

Erdal Ekici

Further new generalized topologies via mixed constructions due to Császár

*Mathematica Bohemica*, Vol. 140 (2015), No. 1, 1–9

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/144173>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2015

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

FURTHER NEW GENERALIZED TOPOLOGIES VIA  
MIXED CONSTRUCTIONS DUE TO CSÁSZÁR

ERDAL EKICI, Çanakkale

(Received August 17, 2012)

*Abstract.* The theory of generalized topologies was introduced by Á. Császár (2002). In the literature, some authors have introduced and studied generalized topologies and some generalized topologies via generalized topological spaces due to Á. Császár. Also, the notions of mixed constructions based on two generalized topologies were introduced and investigated by Á. Császár (2009). The main aim of this paper is to introduce and study further new generalized topologies called  $\mu_{12}^C$  via mixed constructions based on two generalized topologies  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  on a nonempty set  $X$  and also generalized topologies called  $\mu_C$  and  $\mu_*^C$  for a generalized topological space  $(X, \mu)$ .

*Keywords:* mixed construction; generalized topology; generalized topological space; weak generalized topology; countable subcover;  $\mu_{12}^C$ -open set;  $\mu_C$ -open set;  $\mu_*^C$ -open set; countable set

*MSC 2010:* 54A05

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of generalized topologies was introduced by Császár [1]. One of the generalizations of topologies introduced in [1] is known as generalized topology due to Császár. Also, some authors have introduced and studied generalized topologies and some generalized topologies via generalized topological spaces due to Császár [5], [7], [8]. Moreover, Császár introduced and investigated the notions of mixed constructions based on two generalized topologies [4]. The main goal of the present paper is to introduce and study further new generalized topologies called  $\mu_{12}^C$  via mixed constructions based on two generalized topologies  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  on a nonempty set  $X$  and also generalized topologies called  $\mu_C$  and  $\mu_*^C$  for a generalized topological space  $(X, \mu)$ .

---

This paper is supported by Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, BAP: 2011/023.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall basic notations. Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $\mu \subset \exp X$  where  $\exp X$  is the power set of  $X$ . Then  $\mu$  is said to be a generalized topology [1] (briefly GT) if  $\emptyset \in \mu$  and an arbitrary union of elements of  $\mu$  belongs to  $\mu$ . A set  $X$  with a generalized topology  $\mu$  on  $X$  is called a generalized topological space (briefly GTS) and is denoted by  $(X, \mu)$  [1]. The elements of  $\mu$  are called  $\mu$ -open sets for a generalized topological space  $(X, \mu)$  and the complements of  $\mu$ -open sets are called  $\mu$ -closed sets [1].

Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS and  $S \subset X$ . The intersection of all  $\mu$ -closed sets containing  $S$ , i.e., the smallest  $\mu$ -closed set containing  $S$  is denoted by  $c_\mu(S)$  [2], [3]. The union of all  $\mu$ -open sets contained in  $S$ , i.e., the largest  $\mu$ -open set contained in  $S$  is denoted by  $i_\mu(S)$  [2], [3]. An operation  $\beta: \exp X \rightarrow \exp X$  is called idempotent if  $\beta(\beta(S)) = \beta(S)$  for  $S \subset X$  and monotonic when  $S \subset T \subset X$  implies  $\beta(S) \subset \beta(T)$  [1], [3]. It is known that  $i_\mu$  and  $c_\mu$  are idempotent and monotonic [2], [3]. Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS,  $S \subset X$  and  $x \in X$ . Then  $x \in c_\mu(S)$  if and only if  $R \cap S \neq \emptyset$  for  $x \in R \in \mu$  [1], [3]. Also,  $c_\mu(X \setminus S) = X \setminus i_\mu(S)$  [1], [3].

## 3. THE GT $\mu_{12}^C$

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be two GTs on a set  $X$  and  $S \subset X$ . Then  $S$  is said to be  $\mu_{12}^C$ -open if for every  $x \in S$  there exists a  $\mu_1$ -open set  $R$  in  $X$  containing  $x$  such that  $R \setminus i_{\mu_2}(S)$  is countable. The complement of a  $\mu_{12}^C$ -open set in  $X$  is said to be  $\mu_{12}^C$ -closed.

The family of all  $\mu_{12}^C$ -open sets in  $X$  is denoted by  $\mu_{12}^C$ .

**Remark 1.** Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be two GTs on a set  $X$ . Suppose that  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ . Then for every  $S \subset X$ , the following implication holds:

$$S \text{ is } \mu_1\text{-open (respectively, } \mu_2\text{-open)} \Rightarrow S \text{ is } \mu_{12}^C\text{-open.}$$

This implication is not reversible as shown in the following example:

**Example 1.** Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the set of real numbers with the GTs  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \{\emptyset, A, B, C, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}^*, \mathbb{Q}^* \cup A, \mathbb{Q}^* \cup B, \mathbb{Q}^* \cup C\}$  where  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  is the set of irrational numbers,  $A = [1, 3]$ ,  $B = [2, 4]$  and  $C = [1, 4]$ . Take  $S = \mathbb{Q}^* \cup \{-2, -1, 0\}$ . Then  $S$  is  $\mu_{12}^C$ -open but it is not  $\mu_1$ -open and it is not  $\mu_2$ -open.

**Remark 2.** Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be two GTs on a set  $X$ .

(1) Suppose that  $\mu_1 \subsetneq \mu_2$ . Then for every  $S \subset X$ , the following implication holds:

$$S \text{ is } \mu_1\text{-open} \Rightarrow S \text{ is } \mu_{12}^C\text{-open.}$$

(2) Suppose that  $\mu_2 \subsetneq \mu_1$ . Then for every  $S \subset X$ , the following implication holds:

$$S \text{ is } \mu_2\text{-open} \Rightarrow S \text{ is } \mu_{12}^C\text{-open.}$$

These implications are not reversible as shown in the following examples:

**Example 2.** Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the set of real numbers with the GT  $\mu_1 = \{\emptyset, A, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}^*, \mathbb{Q}^* \cup A, \mathbb{N} \cup A, \mathbb{N} \cup \mathbb{Q}^*, \mathbb{N} \cup \mathbb{Q}^* \cup A\}$  and the GT  $\mu_2 = \{\emptyset, A, \mathbb{Q}^*, \mathbb{Q}^* \cup A\}$  where  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  is the set of irrational numbers,  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of natural numbers and  $A = [0, 1]$ . Take  $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Then  $S$  is  $\mu_{12}^C$ -open but it is not  $\mu_2$ -open.

**Example 3.** Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the set of real numbers with the GT  $\mu_1 = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}^*, A, \mathbb{Q}^* \cup A\}$  and the GT  $\mu_2 = \{\emptyset, A, B, C, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}^*, \mathbb{Q}^* \cup A, \mathbb{Q}^* \cup B, \mathbb{Q}^* \cup C\}$  where  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  is the set of irrational numbers,  $A = [1, 3]$ ,  $B = [2, 4]$  and  $C = [1, 4]$ . Take  $S = \mathbb{Q}^* \cup \{0, 1\}$ . Then  $S$  is  $\mu_{12}^C$ -open but it is not  $\mu_1$ -open.

**Theorem 1.** For two GTs  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  on a set  $X$ ,  $(X, \mu_{12}^C)$  is a GTS.

**Proof.** It is obvious that  $\emptyset \in \mu_{12}^C$ . Suppose that  $\{S_i : i \in I\}$  is a family of  $\mu_{12}^C$ -open sets in  $X$  and  $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$ . We have  $x \in S_{i_0}$  for some  $i_0 \in I$ . By Definition 1 exists a  $\mu_1$ -open set  $R$  in  $X$  containing  $x$  and also  $R \setminus i_{\mu_2}(S_{i_0})$  is countable. Since

$$R \setminus i_{\mu_2} \left( \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i \right) \subset R \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} i_{\mu_2}(S_i) \subset R \setminus i_{\mu_2}(S_{i_0}),$$

$R \setminus i_{\mu_2} \left( \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i \right)$  is countable. Consequently, we have  $\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i \in \mu_{12}^C$ . □

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be two GTs on a set  $X$ .

(1) Suppose that  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ . Then  $(X, \mu_{12}^C)$  is a GTS such that

$$\mu_1 \subset \mu_{12}^C \quad (\text{resp. } \mu_2 \subset \mu_{12}^C).$$

(2) Suppose that  $\mu_1 \subsetneq \mu_2$ . Then  $(X, \mu_{12}^C)$  is a GTS such that  $\mu_1 \subset \mu_{12}^C$ .

(3) Suppose that  $\mu_2 \subsetneq \mu_1$ . Then  $(X, \mu_{12}^C)$  is a GTS such that  $\mu_2 \subset \mu_{12}^C$ .

**Proof.** It follows from Remark 1 and Remark 2. □

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be two GTs on a set  $X$  and  $S \subset X$ . Then  $S$  is  $\mu_{12}^C$ -open if and only if for every  $x \in S$  there exists a  $\mu_1$ -open set  $P$  in  $X$  containing  $x$  and a countable set  $R$  such that  $P \setminus R \subset i_{\mu_2}(S)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S \in \mu_{12}^C$  and  $x \in S$ . Then there exists a  $\mu_1$ -open set  $P$  in  $X$  containing  $x$  such that  $P \setminus i_{\mu_2}(S)$  is countable. Put

$$R = P \setminus i_{\mu_2}(S) = P \cap (X \setminus i_{\mu_2}(S)).$$

Consequently, we have  $P \setminus R \subset i_{\mu_2}(S)$ .

Conversely, let  $x \in S$ . There exists a  $\mu_1$ -open set  $P$  in  $X$  containing  $x$  and a countable subset  $R$  such that  $P \setminus R \subset i_{\mu_2}(S)$ . Thus,  $P \setminus i_{\mu_2}(S)$  is countable and hence  $S$  is  $\mu_{12}^C$ -open.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be two GTs on a set  $X$ . Suppose that  $S$  is a  $\mu_{12}^C$ -closed set in  $X$ . Then  $c_{\mu_2}(S) \subset P \cup R$  for a  $\mu_1$ -closed set  $P$  in  $X$  and a countable set  $R$  in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a  $\mu_{12}^C$ -closed set in  $X$ . Since  $S$  is  $\mu_{12}^C$ -closed, then  $X \setminus S$  is  $\mu_{12}^C$ -open. From Theorem 2 implies that there exist a  $\mu_1$ -open set  $T$  in  $X$  containing  $x$  and a countable set  $R$  in  $X$  such that

$$T \setminus R \subset i_{\mu_2}(X \setminus S) = X \setminus c_{\mu_2}(S)$$

for each  $x \in X \setminus S$ . Then we have

$$c_{\mu_2}(S) \subset X \setminus (T \setminus R) \subset X \setminus (T \cap (X \setminus R)) = X \cap ((X \setminus T) \cup R) = (X \setminus T) \cup R.$$

Put  $P = X \setminus T$ . Since  $T$  is  $\mu_1$ -open, then set  $P$  is  $\mu_1$ -closed and also  $c_{\mu_2}(S) \subset P \cup R$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS. Then  $X$  is said to be  $\mu$ -locally countable if every  $x \in X$  has a countable  $\mu$ -neighborhood.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be two GTs on a set  $X$ . If  $X$  is a  $\mu_1$ -locally countable GTS, then  $S$  is  $\mu_{12}^C$ -open for every  $S \subset X$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $X$  is a  $\mu_1$ -locally countable GTS. Let  $S \subset X$  and  $x \in S$ . It follows that there exist a countable  $\mu_1$ -neighborhood  $P$  of  $x$  and a  $\mu_1$ -open set  $R$  in  $X$  containing  $x$  such that  $R \subset P$ . We have

$$R \setminus i_{\mu_2}(S) \subset P \setminus i_{\mu_2}(S) \subset P.$$

Hence,  $R \setminus i_{\mu_2}(S)$  is countable and  $S$  is  $\mu_{12}^C$ -open. Consequently,  $S$  is  $\mu_{12}^C$ -open for every  $S \subset X$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS. Then a set  $S$  in  $X$  is said to be  $\mu$ -Lindelöf if every  $\mu$ -open cover of  $S$  in  $(X, \mu)$  has a countable subcover. The GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is said to be  $\mu$ -Lindelöf if every  $\mu$ -open cover of  $X$  has a countable subcover.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be two GTs on a set  $X$ . If  $(X, \mu)$  is a  $\mu_1$ -Lindelöf GTS, then  $S \setminus i_{\mu_2}(S)$  is countable for every  $\mu_1$ -closed set  $S \in \mu_{12}^C$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(X, \mu)$  is a  $\mu_1$ -Lindelöf GTS. Let  $S \in \mu_{12}^C$  be a  $\mu_1$ -closed set in  $X$ . Then for every  $x \in S$  there exists a  $\mu_1$ -open set  $R_x$  containing  $x$  such that  $R_x \setminus i_{\mu_2}(S)$  is countable. Since  $x \in R_x$  for every  $x \in S$ , then  $\{R_x : x \in S\}$  is a  $\mu_1$ -open cover for the set  $S$ . Since  $(X, \mu)$  is a  $\mu_1$ -Lindelöf GTS and  $S$  is  $\mu_1$ -closed, then  $S$  is  $\mu_1$ -Lindelöf. Since  $S$  is  $\mu_1$ -Lindelöf,  $S$  has a countable subcover  $\{R_{x_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\{R_x : x \in S\}$ . We have

$$S \setminus i_{\mu_2}(S) \subset \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} R_{x_n} \right) \setminus i_{\mu_2}(S) \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (R_{x_n} \setminus i_{\mu_2}(S)).$$

Thus,  $S \setminus i_{\mu_2}(S)$  is countable.  $\square$

**Definition 4.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS. Then  $X$  is said to be  $\mu$ -anti-locally countable if all nonempty  $\mu$ -open sets in  $X$  are uncountable.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be two GTs on a set  $X$ . Suppose that  $X$  is a  $\mu_1$ -anti-locally countable GTS. Then  $(X, \mu_{12}^C)$  is a  $\mu_{12}^C$ -anti-locally countable GTS.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $X$  is a  $\mu_1$ -anti-locally countable GTS. Let  $S \in \mu_{12}^C$  and  $x \in S$ . By Theorem 2 exist a  $\mu_1$ -open set  $P$  in  $X$  containing  $x$  and a countable set  $R$  in  $X$  such that  $P \setminus R \subset i_{\mu_2}(S)$ . It follows that  $i_{\mu_2}(S)$  is not countable. Thus,  $S$  is not countable. Hence,  $(X, \mu_{12}^C)$  is a  $\mu_{12}^C$ -anti-locally countable GTS.  $\square$

**Definition 5** ([6]). Let  $(X, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \lambda)$  be two GTSs. Then a function  $f: (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \lambda)$  is said to be  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -open if  $f(S) \in \lambda$  for every  $S \in \mu$ .

**Theorem 7.** Suppose that  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are two GTs on a set  $X$  and  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are two GTs on a set  $Y$ . Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $(\mu_1, \lambda_1)$ -open and  $(\mu_2, \lambda_2)$ -open function. Then  $f(S)$  is  $\lambda_{12}^C$ -open for every  $\mu_{12}^C$ -open set  $S$  in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a  $\mu_{12}^C$ -open set in  $X$  and  $x \in S$ . Take  $y = f(x) \in f(S)$ . By Definition 1 exists a  $\mu_1$ -open set  $R$  in  $X$  containing  $x$  such that  $R \setminus i_{\mu_2}(S)$  is countable. Since  $f$  is a  $(\mu_1, \lambda_1)$ -open function, then  $f(R)$  is a  $\lambda_1$ -open set in  $Y$ . On the other hand, we have  $i_{\mu_2}(S) \subset S$  and then  $f(i_{\mu_2}(S)) \subset f(S)$ . Since  $f$  is a  $(\mu_2, \lambda_2)$ -open function,  $i_{\lambda_2}(f(i_{\mu_2}(S))) = f(i_{\mu_2}(S)) \subset i_{\lambda_2}(f(S))$ . We have  $y = f(x) \in f(R)$

and

$$f(R) \setminus i_{\lambda_2}(f(S)) \subset f(R) \setminus f(i_{\mu_2}(S)) \subset f(R \setminus i_{\mu_2}(S))$$

is countable. Thus,  $f(S)$  is a  $\lambda_{12}^C$ -open set in  $Y$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Suppose that  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$  ( $= \mu$ ) and  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$  ( $= \lambda$ ) are two GTs on sets  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $(\mu, \lambda)$ -open function. Then  $f(S)$  is  $\lambda_{12}^C$ -open for every  $\mu_{12}^C$ -open set  $S$  in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 7.  $\square$

#### 4. THE GTs $\mu_C$ AND $\mu_*^C$

**Definition 6.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS and  $S \subset X$ . Then  $S$  is said to be  $\mu_C$ -closed if  $c_\mu(R) \subset S$  for every countable subset  $R \neq \emptyset$  of  $S$ . Also,  $S$  is said to be  $\mu_C$ -open if the complement of  $S$  is a  $\mu_C$ -closed set.

The family of all  $\mu_C$ -open sets in a GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is denoted by  $\mu_C$ .

**Remark 3.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS. Then for every  $S \subset X$ , the following implication holds:

$$S \text{ is } \mu\text{-open} \Rightarrow S \text{ is } \mu_C\text{-open}.$$

This implication is not reversible as shown in the following example:

**Example 4.** Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the set of real numbers with the GT  $\mu = \{\emptyset, A \subset \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{R} \setminus A \text{ is countable and } A \neq \mathbb{R}\}$ . Take  $S = (1, 2)$ . Then  $S$  is  $\mu_C$ -open but it is not  $\mu$ -open.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS and  $S \subset X$ . Then  $S$  is  $\mu_C$ -open if and only if  $S \subset i_\mu(X \setminus R)$  for every countable set  $R \neq \emptyset$  in  $X$  such that  $S \subset X \setminus R$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a  $\mu_C$ -open set and  $R \neq \emptyset$  be a countable set in  $X$  such that  $S \subset X \setminus R$ . By Definition 6 the set  $X \setminus S$  is  $\mu_C$ -closed. Since  $R \subset X \setminus S$  and  $R$  is countable, we have  $c_\mu(R) \subset X \setminus S$ . Consequently, we have  $S \subset X \setminus c_\mu(R) = i_\mu(X \setminus R)$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $S \subset i_\mu(X \setminus R)$  for every countable set  $R \neq \emptyset$  in  $X$  such that  $S \subset X \setminus R$ . Let  $T \neq \emptyset$  be a countable subset of  $X \setminus S$ . This implies  $S \subset X \setminus T$  and

$$S \subset i_\mu(X \setminus T) = X \setminus c_\mu(T).$$

We have  $c_\mu(T) \subset X \setminus S$ . It follows that  $X \setminus S$  is  $\mu_C$ -closed. Thus,  $S$  is  $\mu_C$ -open in  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** For a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ ,  $(X, \mu_C)$  is a GTS.

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $\emptyset \in \mu_C$ . Let  $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of  $\mu_C$ -open sets in  $(X, \mu_C)$ . By Definition 6 the set  $\{X \setminus S_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a family of  $\mu_C$ -closed sets in  $(X, \mu_C)$ . Take a subset  $R \neq \emptyset$  of  $\bigcap_{i \in I} (X \setminus S_i)$  and assume that  $R$  is a countable set in  $X$ . We have  $R \subset X \setminus S_i$  for each  $i \in I$ . Since  $X \setminus S_i$  is a  $\mu_C$ -closed set in  $X$  for each  $i \in I$ , we have  $c_\mu(R) \subset X \setminus S_i$  for each  $i \in I$ . It follows that

$$c_\mu(R) \subset \bigcap_{i \in I} (X \setminus S_i).$$

By Definition 6 the set  $\bigcap_{i \in I} (X \setminus S_i)$  is a  $\mu_C$ -closed set in  $X$ . Consequently,  $\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$  is a  $\mu_C$ -open set in  $X$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS. Then  $(X, \mu_C)$  is a GTS such that  $\mu \subset \mu_C$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Remark 3 and Theorem 9.  $\square$

**Definition 7.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS and  $S \subset X$ . The union of all  $\mu_C$ -open sets in  $X$  contained in  $S$  is said to be the  $\mu_C$ -interior of  $S$  and is denoted by  $i_{\mu_C}(S)$ .

**Remark 4.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS and  $S \subset X$ . If  $S$  is  $\mu$ -open set in  $X$ , then  $i_{\mu_C}(S) = i_\mu(S)$ .

The following example shows that the converse of this implication is not true in general.

**Example 5.** Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the set of real numbers with the GT  $\mu = \{\emptyset, (0, 2), (1, 3), (0, 3)\}$ . Then for the set of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  we have  $i_{\mu_C}(\mathbb{N}) = i_\mu(\mathbb{N})$ , but  $\mathbb{N}$  is not  $\mu$ -open.

**Theorem 10.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS and  $S \subset X$ . Then  $S$  is  $\mu$ -open if and only if  $S$  is  $\mu_C$ -open and  $i_{\mu_C}(S) = i_\mu(S)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be  $\mu$ -open in  $X$ . It follows from Remark 3 and Remark 4 that  $S$  is  $\mu_C$ -open and also we have  $i_{\mu_C}(S) = i_\mu(S)$ .

Conversely, let  $S$  be a  $\mu_C$ -open set in  $X$  and  $i_{\mu_C}(S) = i_\mu(S)$ . By Definition 7  $S = i_{\mu_C}(S) = i_\mu(S)$ . Consequently,  $S$  is a  $\mu$ -open set in  $X$ .  $\square$

**Definition 8.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a GTS and  $S \subset X$ . Then  $S$  is said to be  $\mu_*^C$ -open if for every  $x \in S$  there exists a  $\mu$ -open set  $R$  in  $X$  containing  $x$  such that  $R \setminus S$  is countable. The complement of a  $\mu_*^C$ -open set in  $X$  is said to be  $\mu_*^C$ -closed.

The family of all  $\mu_*^C$ -open sets in a GTS  $(X, \mu)$  is denoted by  $\mu_*^C$ .

**Remark 5.** Suppose that  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are two GTs on a set  $X$ . Then for every  $S \subset X$ , the following implication holds:

$$S \text{ is } \mu_{12}^C\text{-open} \Rightarrow S \text{ is } (\mu_1)_*^C\text{-open.}$$

This implication is not reversible as shown in the following example:

**Example 6.** Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the set of real numbers with the GT  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu = \{\emptyset, \{-1\}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}^+, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{-1\}, \mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \mathbb{Z}\}$  where  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  is the set of positive rational numbers and  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of integer numbers. Take the set  $S = \mathbb{Q}^*$  where  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  is the set of irrational numbers. Then  $S$  is  $\mu_*^C$ -open but it is not  $\mu_{12}^C$ -open.

The notions of  $\mu_*^C$ -openness and  $\mu_C$ -openness are independent from each other as shown in the following examples:

**Example 7.** Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the set of real numbers with the GT  $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{-1, 0, 1\}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}^+, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{-1, 0\}, \mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \mathbb{Z}\}$  where  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  is the set of positive rational numbers and  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of integer numbers. Take the set  $T = \mathbb{Q}^*$  where  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  is the set of irrational numbers. Then  $T$  is  $\mu_*^C$ -open but it is not  $\mu_C$ -open.

**Example 8.** Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the set of real numbers with the GT  $\mu = \{\emptyset, A \subset \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{R} \setminus A \text{ is countable and } A \neq \mathbb{R}\}$ . Take  $T = \mathbb{Q}$  where  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the set of rational numbers. Then  $T$  is  $\mu_C$ -open but it is not  $\mu_*^C$ -open.

**Theorem 11.** For a GTS  $(X, \mu)$ ,  $\mu_*^C$  is a GT on  $X$ .

**Proof.** It is analogous to that of Theorem 1. □

**Corollary 4.** Suppose that  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are two GTs on a set  $X$ . Then  $(X, (\mu_1)_*^C)$  is a GTS such that

$$\mu_{12}^C \subset (\mu_1)_*^C.$$

**Proof.** It follows from Remark 5 and Theorem 11. □

### References

- [1] *Á. Császár:* Generalized topology, generalized continuity. *Acta Math. Hung.* *96* (2002), 351–357.
- [2] *Á. Császár:* Separation axioms for generalized topologies. *Acta Math. Hung.* *104* (2004), 63–69.
- [3] *Á. Császár:* Generalized open sets in generalized topologies. *Acta Math. Hung.* *106* (2005), 53–66.
- [4] *Á. Császár:* Mixed constructions for generalized topologies. *Acta Math. Hung.* *122* (2009), 153–159.

- [5] *E. Ekici, B. Roy*: New generalized topologies on generalized topological spaces due to Császár. *Acta Math. Hung.* *132* (2011), 117–124.
- [6] *W. K. Min*: Some results on generalized topological spaces and generalized systems. *Acta Math. Hung.* *108* (2005), 171–181.
- [7] *V. Renuka Devi, D. Sivaraj*: On  $\delta$ -sets in  $\gamma$ -spaces. *Filomat* *22* (2008), 97–106.
- [8] *Á. Szász*: Minimal structures, generalized topologies, and ascending systems should not be studied without generalized uniformities. *Filomat* *21* (2007), 87–97.

*Author's address: Erdal Ekici*, Department of Mathematics, Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Terzioğlu Campus, 17020 Çanakkale, Turkey, e-mail: [EEKICI@COMU.EDU.TR](mailto:EEKICI@COMU.EDU.TR).