniw. Śląski, Warszawa-Kraków-Katowice, 1985.

Received August 12, 1998

Katedra Matematyki Politechnika Lódzka Filia w Bielsku-Białej ul. Willowa 2 PL-43-309 Bielsko-Biała POLAND