Chawalit Boonpok ∂ -closed sets in biclosure spaces

Acta Mathematica Universitatis Ostraviensis, Vol. 17 (2009), No. 1, 51--66

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/137527

Terms of use:

© University of Ostrava, 2009

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

∂-Closed Sets in Biclosure Spaces

Chawalit Boonpok

Abstract. In the present paper, we introduce and study the concept of ∂ -closed sets in biclosure spaces and investigate its behavior. We also introduce and study the concept of ∂ -continuous maps.

1 Introduction

A bitopological space $(X, \mathfrak{I}_1, \mathfrak{I}_2)$ is a set X together with two topologies \mathfrak{I}_1 and \mathfrak{I}_2 defined on X. The study of bitopological spaces was initiated by J. C. Kelly [6]. Thereafter, a large number of papers have been written to generalize topological concepts to bitopological setting. Closure spaces were studied in [1] (see also [2], [3], [9], [10]) as sets endowed with a grounded, extensive and monotone closure operator. In this paper, we introduce and study the concept of ∂ -closed sets in biclosure spaces and characterize their properties. Moreover, we define the notions of ∂ -continuity and ∂ -irresoluteness by using ∂ -closed sets and study some of their basic properties.

2 Preliminaries

A map $u: P(X) \to P(X)$ defined on the power set P(X) of a set X is called a *closure operator* on X and the pair (X, u) is called a *closure space* if the following axioms are satisfied:

(N1) $u\emptyset = \emptyset$,

(N2) $A \subseteq uA$ for every $A \subseteq X$,

(N3) $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow uA \subseteq uB$ for all $A, B \subseteq X$.

A closure operator u on a set X is called *additive* (respectively, *idempotent*) if $A, B \subseteq X \Rightarrow u(A \cup B) = uA \cup uB$ (respectively, $A \subseteq X \Rightarrow uuA = uA$). A subset $A \subseteq X$ is closed in the closure space (X, u) if uA = A and it is open if

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A05

Key Words and Phrases: closure operator, closure space, biclosure space, ∂ -closed set, ∂ -continuous map.

its complement is closed. The empty set and the whole space are both open and closed.

A closure space (Y, v) is said to be a subspace of (X, u) if $Y \subseteq X$ and vA = $uA \cap Y$ for each subset $A \subseteq Y$. If Y is closed in (X, u), then the subspace (Y, v) of (X, u) is said to be closed too. A closure space (X, u) is said to be a T_0 -space if, for any pair of points $x, y \in X$, from $x \in u\{y\}$ and $y \in u\{x\}$ it follows that x = y, and it is called a $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space if each singleton subset of X is closed or open.

Let (X, u) and (Y, v) be closure spaces. A map $f: (X, u) \to (Y, v)$ is said to be continuous if $f(uA) \subseteq vf(A)$ for every subset $A \subseteq X$.

One can see that a map $f: (X, u) \to (Y, v)$ is continuous if and only if

$$uf^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(vB)$$

for every subset $B \subseteq Y$. Clearly, if $f: (X, u) \to (Y, v)$ is continuous, then $f^{-1}(F)$ is a closed subset of (X, u) for every closed subset F of (Y, v).

Let (X, u) and (Y, v) be closure spaces. A map $f: (X, u) \to (Y, v)$ is said to be closed (resp. open) if f(F) is a closed (resp. open) subset of (Y, v) whenever F is a closed (resp. open) subset of (X, u).

The product of a family $\{(X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}) : \alpha \in I\}$ of closure spaces, denoted by $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}), \text{ is the closure space } \left(\prod_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha}, u\right) \text{ where } \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha} \text{ denotes the cartesian product of sets } X_{\alpha}, \alpha \in I, \text{ and } u \text{ is the closure operator generated by the projections}$

$$\pi_{\alpha} \colon \prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u) \to (X_{\alpha}, u) ,$$

 $\alpha \in I$, i.e., is defined by

$$uA = \prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha} \pi_{\alpha}(A)$$

for each $A \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha}$. Clearly, if $\{(X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}) : \alpha \in I\}$ is a family of closure spaces, then the projection map $\pi_{\beta} \colon \prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}) \to (X_{\beta}, u_{\beta})$ is closed and continuous for every $\beta \in I$.

Proposition 1. Let $\{(X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}) : \alpha \in I\}$ be a family of closure spaces and let $\beta \in I$. Then F is a closed subset of (X_{β}, u_{β}) if and only if $F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is a closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha})$. of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}).$

Proof. Let F be a closed subset of (X_{β}, u_{β}) . Since π_{β} is continuous, $\pi_{\beta}^{-1}(F)$ is a closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha})$. But

$$\pi_{\beta}^{-1}(F) = F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \,,$$

hence $F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is a closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha})$.

Conversely, let $F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ be a closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha})$. Since π_{β} is closed,

$$\pi_{\beta}\left(F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}\right) = F$$

is a closed subset of (X_{β}, u_{β}) .

The following statement is evident:

Proposition 2. Let $\{(X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}) : \alpha \in I\}$ be a family of closure spaces and let $\beta \in I$. Then G is an open subset of (X_{β}, u_{β}) if and only if $G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is an open subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha})$.

Definition 1. Let (X, u) be a closure space. A subset $A \subseteq X$ is called a generalized closed set, briefly a g-closed set, if $uA \subseteq G$ whenever G is an open subset of (X, u) with $A \subseteq G$. A subset $A \subseteq X$ is called a generalized open set, briefly a g-open set, if its complement is g-closed.

Proposition 3. Let (X, u) be a closure space. A set $A \subseteq X$ is g-open if and only if $F \subseteq X - u(X - A)$ whenever F is a closed subset of (X, u) with $F \subseteq A$.

Proof. Suppose that A is g-open and let $F \subseteq A$ be a closed subset of (X, u). Then $X - A \subseteq X - F$. But X - A is g-closed and X - F is open. It follows that $u(X - A) \subseteq X - F$ and hence $F \subseteq X - u(X - A)$.

Conversely, let $X - A \subseteq G$ where G is open. Then $X - G \subseteq A$. Since X - G is closed, $X - G \subseteq X - u(X - A)$. Therefore, $u(X - A) \subseteq G$. Hence, X - A is g-closed and so A is g-open.

Proposition 4. Let $\{(X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}) : \alpha \in I\}$ be a family of closure spaces and let $\beta \in I$. Then G is a g-open subset of (X_{β}, u_{β}) if and only if $G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is a g-open subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha})$.

Proof. Let F be a closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha})$ such that $F \subseteq G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$. Then

 $\pi_{\beta}(F) \subseteq G$. Since $\pi_{\beta}(F)$ is closed and G is g-open in (X_{β}, u_{β}) ,

$$\pi_{\beta}(F) \subseteq X_{\beta} - u_{\beta}(X_{\beta} - G) \,.$$

Therefore,

$$F \subseteq \pi_{\beta}^{-1}(X_{\beta} - u_{\beta}(X_{\beta} - G)) = \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha} - \prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha} \pi_{\alpha} \left(\prod_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha} - G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \right).$$

By Proposition 4, $G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is a g-open subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha})$.

Conversely, let F be a closed subset of (X_{β}, u_{β}) such that $F \subseteq G$. Then

$$F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \subseteq G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$$

Since $F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is closed and $G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is g-open in $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha})$, $F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha} - \prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha} \pi_{\alpha} \Big(\prod_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha} - G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \Big)$

by Proposition 4. Therefore,

$$\prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha} \pi_{\alpha} \Big((X_{\beta} - G) \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \Big) \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha} - F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} = (X_{\beta} - F) \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \,.$$

Consequently, $u_{\beta}(X_{\beta} - G) \subseteq X_{\beta} - F$ implies $F \subseteq X_{\beta} - u_{\beta}(X_{\beta} - G)$. Hence, G is a g-open subset of (X_{β}, u_{β}) .

Proposition 5. Let $\{(X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}) : \alpha \in I\}$ be a family of closure spaces and let $\beta \in I$. Then F is a g-closed subset of (X_{β}, u_{β}) if and only if $F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is a g-closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha})$.

Proof. Let F be a g-closed subset of (X_{β}, u_{β}) . Then $X_{\beta} - F$ is a g-open subset of (X_{β}, u_{β}) . By Proposition 5,

$$(X_{\beta} - F) \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} = \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha} - F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$$

is a g-open subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha})$. Hence, $F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is a g-closed subset of

Conversely, let G be an open subset of (X_{β}, u_{β}) such that $F \subseteq G$. Then

$$F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \subseteq G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \, .$$

Since $F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is g-closed and $G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is open in $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha})$,

$$\prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha} \pi_{\alpha} \left(F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\beta} \right) \subseteq G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \, .$$

Consequently, $u_{\beta}F \subseteq G$. Therefore, F is a g-closed subset of (X_{β}, u_{β}) .

Proposition 6. Let $\{(X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}) : \alpha \in I\}$ be a family of closure spaces. For each $\beta \in I$, let

$$\pi_{\beta} \colon \prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}) \to (X_{\beta}, u_{\beta})$$

be the projection map. If F is a g-closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha})$, then $\pi_{\beta}(F)$ is a g-closed subset of (X_{β}, u_{β}) .

Proof. Let F be a g-closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha})$ and let G be an open subset of (X_{β}, u_{β}) such that $\pi_{\beta}(F) \subseteq G$. Then

$$F \subseteq \pi_{\beta}^{-1}(G) = G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \, .$$

Since $G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is an open subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha})$,

$$\prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha} \pi_{\alpha}(F) \subseteq G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}.$$

Consequently, $u_{\beta}\pi_{\beta}(F) \subseteq G$. Hence, $\pi_{\beta}(F)$ is a g-closed subset of (X_{β}, u_{β}) . \Box

Definition 2. A biclosure space is a triple (X, u_1, u_2) where X is a set and u_1, u_2 are two closure operators on X.

Definition 3. A subset A of a biclosure space (X, u_1, u_2) is called *closed* if $u_1u_2A = A$ and it is *open* if its complement is closed.

Clearly, A is a closed subset of a biclosure space (X, u_1, u_2) if and only if A is both a closed subset of (X, u_1) and (X, u_2) .

Let A be a closed subset of a biclosure space (X, u_1, u_2) . The following conditions are equivalent

- (i) $u_2 u_1 A = A$,
- (ii) $u_1 A = A, u_2 A = A.$

Proposition 7. Let $\{(X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2}) : \alpha \in I\}$ be a family of biclosure spaces. Then F is a closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2})$ if and only if F is a closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1})$ and $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{2})$.

Proof. Let F be a closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2})$. Then

$$F = \prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^{1} \pi_{\alpha} \left(\prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^{2} \pi_{\alpha}(F) \right).$$

Since $F \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^2 \pi_{\alpha}(F)$, $\prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^{1} \pi_{\alpha}(F) \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^{1} \pi_{\alpha} \left(\prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^{2} \pi_{\alpha}(F) \right) = F.$

Hence, F is a closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^1)$. Since $\prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^2 \pi_{\alpha}(F) \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^2 \pi_{\alpha}(F)$,

$$\prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^2 \pi_{\alpha}(F) \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^1 \pi_{\alpha} \left(\prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^2 \pi_{\alpha}(F) \right) = F.$$

Therefore, F is a closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^2)$. Conversely, let F be a closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^1)$ and $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^2)$. Then $F = \prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^1 \pi_{\alpha}(F)$ and $F = \prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^2 \pi_{\alpha}(F)$. Consequently,

$$F = \prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^{1} \pi_{\alpha} \left(\prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^{2} \pi_{\alpha}(F) \right).$$

Hence, F is a closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2}).$

Proposition 8. Let $\{(X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2}) : \alpha \in I\}$ be a family of biclosure spaces and let $\beta \in I$. Then F is a closed subset of $(X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^1, u_{\beta}^2)$ if and only if $F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is a closed subset of $\prod (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^1, u_{\alpha}^2)$. closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2}).$

Proof. Let F be a closed subset of $(X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^1, u_{\beta}^2)$. Then F is a closed subset of $(X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^{1})$ and $(X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^{2})$, respectively. Therefore, $F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is a closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}) \text{ and } \prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{2}). \text{ Consequently, } F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}}^{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \text{ is a closed subset of } \prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2}).$ Conversely, let $F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ be a closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2})$. Then $F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is a closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1})$ and $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{2})$, respectively. Hence, F is a closed subset of (X_{β}, u_{β}^1) and (X_{β}, u_{β}^2) . Consequently, F is a closed subset of $(X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^1, u_{\beta}^2)$.

Definition 4. Let (X, u_1, u_2) and (Y, v_1, v_2) be biclosure spaces and let $i \in \{1, 2\}$. A map

$$f\colon (X, u_1, u_2) \to (Y, v_1, v_2)$$

is called *i*-continuous if the map $f: (X, u_i) \to (Y, v_i)$ is continuous. A map f is called *continuous* if f is *i*-continuous for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Definition 5. Let (X, u_1, u_2) and (Y, v_1, v_2) be biclosure spaces and let $i \in \{1, 2\}$. A map

$$f\colon (X, u_1, u_2) \to (Y, v_1, v_2)$$

is called *i*-closed (resp. *i*-open) if the map $f: (X, u_i) \to (Y, v_i)$ is closed (resp. open). A map f is called closed (resp. open) if f is *i*-closed (resp. *i*-open) for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

3 ∂ -Closed Sets

In this section, we introduce a new class of ∂ -closed sets in biclosure spaces and study some of its properties.

Definition 6. A subset A of a biclosure space (X, u_1, u_2) is called a ∂ -closed if $u_2A \subseteq G$ whenever G is a g-open subset of (X, u_1) with $A \subseteq G$. The complement of a ∂ -closed set is called ∂ -open.

Remark 1. For a subset A of a biclosure space (X, u_1, u_2) , the following implications hold:

A is closed
$$\Rightarrow$$
 A is ∂ -closed

The implication is not reversible as shown by the following example.

Example 1. Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and define a closure operator u_1 on X by

 $u_1 \emptyset = \emptyset$, $u_1 \{a\} = u_1 \{b\} = u_1 X = X$.

Define a closure operator u_2 on X by

$$u_2 \emptyset = \emptyset$$
, $u_2 \{a\} = \{a\}$, $u_2 \{b\} = u_2 X = X$.

Then $\{a\}$ is ∂ -closed but it is not closed.

Proposition 9. Let (X, u_1, u_2) be a biclosure space and let u_2 be additive. If A and B are ∂ -closed subsets of (X, u_1, u_2) , then $A \cup B$ is ∂ -closed.

Proof. Let U be a g-open subset of (X, u_1) such that $A \cup B \subseteq U$. Then $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq U$. Since A and B are ∂ -closed, $u_2A \subseteq U$ and $u_2B \subseteq U$. Since u_2 is additive,

$$u_2(A \cup B) = u_2A \cup u_2B \subseteq U.$$

Hence, $A \cup B$ is ∂ -closed.

Proposition 10. Let (X, u_1, u_2) be a biclosure space and let u_2 be idempotent. If A is a ∂ -closed subset and $A \subseteq B \subseteq u_2A$, then B is ∂ -closed.

Proof. Let G be a g-open subset of (X, u_1) such that $B \subseteq G$. Then $A \subseteq G$. Since A is ∂ -closed, $u_2A \subseteq G$. Since u_2 is idempotent,

$$u_2B \subseteq u_2u_2A = u_2A \subseteq G.$$

Hence, B is ∂ -closed.

Proposition 11. Let (X, u_1, u_2) be a biclosure space and let $A \subseteq X$. If A is ∂ -closed, then $u_2A - A$ has no nonempty g-closed subset of (X, u_1) .

Proof. Let F be a g-closed subset of (X, u_1) such that $F \subseteq u_2A - A$. Then $A \subseteq X - F$. Since A is ∂ -closed and X - F is a g-open subset of (X, u_1) ,

$$u_2 A \subseteq X - F.$$

Hence, $F \subseteq X - u_2 A$. Consequently,

$$F \subseteq (X - u_2 A) \cap u_2 A = \emptyset$$

Therefore, $F = \emptyset$.

Proposition 12. Let (X, u_1, u_2) be a biclosure space and let $A \subseteq X$. Then A is ∂ -open if and only if

$$F \subseteq X - u_2(X - A)$$

for every F is a g-closed subset of (X, u_1) with $F \subseteq A$.

Proof. Assume that A is ∂ -open and let $F \subseteq A$ be a g-closed subset of (X, u_1) . Then $X - A \subseteq X - F$. Since X - A is ∂ -closed and X - F is g-open subset of (X, u_1) ,

$$u_2(X-A) \subseteq X-F$$

Hence $F \subseteq X - u_2(X - A)$.

Conversely, let U be a g-open subset of (X, u_1) such that $X - A \subseteq U$. Then $X - U \subseteq A$. Since X - U is g-closed subset of (X, u_1) ,

$$X - U \subseteq X - u_2(X - A).$$

Consequently, $u_2(X - A) \subseteq U$. Hence, X - A is ∂ -closed and so A is ∂ -open. \Box

Proposition 13. Let (X, u_1, u_2) be a biclosure space. If $A \subseteq X$ is ∂ -closed, then $u_2A - A$ is ∂ -open.

Proof. Suppose that A is ∂ -closed and let F be a g-closed subset of (X, u_1) such that $F \subseteq u_2 A - A$. By Proposition 11, $F = \emptyset$ and hence

$$F \subseteq X - u_2(X - (u_2A - A)).$$

By Proposition 12, $u_2A - A$ is ∂ -open.

Proposition 14. Let $\{(X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2}) : \alpha \in I\}$ be a family of biclosure spaces and let $\beta \in I$. Then G is a ∂ -open subset of $(X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^{1}, u_{\beta}^{2})$ if and only if $G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is a ∂ -open subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2})$.

Proof. Let F be a g-closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1})$ such that $F \subseteq G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$. Then $\pi_{\beta}(F) \subseteq G$. Since $\pi_{\beta}(F)$ is g-closed in $(X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^{1})$,

$$\pi_{\beta}(F) \subseteq X_{\beta} - u_{\beta}^2(X_{\beta} - G) \,.$$

Therefore,

$$F \subseteq \pi_{\beta}^{-1}(X_{\beta} - u_{\beta}^{2}(X_{\beta} - G)) = \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha} - \prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^{2} \pi_{\alpha} \Big(\prod_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha} - G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \Big)$$

By Proposition 12, $G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is a ∂ -open subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2})$.

Conversely, let F be a g-closed subset of (X_{β}, u_{β}^1) such that $F \subseteq G$. Then

$$F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \subseteq G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \, .$$

Since $F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is g-closed and $G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is ∂ -open in $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1})$,

$$F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha} - \prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^{2} \pi_{\alpha} \Big(\prod_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha} - G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \Big)$$

by Proposition 12. Therefore,

$$\prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^{2} \pi_{\alpha} \Big((X_{\beta} - G) \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \Big) \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha} - F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} = (X_{\beta} - F) \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} .$$

Consequently,

$$u_{\beta}^2(X_{\beta} - G) \subseteq X_{\beta} - F$$

implies

 $F \subseteq X_{\beta} - u_{\beta}^2 (X_{\beta} - G) \,.$

Hence, G is a ∂ -open subset of $(X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^1, u_{\beta}^2)$.

Proposition 15. Let $\{(X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2}) : \alpha \in I\}$ be a family of biclosure spaces and let $\beta \in I$. Then F is a ∂ -closed subset of $(X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^{1}, u_{\beta}^{2})$ if and only if $F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is a ∂ -closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2})$.

Proof. Let F be a ∂ -closed subset of $(X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^1, u_{\beta}^2)$. Then $X_{\beta} - F$ is a ∂ -open subset of $(X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^1, u_{\beta}^2)$. By Proposition 14,

$$(X_{\beta} - F) \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} = \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha} - F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$$

is a ∂ -open subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2})$. Hence, $F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is a ∂ -closed subset of

Conversely, let G be a g-open subset of (X_{β}, u_{β}^1) such that $F \subseteq G$. Then

$$F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \subseteq G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$$

Since $F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is a ∂ -closed and $G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is g-open in $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1})$,

$$\prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^{2} \pi_{\alpha} \left(F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\beta} \right) \subseteq G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}.$$

Consequently, $u_{\beta}^2 F \subseteq G$. Therefore, F is a ∂ -closed subset of $(X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^1, u_{\beta}^2)$.

Proposition 16. Let $\{(X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2}) : \alpha \in I\}$ be a family of biclosure spaces. For each $\beta \in I$, let

$$\pi_{\beta} \colon \prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2}) \to (X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^{1}, u_{\beta}^{2})$$

be the projection map. Then

- (i) If F is a ∂ -closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2})$, then $\pi_{\beta}(F)$ is a ∂ -closed subset of $(X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^{1}, u_{\beta}^{2})$.
- (ii) If F is a ∂ -closed subset of $(X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^{1}, u_{\beta}^{2})$, then $\pi_{\beta}^{-1}(F)$ is a ∂ -closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2})$.

Proof. (i) Let F be a ∂ -closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2})$ and let G be a g-open subset of $(X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^{1})$ such that $\pi_{\beta}(F) \subseteq G$. Then

$$F \subseteq \pi_{\beta}^{-1}(G) = G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \,.$$

Since $G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is a g-open subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1})$,

$$\prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^2 \pi_{\alpha}(F) \subseteq G \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$$

Consequently, $u_{\beta}^2 \pi_{\beta}(F) \subseteq G$. Hence, $\pi_{\beta}(F)$ is a ∂ -closed subset of $(X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^1, u_{\beta}^2)$. (ii) Let F be a ∂ -closed subset of $(X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^1, u_{\beta}^2)$. Then

$$\pi_{\beta}^{-1}(F) = F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha} \, .$$

By Proposition 15, $F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$ is a ∂ -closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2})$. Hence, $\pi_{\beta}^{-1}(F)$ is a ∂ -closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2})$.

4 ∂ -Continuous Maps

In this section, we introduce the concept of ∂ -continuous maps by using ∂ -closed sets. These maps are investigated and studied.

Definition 7. Let (X, u_1, u_2) and (Y, v_1, v_2) be biclosure spaces. A map

$$f: (X, u_1, u_2) \to (Y, v_1, v_2)$$

is called ∂ -closed (resp. ∂ -open) if f(F) is a ∂ -closed (resp. ∂ -open) subset of (Y, v_1, v_2) for every closed (resp. open) subset of (X, u_1, u_2) .

Proposition 17. Let (X, u_1, u_2) , (Y, v_1, v_2) and (Z, w_1, w_2) be biclosure spaces. If

$$g \circ f \colon (X, u_1, u_2) \to (Z, w_1, w_2)$$

is ∂ -closed and

$$f\colon (X, u_1, u_2) \to (Y, v_1, v_2)$$

is surjective and continuous, then

$$g\colon (Y,v_1,v_2)\to (Z,w_1,w_2)$$

is ∂ -closed.

Proof. Let F be a closed subset of (Y, v_1, v_2) . Then F is a closed subset of (Y, v_1) and (Y, v_2) , respectively. Since f is continuous, $f^{-1}(F)$ is a closed subset of (X, u_1) and (X, u_2) , respectively. Consequently, $f^{-1}(F)$ is a closed subset of (X, u_1, u_2) . Since $g \circ f$ is ∂ -closed and f is surjective, $g \circ f(f^{-1}(F)) = g(F)$ is a ∂ -closed subset of (Z, w_1, w_2) . Therefore, g is ∂ -closed.

Definition 8. Let (X, u_1, u_2) and (Y, v_1, v_2) be biclosure spaces. A map

$$f: (X, u_1, u_2) \to (Y, v_1, v_2)$$

is called ∂ -continuous if $f^{-1}(F)$ is a ∂ -closed subset of (X, u_1, u_2) for every closed subset F of (Y, v_1, v_2) .

Clearly, it is easy to prove that

$$f\colon (X, u_1, u_2) \to (Y, v_1, v_2)$$

is ∂ -continuous if and only if $f^{-1}(G)$ is a ∂ -open subset of (X, u_1, u_2) for every open subset G of (Y, v_1, v_2) .

Proposition 18. Let (X, u_1, u_2) , (Y, v_1, v_2) and (Z, w_1, w_2) be biclosure spaces. If

 $g \circ f \colon (X, u_1, u_2) \to (Z, w_1, w_2)$

is closed and

$$g\colon (Y,v_1,v_2)\to (Z,w_1,w_2)$$

is injective and ∂ -continuous, then

$$f\colon (X,u_1,u_2)\to (Y,v_1,v_2)$$

is ∂ -closed.

Proof. Let F be a closed subset of (X, u_1, u_2) . Then F is a closed subset of (X, u_1) and (X, u_2) , respectively. Since $g \circ f$ is closed, $g \circ f(F)$ is a closed subset of (Z, w_1) and (Z, w_2) , respectively. Consequently, $g \circ f(F)$ is a closed subset of (Z, w_1, w_2) . Since g is ∂ -continuous and injective, $g^{-1}(g \circ f(F)) = f(F)$ is a ∂ -closed subset of (Y, v_1, v_2) . Therefore, f is ∂ -closed.

Definition 9. Let (X, u_1, u_2) and (Y, v_1, v_2) be biclosure spaces. A map

$$f\colon (X, u_1, u_2) \to (Y, v_1, v_2)$$

is called ∂ -irresolute if $f^{-1}(F)$ is a ∂ -closed subset of (X, u_1, u_2) for every ∂ -closed subset F of (Y, v_1, v_2) .

Clearly, it is easy to prove that

$$f\colon (X, u_1, u_2) \to (Y, v_1, v_2)$$

is ∂ -irresolute if and only if $f^{-1}(G)$ is a ∂ -open subset of (X, u_1, u_2) for every ∂ -open subset G of (Y, v_1, v_2) .

The following statement is obvious:

Proposition 19. Let (X, u_1, u_2) and (Y, v_1, v_2) be biclosure spaces. If

$$f\colon (X,u_1,u_2)\to (Y,v_1,v_2)$$

is ∂ -irresolute, then f is ∂ -continuous.

The converse need not be true as can be seen from the following example.

Example 2. Let $X = \{a, b\} = Y$ and define closure operators u_1 and u_2 on X by

$$u_1 \emptyset = \emptyset$$
, $u_1 \{a\} = \{a\}$, $u_1 \{b\} = u_1 X = X$,
 $u_2 \emptyset = \emptyset$, $u_2 \{a\} = u_2 \{b\} = u_2 X = X$.

Define closure operators v_1 and v_2 on Y by

$$\begin{split} v_1 \emptyset &= \emptyset \,, \quad v_1 \{b\} = \{b\} \,, \quad v_1 \{a\} = v_1 Y = Y \,, \\ v_2 \emptyset &= \emptyset \,, \quad v_2 \{a\} = v_2 \{b\} = v_2 Y = Y \,. \end{split}$$

Let

$$f\colon (X, u_1, u_2) \to (Y, v_1, v_2)$$

be the identity map. Then f is ∂ -continuous but it is not ∂ -irresolute because $\{b\}$ is a ∂ -closed subset of (Y, v_1, v_2) but $f^{-1}(\{b\}) = \{b\}$ is not ∂ -closed subset of (X, u_1, u_2) .

Definition 10. A biclosure space (X, u_1, u_2) is called a $T_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$ -biclosure space if every ∂ -closed subset of (X, u_1, u_2) is a closed subset of (X, u_2) .

Proposition 20. Let (X, u_1, u_2) be a biclosure space. Then (X, u_1, u_2) is a $T_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$ -biclosure space if and only if every singleton subset of X is either a g-closed subset of (X, u_1) or an open subset of (X, u_2) .

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and suppose that $\{x\}$ is not a g-closed subset of (X, u_1) . Then $X - \{x\}$ is not a g-open subset of (X, u_1) . The only g-open subset of (X, u_1) containing $X - \{x\}$ is X, hence $X - \{x\}$ is a ∂ -closed subset of (X, u_1, u_2) . Since (X, u_1, u_2) is a $T_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$ -biclosure space, $X - \{x\}$ is a closed subset of (X, u_2) . Consequently, $\{x\}$ is an open subset of (X, u_2) .

Conversely, let A be a ∂ -closed subset of (X, u_1, u_2) . Suppose that $x \notin A$. Then $\{x\} \subseteq X - A$ and we have $A \subseteq X - \{x\}$. If $\{x\}$ is an open subset of (X, u_2) , then $X - \{x\}$ is a closed subset of (X, u_2) . Consequently,

$$u_2 A \subseteq u_2(X - \{x\}) = X - \{x\},\$$

thus $x \notin u_2 A$. If $\{x\}$ is a g-closed subset of (X, u_1) , then $X - \{x\}$ is a g-open subset of (X, u_1) . Since A is a ∂ -closed, $u_2 A \subseteq X - \{x\}$. Therefore, $x \notin u_2 A$. So, we always have $u_2 A \subseteq A$. Thus $u_2 A = A$ or, equivalently, A is a closed subset of (X, u_2) . Therefore, (X, u_1, u_2) is a $T_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$ -biclosure space.

Proposition 21. Let (X, u_1, u_2) and (Y, v_1, v_2) be biclosure spaces. Let

 $f\colon (X, u_1, u_2) \to (Y, v_1, v_2)$

be surjective, 2-closed and ∂ -irresolute. If (X, u_1, u_2) is a $T_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$ -biclosure space, then (Y, v_1, v_2) is a $T_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$ -biclosure space.

Proof. Let F be a ∂ -closed subset of (Y, v_1, v_2) . Since f is ∂ -irresolute, $f^{-1}(F)$ is a ∂ -closed subset of (X, u_1, u_2) . Since (X, u_1, u_2) is a $T_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$ -biclosure space, $f^{-1}(F)$ is a closed subset of (X, u_2) . Since f is 2-closed and surjective, F is a closed subset of (Y, v_2) . Hence, (Y, v_1, v_2) is a $T_{\frac{1}{2}}^*$ -biclosure space.

Proposition 22. Let (X, u_1, u_2) , (Y, v_1, v_2) and (Z, w_1, w_2) be biclosure spaces. Let

 $f\colon (X, u_1, u_2) \to (Y, v_1, v_2)$

and

$$g\colon (Y,v_1,v_2)\to (Z,w_1,w_2)$$

be maps. Then

- (i) $g \circ f$ is ∂ -continuous if g is continuous and f is ∂ -continuous.
- (ii) $g \circ f$ is ∂ -irresolute if f and g are ∂ -irresolute.
- (iii) $g \circ f$ is ∂ -continuous if g is ∂ -continuous and f is ∂ -irresolute.

Proof. (i) Let F be a closed subset of (Z, w_1, w_2) . Then F is a closed subset of (Z, w_1) and (Z, w_2) , respectively. Since g is continuous, $g^{-1}(F)$ is a closed subset of (Y, v_1) and (Y, v_2) , respectively. Consequently, $g^{-1}(F)$ is closed subset of (Y, v_1, v_2) . Since f is ∂ -continuous, $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(F))$ is a ∂ -closed subset of (X, u_1, u_2) . Therefore, $(g \circ f)^{-1}(F)$ is a ∂ -closed subset of (X, u_1, u_2) . Therefore, $(g \circ f)^{-1}(F)$ is a ∂ -closed subset of (X, u_1, u_2) . Therefore,

The proofs of (ii)–(iii) are similar.

Proposition 23. Let $\{(X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2}) : \alpha \in I\}$ be a family of biclosure spaces. Then for each $\beta \in I$, the projection map

$$\pi_{\beta} \colon \prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2}) \to (X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^{1}, u_{\beta}^{2})$$

is continuous.

Proof. Let $A \subseteq \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_{\alpha}$. Then

$$\pi_{\beta} \Big(\prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^1 \pi_{\alpha}(A) \Big) = u_{\beta}^1 \pi_{\beta}(A) \,.$$

Hence,

$$\pi_{\beta} \colon \prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}) \to (X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^{1})$$

is continuous. Similarly, since

$$\pi_{\beta} \Big(\prod_{\alpha \in I} u_{\alpha}^2 \pi_{\alpha}(A) \Big) = u_{\beta}^2 \pi_{\beta}(A) \,.$$

Therefore,

$$\pi_{\beta} \colon \prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^2) \to (X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^2)$$

is continuous. Consequently,

$$\pi_{\beta} \colon \prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2}) \to (X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^{1}, u_{\beta}^{2})$$

is continuous.

Proposition 24. Let (X, u_1, u_2) be a biclosure space and let $\{(Y_\alpha, v_\alpha^1, v_\alpha^2) : \alpha \in I\}$ be a family of biclosure spaces. Let $f: X \to \prod_{\alpha \in I} Y_\alpha$ be a map. If

$$f\colon (X, u_1, u_2) \to \prod_{\alpha \in I} (Y_\alpha, v_\alpha^1, v_\alpha^2)$$

is ∂ -continuous, then

$$\pi_{\alpha} \circ f \colon (X, u_1, u_2) \to (Y_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}^1, v_{\alpha}^2)$$

is ∂ -continuous for each $\alpha \in I$.

Proof. Let f be ∂ -continuous. Since π_{α} is continuous for each $\alpha \in I$, also $\pi_{\alpha} \circ f$ is ∂ -continuous for each $\alpha \in I$.

Proposition 25. Let $\{(X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2}) : \alpha \in I\}$ and $\{(Y_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}^{1}, v_{\alpha}^{2}) : \alpha \in I\}$ be families of biclosure spaces. For each $\alpha \in I$, let $f_{\alpha} : X_{\alpha} \to Y_{\alpha}$ be a map and

$$f\colon \prod_{\alpha\in I} X_\alpha \to \prod_{\alpha\in I} Y_\alpha$$

be the map defined by $f((x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}) = (f_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}))_{\alpha \in I}$. If

$$f \colon \prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2}) \to \prod_{\alpha \in I} (Y_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}^{1}, v_{\alpha}^{2})$$

is ∂ -continuous, then

$$f_{\alpha} \colon (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^1, u_{\alpha}^2) \to (Y_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}^1, v_{\alpha}^2)$$

is ∂ -continuous for each $\alpha \in I$.

Proof. Let F be a closed subset of $(Y_{\beta}, v_{\beta}^1, v_{\beta}^2)$. Then $F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} Y_{\alpha}$ is a closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (Y_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}^1, v_{\alpha}^2)$. Since f is ∂ -continuous,

$$f^{-1}\left(F \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} Y_{\alpha}\right) = f_{\beta}^{-1}(F) \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ \alpha \in I}} X_{\alpha}$$

is a ∂ -closed subset of $\prod_{\alpha \in I} (X_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}^{1}, u_{\alpha}^{2})$. By Proposition 15, $f_{\beta}^{-1}(F)$ is a ∂ -closed subset of $(X_{\beta}, u_{\beta}^{1}, u_{\beta}^{2})$. Hence, f_{β} is ∂ -continuous.

References

- E. Čech, Topological Spaces, Topological Papers of Eduard Čech, Academia, Prague (1968), 436–472.
- J. Chvalina, On homeomorphic topologies and equivalent set-systems, Arch. Math. 2, Scripta Fac. Sci. Nat. UJEP Brunensis, XII (1976), 107–116.
- [3] J. Chvalina, Stackbases in power sets of neighbourhood spaces preserving the continuity of mappings, Arch. Math. 2, Scripta Fac. Sci. Nat. UJEP Brunensis, XVII (1981), 81–86.
- [4] J. Dujunji, Topology, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1966.
- [5] M. K. Gupta and Rupen Pratap Singh, On fuzzy pairwise s-continuous and fuzzy pairwise s-open mapping, Int. J. Pure & Appl. Math. Sci. 1 (2004), 101–109.
- [6] K. C. Kelly, Bitopological spaces, Pro. London Math. Soc. 3(13) (1969), 71-79.

- [7] T. Noiri and V. Popa, Some properties of weakly open functions in bitopological spaces, Novi Sad J. Math. 36 (1) (2006), 47–54.
- [8] S. K. Sen and M. N. Mukherjee, On extension of pairwise θ-continuous maps, Internat. J. Math. Sci. 19 (1) (1966), 53–56.
- [9] L. Skula, Systeme von stetigen abbildungen, Czech. Math. J., 17 (92) (1967), 45-52.
- [10] J. Šlapal, Closure operations for digital topology, Theoret. Comput. Sci., 305 (2003), 457–471.

Author(s) Address(es):

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, MAHASARAKHAM UNIVERSITY, MAHASARAKHAM 44150, THAILAND

E-mail Address: chawalit.b@msu.ac.th