Strashimir G. Popvassilev Base-base paracompactness and subsets of the Sorgenfrey line

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 137 (2012), No. 4, 395-401

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/142995

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2012

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

BASE-BASE PARACOMPACTNESS AND SUBSETS OF THE SORGENFREY LINE

STRASHIMIR G. POPVASSILEV, New York

(Received January 26, 2011)

Abstract. A topological space X is called base-base paracompact (John E. Porter) if it has an open base \mathcal{B} such that every base $\mathcal{B}' \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ has a locally finite subcover $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}'$. It is not known if every paracompact space is base-base paracompact. We study subspaces of the Sorgenfrey line (e.g. the irrationals, a Bernstein set) as a possible counterexample.

Keywords: base-base paracompact space, coarse base, Sorgenfrey irrationals, totally imperfect set

MSC 2010: 54D20, 54D70, 54F05, 54G20, 54H05, 03E15, 26A21, 28A05

1. INTRODUCTION

The irrationals as a topological subspace of the reals have a *coarse* base [4], i.e. an open base that has no locally finite subcover. Also, the base of all bounded, open, convex sets in a reflexive, infinite-dimensional Banach space is coarse [4], [5]. A space is *totally paracompact* [8] if every open base has a locally finite subcover. Equivalently, if no base is coarse. In totally paracompact metric spaces small and large inductive dimensions coincide [8]. The irrationals with the usual metric topology are not totally paracompact [1], [4], [11], [12]. Non-metrizable, paracompact spaces that are not totally paracompact are the Sorgenfrey line and the Michael line [2], [14], [26]. A similar property that holds for all metrizable spaces was defined by John E. Porter:

Definition 1.1 [21]. A space X is *base-base paracompact* if it has an open *base* \mathcal{B} such that every *base* \mathcal{B}' contained in \mathcal{B} has a locally finite subcover \mathcal{C} . Equivalently, if there exists an open base \mathcal{B} for X such that \mathcal{B} contains no coarse base.

Base-base paracompact spaces are paracompact since every subcover is a refinement. Although base properties are stronger than covering properties, no example is known of a paracompact space that is not base-base paracompact [21], also [19], [20]. John E. Porter proved that base-base paracompact spaces are D-spaces [21] (i.e., for every open neighborhood assignment $\{U_x: x \in X\}$ there is a closed discrete $D \subseteq X$ such that $\bigcup \{U_x: x \in D\} = X$). Thus, it would be enough to find a paracompact space that is not a D-space, but this is an old problem of Eric van Douwen [7], [10].

Base-base paracompact spaces include base-cover paracompact and base-family paracompact spaces studied by the author in [16], [17], [18]. The latter two classes are distinct from each other and from paracompact spaces. Only the F_{σ} subspaces of the Sorgenfrey line are base-cover paracompact. Only countable subspaces of the Sorgenfrey line are base-family paracompact. Consistently, there are subspaces of the Sorgenfrey line which are not base-cover paracompact (i.e. not F_{σ}), yet that are base-base paracompact. Such is any Lusin set or, under MA, any uncountable set of cardinality less than continuum. These sets are Hurewicz [9], [13], and hence totally paracompact [6], see also [2], [12], [14], [15], [25]. G. Gruenhage gave a direct proof for Lusin subspaces that the base of all half-open intervals contains no coarse base.

A. Lelek [11] gave a necessary condition for a subset of a complete metric space to be totally paracompact. He constructed a coarse base \mathcal{B}' such that if $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}'$ is a point-finite family, then the complement of $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$ contains a Cantor set (that is, a homeomorphic copy of the Cantor middle-third set). In the context of base-base paracompactness, a similar construction would naturally be subject to the requirement that the elements of \mathcal{B}' come from a base \mathcal{B} that is given in advance. For some subspaces of the Sorgenfrey line, we show that such a construction works for *common* bases \mathcal{B} defined below. It remains open if all bases for such subspaces are common.

2. Common bases for the Sorgenfrey line

Recall that the Sorgenfrey line S is the set of all reals having all half-open intervals [a, b) as a base for its topology. If X is a subspace of S, then a base \mathcal{B} for X in S is a family \mathcal{B} of open subsets of S such that if $U \subseteq S$ is open and $x \in X \cap U$ then $x \in B \subseteq U$ for some $B \in \mathcal{B}$. We denote the set of integers $\{0, 1, \ldots\}$ by ω .

We first discuss the intuition behind the definition that follows. Suppose that \mathcal{B}' is a base, and we are to pick open sets from it, one at a time, to form a cover $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}'$. If we pick sets that are too big too often, then these sets may overlap too much, and as a result we may end up with a cover \mathcal{C} that is not locally finite, or even not point-finite. If we pick sets that are too small then there will be too many gaps that are not covered, and at the end \mathcal{C} may not be a cover. Think of the usual construction of the Cantor set, as being an attempt to use the middle-third open

intervals to form a cover of the unit interval, but at the end the Cantor set is exactly the part that was not covered.

Suppose that we want to make it difficult for \mathcal{C} to be a point-finite cover, then what we want is for \mathcal{B}' to have only sets that are either too big or too small. Suppose we are to construct \mathcal{B}' first, with $\mathcal{B}' \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, where \mathcal{B} is given. To do this, we need \mathcal{B} to have enough sets of suitable sizes. The following definition works.

Definition 2.1. Assume that X is dense in S. Call a base \mathcal{B} for X in S a common base if there are an interval T and sets A_n , $n \in \omega$, such that $T \cap X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n$ and for each interval $I \subseteq T$ and each n there are $\varepsilon > 0$ and an interval $J \subseteq I$ such that for each $x \in J \cap A_n$ there is $B \in \mathcal{B}$ (depending on I, n, ε, J and x) with $[x, x + \varepsilon) \subseteq B \subseteq [x, \infty) \cap I$.

Recall that a non-empty set of reals is *perfect* if it is closed and has no isolated points. A set of reals is *totally imperfect* if it does not contain any perfect set.

Theorem 2.2. Let X be a dense subspace of the Sorgenfrey line S such that $S \setminus X$ is dense and totally imperfect. Then every common base \mathcal{B} for X contains a coarse base \mathcal{B}' . Thus, if X is base-base paracompact, then only a base that is not common could possibly witness this.

Proof. Note that in the above definition we may replace "for each $x \in J \cap A_n$ " by "for each $x \in J \cap \left(\bigcup_{m \leq n} A_m\right)$ ". We may also assume that the length $\lambda(J) < \varepsilon$ and therefore the right endpoint of J belongs to $[x, x + \varepsilon)$, and to B.

Let $\Sigma = \{s: s \text{ is a finite sequence of non-negative integers}\}$. If $s = \langle k, l, \ldots, i \rangle$ and $j \in \omega$ let $s \cap \langle j \rangle$ denote the sequence $\langle k, l, \ldots, i, j \rangle$. I_s and J_s will always denote left-closed, right-open intervals, with the left endpoint of J_s in $S \setminus X$, and its right endpoint in X. Start with any $I_{\emptyset} \subseteq T$ (where \emptyset is the empty sequence). This defines I_s for all s with |s| = 0, where |s| is the length of s. Recursively, assume $n \ge 0$ and I_s were defined whenever $|s| \le n$. We will define I_s for |s| = n + 1.

For each s with |s| = n fix $\varepsilon_s > 0$ and $J_s \subseteq I_s$ with $\lambda(J_s) < \varepsilon_s$ such that for every $x \in J_s \cap \left(\bigcup_{m \leqslant n} A_m\right)$ we can fix $B_s(x) \in \mathcal{B}$ with $[x, x + \varepsilon_s) \subseteq B_s(x) \subseteq [x, \infty) \cap I_s$. Using a sequence of points decreasing to the left endpoint of J_s , represent J_s minus its left endpoint as the disjoint union of countably many left-closed, right-open intervals $I_{s \cap \langle l \rangle}$, $l \in \omega$, where the left endpoint of $I_{s \cap \langle l \rangle}$ is the right endpoint of $I_{s \cap \langle l+1 \rangle}$, i.e. $I_{s \cap \langle l+1 \rangle}$ is "the next and to the left of" $I_{s \cap \langle l \rangle}$. We also require that $\lambda(I_s) < |s|^{-1}$ for each $s \in \Sigma$, and that the right endpoint of J_s , and therefore the right endpoints of all $I_{s \cap \langle l \rangle}$, $l \in \omega$, are bounded away a distance at least ε_s from the right endpoint of I_s . It is easily seen by induction on |s| that $I_s \cap I_{s'} = \emptyset$ if |s| = |s'| and $s \neq s'$. If σ is an infinite sequence of non-negative integers let $\sigma|n$ denote the sequence of the first n many members of σ . There is a unique point $p_{\sigma} \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} I_{\sigma|n}$. Such a p_{σ} may or may not be in X. If a point $x \in X$ happens to be p_{σ} for some σ we say that x is of type one. Then $\{x\} = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} J_{\sigma|n}$. Pick n(x) with $x \in A_{n(x)}$. Then the family $\mathcal{B}_1(x) = \{B_{\sigma|n}(x) \colon n \ge n(x)\}$ is a local base at x.

Call an $x \in X$ of type two if x is not of type one. If $x \in X \setminus I_{\emptyset}$ then clearly x is of type two: Then let $\mathcal{B}_2(x) = \{B \in \mathcal{B} \colon x \in B \subseteq S \setminus I_{\emptyset}\}$. If $x \in X \cap I_{\emptyset}$ and x is of type two then there is an $s \in \Sigma$ such that $x \in I_s$ but $x \notin I_{s \cap \langle l \rangle}$ for any $l \in \omega$, or equivalently $x \notin J_s$. Let $\mathcal{B}_2(x) = \{B \in \mathcal{B} \colon x \in B \subseteq I_s \setminus J_s\}$. If x is of type two then $\mathcal{B}_2(x)$ is a local base at x. Note that if $p \in X$ is of type one and $x \in X$ is of type two then no member of $\mathcal{B}_2(x)$ contains p.

Let $\mathcal{B}_1 = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{B}_1(x) \colon x \text{ is of type one} \}$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{B}_2(x) \colon x \text{ is of type two} \}$. Then the family $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$ is a base for X in S contained in \mathcal{B} .

Suppose that $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}'$ and \mathcal{C} is point-finite at each $x \in X$. Then for each $s \in \Sigma$ there could be at most finitely many $x \in J_s \cap \left(\bigcup_{n \leqslant |s|} A_n\right)$ for which $B_s(x) \in \mathcal{C}$, since all such $B_s(x)$ contain the right endpoint of J_s which is in X. Let x_s be the minimal such x (and if there are no such x let $x_s = \infty$). Then x_s is strictly larger than the left endpoint of J_s since the latter is not in X. Hence $I_{s \cap \langle l \rangle}$ is to the left of x_s for infinitely many l. Recursively we may construct the smallest set $\Sigma' \subset \Sigma$ and simultaneously pick distinct k_s and l_s for each $s \in \Sigma'$ such that: (a) $\emptyset \in \Sigma'$, and (b) $I_{s \cap \langle k_s \rangle}$ and $I_{s \cap \langle l_s \rangle}$ both are to the left of x_s , and therefore they do not intersect $B_s(x)$, if $B_s(x) \in \mathcal{C}$ for some x. Note that they also do not intersect any $B_{s'}(x')$ with |s'| = |s| and $s' \neq s$.

Hence the set $P = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \left(\bigcup \{ I_s \colon s \in \Sigma', |s| = n \} \right)$ is a Cantor set (in the usual topology of the reals) that does not intersect any element of $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{B}_1$. Since $S \setminus X$ is totally imperfect there is $p \in X \cap P$. Then p is not covered by $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{B}_1$. Since p is of type one, p is not covered by $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{B}_2$ either. Therefore \mathcal{C} does not cover X. \Box

3. Examples and problems

If X is as in Theorem 2.2 we do not know if every base for X in S is common. If so, then X would be paracompact but not base-base paracompact. The base of all halfopen intervals is common (which by Theorem 2.2 implies that e.g. the irrationals as a subspace of S are not totally paracompact, relating to Problem 3.1 of [2]). Given any common base \mathcal{B} , for simplicity consisting of half-open intervals, and any partition $\{E_m: m \in \omega\}$ of X, we obtain another common base $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ by removing from \mathcal{B} all [x, x+t) with $x \in E_m$ and t > 1/m. The sets A_n from Definition 2.1 that work for \mathcal{B} need not work for $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, but the sets $A_n \cap E_m$, $n, m \in \omega$, would.

Example 3.1. Let $S \setminus X$ be dense and totally imperfect. Since $|X| = 2^{\omega} = \mathfrak{c}$ we may list $X = \{x_{\alpha} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$. As in the proof that under MA there is a scale [24], we may find a family of monotone increasing functions $\{f_{\alpha} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ such that if $\beta < \alpha$ then $f_{\alpha}(n)$ goes to ∞ faster, as $n \to \infty$, than $f_{\beta}(n)$ does (e.g. $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_{\alpha}(n)/f_{\beta}(n + k) = \infty$ for all k). Then $1/f_{\alpha}(n)$ goes to 0 faster than $1/f_{\beta}(n)$ does. If $\mathcal{B}_{x_{\alpha}} = \{[x_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha} + 1/f_{\alpha}(n)): n \in \omega\}$ then $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} \mathcal{B}_{x_{\alpha}}$ is a base for X in S.

We do not know if the base \mathcal{B} in the above example is common or not. But the mere variety of "essentially different" local bases at different points (as in \mathcal{B} above) is not enough to produce a base that is not common, as the next example shows.

Example 3.2. Consider $S \cap [0,1]$ instead of S. Let X be the set of all dyadic irrationals in [0,1], i.e. all sums $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k/2^k$ where $a_k \in \{0,1\}$ and both $a_k = 0$ and $a_k = 1$ occur infinitely often. Define a local base \mathcal{B}_x at x as $\mathcal{B}_x = \{[x, x + 2^{-k}): a_k = 1\}$. Clearly $\mathcal{B}_x = \{[x, x + 1/f_x(n)): n \in \omega\}$ for a unique monotone increasing f_x . Then given any $g: \omega \to \omega$ there is $x \in X$ such that $f_x(n) \ge g(n)$ for all n. Nevertheless, we now show that the base for X obtained in this way is common. Let T = [0, 1) and $A_n = X$ for all n. Given any interval $I \subseteq T$, fix a finite sequence u of 0's and 1's such that $[u] \subseteq I$ where [u] is the set of all $x \in [0, 1]$ whose dyadic representation $\langle a_1, a_2, \ldots \rangle$ starts with u. Let $J = [u \cap \langle 0 \rangle \cap \langle 1 \rangle]$. Then for each x in $J \cap X$ there is an element B(x) in \mathcal{B}_x corresponding to the 1 at the end of $u \cap \langle 0 \rangle \cap \langle 1 \rangle$. All these B(x) have the same length $\varepsilon = 2^{-|u \cap \langle 0 \rangle \cap \langle 1 \rangle|}$ and are contained in I.

Peter de Caux [3] proved that every finite power of S is a hereditarily D-space. He used a special base, described below, easily seen to be common, too.

Example 3.3 [3]. The base \mathcal{B} consists of all $[x, t_i)$ where $i \in \omega$ and t_i is the smallest integer multiple of 2^{-i} larger than x.

Question 3.4. Let X be as in Theorem 2.2. (a) Is there a base for X that is not common? (b) Is X an example of a space that is not base-base paracompact?

Since Lusin subsets of S are base-base paracompact, one may inquire about other "small" subsets of S, including some that exist in ZFC, which leads to the following question:

Question 3.5. Is every Marczewski null subspace of the Sorgenfrey line basebase paracompact? (A set M of real numbers is *Marczewski null* if for each perfect set P there is a perfect set Q contained in $P \setminus M$.) Recall that w(X) is the weight of X, i.e. the minimal possible cardinality of a base. X is base-paracompact [21], [22] if it has an open base \mathcal{B} with $|\mathcal{B}| = w(X)$, such that every open cover has a locally finite refinement with elements of \mathcal{B} . (A base with only the latter property is called *fine* in [4], [12].) Base-base paracompact spaces are base-paracompact [21], but these two properties seem quite different for the following reason. Suppose $\mathcal{B}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2$ are bases for a space X. If \mathcal{B} witnesses base-base paracompactness, then so does \mathcal{B}_1 , but \mathcal{B}_2 need not. The opposite holds for baseparacompactness: If \mathcal{B} witnesses base-paracompactness, then so does \mathcal{B}_2 as long as $|\mathcal{B}_2| = w(X)$, but \mathcal{B}_1 need not. It is not known if paracompact spaces are baseparacompact [21], [22], see also [19], [20]. But, it is known that Lindelöf spaces, in particular every subspace of S, are base-paracompact [21], [22], see also [23].

The author acknowledges helpful discussions with his advisor Gary Gruenhage, John E. Porter and Michael Granado, and improvements suggested by the referee.

References

- A. V. Arhangelskii: On the metrization of topological spaces. Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 8 (1960), 589–595. (In Russian.)
- [2] Z. Balogh, H. Bennett: Total paracompactness of real GO-spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1987), 753–760.
- [3] P. de Caux: Yet another property of the Sorgenfrey plane. Topology Proc. 6 (1981), 31–43.
- [4] H. H. Corson, T. J. McMinn, E. A. Michael, J. Nagata: Bases and local finiteness. Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1959), 814 (abstract).
- [5] H. H. Corson: Collections of convex sets which cover a Banach space. Fund. Math. 49 (1960/1961), 143–145.
- [6] D. W. Curtis: Total and absolute paracompactness. Fund. Math. 77 (1973), 277–283.
- [7] Eric K. van Douwen, W. Pfeffer: Some properties of the Sorgenfrey line and related spaces. Pacific J. Math. 81 (1979), 371–377.
- [8] R. Ford: Basic properties in dimension theory. Dissertation, Auburn University, 1963.
- [9] J. Gerlits, Zs. Nagy: Some properties of C(X), I. Topol. Appl. 14 (1982), 151–161.
- [10] Gary Gruenhage: A survey of D-spaces. Contemporary Mathematics 533 (2011), 13-28.
- [11] A. Lelek: On totally paracompact metric spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 168–170.
- [12] A. Lelek: Some cover properties of spaces. Fund. Math. 64 (1969), 209–218.
- [13] J. M. O'Farrell: Some methods of determining total paracompactness. Diss., Auburn Univ. (1982).
- [14] J. M. O'Farrell: The Sorgenfrey line is not totally metacompact. Houston J. Math. 9 (1983), 271–273.
- [15] J. M. O'Farrell: Construction of a Hurewicz metric space whose square is not a Hurewicz space. Fund. Math. 127 (1987), 41–43.
- [16] S. G. Popvassilev: Base-cover paracompactness. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004), 3121–3130.
- [17] S. G. Popvassilev: Base-family paracompactness. Houston J. Math. 32 (2006), 459–469.
- [18] S. G. Popvassilev: On base-cover metacompact products. Topol. Appl. 157 (2010), 2553–2554.

- [19] S. G. Popvassilev: Base-base, base-cover and base-family paracompactness. Contributed Problems, Zoltán Balogh Memorial Topology Conference, Miami Univ., Oxford, Ohio, Nov. 15-16. 2002, pp. 18-19. http://notch.mathstat.muohio.edu/balog_conference/ all_prob.pdf.
- [20] S. G. Popvassilev: Problems by S. Popvassilev. Problems in General and Set-Theoretic Topology, 2004 Spring Topology and Dynamics Conference at the University of Alabama, Birmingham. http://www.auburn.edu/~gruengf/confprobs.pdf.
- [21] J. E. Porter: Generalizations of totally paracompact spaces. Diss., Auburn Univ., 2000.
- [22] J. E. Porter: Base-paracompact spaces. Topol. Appl. 128 (2003), 145–156.
- [23] J. E. Porter: Strongly base-paracompact spaces. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 44 (2003), 307–314.
- [24] M. E. Rudin: Martin's axiom. Handbook of mathematical logic, Studies in Logic and the Found. of Math. 90 (Jon Barwise, ed.). North-Holland, 1977, pp. 491–501.
- [25] M. Sakai: Menger subsets of the Sorgenfrey line. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), 3129–3138.
- [26] R. Telgárski, H. Kok: The space of rationals is not absolutely paracompact. Fund. Math. 73 (1971/72), 75–78.

Author's address: Strashimir G. Popvassilev, The City College of New York, 160 Convent Avenue, New York, NY 10031, U.S.A., e-mail: spopvassilev@ccny.cuny.edu, strash.pop@gmail.com.