Ladislav Beran; Jaroslav Ježek On embedding of lattices in simple lattices

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 13 (1972), No. 1, 87--89

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/142272

Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 1972

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

On Embedding of Lattices in Simple Lattices

L. BERAN and J. JEŽEK Department of Mathematics, Charles University, Prague

Received 1 March 1972

B. Jonsson [2] has proved that every lattice is a sublattice of a subdirectly irreducible lattice. Here we strengthen this result.

In order to simplify the notation we shall omit the one-element classes in the expression $\mathfrak{z} = (N_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ for a partition on a set $M \neq \emptyset$. E. g., $\mathfrak{z} = (m, n), (p, q)$ means that the partition \mathfrak{z} of the partition lattice $\mathfrak{z}(M)$ consists of two two – element classes (m, n), (p, q) and that the other classes of \mathfrak{z} have only one element. If $\mathfrak{z}_1 \leq \mathfrak{z}_2, \mathfrak{z}_3 \leq \mathfrak{z}_4, \mathfrak{z}_1 \wedge \mathfrak{z}_4 = \mathfrak{z}_3$ and $\mathfrak{z}_1 \vee \mathfrak{z}_4 = \mathfrak{z}_2$, then we shall write $\mathfrak{z}_2/\mathfrak{z}_1 \searrow \mathfrak{z}_4/\mathfrak{z}_3$ resp. $\mathfrak{z}_4/\mathfrak{z}_1 \nearrow \mathfrak{z}_2/\mathfrak{z}_1$.

Theorem 1. The partition lattice z(M) is simple.

Proof. It may be assumed without loss of generality that card $M \ge 3$. We shall decompose the proof in the following steps (The statement (ii) is contained in [1]; for the sake of completeness we shall give here another proof.):

(i) If \mathfrak{z}_0 , \mathfrak{z}_1 are two atoms of (M), then the intervals $[0, \mathfrak{z}_0]$, $[0, \mathfrak{z}_1]$ are projective. In fact, the transitivity of the projectivity makes it sufficient to show that for any two atoms $\mathfrak{z}', \mathfrak{z}''$ of the form $\mathfrak{z}' = (m, n), \mathfrak{z}'' = (n, p)$ the intervals $[0, \mathfrak{z}']$ $[0, \mathfrak{z}'']$ are projective. But the atoms $\mathfrak{z}', \mathfrak{z}'', \mathfrak{z}''' = (m, p)$ generate in \mathfrak{z} (M) a sublattice isomorphic with the five-element modular lattice M_5 having all prime intervals projective. (ii) Any two prime intervals of \mathfrak{z} (M) are projective. Indeed, if $\mathfrak{z}_1 - \mathfrak{z}_2$, *i.e.*, if \mathfrak{z}_2 covers \mathfrak{z}_1 , and $0 < \mathfrak{z}_1$, then there exists ([3], Satz 53) a relative complement \mathfrak{z}'_1 of \mathfrak{z}_1 in $[0, \mathfrak{z}_2]$. Since $\mathfrak{z}(M)$ is relatively atomic in the sense of Szász, there is a \mathfrak{z}'_{10} such that $0 - \mathfrak{z}'_{10} \leq \mathfrak{z}'_1$ and $\mathfrak{z}_1 \vee \mathfrak{z}'_{10} = \mathfrak{z}_2$, $\mathfrak{z}_1 \wedge \mathfrak{z}'_{10} = 0$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{z}/\mathfrak{z}_1 \vee \mathfrak{z}'_{10}/0$ which completes by /i/t the proof of /ii/t.

/iii/ Let us now consider a maximal system \mathfrak{y} consisting of disjoint two-element classes of the form $(m, m_2), m_1, m_2 \in M$ which may be interpreted as ordered pairs. Let $M_1 = \{m \mid \exists n \in M (m, n) \in \mathfrak{y}\}, M_2 = \{m \mid \exists n \in M (n, m) \in \mathfrak{y}\}$. Since card $(M \setminus (M_1 \cup M_2)) \leq 1$, only two cases are possible:

/iv/ Case I: $M = M_1 \bigcup M_2$. Let $\mathfrak{a} = (M_1)$, (M_2) , $\mathfrak{w}_1 = (M_1)$, $\mathfrak{w}_2 = (M_2)$, $\mathfrak{q} = 0$, $\mathfrak{w} = \mathfrak{y}$. Then we have (cf. Fig. 1)

$$I/\mathfrak{w} \searrow \mathfrak{w}_2/\mathfrak{o} \nearrow \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{w}_1 \tag{1}$$

$$I/\mathfrak{a} \searrow \mathfrak{w}/\mathfrak{q} \nearrow I/\mathfrak{w}_1 \tag{2}$$

and

87

....

|v| Case II: $M = M_1 \bigcup M_2 \bigcup \{q\}$. Let $(p_1, p_2) \in \mathfrak{y}$ and let \mathfrak{w} denote the partition on M obtained from \mathfrak{y} by replacing the class (p_1, p_2) by the class (p_1, q, p_2) . Further, let $\mathfrak{w}_1 = (M_1 \bigcup \{q\}), \mathfrak{w}_2 = (M_2), \mathfrak{a} = (M_1 \bigcup \{q\}), (M_2), \mathfrak{q} = (p_1, q)$. It is easy to check the validity of (1) and (2) also for this case (cf. Fig. 2).



/vi/ If \equiv denotes a congruence such that $\mathfrak{z}^* \equiv \mathfrak{z}^+, \mathfrak{z}^* \neq \mathfrak{z}^+$, then there is a $\mathfrak{z}^\# \in \mathfrak{z}(M)$ such that $\mathfrak{z}^* \wedge \mathfrak{z}^+ - \mathfrak{z}^\# \leq \mathfrak{z}^* \vee \mathfrak{z}^+$ and $\mathfrak{z}^\# \equiv \mathfrak{z}^* \wedge \mathfrak{z}^+$. Hence, by /ii/, $I \equiv \mathfrak{a}$, since $I \succ \mathfrak{a}$. Therefore $I \equiv \mathfrak{w}_1$ and $\mathfrak{w} \equiv \mathfrak{q}$, by (2). Thus $\mathfrak{a} \equiv \mathfrak{w}_1$ and by (1) we have $I \equiv \mathfrak{w}$. Finally, since $\mathfrak{q} \succeq 0$, necessarily also $\mathfrak{q} \equiv 0$. Summarizing $I \equiv \mathfrak{w} \equiv \mathfrak{q} \equiv 0$ we conclude that $\mathfrak{z}(M)$ is simple.

Remark. By the Whitman's theorem it is now clear that every lattice can be embedded in a simple lattice. This may be proved directly in the following way:

Theorem 2. Every lattice is a sublattice of a simple lattice.

Proof. Let L be an arbitrary lattice with extreme elements 0 and 1. For every $a \in L$ different from both 0 and 1 we construct a lattice $\alpha_a(L)$ as follows: $\alpha_a(L) = L \bigcup \{u, v\}$ where u, v are two different elements not belonging to L; x < y in $\alpha_a(L)$ if and only if one of the following five cases takes place:

$$|i| x, y \in L \& x < y \text{ in } L;$$

$$|ii| x = u \& y = 1;$$

$$|iii| x = v \& y = 1;$$

$$|iv| x \in L \& y = u\& x \leq a \text{ in } L;$$

$$|v| x = 0 \& v = v.$$

Evidently, L is a sublattice of $\alpha_a(L)$; 0 and 1 are the extreme elements of $\alpha_a(L)$;

whenever Θ is a congruence relation of $\alpha_a(L)$ and $a \Theta x$ for some $x \in L$ where a < x, then $a \Theta 1$. (Proof: We have $a \lor u \Theta x \lor u$, *i.e.* $u \Theta 1$; consequently, $u \land v \Theta 1 \land v$, *i.e.* $0 \Theta v$, which gives $a \lor 0 \Theta a \lor v$, *i.e.* $a \Theta 1$.)

We can construct quite similarly for every $a \in L$ different from both 0 and 1 a lattice $\beta_a(L)$ such that L is its sublattice, 0 and 1 are its extreme elements and whenever Θ is a congruence relation of $\beta_a(L)$ and $a \Theta x$ for some $x \in L$ satisfying x < a, then $a \Theta 0$.

Put, moreover, $\alpha_0(L) = \alpha_1(L) = \beta_0(L) = \beta_1(L) = L$. Arrange elements of L into a (possibly transfinite) sequence $a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{\omega}, \ldots$; define lattices $L_0, L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_{\omega}, \ldots$ as follows: $L_0 = L$; $L_{\gamma} = \beta_{a_{\gamma}}(\alpha_{a_{\gamma}}(L_{\gamma-1}))$ if $\gamma - 1$ exists; $L_{\gamma} = \bigcup_{\delta < \gamma} L_{\delta}$ if γ is limit. Put $L^* = \bigcup_{\gamma} L_{\gamma}$, so that L^* is a lattice, L is its sublattice, 0 and 1 are its extreme elements and whenever $x \Theta y$ where x and y are two different

elements of L and Θ is a congruence relation of L^{*}, then $0 \Theta 1$, *i.e.* Θ is the greatest congruence relation of L^{*}.

The union of the increasing chain (of type ω) of lattices $L, L^*, (L^*)^*, \ldots$ is a simple lattice containing L as a sublattice. As every lattice can be embedded into a lattice with extreme elements, the theorem is thus proved.

Added id proof. Theorem 1 has been proved by O. Ore in Duke math. J. 9 1942, p. 626, where, however, the resulting line of reasoning did not possess the strictly elementary and relatively self-contained character of the proof given in this paper.

References

- Beran L.: Treillis sous-modulaires, Séminaire DUBREIL-PISOT (Algèbre et théorie des nombres), 21e année, Nº 13 (1967/68).
- [2] Jónsson B.: Algebras whose congruence lattices are distributive. Math. Scand. 21, 110-121 (1967).
- [3] Szász G.: Einführung in die Verbandstheorie, Budapest, Akadémiai Kiadó (1962).