

Tomáš Kepka

Hamiltonian quasimodules and trimedial quasigroups

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 26 (1985), No. 1, 11--20

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/142543>

Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 1985

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Hamiltonian Quasimodules and Trimedial Quasigroups

T. KEPKA

Department of Mathematics, Charles University, Prague*)

Received 19 October 1984

Hamiltonian quasimodules and trimedial quasigroups are studied.

Studují se hamiltonovské kvazimoduly a trimediální kvazigrupy.

Изучаются гамильтоновы квазимодулы и квазигруппы.

1. Commutative Moufang loops and quasimodules

A loop $Q(+)$ satisfying the identity $(x + x) + (y + z) = (x + y) + (x + z)$ is commutative and is called a commutative Moufang loop. We denote by $C(Q(+))$ the centre of $Q(+)$, by $A(Q(+))$ the associator subloop of $Q(+)$, by $0 = C_0(Q(+)) \subseteq C_1(Q(+)) = C(Q(+)) \subseteq C_2(Q(+)) \subseteq \dots \subseteq C_n(Q(+)) \subseteq \dots$ the upper central series of $Q(+)$ and by $Q = A_0(Q(+)) \supseteq A_1(Q(+)) = A(Q(+)) \supseteq A_2(Q(+)) \supseteq \dots \supseteq A_n(Q(+)) \supseteq \dots$ the lower central series of $Q(+)$.

1.1. Lemma. Let $Q(+)$ be a commutative Moufang loop and let $a, b, c \in Q$ be such that $(a + b) + c = -a + (b + c)$. Then $2a = 0$ and $a \in C(Q(+))$.

Proof. We have $(a + b) + (3a + c) = ((a + b) + c) + 3a = (-a + (b + c)) + 3a = 2a + (b + c) = (a + b) + (a + c)$, so that $2a = 0$.

Throughout the paper, let R be an associative ring with unit possessing a ring homomorphism Φ onto the three-element field Z_3 . Put $I = \text{Ker } \Phi$. By a (Φ -special unitary left R -) quasimodule Q we mean a commutative Moufang loop $Q(+)$ supplied with a scalar multiplication by elements from R such that the usual module identities are satisfied and, moreover, $rx \in C(Q(+))$ for all $r \in I$ and $x \in Q$. In this case, all the members of the upper central series as well as of the lower central series of $Q(+)$ are subquasimodules of Q .

A quasimodule Q is said to be primitive if $rx = 0$ for all $r \in I$ and $x \in Q$.

If Q is a quasimodule then both $A(Q)$ and $Q/C(Q)$ are primitive.

By a preradical p (for quasimodules) we mean any subfunctor of the identity functor. In this case, $p(Q)$ is a normal subquasimodule of Q for any quasimodule Q .

*) 186 00 Praha 8, Sokolovská 83, Czechoslovakia.

For a quasimodule Q , let $K(Q)$ be the subquasimodule generated by all primitive subquasimodules of Q . Then $K(Q)$ is a primitive quasimodule and we define $L(Q)$ by $L(Q) = \bigcup Q_\alpha$, where $Q_0 = 0$, $Q_{\alpha+1}/Q_\alpha = K(Q/Q_\alpha)$ and $Q_\alpha = \bigcup Q_\beta$, $\beta < \alpha$, if $\alpha \geq 1$ is limit. Then both K and L are hereditary preradicals and L is a radical.

For a quasimodule Q , let $S(Q)$ be the subquasimodule generated by all minimal subquasimodules. Further, define $T(Q)$ similarly as $L(Q)$.

For a quasimodule Q , let $B(Q) = 3Q$.

1.2. Lemma. Let P be a subquasimodule of a quasimodule Q such that $P \cap C(Q) = 0$. Then P is primitive and $P \subseteq K(Q)$. Moreover, if P is cyclic then either $P = 0$ or P is isomorphic to Z_3 (the module structure on Z_3 is induced by Φ).

Proof. Obvious.

1.3. Lemma. Let P be a non-zero normal cyclic subquasimodule of a quasimodule Q . Then $P \cap C(Q) \neq 0$ and if P is simple then $P \subseteq C(Q)$.

Proof. Suppose that $P \cap C(Q) = 0$. By 1.2, P contains just three elements, so that $P = \{a, -a, 0\}$. Since $a \notin C(Q)$ and P is normal, $f(a) = a$ for every inner automorphism f of Q (use 1.1). Hence $a \in C(Q)$.

1.4. Lemma. Let Q be a non-associative quasimodule generated by three elements. Then:

- (i) $A(Q)$ is isomorphic to the module Z_3 .
- (ii) $\text{card } Q/C(Q) = 27$ and $Q/C(Q)$ is isomorphic to Z_3^3 .
- (iii) $C(Q) = A(Q) + B(Q)$.
- (iv) If $C(Q) \neq B(Q)$ then $Q/B(Q)$ is a free primitive quasimodule of rank 3 and of rank 3 and $A(Q) \cap B(Q) = 0$.
- (v) If P is a proper subquasimodule of Q and $C(Q) \subseteq P$ then P is a module.
- (vi) If P is a non-associative subquasimodule of Q then $A(Q) \subseteq P$, $Q = P + B(Q)$ and P is a normal subquasimodule.

Proof. (i) If Q is generated by $\{a, b, c\}$ and $d = [a, b, c]$ then Q/Rd is associative and $A(Q) = Rd$.

(ii) $Q/C(Q)$ is not generated by two elements and it is a primitive module generated by three elements.

(iii) Put $P = A(Q) + B(Q)$. Then $P \subseteq C(Q)$, Q/P is a primitive module and $\text{card } Q/P \leq 27$. Hence $P = C(Q)$.

(iv) Since $B(Q) \neq C(Q)$, $\text{card } Q/B(Q) = 81$. On the other hand, $Q/B(Q)$ is a homomorphic image of the free primitive quasimodule of rank 3 and this contains just 81 elements.

(v) Obviously, $f(P)$ is a proper submodule of $Q/C(Q)$, f being the natural homomorphism. By (ii), $f(P)$ can be generated by two elements. Since $C(Q) \subseteq C(P)$, P is associative.

(vi) We have $A(Q) \subseteq P$ and P is normal in Q . If $P + B(Q) \neq Q$ then there is a normal subquasimodule V of Q such that $P \subseteq V$ and Q/V is isomorphic to Z_3 . Consequently, $A(Q)$ and $B(Q)$ are contained in V and $C(Q) \subseteq V$. By (v), V is a module,

1.5. Lemma. Let Q be an L -torsion quasimodule generated by three elements. Then every proper subquasimodule of Q is a module.

Proof. Use 1.4.

1.6. Lemma. Let Q be a non-associative subdirectly irreducible quasimodule nilpotent of class at most two. Then $A(Q)$ is isomorphic to Z_3 and every proper homomorphic image of Q is a module.

Proof. We have $0 \neq A(Q) \subseteq C(Q)$. Since $A(Q)$ is subdirectly irreducible and primitive, $A(Q)$ is isomorphic to Z_3 . The rest is clear.

1.7. Proposition. The following conditions are equivalent for a non-associative L -torsion quasimodule Q :

- (i) Q is subdirectly irreducible and it is generated by at most three elements.
- (ii) Every proper factorquasimodule as well as every proper subquasimodule of Q is a module.

Proof. Apply 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6.

2. Hamiltonian quasimodules

A quasimodule Q is said to be hamiltonian if every subquasimodule of Q is normal.

2.1. Proposition. Let Q be a hamiltonian quasimodule. Then Q is nilpotent of class at most 2 and $S(Q) \subseteq C(Q)$.

Proof. $S(Q) \subseteq C(Q)$ by 1.3. Further, $A(Q) \subseteq K(Q) \subseteq S(Q) \subseteq C(Q)$, and hence Q is nilpotent of class at most 2.

2.2. Proposition. Let Q be a subdirectly irreducible non-associative hamiltonian quasimodule. Then:

- (i) Q is cocyclic and $A(Q) = K(Q) = S(Q)$ is isomorphic to Z_3 .
- (ii) Every proper homomorphic image of Q is associative.
- (iii) If R is commutative and I finitely generated then Q is L -torsion. If, moreover, Q is finitely generated then Q is finite and $\text{card } Q = 3^n$ for some $n \geq 4$.

Proof. (i) and (ii). These assertions are easy.

(iii) $C(Q)$ is a cocyclic module, and hence it is L -torsion. On the other hand, $Q/C(Q)$ is primitive and consequently, Q is L -torsion.

2.3. Proposition. Let Q be a non-associative hamiltonian quasimodule which is generated by at most three elements. Then:

- (i) $A(Q)$ is isomorphic to Z_3 .
- (ii) $C(Q) = B(Q)$ and $Q/C(Q)$ is isomorphic to Z_3^3 .
- (iii) If Q is L -torsion then every proper subquasimodule of Q is a module.

Proof. Apply 1.4 and 1.5.

2.4. Proposition. Suppose that R is commutative and I is a finitely generated ideal. Let Q be a non-associative hamiltonian quasimodule such that Q is subdirectly irreducible and generated by at most three elements. Then Q is finite, L -torsion card $Q = 3^n$ for some $n \geq 4$ and every proper factorquasimodule as well as every proper subquasimodule of Q is a module.

Proof. See the previous results.

2.5. Proposition. Suppose that R is commutative, I is finitely generated and there exists a non-associative hamiltonian quasimodule. Then there exists a finite cocyclic L -torsion module M such that M cannot be generated by two elements.

Proof. There exists a non-associative hamiltonian quasimodule $Q' = Q(*, rx)$ such that Q' is subdirectly irreducible, L -torsion, finite and generated by at most three elements. Then there are a module $Q = Q(+, rx)$ with the same underlying set and the same scalar multiplication and a trilinear mapping T of Q^3 into Q such that $T(x, x, y) = 0$, $T(T(x, y, z), u, v) = 0$, $T(u, v, T(x, y, z)) = 0$, $sT(x, y, z) = 0$ and $x * y = x + y + T(x, y, x - y)$ for all $x, y, z, u, v \in Q$ and $s \in I$. If P is a non-zero cyclic submodule of Q then P is also a subquasimodule of Q' and hence $A(Q') \subseteq P$. From this we conclude that Q is cocyclic. Obviously, Q is L -torsion. Finally, since Q' is not associative, $T \neq 0$ and Q is not generated by two elements.

2.6. Proposition. Suppose that I is finitely generated as a left ideal and let there exist a finitely generated cocyclic L -torsion module which is not generated by two elements. Then there exists a non-associative hamiltonian quasimodule.

Proof. Put $F = R \times R \times R \times Z_3$, $a_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, $a_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0)$, $a_3 = (0, 0, 1, 0)$, $a_4 = (0, 0, 0, 1)$ and define a trilinear mapping T of F^3 into F by $T(a_1, a_2, a_3) = a_4$, $T(a_2, a_1, a_3) = -a_4$ and $T(a_i, a_j, a_k) = 0$ otherwise. Further, put $x * y = x + y + T(x, y, x - y)$ for all $x, y \in F$. Then $F' = F(*, rx)$ is a quasimodule, namely the free quasimodule freely generated by a_1, a_2, a_3 . Now, let B be a submodule of $N = R \times R \times R$ such that $M = N/B$ is a cocyclic L -torsion module and M is not generated by two elements. The rest of the proof is divided into three parts:

- (i) We shall show that $B \subseteq I \times I \times I$ and $B \neq IN$. We have $J(M) = (IN + B)/B$, since M is L -torsion; here, J is the Jacobson radical. Consequently,

$M/J \setminus M$ is isomorphic to $N/(IN + B)$. Since M cannot be generated by two elements, $N/(IN + B)$ has the same property and we have $IN = IN + B$, so that $B \subseteq IN$. Clearly, $B \neq IN$.

(ii) Let $B \subseteq A \subseteq IN$ be such that A/B is simple (non-zero). There is a surjective module homomorphism f of A onto Z_3 such that $\text{Ker } f = B$. Now, define a subset P of F by $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in P$ iff $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in A$ and $x_4 = f(x)$. Obviously, P is a submodule of $IN \times Z_3$, and therefore $P' = P(*)$ is a subquasimodule of F' . Put $Q' = F'/P'$. Then $\text{card } Q' = 3 \text{card } M$.

(iii) We shall prove that Q' is a non-associative L -torsion hamiltonian quasimodule. We have $P \cap Ra_4 = 0$, so that Q' is not associative. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in F$ be such that $x \notin P$ and put $y = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$. Then either $y \notin A$ or $y \in A$ and $f(y) \neq x_4$. First, assume that $y \notin A$. The module M is cocyclic, finitely generated and L -torsion. Since I is finitely generated as a left ideal, $I^n N \subseteq B$ for some $n \geq 1$. Clearly, $n \geq 2$ and if $Iy \subseteq B$ then $I(y + B/B) = 0$, $y + B \in S(M) = A/B$ and $y \in A$, a contradiction. We have $Iy \not\subseteq B$ and let $m \geq 2$ be the least with $I^m y \subseteq B$. There is $r \in I^{m-1}$ such that $ry \notin B$. Since $Iry \subseteq B$, $ry \in A$. Moreover, $rx = (ry, 0)$ and let $z = f(ry) \in Ra_4$. Then $(ry, z) \in P$, $rx - z \in P$, and so $r(x + P/P) = (z + P)/P$. However, $z \neq 0$. Finally, let $y \in A$ and $f(y) \neq x_4$. Then $u = (y, f(y)) \in P$ and $x - u = (0, 0, 0, v)$, $v \neq 0$.

2.7. Theorem. Suppose that R is commutative and I is a finitely generated ideal. Then there exists a non-associative hamiltonian quasimodule iff there exists a finite cocyclic L -torsion module which is not generated by two elements.

Proof. Apply 2.5 and 2.6.

2.8. Corollary. Suppose that R is commutative and I/I^2 is a simple module (e.g. I is principal). Then every hamiltonian quasimodule is a module.

2.9. Example. Let $S = Z_9[x, y]$ (the polynomial ring), $J = S(x^6 - 1) + S(y^6 - 1)$ and $R = S/J$. Put $M = Z_9 \times Z_9 \times Z_9$, $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (-x_1, 2x_2, -x_3)$ and $g(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (2x_1, -x_2, -x_3)$ for every $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in M$. Then M is a cocyclic L -torsion R -module (via f and g) and M is not generated by two elements. Since f and g are commuting automorphisms, the same is true for $S = Z_9[x, y, x^{-1}, y^{-1}]$ and $R = S/J$.

3. Trimedial quasigroups

Throughout this section, let $R = Z[\alpha, \beta, \alpha^{-1}, \beta^{-1}]$, α and β being two commuting indeterminates over the ring Z of integers. Then R is a finitely generated integral domain, and hence R is also a commutative noetherian ring. Moreover, there exists a unique homomorphism Φ of R onto Z_3 and we have $\Phi(\alpha) = \Phi(\beta) =$

$= -1$. Clearly, $I = \text{Ker } \Phi = R3 + R(1 + \alpha) + R(1 + \beta)$. Further, we denote by \mathcal{M} the variety of quasimodules and by \mathcal{M}^c the variety of centrally pointed quasimodules, so that a quasimodule Q together with a point $a \in Q$ belongs to \mathcal{M}^c iff $a \in C(Q)$.

A quasigroup Q is said to be trimedial if every subquasigroup of Q generated by at most three elements is medial, i.e. satisfies the identity $xy \cdot uv = xu \cdot yv$. Denote by \mathcal{P} the variety of trimedial quasigroups. We are going to prove that the variety \mathcal{P}^p of pointed trimedial quasigroups is equivalent to the variety \mathcal{M}^c .

3.1. Lemma. Let $Q(+)$ be a commutative loop and h a mapping of Q into Q . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $(x + h(x)) + (y + z) = (x + y) + (h(x) + z)$ for all $x, y, z \in Q$.
- (ii) $Q(+)$ is a commutative Moufang loop and $h(x) - x \in C(Q(+))$ for every $x \in Q$.

Proof. (i) implies (ii). As an immediate consequence of (i) we have $(x + h(x)) + y = x + (h(x) + y) = h(x) + (x + y)$ for all $x, y \in Q$. Hence $(x + h(x)) + (y + z) = x + (h(x) + (y + z)) = h(x) + (x + (y + z)) = (x + y) + (h(x) + z)$ for all $x, y, z \in Q$. In particular, $h(x) + (x + (z - x)) = h(x) + z$ (we put $y = -x$), $x + (z - x) = z$ and we see that $Q(+)$ is an IP-loop.

Further $(x + y) + (h(x) + (z - y)) = (x + h(x)) + z$, $h(x) + (z - y) = ((x + h(x)) + z) + (-x - y)$, $-h(x) + (y - z) = ((-x - h(x)) - z) + (x + y)$, and hence $(x + y) + ((-x - h(x)) + z) = -h(x) + (y + z)$ for all $x, y, z \in Q$. On the other hand $x + (h(x) + ((-x - h(x)) + z)) = (x + h(x)) + ((-x - h(x)) + z) = z$, $h(x) + ((-x - h(x)) + z) = z - x$, and therefore $(x + (x + y)) + (z - x) = (x + h(x)) + ((x + y) + ((-x - h(x)) + z)) = (x + h(x)) + (-h(x) + (y + z)) = x + (y + z)$, i.e. $(x + (x + y)) + z = x + (y + (x + z))$ for all $x, y, z \in Q$. From this, $(x + x) + z = x + (x + z)$ and we have $(x + y) + (x + z) = (x + (x + (y - x))) + (x + z) = x + ((y - x) + ((x + x) + z)) = x + (x + (z + y)) = (x + x) + (y + z)$, so that $Q(+)$ is a commutative Moufang loop. Finally, $h(x) + ((y + z) - x) = (-x + x + h(x)) + ((y + z) - x) = -2x + ((x + h(x)) + (y + z)) = -2x + ((x + y) + (h(x) + z)) = y + (-x + (h(x) + z))$, hence $h(x) + (y - x) = y + (h(x) - x)$, $(h(x) - x) + (y + z) = h(x) + ((y + z) - x) = y + (-x + (h(x) + z))$, $(h(x) - x) + z = -x + (h(x) + z)$, $(h(x) - x) + (y + z) = y + (z + (h(x) - x))$ and $h(x) - x \in C(Q(+))$.

(ii) implies (i). We have $(x - h(x)) + ((x + y) + (h(x) + z)) = (x + y) + (x + z) = (x + x) + (y + z) = (x - h(x)) + ((x + h(x)) + (y + z))$ for all $x, y, z \in Q$.

Let Q be a quasigroup. A quadruple $(Q(+), f, g, a)$ is said to be an arithmetical form of Q if $Q(+)$ is a commutative Moufang loop defined on the same underlying set, f and g are commuting 1-central automorphisms of $Q(+)$ (i.e. $x + f(x)$, $x + g(x) \in C(Q(+))$), a is an element from $C(Q(+))$ and, finally, $xy = f(x) + g(y) + a$ for all $x, y \in Q$.

3.2. Lemma. Let $(Q(+), f, g, a)$ and $(Q(*), p, q, b)$ be arithmetical forms of the same quasigroup Q . Suppose that the loops $Q(+)$ and $Q(*)$ have the same zero element 0. Then $Q(+)=Q(*), f=p, g=q$ and $a=b$.

Proof. We have $f(x)+g(y)+a=p(x)*q(y)*b$ for all $x, y \in Q$. Hence $a=b, g(y)+a=q(y)*a, f(x)+z=p(x)*z, f=p, +=*$ and $g=q$.

3.3. Lemma. Let Q be a quasigroup having an arithmetical form $(Q(+), f, g, a)$ and let $u \in Q$. Then there is an arithmetical form $(Q(*), p, q, b)$ of Q such that u is the neutral element of $Q(*)$.

Proof. Put $v=-u$ and $x*y=(x+y)+v$ for all $x, y \in Q$. Then $Q(*)$ is a loop and u is the neutral element of $Q(*)$. Moreover, the mapping $h: x \rightarrow x+v$ is an isomorphism of $Q(*)$ onto $Q(+)$; we have $h^{-1}(x)=x+u$. Further, $p(x)=h^{-1}f h(x)=(f(x)+f(v))+u, q(x)=h^{-1}g h(x)=(g(x)+g(v))+u$ and both p and q are 1-central automorphisms of $Q(*)$. Now, put $c=a+3v+(u-f(v))+u+(u-g(v))$ and $b=c+u$. Then $b, c \in C(Q(*))$ and $p(x)*q(y)*b=(((f(x)+f(v))+u)+((g(y)+g(v))+u))+v+(c+u)+v=f(x)+g(y)+a=xy$.

3.4. Lemma. Let $(Q(+), f, g, a)$ and $(P(+), p, q, b)$ be arithmetical forms of quasigroups Q and P , resp. Let h be a mapping of Q into P such that $h(0)=0$. Then h is a homomorphism of the quasigroups iff h is a homomorphism of the commutative Moufang loops, $hf=ph, hg=qh$ and $h(a)=b$.

Proof. Clearly, h is a homomorphism of the quasigroups iff $h(f(x)+g(y)+a)=ph(x)+qh(y)+b$ for all $x, y \in Q$. This equality implies $h(a)=b, hf(x)=ph(x)+qh g^{-1}(-a)+b, qhg^{-1}(-a)+b=0, hf=ph, hg=qh, h(x+y+a)=h(x)+h(y)+h(a), h(y+a)=h(y)+h(a), h(x+z)=h(x)+h(z)$. The rest is clear.

3.5. Lemma. Let Q be a quasigroup having an arithmetical form. Then Q is trimedial.

Proof. Let $b, c, d \in Q$. By 3.3, there is an arithmetical form $(Q(+), f, g, a)$ of Q such that $b=0$. Denote by $P(+)$ the subloop of $Q(+)$ generated by $\{c, d\} \cup C(Q(+))$. Then $P(+)$ is an abelian group, $a \in P$ and $f(P)=P=g(P)$. Consequently, P is a subquasigroup of Q and P is medial.

Let Q be a quasigroup and $a, b \in Q$. Put $R_a(x)=xa$ and $L_b(x)=bx$ for all $x, y \in Q$. Then R_a, L_b are permutations of Q .

3.6. Lemma. Let Q be a trimedial quasigroup, $a, b \in Q$ and $x+y=R_a^{-1}(x)L_b^{-1}(y)$ for all $x, y \in Q$. Then:

- (i) $Q(+)$ is a loop and $ba=0$.

- (ii) $Q(+)$ is commutative iff $bx \cdot ya = by \cdot xa$ for all $x, y \in Q$.
- (iii) If both a and b belong to the subquasigroup generated by an element c then $Q(+)$ is commutative.
- (iv) If $Q(+)$ is commutative and $R_a L_b = L_b R_a$ then $Q(+)$ is a commutative Moufang loop.

Proof. (i) This is obvious.

(ii) We have $(x + y)(aa) = xL_b^{-1}(y)a$, $(y + x)(aa) = yL_b^{-1}(x)a$. Hence $x + y = y + x$ for all $x, y \in Q$ iff $bx \cdot ya = by \cdot xa$.

(iii) This is an immediate consequence of (ii).

(iv) Let $r, s \in Q$ be such that $rb = v$ and $as = a$. Put $h = L_r R_a^{-1}$. We have $(h(x)h(x))(yz) = (h(x)y)(h(x)z)$ for all $x, y, z \in Q$. But $h(x)h(x) = (rR_a^{-1}(x)) \cdot (bL_b^{-1}h(x)) = b(R_a^{-1}(x)L_b^{-1}h(x))$, $yz = (R_a^{-1}(y)R_s^{-1}(z))a$, $h(x)y = b(R_a^{-1}(x)L_b^{-1}(y))$ and $h(x)z = (R_a^{-1}h(x)R_s^{-1}(z))a$. Consequently, $L_b(x + h(x))R_a(y + z) = L_b(x + y)R_a(h(x) + z)$ for all $x, y, z \in Q$. But $L_{bb}(xy) = L_b(x)L_b(y)$, hence $L_{bb}^{-1}(xy) = L_b^{-1}(x)L_b^{-1}(y)$ for all $x, y \in Q$. Similarly, $R_{aa}^{-1}(xy) = R_a^{-1}(x)R_a^{-1}(y)$. Now, $(x + h(x)) + (y + z) = R_a^{-1}(x + h(x))L_b^{-1}(y + z) = L_{bb}^{-1}R_{aa}^{-1}(L_b(x + h(x)) \cdot R_a(y + z)) = L_{bb}^{-1}R_{aa}^{-1}(L_b(x + y)R_a(h(x) + z)) = R_a^{-1}(x + y)L_b^{-1}(h(x) + z) = (x + y) + (h(x) + z)$ for all $x, y, z \in Q$. By 3.1, $Q(+)$ is a commutative Moufang loop.

3.7. Lemma. Let Q be a trimedial quasigroup and let $a, b, c, d \in Q$ be such that $ba = a = ac$ and $bd = b$. Then $dc = c$, $R_c L_c = L_b R_c$ and the elements b, c belong to the subquasigroup generated by a .

Proof. We have $a \cdot dc = ba \cdot dc = bd \cdot ac = ba = a = ac$, so that $dc = c$. On the other hand, $bx \cdot c = bx \cdot dc = bd \cdot xc = b \cdot xc$ for every $x \in Q$.

3.8. Lemma. Let Q be a trimedial quasigroup. Then every loop isotopic to Q is a Moufang loop.

Proof. By 3.7, 3.6(iii), (iv), Q is isotopic to a commutative Moufang loop. However, as it is well known, the class of Moufang loops is closed under isotopy.

3.9. Lemma. Let Q be a trimedial quasigroup. Then Q has an arithmetical form.

Proof. Let $u, v \in Q$ be such that $Q(+)$ is commutative where $x + y = R_u^{-1}(x) \cdot L_v^{-1}(y)$ for all $x, y \in Q$ (see 3.6(ii), (iii)). By 3.8, $Q(+)$ is a commutative Moufang loop and we have $xy = p(x) + q(y)$, $p = R_u$, $q = L_v$. Now, $p(p(x) + q(x)) + q(p(y) + q(z)) = xx \cdot yz = xy \cdot xz = p(p(x) + q(y)) + q(p(x) + q(z))$, so that $p(x + qp^{-1}(x)) + q(y + z) = p(x + qp^{-1}(y)) = q(x + z)$ for all $x, y, z \in Q$. Consequently, $b + q(y + z) = pqp^{-1}(y) + q(z)$, $b = pqp^{-1}(0)$. Further, $(b + q(y)) - c = pqp^{-1}(y)$, $c = q(0)$, and $b + q(y + z) = ((b + q(y)) - c) + q(z)$ for all $y, z \in Q$. But $q(y + z) = q(z + y)$, so that $((b + y) - c) + z = ((b + z) - c) + y$,

$(b + y) - c = (b - c) + y$, $((b - c) + y) + z = ((b - c) + z) + y$ and $b - c \in C(Q(+))$. Then $c + q(y + z) = (c - b) + (b + q(y + z)) = (c - b) + ((b - c) + q(y)) + q(z) = q(y) + q(z)$ and the mapping g , $g(x) = q(x) - c$ is an automorphism of $Q(+)$. Dually, the mapping f , $f(x) = p(x) - d$, $d = p(0)$, is an automorphism of $Q(+)$. Now, $xy = p(x) + q(y) = (f(x) + d) + (g(y) + c)$ for all $x, y \in Q$. In the rest of the proof, let $u = v = ww$ for some $w \in Q$. Put $h = R_u L_u^{-1}$. We have $h(x + y) = R_u L_u^{-1}(R_u^{-1}(x) L_u^{-1}(y)) = R_u(L_w^{-1} R_u^{-1}(x) L_w^{-1} L_u^{-1}(y)) = R_u(R_w^{-1} L_w^{-1}(x) L_w^{-1} L_u^{-1}(y)) = R_w R_w^{-1} L_w^{-1}(x) R_w L_w^{-1} L_u^{-1}(y) = L_u^{-1}(x) R_w L_w^{-1} L_u^{-1}(y) = R_u^{-1} R_u L_u^{-1}(x) L_u^{-1} R_u L_u^{-1}(y) = h(x) + h(y)$ for all $x, y \in Q$; take into account that $u \cdot xw = ww \cdot xw = wx \cdot ww = wx \cdot u$, $L_w^{-1} R_u^{-1}(x) = R_w^{-1} L_u^{-1}(x)$ and $R_u L_u^{-1}(x) = R_w L_w^{-1}(x)$ for every $x \in Q$. We have proved that h is an automorphism of the loop $Q(+)$. Further, $(h(x) + x) + (y + z) = R_u^{-1}(R_u^{-1} h(x) L_u^{-1}(x)) \cdot L_u^{-1}(R_u^{-1}(y) L_u^{-1}(z)) = (R_w^{-1} L_u^{-1}(x) R_w^{-1} L_u^{-1}(x)) (L_w^{-1} R_u^{-1}(y) L_w^{-1} L_u^{-1}(z)) = (R_w^{-1} R_u^{-1}(x) R_w^{-1} L_u^{-1}(y)) (R_w^{-1} L_u^{-1}(x) L_w^{-1} L_u^{-1}(z)) = (R_w^{-1} R_u^{-1} h(x) R_w^{-1} L_u^{-1}(y)) \cdot (L_w^{-1} R_u^{-1}(x) L_w^{-1} L_u^{-1}(z)) = R_u^{-1}(R_u^{-1} h(x) L_u^{-1}(y)) L_u^{-1}(R_u^{-1}(x) L_u^{-1}(z)) = (h(x) + y) + (x + z)$. By 3.1, $h(x) - x \in C(Q(+))$. Now, we have $h(c) = pq^{-1} q(0) = p(0) = d$, and so $xy = (f(x) + d) + (g(y) + c) = (f(x) + h(c)) + (g(y) + c) = (f(x) + g(y)) + a$, $a = c + h(c)$, for all $x, y \in Q$. Further, $(g f(x) + f(a)) + a = (f((f(0) + g(0)) + a) + g((f(x) + g g^{-1}(-a)) + a)) + a = 00$. $\cdot xg^{-1}(-a) = 0x \cdot 0g^{-1}(-a) = (f((f(0) + g(x)) + a) + g((f(0) + g g^{-1}(-a)) + a)) + a = (f g(x) + f(a)) + a$, so that $fg = gf$. Further, $h(x) = R_u L_u^{-1}(x) = pq^{-1}(x) = (fg^{-1}(x) - fg^{-1}(c)) + d$, $h(0) = d - fg^{-1}(c) \in C(Q(+))$, and hence $fg^{-1}(x) - x \in C(Q(+))$ for every $x \in Q$. Consequently, $f(x) - g(x) \in C(Q(+))$. Now, the equality $(xx \cdot x)(yz) = (xx \cdot y)(xz)$ for all $x, y, z \in Q$, yields $((f^2 g^{-1}(x) + f(x)) + f^2(a) + x) + f(a) + ((y + z) + g(a)) = (((f^2 g^{-1}(x) + f(x)) + f^2(a)) + y) + f(a) + ((x + z) + g(a))$ for all $x, y, z \in Q$. But $f(x) - f^2 g^{-1}(x) \in C(Q(+))$ and $3f(x) \in C(Q(+))$. Hence we have $m = n$ where $m = (((-f(x) + f^2(a)) + x) + f(a)) + ((y + z) + g(a))$ and $n = (((-f(x) + f^2(a)) + y) + f(a)) + (x + z) + g(a)$. Further, $f(a) - g(a) \in C(Q(+))$ and from the equality $m + f(a) - g(a) = n + f(a) - g(a)$ we get $((-f(x) + f^2(a)) + x) + (y + z) = ((-f(x) + f^2(a)) + y) + (x + z)$. Now, by 3.1, the element $-x + (-f(x) + f^2(a))$ is contained in $C(Q(+))$ for every $x \in Q$. We have proved that f is 1-central. Similarly, using the equality $(yz)(x \cdot xx) = (yx)(z \cdot xx)$, we can show that g is 1-central.

3.10. Theorem. The varieties \mathcal{P}^p of pointed trimedial quasigroups and \mathcal{M}^c of centrally pointed quasimodules are equivalent.

Proof. Apply the preceding results.

4. Hamiltonian trimedial quasigroups

A quasigroup Q is called hamiltonian if every subquasigroup of Q is normal.

4.1. Proposition. Let Q be a trimedial quasigroup and Q' the corresponding quasimodule.

(i) If Q' is hamiltonian then Q is hamiltonian.

(ii) If Q contains at least one idempotent and is hamiltonian then Q' is hamiltonian.

Proof. (i) Let P be a subquasigroup of Q and let $b \in P$. Let (Q'', a) be the centrally pointed quasimodule corresponding to (Q, b) , so that $b = 0$. Then P is a subquasimodule of Q'' , P is a normal subquasimodule (Q'' is isomorphic to Q') and P is a normal subquasigroup of Q .

(ii) Let $b \in Q$ be such that $bb = b$ and let (Q'', a) be the centrally pointed quasimodule corresponding to (Q, b) . Let P be a subquasimodule of Q'' . We have $a = = bb = b = 0$, $a \in P$, P is a subquasigroup of Q and P is normal. Hence P is a normal subquasimodule.

4.2. Proposition. Let Q be a trimedial quasigroup such that Q is subdirectly irreducible and nilpotent of class at most two. Let P be a subquasigroup of Q and suppose that P is not idempotent. Then P is a normal subquasigroup.

Proof. By 3.3, Q has an arithmetical form $(Q(+), f, g, a)$ such that $0 \neq a \in P$ and $0 \in P$. Then P is a subloop of $Q(+)$ and the intersection $P \cap C(Q(+))$ is non-trivial. Consequently, the centre is contained in P and P is normal.

4.3. Corollary. Let Q be a trimedial quasigroup with 81 elements such that Q contains no idempotent. Then Q is hamiltonian.