Jae Myung Park; Hyung Won Ryu; Hoe Kyoung Lee; Deuk Ho<br/> Lee The  $M_{\alpha}$  and  $C\mbox{-integrals}$ 

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 62 (2012), No. 4, 869-878

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/143031

# Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2012

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

### THE $M_{\alpha}$ AND C-INTEGRALS

JAE MYUNG PARK, HYUNG WON RYU, HOE KYOUNG LEE, Daejeon, DEUK HO LEE, Kongju

(Received March 17, 2010)

Abstract. In this paper, we define the  $M_{\alpha}$ -integral of real-valued functions defined on an interval [a, b] and investigate important properties of the  $M_{\alpha}$ -integral. In particular, we show that a function  $f: [a, b] \to R$  is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on [a, b] if and only if there exists an  $ACG_{\alpha}$  function F such that F' = f almost everywhere on [a, b]. It can be seen easily that every McShane integrable function on [a, b] is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable and every  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable function on [a, b] is Henstock integrable. In addition, we show that the  $M_{\alpha}$ -integral is equivalent to the C-integral.

Keywords:  $M_{\alpha}$ -integral,  $ACG_{\alpha}$  function MSC 2010: 26A39

#### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

It is well-known [3] that a function  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is *C*-integrable on [a, b] if and only if there exists an  $ACG_c$  function F such that F' = f almost everywhere on [a, b].

In this paper, for a fixed positive real number  $\alpha$  we define the  $M_{\alpha}$ -integral and prove that a function  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on [a, b] if and only if there exists an  $ACG_{\alpha}$  function F such that F' = f almost everywhere on [a, b].

In particular, we show that a function  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on [a, b] if and only if f is C-integrable on [a, b], and the integrals are equal.

A gauge on the interval  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a positive function defined on [a, b]. Given a gauge  $\delta$ , a  $\delta$ -fine division of [a, b] is a collection  $\{(I_i, x_i): i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$  of pairwise non-overlapping intervals  $I_i \subset [a, b]$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n I_i = [a, b], I_i \subset (x_i - \delta(x_i), x_i + \delta(x_i))$  and  $x_i \in [a, b]$ . If  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n I_i \subset [a, b]$ , then the collection  $\{(I_i, x_i): i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$  is called a  $\delta$ -fine partial division of [a, b] and the points  $\{x_i\}$  are called the tags of the partial division  $\{(I_i, x_i)\}$ .

Given a function  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  and a partial division  $D = \{(I_i, x_i): 1 \leq i \leq n\},\$ we use the following notation:

$$f(D) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) |I_i|$$
 and  $\varrho(D) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{dist}(x_i, I_i),$ 

where  $|I_i|$  is the Lebesgue measure of the interval  $I_i$  and dist $(x_i, I_i) = \inf\{|t - x_i|:$  $t \in I_i$ .

### 2. The $M_{\alpha}$ -integral

We now present the definition of the  $M_{\alpha}$ -integal.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\alpha > 0$  be a constant. A function  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $M_{\alpha}$ integrable if there exists a real number A such that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive function  $\delta \colon [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$|f(D) - A| < \varepsilon$$

for each  $\delta$ -fine division  $D = \{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  of [a, b] satisfying the condition  $\varrho(D) < \alpha$ . The number A is called the  $M_{\alpha}$ -integral of f on [a, b], and we write  $A = \int_{a}^{b} f$  or  $A = (M_{\alpha}) \int_{a}^{b} f.$ 

The function f is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on the set  $E \subset [a, b]$  if the function  $f\chi_E$  is  $M_{\alpha}$ integrable on [a, b], and we write  $\int_E f = \int_a^b f \chi_E$ .

We can easily get some basic properties of the  $M_{\alpha}$ -integral.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then

- (1) If f is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on [a, b], then f is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on every subinterval of [a,b].
- (2) If f is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on each of the intervals [a, c] and [c, b], then f is  $M_{\alpha}$ integrable on [a, b] and  $\int_a^c f + \int_c^b f = \int_a^b f$ .

The following theorem shows the linearity properties of the  $M_{\alpha}$ -integral.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let f and g be  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable functions on [a, b]. Then

- (1) kf is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on [a, b] and  $\int_{a}^{b} kf = k \int_{a}^{b} f$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , (2) f + g is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on [a, b] and  $\int_{a}^{b} (f + g) = \int_{a}^{b} f + \int_{a}^{b} g$ .

The following lemma is used frequently in the theory of the  $M_{\alpha}$ -integral.

**Lemma 2.4** (Saks-Henstock Lemma). Let  $f: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on [a,b] and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Suppose that  $\delta$  is a gauge on [a,b] such that

$$\left| f(D) - \int_{a}^{b} f \right| < \varepsilon$$

for each  $\delta$ -fine division  $D = \{(I_i, x_i)\}$  of [a, b] satisfying the condition  $\varrho(D) < \alpha$ . If  $D' = \{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  is a  $\delta$ -fine partial division of [a, b] satisfying the condition  $\varrho(D') < \alpha$ , then

$$\left|f(D') - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{I_i} f\right| \leqslant \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Assume that  $D' = \{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  is an arbitrary  $\delta$ -fine partial division of [a, b] satisfying the condition  $\varrho(D') < \alpha$ . Let  $[a, b] - \bigcup_{i=1}^m I_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^k I'_j$ .

Let  $\eta > 0$ . Since f is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on each  $I'_j$ , there exists a gauge  $\delta_j \colon I'_j \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\left|f(D_j) - \int_{I'_j} f\right| < \frac{\eta}{k}$$

for each  $\delta_j$ -fine division  $D_j$  of  $I'_j$  satisfying the condition  $\varrho(D_j) < \alpha$ .

We may assume that  $\delta_j(x) \leq \delta(x)$  for all  $x \in I'_j$ . For each j, choose a  $\delta_j$ -fine division  $D_j$  of  $I'_j$  with  $\varrho(D_j) < (\alpha - \varrho(D'))/k$ . Let  $D_0 = D' \cup D_1 \cup \ldots \cup D_k$ . Then  $D_0$  is a  $\delta$ -fine division of [a, b] satisfying  $\varrho(D_0) < \alpha$  and we have

$$\left|f(D_0) - \int_a^b f\right| < \varepsilon.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\left| f(D') - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{I_i} f \right| = \left| f(D_0) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} f(D_j) - \left( \int_a^b f - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{I'_j} f \right) \right|$$
$$\leqslant \left| f(D_0) - \int_a^b f \right| + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left| f(D_j) - \int_{I'_j} f \right|$$
$$< \varepsilon + k \cdot \frac{\eta}{k} = \varepsilon + \eta.$$

Since  $\eta > 0$  was arbitrary, we have  $|f(D') - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{I_i} f| \leq \varepsilon$ .

If  $F: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ , then F can be treated as a function of intervals by defining F([c, d]) = F(d) - F(c) for each subinterval  $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** If the function  $F: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable on [a, b] with f(x) = F'(x) for each  $x \in [a, b]$ , then  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable.

Proof. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By the definition of derivative, for each  $x \in [a, b]$  there exists a positive function  $\delta \colon [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$\left|\frac{F(y) - F(x)}{y - x} - f(x)\right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(\alpha + b - a)}$$

for all  $y \in [a, b]$  with  $0 < |y - x| < \delta(x)$ . Assume that  $D = \{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  is a  $\delta$ -fine division of [a, b] satisfying the condition  $\varrho(D) < \alpha$ . Then we have

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} [f(x_i)|I_i| - F(I_i)]\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(x_i)|I_i| - F(I_i)|$$
$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha + b - a} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\operatorname{dist}(x_i, I_i) + |I_i|)$$
$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha + b - a} (\alpha + b - a) = \varepsilon.$$

Hence,  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on [a, b].

Let F be a function defined on the subintervals of [a, b]. For a given partial division  $D = \{(I_i, x_i): i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ , we write

$$F(D) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(I_i).$$

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\alpha > 0$  be a constant. Let  $F: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  and let E be a subset of [a, b].

- a) F is said to be  $AC_{\alpha}$  on E if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist a constant  $\eta > 0$  and a gauge  $\delta \colon [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $|F(D)| < \varepsilon$  for each  $\delta$ -fine partial division  $D = \{(I_i, x_i)\}$  of [a,b] satisfying  $x_i \in E$ ,  $\sum |I_i| < \eta$  and  $\varrho(D) < \alpha$ .
- b) F is said to be  $ACG_{\alpha}$  on E if E can be expressed as a countable union of sets on each of which F is  $AC_{\alpha}$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** If a function  $f: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on [a,b] with the primitive F, then F is  $ACG_{\alpha}$  on [a,b].

Proof. By the definition of the  $M_{\alpha}$ -integral and by the Saks-Henstock Lemma, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a gauge  $\delta \colon [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} [f(x_i)|I_i| - F(I_i)]\right| \leqslant \varepsilon$$

for each  $\delta$ -fine partial division  $D = \{(I_i, x_i)\}$  of [a, b] satisfying the condition  $\varrho(D) < \alpha$ .

Assume that  $E_n = \{x \in [a,b]: n-1 \leq |f(x)| < n\}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have  $[a,b] = \bigcup E_n$ . To show that F is  $AC_\alpha$  on each  $E_n$ , fix n and take a  $\delta$ -fine partial division  $D_0 = \{(I_i, x_i)\}$  of [a,b] satisfying  $x_i \in E_n$  for all i and  $\rho(D) < \alpha$ . If  $\sum_i |I_i| < \varepsilon/n$ , then

$$|F(D_0)| \leq \left| \sum_i [F(I_i) - f(x_i) \cdot |I_i|] \right| + \left| \sum_i f(x_i) |I_i| \right|$$
$$\leq \left| \sum_i [F(I_i) - f(x_i) |I_i|] \right| + \sum_i |f(x_i)| \cdot |I_i|$$
$$\leq \varepsilon + n \sum_i |I_i| < 2\varepsilon.$$

Now we recall the definitions of the McShane and Henstock integrals.

A function  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is McShane integrable on [a, b] if there exists a real number A such that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a gauge  $\delta: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$|f(D) - A| < \varepsilon$$

for each  $\delta$ -fine division  $D = \{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  of [a, b].

A function  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is Henstock integrable if there exists a real number A such that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a gauge  $\delta: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$|f(D) - A| < \varepsilon$$

for each  $\delta$ -fine division  $D = \{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  of [a, b] with  $x_i \in I_i$ .

From the definitions of the two integrals, we easily get the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function.

- a) If f is McShane integrable on [a, b], then f is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on [a, b].
- b) If f is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on [a, b], then f is Henstock integrable on [a, b].

A function  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on [a, b] if and only if there exists an  $ACG_{\alpha}$  function F on [a, b] such that F' = f almost everywhere on [a, b]. To prove this fact, we need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.9.** Suppose that  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  and let  $E \subset [a, b]$ . If  $\mu(E) = 0$ , then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive function  $\delta$  on E such that  $|f(D)| < \varepsilon$  for every  $\delta$ -fine partial division  $D = \{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  of [a, b] satisfying  $x_i \in E$  for all i = 1, 2, ..., n and  $\varrho(D) < \alpha$ .

Proof. For each n, let  $E_n = \{x \in E : n - 1 \leq |f(x)| < n\}$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then  $E = \bigcup E_n$ . Since  $\mu(E_n) = 0$  for each n, we can choose an open set  $O_n \supset E_n$  with  $\mu(O_n) < \varepsilon/n \cdot 2^n$ .

For  $x \in E_n$ , define  $\delta(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, O_n^c)$ . Suppose that D is a  $\delta$ -fine partial division of [a, b] with tags in E satisfying the condition  $\varrho(D) < \alpha$ . Let  $D_n$  be a subset of Dthat has tags in  $E_n$  and let  $\pi = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : D_n \neq \varphi\}$ . Then

$$|f(D)| \leqslant \sum_{n \in \pi} |f(D_n)| \leqslant \sum_{n \in \pi} |f|(D_n) < \sum_{n \in \pi} n\mu(O_n) < \sum_{n \in \pi} n \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{n \cdot 2^n} = \varepsilon.$$

**Lemma 2.10.** Suppose that  $F: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $ACG_{\alpha}$  on [a, b] and let  $E \subset [a, b]$ . If  $\mu(E) = 0$ , then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a gauge  $\delta$  on E such that  $|F(D)| < \varepsilon$ for every  $\delta$ -fine partial division  $D = \{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  of [a, b] satisfying  $x_i \in E$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$  and  $\varrho(D) < \alpha$ .

Proof. Let  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  where the  $E_n$ 's are pairwise disjoint and F is  $AC_{\alpha}$  on each  $E_n$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For each n, there exist a gauge  $\delta_n \colon E_n \to \mathbb{R}^+$  and a positive number  $\eta_n > 0$  such that  $|F(D)| < \varepsilon/2^n$  for each  $\delta_n$ -fine partial division D = $\{(I_i, x_i)\}$  of [a, b] satisfying  $x_i \in E_n$ ,  $\sum |I_i| < \eta_n$  and  $\varrho(D) < \alpha$ . For each n, choose an open set  $O_n \supset E_n$  with  $\mu(O_n) < \eta_n$ . Define  $\delta(x) = \min\{\delta_n(x), \varrho(x, O_n^c)\}$  for  $x \in E_n$ . Suppose that  $D = \{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  is a  $\delta$ -fine partial division of [a, b] satisfying  $x_i \in E$  and  $\varrho(D) < \alpha$ . Let  $D_n$  be subset of D that has tags in  $E_n$  and note that  $(D_n) \sum |I_i| < \mu(O_n) < \eta_n$ . Hence,

$$|F(D)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |F(D_n)| < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n} = \varepsilon.$$

**Theorem 2.11.** A function  $f: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on [a,b] if and only if there exists an  $ACG_{\alpha}$  function F on [a,b] such that F' = f almost everywhere on [a,b].

Proof. Suppose that f is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on [a, b] and let  $F(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f$  for each  $x \in [a, b]$ . Then by Theorem 2.7, F is  $ACG_{\alpha}$  on [a, b]. Since f is Henstock integrable on [a, b], F' = f almost everywhere on [a, b] by [4, Theorem 9.12].

Conversely, suppose that there exists an  $ACG_{\alpha}$  function F such that F' = f almost everywhere on [a, b]. Let  $E = \{x \in [a, b] : F'(x) \neq f(x)\}$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then  $\mu(E) = 0$ . For each  $x \in [a, b] - E$ , choose  $\delta(x) > 0$  such that

$$|F(y) - F(x) - f(x)(y - x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{6(\alpha + b - a)}|y - x|$$

whenever  $|y - x| < \delta(x)$  and  $y \in [a, b]$ . By Lemma 2.9 and 2.10, we can find  $\delta(x) > 0$ on E such that  $|f(D)| < \varepsilon/3$  and  $|F(D)| < \varepsilon/3$ , whenever  $D = \{(I_i, x_i)\}$  is a  $\delta$ -fine partial division of [a, b] satisfying  $x_i \in E$  and  $\varrho(D) < \alpha$ .

Suppose that  $D = \{(I_i, x_i)\}$  is a  $\delta$ -fine partial division of [a, b] satisfying  $\varrho(D) < \alpha$ . Let  $D_1$  be the subset of D that has tags in E and let  $D_2 = D - D_1$ . Then

$$\begin{split} |f(D) - F(D)| &= |f(D_2) - F(D_2)| + |f(D_1)| + |F(D_1)| \\ &\leq (D_2) \sum \left| f(x_i) |I_i| - F(I_i) \right| + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3(\alpha + b - a)} \sum (\operatorname{dist}(x_i, I_i) + |I_i|) + \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3(\alpha + b - a)} (\alpha + b - a) + \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon = \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

Hence f is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on [a, b].

The following examples show that the converse of Theorem 2.8 is not true.

**Example 2.12.** (1) Let f be a function defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2x \sin \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{2}{x} \cos \frac{1}{x^2} & \text{if } 0 < x \le 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to show that the primitive of f is

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 \sin \frac{1}{x^2} & \text{if } 0 < x \le 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since F is differentiable and F' = f everywhere on [0, 1], f is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable due to Theorem 2.5. But F is not absolutely continuous on [0, 1] and therefore f is not McShane integrable on [0, 1].

(2) The function F defined by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} x \sin \frac{1}{x^2} & \text{if } 0 < x \le 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

875

is differentiable almost everywhere on [0, 1]. By [3, Theorem 9.6], F' is Henstock integrable on [0, 1]. But we can show that F is not  $ACG_{\alpha}$  on [0, 1].

To show this, suppose that F is  $ACG_{\alpha}$ . Then there exists a set  $E \subset [0,1]$  such that  $0 \in E$  and F is  $AC_{\alpha}$  on E. Hence, there exist a gauge  $\delta \colon [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^+$  and a positive number  $\eta > 0$  such that  $|F(D)| < \alpha/2$  whenever  $D = \{(I_i, x_i)\}$  is a  $\delta$ -fine partial division of [0,1] satisfying the conditions  $x_i \in E, \sum |I_i| < \eta$  and  $\varrho(D) < \alpha$ .

Let  $a_n = 1/\sqrt{(2n + \frac{1}{2})\pi}$  and  $b_n = 1/\sqrt{2n\pi}$  for each positive integer n. Then  $a_n < b_n < 1$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \infty$ . Choose a  $\delta$ -fine partial division  $D = \{([a_i, b_i], 0):$   $N \leq i \leq M\}$  such that  $\alpha/2 < \sum_{i=N}^{M} a_i < \alpha$  and  $b_N < \min\{\delta(0), \eta\}$ . Then  $0 \in E$ ,  $\sum_{i=N}^{M} (b_i - a_i) < \eta$ , and  $\sum_{i=N}^{M} \operatorname{dist}(0, [a_i, b_i]) = \sum_{i=N}^{M} a_i < \alpha$ .

Hence, D is a  $\delta$ -fine partial division of [0, 1] satisfying the condition  $\varrho(D) < \alpha$ . But we have

$$|F(D)| = \left|\sum_{i=N}^{M} [F(b_i) - F(a_i)]\right| = \sum_{i=N}^{M} a_i > \alpha/2.$$

This contradiction shows that F is not  $ACG_{\alpha}$  on [0,1]. Hence, F' is not  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on [0,1].

## 3. Equivalence of the $M_{\alpha}$ and C-integrals

Recall [1], [2] that a function  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is *C*-integrable on [a, b] if there exists a real number *A* such that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a gauge  $\delta$  such that

$$|f(D) - A| < \varepsilon$$

for each  $\delta$ -fine division  $D = \{(I_i, x_i): i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$  of [a, b] satisfying the condition  $\varrho(D) < 1/\varepsilon$ .

To show that the  $M_{\alpha}$ -integral is equivalent to the *C*-integral, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\alpha > 0$  be a constant and let  $\delta: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^+$  be a gauge with  $\delta(x) < \alpha/4$  for each  $x \in [a,b]$ . If D is a  $\delta$ -fine division of [a,b] with  $\varrho(D) < n\alpha$  for some positive integer n, then there exist  $\delta$ -fine pairwise disjoint partial divisions  $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_m$  of intervals in D such that  $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^m D_i, \ \varrho(D_i) < \alpha$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$  and m < 2n.

Proof. Let  $D = \{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^p$  be a  $\delta$ -fine division of [a, b] with  $\varrho(D) < n\alpha$ for some positive integer n. Choose the greatest positive integer  $n_1$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \operatorname{dist}(x_i, I_i) < \alpha$  and let  $D_1 = \{(I_i, x_i)\}_{i=1}^{n_1}$ . Next, choose the greatest positive integer  $n_2$  such that  $\sum_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2} \operatorname{dist}(x_i, I_i) < \alpha$  and let  $D_2 = \{(x_i, I_i)\}_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2}$ . Continuing in this way, we have partial divisions  $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_m$  such that

$$D = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} D_i$$
 and  $\varrho(D_i) < \alpha$ 

for each i = 1, 2, ..., m.

From the construction of each  $D_i$  we have

$$\frac{3}{4}\alpha < \varrho(D_i) < \alpha$$

for each i = 1, 2, ..., m.

Suppose that  $m \ge 2n$ . Then

$$\varrho(D) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \varrho(D_i) > \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{3}{4}\alpha = \frac{3}{4}\alpha m \ge \frac{3}{4}\alpha \cdot 2n = \frac{3}{2}\alpha n.$$

This contradicts the fact that  $\rho(D) < n\alpha$ . Hence, m < 2n.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\alpha > 0$  be a constant. A function  $f: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $M_{\alpha}$ integrable on [a,b] if and only if f is C-integrable on [a,b]. The value of the integral
is the same in both cases.

Proof. Suppose that f is C-integrable on [a, b] and let  $F(x) = (C) \int_a^x f$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  such that  $\alpha < 1/\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon$ . Since f is C-integrable on [a, b], there exists a gauge  $\delta \colon [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$\left|f(D) - (C)\int_{a}^{b} f\right| < \varepsilon_{1}$$

for each  $\delta$ -fine division D of [a, b] with  $\rho(D) < 1/\varepsilon_1$ .

If D is a  $\delta$ -fine division of [a, b] with  $\varrho(D) < \alpha$ , then

$$\left|f(D) - (C)\int_{a}^{b}f\right| < \varepsilon_{1} < \varepsilon.$$

Hence, f is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on [a, b] and

$$(M_{\alpha})\int_{a}^{b}f = (C)\int_{a}^{b}f.$$

877

Conversely, suppose that f is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on [a, b] and let  $F(x) = (M_{\alpha}) \int_{a}^{x} f$  for each  $x \in [a, b]$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose a positive integer n such that  $1/\varepsilon < n\alpha$ . Since f is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on [a, b], there exists a gauge  $\delta_{1}$ :  $[a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^{+}$  such that

$$|f(D) - F([a,b])| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2n}$$

for each  $\delta_1$ -fine division D of [a, b] with  $\varrho(D) < \alpha$ . Define  $\delta(x) = \min\{\delta_1(x), \alpha/4\}$  for each  $x \in [a, b]$ . Let D be a  $\delta$ -fine division of [a, b] with  $\varrho(D) < 1/\varepsilon$ . By Lemma 3.1, we can decompose D into pairwise disjoint  $\delta$ -fine partial divisions  $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_m$ such that  $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^m D_i, \ \varrho(D_i) < \alpha$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$  and m < 2n.

By the Saks-Henstock Lemma we have

$$|f(D) - F([a,b])| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} |f(D_i) - F(D_i)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\varepsilon}{2n} = \frac{m\varepsilon}{2n} < \varepsilon.$$

Hence, f is C-integrable on [a, b].

For any constant  $\alpha > 0$ , the  $M_{\alpha}$ -integral is equivalent to the *C*-integral by Theorem 3.2. Hence, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be positive constants. A function  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $M_{\alpha}$ -integrable on [a, b] if and only if f is  $M_{\beta}$ -integrable on [a, b].

Acknowledgement. The authors are really grateful to the referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions.

#### References

- B. Bongiorno, L. Di Piazza, D. Preiss: A constructive minimal integral which includes Lebesgue integrable functions and derivatives. J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser. 62 (2000), 117–126.
- [2] A. M. Bruckner, R. J. Fleissner, J. Fordan: The minimal integral which includes Lebesgue integrable functions and derivatives. Colloq. Math. 50 (1986), 289–293.
- [3] L. Di Piazza: A Riemann-type minimal integral for the classical problem of primitives. Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste 34 (2002), 143–153.
- [4] R. A. Gordon: The Integrals of Lebegue, Denjoy, Perron, and Henstock. Graduate Studies in Mathematics 4, American Mathematical Society, 1994.

Authors' addresses: Jae Myung Park, Hyung Won Ryu, Hoe Kyoung Lee, Department of Mathematics, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 305-764, South Korea, e-mail: parkjm@cnu.ac.kr; Deuk Ho Lee, Department of Mathematics Education, Kongju National University, Kongju 314-701, South Korea, e-mail: dhlee20 kongju.ac.kr.