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#### Abstract

We prove ratio Tauberian theorems for relatively bounded functions and sequences in Banach spaces.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a Banach space and $u:[0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ be a locally integrable function. Let $g:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a locally integrable function such that $\int_{0}^{\infty} g(t) d t>0$, where $\mathbb{R}_{+}:=\{t \geq 0: t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. We assume the condition

$$
\frac{\int_{0}^{t} g(r) d r}{\int_{0}^{s} g(r) d r} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text { as } \quad t, s \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { with } \quad \frac{t}{s} \rightarrow 1
$$

and prove that if $\|u(t)\|=O(g(t)), t \rightarrow \infty$, then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $x=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s\right) /\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)$;
(ii) $x=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} u(t) d t\right) /\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} g(t) d t\right)$.

This solves the open problem posed in [6]. Then particular choices of the function $g$ will be considered, leading to some generalized Tauberian theorems. Discrete analogues are obtained as well.

## 2. Results for functions

Let $X$ be a Banach space and $u:[0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ be a locally integrable function. The class of all such functions will be denoted by $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, X\right)$. For $u \in$ $L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, X\right), \gamma \geq 1$ and $t>0$ we define the $\gamma$-th order Cesàro integral $\mathfrak{s}_{t}^{\gamma}(u)$ over $[0, t]$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{s}_{t}^{\gamma}(u):=\left(k_{\gamma} * u\right)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} k_{\gamma}(t-s) u(s) d s \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{\gamma}(t):=t^{\gamma-1} / \Gamma(\gamma)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. In particular we have $\mathfrak{s}_{t}^{1}(u)=\int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s$. The Laplace integral $\widehat{u}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{u}(\lambda):=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} u(t) d t=\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{b} e^{-\lambda t} u(t) d t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the limit exists. It is known (see e.g. [1, Proposition 1.4.1]) that if $\widehat{u}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ exists then $\widehat{u}(\lambda)$ exists for all $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$. If $\mu$ is a locally finite positive measure on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, then we use the notation $\widehat{\mu}(\lambda)$ to denote $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} d \mu(t)$ when $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} d \mu(t)<\infty$.

We begin with the following key lemma.
Lemma 2.1. Let $\mu$ be a locally finite positive measure on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $\mu[0, \infty)>$ 0 . If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mu[0, t]}{\mu[0, s]} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text { as } \quad t, s \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { with } \quad \frac{t}{s} \rightarrow 1 \tag{C}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\mu[0,1 / \lambda]}{\widehat{\mu}(\lambda)}=\liminf _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\mu[0,1 / \lambda]}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} d \mu(t)}>0 \tag{C1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: By hypothesis there are two constants $G>1$ and $\delta>0$ such that if $t>s>G$ and $t / s \leq 1+\delta$ then

$$
0 \leq \frac{\mu(s, t]}{\mu[0, s]}<1
$$

Thus for $\lambda>0$ with $1 / \lambda>G$ we have $\mu(1 / \lambda,(1+\delta) / \lambda]<2^{0} \mu[0,1 / \lambda]$, and

$$
\mu\left((1+\delta) / \lambda,(1+\delta)^{2} / \lambda\right]<\mu[0,(1+\delta) / \lambda]<2^{1} \mu[0,1 / \lambda]
$$

Then for $n \geq 2$ we have inductively

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left((1+\delta)^{n} / \lambda,(1+\delta)^{n+1} / \lambda\right] & <\mu\left[0,(1+\delta)^{n} / \lambda\right] \\
& =\mu[0,1 / \lambda]+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu\left((1+\delta)^{k} / \lambda,(1+\delta)^{k+1} / \lambda\right] \\
& <\left(1+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^{k}\right) \mu[0,1 / \lambda]=2^{n} \mu[0,1 / \lambda]
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0<\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} d \mu(t) & =\int_{[0,1 / \lambda]} e^{-\lambda t} d \mu(t)+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\left((1+\delta)^{n} / \lambda,(1+\delta)^{n+1} / \lambda\right]} e^{-\lambda t} d \mu(t) \\
& \leq \mu[0,1 / \lambda]+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n} \mu[0,1 / \lambda] e^{-(1+\delta)^{n}}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\frac{\mu[0,1 / \lambda]}{\widehat{\mu}(\lambda)}=\frac{\mu[0,1 / \lambda]}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} d \mu(t)} \geq\left(1+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n} e^{-(1+\delta)^{n}}\right)^{-1}>0
$$

completing the proof.
Theorem 2.2 (cf. [2, Theorem 2.2]). Suppose $0 \neq g \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$satisfies condition (C) with $\mu:=g(t) d t$. Then for any $u \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, X\right)$ with $\|u(t)\|=$ $O(g(t)), t \rightarrow \infty$, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $x=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{s}_{t}^{1}(u) / \mathfrak{s}_{t}^{1}(g)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s\right) /\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)$;
(ii) $x=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{s}_{t}^{\beta}(u) / \mathfrak{s}_{t}^{\beta}(g)$
$=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\beta-1} u(s) d s\right) /\left(\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\beta-1} g(s) d s\right)$ for some/all $\beta>1$;
(iii) $x=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \widehat{u}(\lambda) / \widehat{g}(\lambda)=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} u(t) d t\right) /\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} g(t) d t\right)$.

Proof: "(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii)" follows from [2, Theorem 2.1].
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): We first note that if $P(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_{n} t^{n}$ is a polynomial function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t) \geq d>0 \quad \text { on } \quad[0,1] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) u(t) d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) g(t) d t} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see this, put $\widetilde{P}(\lambda):=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) g(t) d t$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) u(t) d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) g(t) d t} \\
& \quad \quad=\frac{1}{\widetilde{P}(\lambda)} \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_{n}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda(n+1) t} g(t) d t\right) \cdot \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda(n+1) t} u(t) d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda(n+1) t} g(t) d t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda(n+1) t} u(t) d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda(n+1) t} g(t) d t}=x \quad \quad \text { (by (iii)) } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda(n+1) t} g(t) d t}{\widetilde{P}(\lambda)} \leq \frac{1}{d} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) u(t) d t} \\
&=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\widetilde{P}(\lambda)} \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_{n}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda(n+1) t} g(t) d t\right) x=x
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\int_{0}^{1 / \lambda} u(t) d t}{\int_{0}^{1 / \lambda} g(t) d t}=\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} h\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) u(t) d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} h\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) g(t) d t} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
h(t):= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } 0 \leq t<e^{-1} \\ t^{-1} & \text { if } e^{-1} \leq t \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

For the proof we may assume without loss of generality that $\|u(t)\| \leq g(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$. By condition (C), given an $\varepsilon>0$, there are two constants $G>1$ and $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \frac{\mu(s, t]}{\mu[0, s]}<\varepsilon \quad \text { if } \quad t>s>G \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{t}{s} \leq 1+\delta \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is standard to see that there exists a polynomial function $P(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_{n} t^{n}$ such that
(a) $h(t)<P(t) \leq \varepsilon$ on $\left[0, e^{-(1+\delta)}\right]$,
(b) $h(t)<P(t) \leq h\left(e^{-1}\right)+\varepsilon$ on $\left[e^{-(1+\delta)}, e^{-1}\right]$,
(c) $h(t)<P(t) \leq h(t)+\varepsilon$ on $\left[e^{-1}, 1\right]$.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\int_{0}^{1 / \lambda} u(t) d t}{\int_{0}^{1 / \lambda} g(t) d t}-x \\
& \quad=\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t}\left(h\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right)-P\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right)\right) u(t) d t+\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) u(t) d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} h\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) g(t) d t}-x \\
& =: I_{\lambda}+I I_{\lambda}-x,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda} & =\frac{\left(\int_{0}^{1 / \lambda}+\int_{1 / \lambda}^{(1+\delta) / \lambda}+\int_{(1+\delta) / \lambda}^{\infty}\right) e^{-\lambda t}\left(h\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right)-P\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right)\right) u(t) d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} h\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) g(t) d t} \\
& =: \frac{I_{\lambda}(1)+I_{\lambda}(2)+I_{\lambda}(3)}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} h\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) g(t) d t},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I_{\lambda}(1)\right\|<\int_{0}^{1 / \lambda} e^{-\lambda t} \varepsilon g(t) d t \leq \varepsilon \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} h\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) g(t) d t \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (c) and the assumption that $\|u(t)\| \leq g(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$. On the other hand, (b) implies

$$
\left\|I_{\lambda}(2)\right\|<\int_{1 / \lambda}^{(1+\delta) / \lambda} e^{-\lambda t}\left(h\left(e^{-1}\right)+\varepsilon\right) g(t) d t \leq(e+\varepsilon) \int_{1 / \lambda}^{(1+\delta) / \lambda} g(t) d t
$$

where if $\lambda>0$ is sufficiently small, then by (8)

$$
\int_{1 / \lambda}^{(1+\delta) / \lambda} g(t) d t<\varepsilon \int_{0}^{1 / \lambda} g(t) d t=\varepsilon \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} h\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) g(t) d t
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I_{\lambda}(2)\right\|<(e+\varepsilon) \varepsilon \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} h\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) g(t) d t \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all sufficiently small $\lambda>0$. Finally (a) implies

$$
\left\|I_{\lambda}(3)\right\|<\int_{(1+\delta) / \lambda}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \varepsilon g(t) d t \leq \varepsilon \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} g(t) d t
$$

We apply Lemma 2.1 to infer that there exists a constant $\eta>0$ such that

$$
\liminf _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\int_{0}^{1 / \lambda} g(t) d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} g(t) d t}>\eta
$$

Thus if $\lambda>0$ is sufficiently small, then

$$
\int_{0}^{1 / \lambda} g(t) d t>\eta \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} g(t) d t
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I_{\lambda}(3)\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta} \int_{0}^{1 / \lambda} g(t) d t=\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} h\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) g(t) d t \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\lambda \downarrow 0}\left\|I_{\lambda}\right\|<\varepsilon+(e+\varepsilon) \varepsilon+\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we write

$$
I I_{\lambda}=\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) g(t) d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} h\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) g(t) d t} \cdot \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) u(t) d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) g(t) d t}
$$

Similarly as in (12) one may show that if $\lambda>0$ is sufficiently small, then

$$
1 \leq \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) g(t) d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} h\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) g(t) d t}<1+\varepsilon+(e+\varepsilon) \varepsilon+\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta}
$$

Since $P(t) \geq d>0$ on $[0,1]$ for some $d>0$, it follows that

$$
\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) u(t) d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) g(t) d t}=x
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|I I_{\lambda}-x\right\| \leq\left\|\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) u(t) d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) g(t) d t}-x\right\| \\
& \quad+\left(\varepsilon+(e+\varepsilon) \varepsilon+\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta}\right)\left\|\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) u(t) d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right) g(t) d t}\right\|  \tag{13}\\
& \quad \longrightarrow\left(\varepsilon+(e+\varepsilon) \varepsilon+\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta}\right)\|x\|
\end{align*}
$$

as $\lambda \downarrow 0$. Combining this with (12) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\lambda \downarrow 0}{\limsup }\left\|\frac{\int_{0}^{1 / \lambda} u(t) d t}{\int_{0}^{1 / \lambda} g(t) d t}-x\right\|<\left(\varepsilon+(e+\varepsilon) \varepsilon+\frac{\varepsilon}{\eta}\right)(1+\|x\|) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which completes the proof, since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary.
Theorem 2.3 (cf. [2, Theorem 4.2], [5, Proposition 3.4]). Let $\alpha \geq 0$. Suppose $u \in$ $L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, X\right)$ satisfies $\|u(t)\|=O\left(t^{\alpha-1}\right), t \rightarrow \infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $x=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Gamma(\alpha+1) / t^{\alpha}\right) \int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s$;
(ii) $x=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Gamma(\alpha+\beta) / \Gamma(\beta) t^{\alpha+\beta-1}\right) \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\beta-1} u(s) d s$ for some/all $\beta>1$;
(iii) $x=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \lambda^{\alpha} \widehat{u}(\lambda)=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \lambda^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} u(t) d t$.

Proof: "(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii)" follows from [2, Theorem 4.1].
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Suppose $\alpha>0$. Then define $g(t):=k_{\alpha}(t)=t^{\alpha-1} / \Gamma(\alpha)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$ and $\mu:=g(t) d t$. It follows that $\|u(t)\|=O(g(t)), t \rightarrow \infty$, that $\widehat{g}(\lambda)=\lambda^{\alpha}$ for all $\lambda>0$, and that $\mu[0, t]=\int_{0}^{t} k_{\alpha}(s) d s=\left(k_{1} * k_{\alpha}\right)(t)=k_{\alpha+1}(t)=t^{\alpha} / \Gamma(\alpha+1)$. Hence $\mu$ satisfies condition (C), and so (i) follows from Theorem 2.2.

Next suppose $\alpha=0$. Since $\|u(t)\|=O\left(t^{-1}\right), t \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from standard calculations (see e.g. [8, pp. 204, 206]) that the function $U(t):=\int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s$ is bounded and feebly oscilating (i.e. $\|U(t)-U(s)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t$ and $s \rightarrow \infty$ in such a way that $t / s \rightarrow 1$ ). Thus (i) follows from [5, Proposition 3.4]. The proof is complete.

Remark. The special case $\alpha=1$ of Theorem 2.3 states that, under the assumption that $u$ is bounded, the Cesàro limit $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}(1 / t) \int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s$ exists if and only if the Abel limit $\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \lambda \widehat{u}(\lambda)$ exists and they are both equal. This is a classical Tauberian theorem (see e.g. [1, Theorem 4.2.7]). The special case $\alpha=0$ of Theorem 2.3 states that, under the assumption that $\|u\|=O\left(t^{-1}\right), t \rightarrow \infty$, the limit $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s$ exists if and only if the limit $\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \widehat{u}(\lambda)$ exists and they are both equal. This is another classical Tauberian theorem (see e.g. [1, Theorem 4.2.9]).
Theorem 2.4. Suppose $u \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, X\right)$ satisfies $\|u(t)\|=O\left(t^{-1}\right), t \rightarrow \infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $x=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}(1 / \log t) \int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s$;
(ii) $x=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(1 / t^{\beta-1} \log t\right) \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\beta-1} u(s) d s$ for some/all $\beta>1$;
(iii) $x=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0}(1 /-\log \lambda) \widehat{u}(\lambda)=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0}(1 /-\log \lambda) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} u(t) d t$.

Proof: Let

$$
g(t):= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } 0 \leq t<1 \\ t^{-1} & \text { if } t \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

An approximation argument yields that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\gamma-1} g(s) d s}{t^{\gamma-1} \log t} & =\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{1}^{t}(t-s)^{\gamma-1} s^{-1} d s}{t^{\gamma-1} \log t} \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{1 / t}^{1}(1-s)^{\gamma-1} s^{-1} d s}{\log t}=1 \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\gamma \geq 1$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\widehat{g}(\lambda)}{-\log \lambda}=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} t^{-1} d t}{-\log \lambda}=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\int_{\lambda}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{-1} d t}{-\log \lambda}=1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\|u(t)\|=O(g(t)), t \rightarrow \infty$, and the measure $\mu:=g(t) d t$ satisfies condition (C), the desired result follows from Theorem 2.2.

Remark. If $X$ is a Banach lattice with positive cone $X_{+}$and $u \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, X_{+}\right)$, then statements (i), (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 2.4 are also equivalent. This follows from [2, Theorem 2.2]. (We note that if $u \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, X_{+}\right)$, then statement (ii) in Theorem 2.4 implies that $\widehat{u}(\lambda)$ exists for all $\lambda>0$ (see [3, Lemma 2.5]).)

Fact 2.5. Let $u \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, X\right)$. Consider the following three statements:
(i) $x=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s$;
(ii) $\widehat{u}(\lambda)$ exists for all $\lambda>0$ and $x=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(1 / t^{\beta-1}\right) \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\beta-1} u(s) d s$ for some/all $\beta>1$;
(iii) $x=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \widehat{u}(\lambda)=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} u(t) d t$.

Then (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).

Proof: Letting $g(t):=\chi_{[0,1]}(t)$ we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\gamma-1} g(s) d s}{t^{\gamma-1}}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{0}^{1}(t-s)^{\gamma-1} d s}{t^{\gamma-1}}=1
$$

for all $\gamma \geq 1$ and

$$
\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \widehat{g}(\lambda)=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-\lambda t} d t=1
$$

Thus the desired result follows from [2, Theorem 2.1].
Remarks. (a) If $\int_{0}^{\infty}\|u(t)\| d t<\infty$, then clearly both (i) and (iii) in Fact 2.5 hold. In general (iii) does not imply (i). (For example let $u(t):=\sin t$.) If $u \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, X\right)$ satisfies $\|u(t)\|=O\left(t^{-1}\right), t \rightarrow \infty$, or if $X$ is a Banach lattice and $u \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, X_{+}\right)$, then (iii) implies (i). (See Theorem 2.3 and [2, Theorem 4.2], respectively.)
(b) There exists a continuous function $u:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\inf \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ : $\widehat{u}(\lambda)$ exists $\}=1$ and also such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}(1 / t) \int_{0}^{t}(t-s) u(s) d s(\in \mathbb{R})$ exists (see the Remark over Theorem 2.4 in [3], or [7, Example 5]). Thus the hypothesis that $\widehat{u}(\lambda)$ exists for all $\lambda>0$ cannot be omitted from (ii) in Fact 2.5.

## 3. Results for sequences

Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}:=\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in a Banach space $X$. For $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, we define the $\gamma$-th order Cesàro sum $\mathfrak{s}_{n}^{\gamma}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{s}_{n}^{\gamma}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right):=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n-k+\gamma-1}{n-k} x_{k} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\binom{r}{0}:=1$ and $\binom{r}{n}:=r(r-1) \ldots(r-n+1) / n$ ! for $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \geq 1$. Thus $\mathfrak{s}_{0}^{\gamma}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right)=x_{0}$ for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{s}_{n}^{0}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right)=x_{n}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{n}^{1}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} x_{k}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. The Abel sum $\widehat{\left\{x_{i}\right\}}(r)$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\left\{x_{i}\right\}}(r):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{n} x_{n}, \quad 0<r<\left(\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{1 / n}\right)^{-1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $\widehat{\left\{x_{i}\right\}}(r)$ exists for all $0<r<1$ if and only if $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{1 / n} \leq 1$. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}>0$. We define $u(t):=x_{[t]}$ and $g(t):=a_{[t]}$ for $t \geq 0$, where $[t]$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to $t$. Then we have the following

Lemma 3.1. (i) $x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} x_{k}\right) /\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}\right)$ if and only if $x=$ $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s\right) /\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)$.
(ii) Suppose $\widehat{\left\{x_{i}\right\}}(r)$ and $\widehat{\left\{a_{i}\right\}}(r)$ exist for all $0<r<1$. Then

$$
x=\lim _{r \uparrow 1} \frac{\widehat{\left\{x_{i}\right\}}(r)}{\widehat{\left\{a_{i}\right\}}(r)}=\lim _{r \uparrow 1} \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{n} x_{n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{n} a_{n}}
$$

if and only if

$$
x=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\widehat{u}(\lambda)}{\widehat{g}(\lambda)}=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} u(t) d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} g(t) d t}
$$

Proof: (i) Putting $\delta(t):=t-[t]$ we have $0 \leq \delta(t)<1$, and

$$
\frac{\int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s}{\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s}=\frac{(1-\delta(t)) \sum_{k=0}^{[t]-1} x_{k}+\delta(t) \sum_{k=0}^{[t]} x_{k}}{(1-\delta(t)) \sum_{k=0}^{[t]-1} a_{k}+\delta(t) \sum_{k=0}^{[t]} a_{k}}
$$

so that the first condition of (i) implies the second condition. The converse implication is obvious.
(ii) By an elementary calculation we have

$$
\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} u(t) d t}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} g(t) d t}=\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda n} x_{n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda n} a_{n}}, \quad \lambda>0
$$

whence (ii) follows.
Theorem 3.2 (cf. [2, Theorem 3.2]). Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}>0$. Suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{k}}{\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text { as } \quad m, n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { with } \quad \frac{m}{n} \rightarrow 1 \tag{D}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in $X$, with $\left\|x_{n}\right\|=O\left(a_{n}\right), n \rightarrow \infty$, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{s}_{n}^{1}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right) / \mathfrak{s}_{n}^{1}\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} x_{k}\right) /\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}\right)$;
(ii) $x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{s}_{n}^{\beta}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right) / \mathfrak{s}_{n}^{\beta}\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right)$ for some/all $\beta>1$;
(iii) $x=\lim _{r \uparrow 1} \widehat{\left\{x_{i}\right\}}(r) / \widehat{\left\{a_{i}\right\}}(r)=\lim _{r \uparrow 1}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{n} x_{n}\right) /\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{n} a_{n}\right)$.

Proof: Condition (D) implies that the function $g(t)=a_{[t]}$ satisfies condition (C) with $\mu:=g(t) d t$. Hence $\widehat{\left\{a_{i}\right\}}(r)$ and $\widehat{\left\{x_{i}\right\}}(r)$ exist for all $0<r<1$. Then "(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii)" follows from [2, Theorem 3.1].
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.2 we have

$$
x=\lim _{r \uparrow 1} \frac{\widehat{\left\{x_{i}\right\}}(r)}{\widehat{\left\{a_{i}\right\}}(r)}=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{\widehat{u}(\lambda)}{\widehat{g}(\lambda)}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathfrak{s}_{t}^{1}(u)}{\mathfrak{s}_{t}^{1}(g)}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathfrak{s}_{n}^{1}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right)}{\mathfrak{s}_{n}^{1}\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right)},
$$

which completes the proof.

Theorem 3.3 (cf. [2, Theorem 4.4], [5, Proposition 3.6]). Let $\alpha \geq 0$. Suppose $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in $X$ such that $\left\|x_{n}\right\|=O\left(n^{\alpha-1}\right), n \rightarrow \infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Gamma(\alpha+1) /(n+1)^{\alpha}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n} x_{k}$;
(ii) $x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Gamma(\alpha+\beta) /(n+1)^{\alpha+\beta-1}\right) \mathfrak{s}_{n}^{\beta}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right)$ for some/all $\beta>1$;
(iii) $x=\lim _{r \uparrow 1}(1-r)^{\alpha} \widehat{\left\{x_{i}\right\}}(r)=\lim _{r \uparrow 1}(1-r)^{\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{n} x_{n}$.

Proof: "(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii)" follows from [2, Theorem 4.3].
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Suppose $\alpha>0$. Then define $a_{n}:=\binom{n+\alpha-1}{n}$ for $n \geq 0$. It follows (cf. [9, pp. 76-77]) that $(1-r)^{-\alpha}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{n} a_{n}$ for $0<r<1$, and $a_{n}=n^{\alpha-1}(1+$ $o(1)) / \Gamma(\alpha), n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\left\|x_{n}\right\|=O\left(a_{n}\right), n \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}=\binom{n+\alpha}{n}=\frac{n^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}(1+o(1)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

$\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies condition (D). Hence (i) follows from Theorem 3.2.
Next suppose $\alpha=0$. Then the function $u(t)=x_{[t]}$ satisfies $\|u(t)\|=O\left(t^{-1}\right)$, $t \rightarrow \infty$, and (iii) implies that $x=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} u(t) d t$. Hence, by Theorem 2.3, $x=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} x_{k}$. This completes the proof.

Remark. The special cases $\alpha=1$ and $\alpha=0$ of Theorem 3.3 are classical results for sequences corresponding to $\alpha=1$ and $\alpha=0$ of Theorem 2.3, respectively. (See e.g. [4, Theorem 3.1], [1, Theorem 4.2.17].)

Theorem 3.4. Suppose $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in $X$ such that $\left\|x_{n}\right\|=O\left(n^{-1}\right)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(1 / \log (n+1)) \sum_{k=0}^{n} x_{k}$;
(ii) $x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Gamma(\beta) /(n+1)^{\beta-1} \log (n+1)\right) \mathfrak{s}_{n}^{\beta}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right)$ for some/all $\beta>1$;
(iii) $x=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0}(1 /-\log \lambda) \widehat{\left\{x_{i}\right\}}\left(e^{-\lambda}\right)=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0}(1 /-\log \lambda) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda n} x_{n}$.

Proof: Define $a_{0}:=0$ and $a_{n}:=n^{-1}$ for $n \geq 1$. Hence $\left\|x_{n}\right\|=O\left(a_{n}\right), n \rightarrow$ $\infty$, and $\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}=\log n+O(1), n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies condition (D). If $\beta>1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{s}_{n}^{\beta}\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n-k+\beta-1}{n-k} \frac{1}{k} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\binom{n+\beta-1}{n}=\frac{n^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)}(1+o(1)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

it follows by an approximation argument that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{s}_{n}^{\beta}\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right) & =\int_{1}^{n} \frac{(n-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} s^{-1} d s \cdot(1+o(1)) \\
& =\frac{n^{\beta-1} \log n}{\Gamma(\beta)} \cdot(1+o(1)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\left\{a_{i}\right\}}\left(e^{-\lambda}\right) & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda n} n^{-1}=\int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} t^{-1} d t \cdot(1+o(1)) \\
& =-\log \lambda \cdot(1+o(1)), \quad \lambda \downarrow 0 \quad \text { (by (16)). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the desired result follows from Theorem 3.2.
Remark. If $X$ is a Banach lattice and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset X_{+}$, then statements (i), (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 3.4 are also equivalent. This follows from [2, Theorem 3.2]. (We note that statement (ii) in Theorem 3.4 implies that $\widehat{\left\{x_{i}\right\}}(r)$ exists for all $0<r<1$.)

Fact 3.5. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $X$. Consider the following three statements:
(i) $x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} x_{k}$;
(ii) $x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Gamma(\beta) /(n+1)^{\beta-1}\right) \mathfrak{s}_{n}^{\beta}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right)$ for some/all $\beta>1$;
(iii) $x=\lim _{r \uparrow 1} \widehat{\left\{x_{i}\right\}}(r)=\lim _{r \uparrow 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{n} x_{n}$.

Then (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).
Proof: By letting $a_{0}:=1$ and $a_{n}:=0$ for $n \geq 1$, the desired result follows as in Fact 2.5. We may omit the details.

Remark. In general (iii) does not imply (i) in Fact 3.5. (For example let $x_{n}:=$ $(-1)^{n}$.) If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ satisfies $\left\|x_{n}\right\|=O\left(n^{-1}\right), n \rightarrow \infty$, or if $X$ is a Banach lattice and $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X_{+}$, then (iii) implies (i). (See Theorem 3.3 and [2, Theorem 4.4], respectively.)

## 4. A counterexample

The following example shows that condition (D) is essential in Theorem 3.2. (See also Example 3 in [6].)

Example. Define $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ by

$$
a_{n}:= \begin{cases}n & \text { if } n \in\left\{2^{k}, 2^{k}+1\right\} \text { for some } k \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Thus $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ does not satisfy condition (D). Next define $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ by

$$
x_{n}:= \begin{cases}n & \text { if } n=2^{k} \text { for some } k \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

It follows that $x_{n}=O\left(a_{n}\right), n \rightarrow \infty$. An elementary calculation yields

$$
\frac{1}{2}=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} x_{k}}{\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}}<\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} x_{k}}{\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k}}=\frac{2}{3}
$$

so that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{s}_{n}^{1}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right) / \mathfrak{s}_{n}^{1}\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right)$ does not exist. Nevertheless we have

$$
\frac{\widehat{\left\{x_{i}\right\}}(r)}{\widehat{\left\{a_{i}\right\}}(r)}=\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n} r^{2^{n}}}{(1+r) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n} r^{2^{n}}+r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{2^{n}}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \quad \text { as } r \uparrow 1
$$

Remark. Let $0 \neq g \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. Suppose that $\widehat{g}(\lambda)$ exists for all $\lambda>0$ and that $x=\lim _{\lambda \downarrow 0} \widehat{u}(\lambda) / \widehat{g}(\lambda)$ implies $x=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{0}^{t} u(s) d s\right) /\left(\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)$ for all $u \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, X\right)$ with $\|u(t)\|=O(g(t)), t \rightarrow \infty$. Then in view of Theorem 2.2 it would be natural to ask the following question: Does the measure $\mu:=g(t) d t$ satisfy condition (C) of Lemma 2.1? The author could not solve this problem.
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