Romeo Meštrović An elementary proof of a congruence by Skula and Granville

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 48 (2012), No. 2, 113--120

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/142825

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2012

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF A CONGRUENCE BY SKULA AND GRANVILLE

Romeo Meštrović

ABSTRACT. Let $p \ge 5$ be a prime, and let $q_p(2) := (2^{p-1}-1)/p$ be the Fermat quotient of p to base 2. The following curious congruence was conjectured by L. Skula and proved by A. Granville

$$q_p(2)^2 \equiv -\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{2^k}{k^2} \pmod{p}.$$

In this note we establish the above congruence by entirely elementary number theory arguments.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULT

The Fermat Little Theorem states that if p is a prime and a is an integer not divisible by p, then $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. This gives rise to the definition of the *Fermat quotient* of p to base a

$$q_p(a) := \frac{a^{p-1} - 1}{p} \,,$$

which is an integer. Fermat quotients played an important role in the study of cyclotomic fields and Fermat Last Theorem. More precisely, divisibility of Fermat quotient $q_p(a)$ by p has numerous applications which include the Fermat Last Theorem and squarefreeness testing (see [1], [2], [3], [5] and [9]). Ribenboim [10] and Granville [5], besides proving new results, provide a review of known facts and open problems.

By a classical Glaisher's result (see [4] or [7]) for a prime $p \ge 3$,

(1.1)
$$q_p(2) \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{2^k}{k} \pmod{p}.$$

Recently Skula conjectured that for any prime $p \ge 5$,

(1.2)
$$q_p(2)^2 \equiv -\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{2^k}{k^2} \pmod{p}.$$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: primary 11A07; secondary 11B65, 05A10. Key words and phrases: congruence, Fermat quotient, harmonic numbers. Received July 13, 2011, revised December 2011. Editor R. Kučera. DOI: 10.5817/AM2012-2-113

Applying certain polynomial congruences, Granville [7] proved the congruence (1.2). In this note, we give an elementary proof of this congruence which is based on congruences for some harmonic type sums.

Remark 1.1. Recently, given a prime p and a positive integer r < p-1, R. Tauraso [14, Theorem 2.3] established the congruence $\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} 2^k / k^r \pmod{p}$ in terms of an alternating r-tiple harmonic sum. For example, combining this result when r = 2 with the congruence (1.2) [14, Corollary 2.4], it follows that

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le p-1} \frac{(-1)^j}{ij} \equiv q_p(2)^2 \equiv -\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{2^k}{k^2} \pmod{p}.$$

2. Proof of the congruence (1.2)

The harmonic numbers H_n are defined by

$$H_n := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j}, \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where by convention $H_0 = 0$.

Lemma 2.1. For any prime $p \ge 5$ we have

(2.1)
$$q_p(2)^2 \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \left(2^k + \frac{1}{2^k} \right) \frac{H_k}{k+1} \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. In the present proof we will always suppose that i and j are positive integers such that $i \leq p-1$ and $j \leq p-1$, and that all the summations including i and j range over the set of such pairs (i, j).

Using the congruence (1.1) and the fact that by Fermat Little Theorem, $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, we get

$$q_p(2)^2 = \left(\frac{2^{p-1}-1}{p}\right)^2 \equiv \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{2^k}{k}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{2^{p-k}}{p-k}\right)^2$$
$$\equiv \frac{1}{4} \left(2\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{2^{(p-1)-k}}{-k}\right)^2 \equiv \left(\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k \cdot 2^k}\right)^2$$
$$(2.2) \qquad = \sum_{i+j \le p} \frac{1}{ij \cdot 2^{i+j}} + \sum_{i+j \ge p} \frac{1}{ij \cdot 2^{i+j}} - \sum_{i+j=p} \frac{1}{ij \cdot 2^{i+j}} \pmod{p}.$$

The last three sums will be called S_1 , S_2 and S_3 , respectively. We will determine them modulo p as follows.

(2.3)
$$S_{1} = \sum_{i+j \leq p} \frac{1}{ij \cdot 2^{i+j}} = \sum_{k=2}^{p} \sum_{i+j=k} \frac{1}{ij \cdot 2^{k}} = \sum_{k=2}^{p} \frac{1}{2^{k}} \cdot \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{i} + \frac{1}{k-i}\right) = \sum_{k=2}^{p} \frac{2H_{k-1}}{k \cdot 2^{k}} = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{H_{k}}{(k+1)2^{k}}.$$

Observe that the pair (i, j) satisfies i + j = k for some $k \in \{p, p + 1, ..., 2p - 2\}$ if and only if for such a k holds (p - i) + (p - j) = l with $l := 2p - k \le p$. Accordingly, using the fact that by Fermat Little Theorem, $2^{2p} \equiv 2^2 \pmod{p}$, we have

$$S_{2} = \sum_{i+j \ge p} \frac{1}{ij \cdot 2^{i+j}} = \sum_{(p-i)+(p-j)\ge p} \frac{1}{(p-i)(p-j) \cdot 2^{(p-i)+(p-j)}}$$
$$\equiv \sum_{i+j \le p} \frac{1}{ij \cdot 2^{2p-(i+j)}} \equiv \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i+j \le p} \frac{2^{i+j}}{ij} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=2}^{p} \sum_{i+j=k} \frac{2^{k}}{ij}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=2}^{p} \frac{2^{k}}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{i} + \frac{1}{k-i}\right) = \sum_{k=2}^{p} \frac{2^{k-1}H_{k-1}}{k}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{2^{k}H_{k}}{k+1} \pmod{p}.$$

By Wolstenholme's theorem (see, e.g., [15], [6]; for its generalizations see [11, Theorems 1 and 2]) if p is a prime greater than 3, then the numerator of the fraction $H_{p-1} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p-1}$ is divisible by p^2 . Hence, we find that

(2.5)
$$S_{3} = \sum_{i+j=p} \frac{1}{2^{i+j}ij} = \frac{1}{2^{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{i(p-i)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{p \cdot 2^{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{1}{i} + \frac{1}{p-i}\right) = \frac{1}{p \cdot 2^{p-1}} H_{p-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Finally, substituting (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) into (2.2), we immediately obtain (2.1). $\hfill \Box$

Proof of the following result easily follows from the congruence $H_{p-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Lemma 2.2 ([13, Lemma 2.1]). Let p be an odd prime. Then

for every k = 1, 2, ..., p - 2.

(2.4)

Lemma 2.3. For any prime $p \ge 5$ we have

(2.7)
$$q_p(2)^2 \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{H_k}{k \cdot 2^k} - \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{2^k}{k^2} \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. Since by Wolstenholme's theorem, $H_{p-1}/p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, using this and the congruences $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and (2.6) of Lemma 2.2, we immediately obtain

(2.8)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{2^k H_k}{k+1} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{p-2} \frac{2^k H_k}{k+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{p-2} \frac{2^{p-k-1} H_{p-k-1}}{p-k}$$
$$\equiv -\sum_{k=1}^{p-2} \frac{H_k}{k \cdot 2^k} \equiv -\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{H_k}{k \cdot 2^k} \pmod{p}.$$

Further, using Wolstenholme's theorem, we have

(2.9)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{H_k}{(k+1)2^k} = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \frac{H_{k+1} - \frac{1}{k+1}}{(k+1)2^{k+1}} + \frac{H_{p-1}}{p \cdot 2^{p-1}}$$
$$= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{H_k}{k \cdot 2^k} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k^2 \cdot 2^k} + \frac{H_{p-1}}{p \cdot 2^{p-1}}$$
$$\equiv 2 \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{H_k}{k \cdot 2^k} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k^2 \cdot 2^k} \pmod{p}.$$

Moreover, from $2^p \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$ we have

(2.10)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k^2 \cdot 2^k} = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{(p-k)^2 \cdot 2^{p-k}} \\ \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k^2 \cdot 2^{1-k}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{2^k}{k^2} \pmod{p}.$$

The congruences (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) immediately yield

(2.11)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \left(2^k + \frac{1}{2^k} \right) \frac{H_k}{k+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{2^k H_k}{k+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{H_k}{(k+1)2^k} = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{H_k}{k \cdot 2^k} - \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{2^k}{k^2} \pmod{p}.$$

Finally, comparing (2.1) of Lemma 2.1 with (2.11), we obtain the desired congruence (2.7). $\hfill \Box$

Notice that the congruence $\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{H_k}{k \cdot 2^k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ with a prime $p \geq 5$ is recently established by Z.W. Sun [13, Theorem 1.1 (1.1)] and it is based on the identity from [13, Lemma 2.4]. Here we give another simple proof of this congruence (Lemma 2.6).

Lemma 2.4. For any prime $p \ge 5$ we have

(2.12)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{H_k}{k \cdot 2^k} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le p-1} \frac{2^i - 1}{ij} \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. From the identity

$$\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k}\Big)\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k \cdot 2^k}\Big) = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le p-1} \frac{1}{ij \cdot 2^j} + \sum_{1 \le j < i \le p-1} \frac{1}{ij \cdot 2^j} + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k^2 \cdot 2^k},$$

and the congruence $H_{p-1} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{p-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ it follows that

(2.13)
$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le p-1} \frac{1}{ij \cdot 2^j} + \sum_{1 \le j < i \le p-1} \frac{1}{ij \cdot 2^j} + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k^2 \cdot 2^k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Since $2^p \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$, we have

$$\sum_{1 \le j < i \le p-1} \frac{1}{ij \cdot 2^j} \equiv \sum_{1 \le j < i \le p-1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2^{p-j}}{(p-i)(p-j)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le p-1} \frac{2^j}{ij} \pmod{p},$$

which substituting into (2.13) gives

(2.14)
$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le p-1} \frac{1}{ij \cdot 2^j} + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k^2 \cdot 2^k} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le p-1} \frac{2^j}{ij} \pmod{p}.$$

Further, if we observe that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{H_k}{k \cdot 2^k} = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{H_{k-1} + \frac{1}{k}}{k \cdot 2^k} = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le p-1} \frac{1}{ij \cdot 2^j} + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k^2 \cdot 2^k},$$

then substituting (2.14) into the previous identity, we obtain

(2.15)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{H_k}{k \cdot 2^k} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le p-1} \frac{2^j}{ij} \pmod{p}.$$

Since

$$0 \equiv \left(\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k}\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{2^k}{k}\right) = \sum_{1 \le j \le i \le p-1} \frac{2^j}{ij} + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le p-1} \frac{2^j}{ij} \pmod{p},$$

comparing this with (2.15), we immediately obtain

(2.16)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{H_k}{k \cdot 2^k} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le p-1} \frac{2^i}{ij} \pmod{p}$$

From a well known fact that (see e.g., [9, p. 353])

(2.17)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k^2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

we find that

$$\sum_{1 \le i \le j \le p-1} \frac{1}{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k} \right)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k^2} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Finally, the above congruence and (2.16) immediately yield the desired congruence (2.12). $\hfill \Box$

Lemma 2.5. For any positive integer n we have

(2.18)
$$\sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n} \frac{2^i - 1}{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} \binom{n}{k}.$$

Proof. Using the well known identities $\sum_{i=k}^{j} \binom{i-1}{k-1} = \binom{j}{k}$ and $\frac{1}{j}\binom{j}{k} = \frac{1}{k}\binom{j-1}{k-1}$ with $k \leq j$, and the fact that $\binom{i}{k} = 0$ when i < k, we have

$$\sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n} \frac{2^i - 1}{ij} = \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n} \frac{(1+1)^i - 1}{ij} = \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^i \frac{1}{i} \binom{i}{k}$$
$$= \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \binom{i-1}{k-1} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n} \frac{1}{j} \binom{i-1}{k-1}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \sum_{k \le i \le j \le n} \frac{1}{j} \binom{i-1}{k-1} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=k}^j \binom{i-1}{k-1}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{1}{j} \binom{j}{k} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{1}{k} \binom{j-1}{k-1}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{j-1}{k-1} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} \binom{n}{k},$$

as desired.

Lemma 2.6 ([13, Theorem 1.1 (1.1)]). For any prime $p \ge 5$ we have

(2.19)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{H_k}{k \cdot 2^k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. Using the congruence (2.12) from Lemma 2.4 and the identity (2.18) with n = p - 1 in Lemma 2.5, we find that

(2.20)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{H_k}{k \cdot 2^k} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k^2} \binom{p-1}{k} \pmod{p}.$$

It is well known (see e.g., [8]) that for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, p - 1$,

(2.21)
$$\binom{p-1}{k} \equiv (-1)^k \pmod{p}.$$

Then from (2.20), (2.21) and (2.17) we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{H_k}{k \cdot 2^k} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(2 \sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le p-1 \\ 2|j}} \frac{1}{j^2} - \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k^2} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k^2} \equiv \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{1}{k^2} \pmod{p}.$$

Finally, the above congruence together with the fact that from (2.17) (see e.g., [12, Corollary 5.2 (a) with k = 2])

$$2\sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{1}{k^2} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{1}{k^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{1}{(p-k)^2} = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k^2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{H_k}{k \cdot 2^k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

This concludes the proof.

Proof of the congruence (1.2). The congruence (1.2) immediately follows from (2.7) of Lemma 2.3 and (2.19) of Lemma 2.6. \Box

 \square

References

- Agoh, T., Dilcher, K., Skula, L., Fermat quotients for composite moduli, J. Number Theory 66 (1997), 29–50.
- [2] Cao, H. Q., Pan, H., A congruence involving product of q-binomial coefficients, J. Number Theory 121 (2006), 224–233.
- [3] Ernvall, R., Metsänkylä, T., On the p-divisibility of Fermat quotients, Math. Comp. 66 (1997), 1353–1365.
- [4] Glaisher, J. W. L., On the residues of the sums of the inverse powers of numbers in arithmetical progression, Quart. J. Math. 32 (1900), 271–288.
- [5] Granville, A., Some conjectures related to Fermat's Last Theorem, Number Theory (Banff, AB, 1988), de Gruyter, Berlin (1990), 177–192.
- [6] Granville, A., Arithmetic properties of binomial coefficients. I. Binomial coefficients modulo prime powers, Organic Mathematics–Burnaby, BC 1995, CMS Conf. Proc., vol. 20, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 253–276.
- [7] Granville, A., The square of the Fermat quotient, Integers 4 (2004), # A22.
- [8] Hardy, G. H., Wright, E. M., An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1960.
- [9] Lehmer, E., On congruences involving Bernoulli numbers and the quotients of Fermat and Wilson, Ann. Math. (1938), 350–360.
- [10] Ribenboim, P., 13 lectures on Fermat's last theorem, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1979.
- [11] Slavutsky, I. Sh., Leudesdorf's theorem and Bernoulli numbers, Arch. Math. 35 (1999), 299–303.

- [12] Sun, Z. H., Congruences concerning Bernoulli numbers and Bernoulli polynomials, Discrete Appl. Math. 105 (1–3) (2000), 193–223.
- [13] Sun, Z. W., Arithmetic theory of harmonic numbers, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012), 415–428.
- [14] Tauraso, R., Congruences involving alternating multiple harmonic sums, Electron. J. Comb. 17 (2010), # R16.
- [15] Wolstenholme, J., On certain properties of prime numbers, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. 5 (1862), 35–39.

MARITIME FACULTY, UNIVERSITY OF MONTENEGRO, DOBROTA 36, 85330 KOTOR, MONTENEGRO *E-mail*: romeo@ac.me