Hakima Bouhadjera On common fixed point theorems for three and four self mappings satisfying contractive conditions

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 49 (2010), No. 1, 25--31

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/140734

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 2010

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

On Common Fixed Point Theorems for Three and Four Self Mappings Satisfying Contractive Conditions

Hakima BOUHADJERA

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Appliquées Université Badji Mokhtar B. P. 12, 23000, Annaba, Algérie e-mail: b_hakima2000@yahoo.fr

(Received March 30, 2009)

Abstract

In this contribution, we discuss some unique common fixed point theorems for three and four occasionally weakly compatible mappings satisfying different types of contractive condition.

Key words: Occasionally weakly compatible mappings, contractive modulus function, common fixed point theorems.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25

1 Introduction

In 1982, Sessa [8] introduced the concept of weakly commuting mappings which extends the notion of commuting mappings.

After four years, Jungck [3] defined compatible mappings as an extension of weakly commuting mappings.

Later on, the same author with Murthy and Cho [4] gave another extension of weakly commuting mappings under the name of compatible mappings of type (A).

Again, Pathak and Khan [7] extended compatible of type (A) mappings to compatible mappings of type (B).

On this direction, Pathak et al. [6] introduced the new concept i.e. compatible type of (C) as another extension of compatible type of (A) and proved a common fixed point theorem in a Banach space.

In their paper [5], Jungck and Rhoades defined the notion of weakly compatible mappings as an extension of all above notions. And recently, Al-Thagafi and Shahzad [2] gave the concept of occasionally weakly compatible mappings which is more general than weakly compatible mappings and all above notions.

So on this way we prove some common fixed point theorems for three and four occasionally weakly compatible mappings satisfying different types of contractive conditions which improve the results given by Aage and Salunke [1].

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 Self mappings f and g of a metric space (\mathcal{X}, d) are said to be weakly commuting pair if, for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$

$$d(fgx, gfx) \le d(fx, gx).$$

Definition 2.2 Self mappings f and g of a metric space (\mathcal{X}, d) are said to be (1) compatible if,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(fgx_n, gfx_n) = 0,$$

(2) compatible of type (A) if,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(fgx_n, g^2x_n) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} d(gfx_n, f^2x_n) = 0,$$

(3) compatible of type (B) if,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(fgx_n, g^2x_n) \le \frac{1}{2} \left[\lim_{n \to \infty} d(fgx_n, ft) + \lim_{n \to \infty} d(ft, f^2x_n) \right]$$

and
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(gfx_n, f^2x_n) \le \frac{1}{2} \left[\lim_{n \to \infty} d(gfx_n, gt) + \lim_{n \to \infty} d(gt, g^2x_n) \right],$$

(4) compatible of type (C) if,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(fgx_n, g^2x_n) \le \frac{1}{3} \left[\lim_{n \to \infty} d(fgx_n, ft) + \lim_{n \to \infty} d(ft, f^2x_n) + \lim_{n \to \infty} d(ft, g^2x_n) \right]$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(gfx_n, f^2x_n) \le \frac{1}{3} \left[\lim_{n \to \infty} d(gfx_n, gt) + \lim_{n \to \infty} d(gt, g^2x_n) + \lim_{n \to \infty} d(gt, f^2x_n) \right],$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in \mathcal{X} such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n = t$$

for some $t \in \mathcal{X}$.

Definition 2.3 Self mappings f and g of a metric space (\mathcal{X}, d) are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points.

Definition 2.4 Two self mappings f and g of a set \mathcal{X} are occasionally weakly compatible (shortly (owc)) iff, there is a point t in \mathcal{X} which is a coincidence point of f and g at which f and g commute.

Definition 2.5 A function $\Phi: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is said to be a contractive modulus if $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Phi(t) < t$ for t > 0.

Definition 2.6 A real valued function Φ defined on $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is said to be upper semi-continuous if $\lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi(t_n) \leq \Phi(t)$, for every sequence $\{t_n\}$ in \mathcal{X} with $t_n \to t$ as $n \to \infty$.

In their paper [1] Aage and Salunke proved the following results:

Theorem 2.7 Let f, g and h be self mappings of a complete metric space (\mathcal{X}, d) and Φ is a contractive modulus function satisfying:

(i)
$$f(\mathcal{X}) \cup g(\mathcal{X}) \subset h(\mathcal{X}).$$

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) \quad d^2(fx,gy) &\leq \max\{\Phi(d(hx,hy))\Phi(d(hx,fx)), \Phi(d(hx,hy))\Phi(d(hy,fx)), \\ &\quad \Phi(d(hx,hy))\Phi(d(hy,gy)), \Phi(d(hx,fx))\Phi(d(hy,gy)), \\ &\quad \Phi(d(hx,gy))\Phi(d(hy,fx))\}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$,

(iii) the pair (f,h) or (g,h) is compatible of type (A).

(iv) If h is continuous.

Then f, g and h have a unique common fixed point.

Theorem 2.8 Suppose f, g, h and k are four self mappings of a complete metric space (\mathcal{X}, d) satisfying the conditions

 $(i) \quad f(\mathcal{X}) \subset k(\mathcal{X}), \ g(\mathcal{X}) \subset h(\mathcal{X}).$

$$\begin{array}{ll} (ii) \quad d^2(fx,gy) \leq \max\{\Phi(d(hx,ky))\Phi(d(hx,fx)), \Phi(d(hx,ky))\Phi(d(ky,gy)), \\ \quad \Phi(d(hx,fx))\Phi(d(ky,gy)), \Phi(d(hx,gy))\Phi(d(ky,fx))\}, \end{array}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$.

(iii) Φ is a contractive modulus.

(iv) One of f, g, h and k is continuous.

And if

(v) the pairs (f, h) and (g, k) are compatible of type (A).

Then f, g, h and k have a unique common fixed point.

The following example shows that occasionally weakly compatible mappings are not compatible of type (A) in general.

Example 2.9 Let $\mathcal{X} = [0, \infty]$ with the usual metric. Define $f, g: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ by:

$$fx = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } x \in [0,1[\\ x^4 & \text{if } x \in [1,\infty[, \end{cases}) gx = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } x \in [0,1[\\ \frac{1}{x^4} & \text{if } x \in [1,\infty[. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

We have f(1) = g(1) = 1 and fg(1) = 1 = gf(1); that is, f and g are owc. Now, consider the sequence $x_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$ for $n \in \{1, 2, ...\}$. We have $fx_n = x_n^4 \to 1$ and $gx_n = \frac{1}{x_n^4} \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. But,

$$d(fgx_n, ggx_n) \to 1 \neq 0.$$

Therefore, f and g are not compatible of type (A).

The next definition will be needed.

Definition 2.10 Let \mathcal{X} be a set. A symmetric on \mathcal{X} is a mapping $d: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ $[0,\infty)$ such that d(x,y) = 0 iff x = y, and d(x,y) = d(y,x) for x, y in \mathcal{X} .

3 Main Results

3.1Common fixed point for three mappings

Theorem 3.1 Let \mathcal{X} be a set with a symmetric d. Let f, g and h be three self mappings of (\mathcal{X}, d) and Φ is a contractive modulus function satisfying:

$$d^{2}(fx,gy) \leq \max\{\Phi(d(hx,hy))\Phi(d(hx,fx)), \\ \Phi(d(hx,hy))\Phi(d(hy,fx)), \Phi(d(hx,hy))\Phi(d(hy,gy)), \\ \Phi(d(hx,fx))\Phi(d(hy,gy)), \Phi(d(hx,gy))\Phi(d(hy,fx))\},$$
(3.1)

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$,

the pair
$$(f,h)$$
 or (g,h) is owc. (3.2)

Then f, g and h have a unique common fixed point.

Proof Suppose that f and h are owc, then, there is an element $u \in \mathcal{X}$ such that fu = hu and fhu = hfu.

First, we prove that qu = fu = hu. Indeed, by inequality (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d^{2}(fu,gu) &\leq \max\{\Phi(d(hu,hu))\Phi(d(hu,fu)), \Phi(d(hu,hu))\Phi(d(hu,fu)), \\ \Phi(d(hu,hu))\Phi(d(hu,gu)), \Phi(d(hu,fu))\Phi(d(hu,gu)), \\ \Phi(d(hu,gu))\Phi(d(hu,fu))\}; \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $d^2(fu, gu) \leq 0$, which implies that d(fu, gu) = 0 i.e. fu = gu = hu. Now, suppose that $gfu \neq ffu$. By (3.1) we get

$$\begin{split} d^2(ffu,gfu) &\leq \max\{\Phi(d(hfu,hfu))\Phi(d(hfu,ffu)),\\ &\Phi(d(hfu,hfu))\Phi(d(hfu,ffu)),\\ &\Phi(d(hfu,hfu))\Phi(d(hfu,gfu)),\\ &\Phi(d(hfu,ffu))\Phi(d(hfu,gfu)),\\ &\Phi(d(hfu,gfu))\Phi(d(hfu,ffu))\}; \end{split}$$

that is, $d^2(ffu, gfu) \leq 0$, which implies that d(ffu, gfu) = 0 i.e. gfu = ffu = fhu = hfu. Again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^{2}(ffu,gu) &\leq \max\{\Phi(d(hfu,hu))\Phi(d(hfu,ffu)),\\ \Phi(d(hfu,hu))\Phi(d(hu,ffu)),\Phi(d(hfu,hu))\Phi(d(hu,gu)),\\ \Phi(d(hfu,ffu))\Phi(d(hu,gu)),\Phi(d(hfu,gu))\Phi(d(hu,ffu))\}; \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$d^2(ffu, fu) \le [\Phi(d(ffu, fu))]^2 < d^2(ffu, fu)$$

the above contradiction implies that ffu = fhu = hfu = gfu = fu. Put fu = gu = hu = t, so, t is a common fixed point of mappings f, g and h.

Now, let t and z be two distinct common fixed points of mappings f, g and h; i.e., ft = gt = ht = t and fz = gz = hz = z. From condition (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^{2}(ft,gz) &\leq \max\{\Phi(d(ht,hz))\Phi(d(ht,ft)), \Phi(d(ht,hz))\Phi(d(hz,ft)), \\ &\Phi(d(ht,hz))\Phi(d(hz,gz)), \Phi(d(ht,ft))\Phi(d(hz,gz)), \\ &\Phi(d(ht,gz))\Phi(d(hz,ft))\}; \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$d^{2}(t,z) \leq \Phi^{2}(d(t,z)) < d^{2}(t,z)$$

which implies that t = z.

3.2 Common fixed point for four mappings

Now, we give our second main result.

Theorem 3.2 Let \mathcal{X} be a set endowed with a symmetric d. Suppose f, g, h and k are four self mappings of (\mathcal{X}, d) satisfying the conditions:

$$d^{2}(fx,gy) \leq \max\{\Phi(d(hx,ky))\Phi(d(hx,fx)), \Phi(d(hx,ky))\Phi(d(ky,gy)), \\ \Phi(d(hx,fx))\Phi(d(ky,gy)), \Phi(d(hx,gy))\Phi(d(ky,fx))\},$$
(3.3)

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$, where Φ is contractive modulus,

the pairs
$$(f,h)$$
 and (g,k) are owc. (3.4)

Then f, g, h and k have a unique common fixed point.

Proof Since pairs of mappings (f, h) and (g, k) are owc, then, there exist two elements u and v in \mathcal{X} such that fu = hu and fhu = hfu, gv = kv and gkv = kgv.

First, we prove that fu = gv. Indeed, by inequality (3.3) we get

$$d^{2}(fu,gv) \leq \max\{\Phi(d(hu,kv))\Phi(d(hu,fu)), \Phi(d(hu,kv))\Phi(d(kv,gv)), \\ \Phi(d(hu,fu))\Phi(d(kv,gv)), \Phi(d(hu,gv))\Phi(d(kv,fu))\} \\ = \Phi^{2}(d(fu,gv));$$

i.e.,

$$d^2(fu,gv) \le \Phi^2(d(fu,gv)) < d^2(fu,gv)$$

which is a contradiction, hence, fu = hu = gv = kv.

Now, suppose that $ffu \neq fu$. By using inequality (3.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d^{2}(ffu,gv) &\leq \max\{\Phi(d(hfu,kv))\Phi(d(hfu,ffu)), \\ &\Phi(d(hfu,kv))\Phi(d(kv,gv)), \Phi(d(hfu,ffu))\Phi(d(kv,gv)), \\ &\Phi(d(hfu,gv))\Phi(d(kv,ffu))\} \\ &= \Phi^{2}(d(ffu,gv)); \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$d^2(ffu, fu) \le \Phi^2(d(ffu, fu)) < d^2(ffu, fu),$$

this contradiction implies that ffu = fu = hfu.

Similarly gfu = kfu = fu. Therefore fu = hu = gv = kv is a common fixed point of mappings f, g, h and k.

Put fu = hu = gv = kv = t, then, ft = ht = gt = kt = t.

Now, let t and z be two common fixed points of mappings f, g, h and k such that $z \neq t$, so t = ft = gt = ht = kt and z = fz = gz = hz = kz. From condition (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^{2}(t,z) &= d^{2}(ft,gz) \\ &\leq \max\{\Phi(d(ht,kz))\Phi(d(ht,ft)),\Phi(d(ht,kz))\Phi(d(kz,gz)), \\ &\Phi(d(ht,ft))\Phi(d(kz,gz)),\Phi(d(ht,gz))\Phi(d(kz,ft))\} \\ &= \Phi^{2}(d(t,z)); \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$d^{2}(t,z) \leq \Phi^{2}(d(t,z)) < d^{2}(t,z),$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, z = t.

Remark 3.3 Truly, our results improve those of Aage and Salunke because we are removed the inclusions between the images of the mappings, and we are weaken several conditions on the space (\mathcal{X}, d) , the contractive modulus function and all the mappings.

References

- Aage, C. T., Salunke, J. N.: A note on common fixed point theorems. Int. J. Math. Anal. 2, 28 (2008), 1369–1380.
- [2] Al-Thagafi, M. A., Shahzad, N.: Generalized I-nonexpansive selfmaps and invariant approximations. Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 24, 5 (2008), 867–876.
- [3] Jungck, G.: Compatible mappings and common fixed points. Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 9, 4 (1986), 771–779.
- [4] Jungck, G., Murthy, P. P., Cho, Y. J.: Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points. Math. Japon. 38, 2 (1993), 381–390.
- [5] Jungck, G., Rhoades, B. E.: Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 29, 3 (1998), 227–238.
- [6] Pathak, H. K., Cho, Y. J., Kang, S. M., Madharia, B.: Compatible mappings of type (C) and common fixed point theorems of Greguš type. Demonstratio Math. 31, 3 (1998), 499–518.
- [7] Pathak, H. K., Khan, M. S.: Compatible mappings of type (B) and common fixed point theorems of Greguš type. Czechoslovak Math. J. 45, 4 (1995), 685–698.
- [8] Sessa, S.: On a weak commutativity condition in fixed point considerations. Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) 32, 46 (1982), 149–153.