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# On a class of nonlocal problem involving a critical exponent 

Anass Ourraoui


#### Abstract

In this work, by using the Mountain Pass Theorem, we give a result on the existence of solutions concerning a class of nonlocal $p$-Laplacian Dirichlet problems with a critical nonlinearity and small perturbation.


## 1 Introduction

This paper deals with the following elliptic problem

$$
\begin{align*}
-M\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right) \Delta_{p} u & =\beta h(x)|u|^{q-2} u+|u|^{p^{*}-2} u+f(x) & & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1}\\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary, $p^{*}=\frac{N p}{N-p}$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, $1<p<N, \beta$ is a positive parameter, and $h \in L^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-q}}(\Omega), f \in$ $L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$, with $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$.

Where the functional $M$ verifies,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M:(0,+\infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty) \text { is continuous and } m_{0}=\inf _{s>0} M(s)>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem (1) is called nonlocal because of the presence of the term $M\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)$, so it is not any more a pointwise identity. This leads us to some mathematical difficulties which makes the study of such a class of problem particularly interesting.

It is well known that the critical exponent case is often difficult because of the lack of compactness, so standard arguments cannot be carried out to handle the problem (1). As far as we know, very few results have been obtained in elliptic
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problems involving critical exponent, for instance we just quote 11, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11 and references therein. However, inspired by these interesting works, especially by 4, within which we will borrow some ideas, our goal will be to generalize some corresponding results partially and extend them to the case $p \neq 2$ with an existence of a perturbation $f$. We have to mention that 5 could be considered as the first work dealing with multivalued elliptic problem and the presence of which involves critical growth in an Orlicz-Sobolev space, where the nonlinearity can be discontinuous.

From now on, we make the following assumption:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{M}(t) \geq M(t) t \text { for } t>0, \text { with } \widehat{M}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} M(s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly, we can report our main result,
Theorem 1. Under the hypotheses (21), (3) and $q \in\left(p, p^{*}\right)$, there exists $\beta^{*}>0$, such that the problem (1) has at least a nontrivial solutions for all $\beta \geq \beta^{*}$, provided $f$ is small enough in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{*}$ of $\left(W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$.

Throughout this paper, we consider the $C^{1}$-functional energy

$$
\phi(u)=\frac{1}{p} \widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)-\frac{\beta}{q} \int_{\Omega} h(x)|u|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{1}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Omega} f(x) u \mathrm{~d} x
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{\prime}(u) \cdot v= & M\left(\|u\|^{p}\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v \mathrm{~d} x-\beta \int_{\Omega} h(x)|u|^{q-2} u v \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}-2} u v \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Omega} f(x) v \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $v \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Where,

$$
W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{p}(\Omega): \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x<\infty, u / \partial \Omega=0\right\} .
$$

By a version of the Mountain Pass Theorem due to Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz 10, 12, without Palais-Smale condition, there exists a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n} \subset W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\phi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\beta} \quad \text { and } \quad \phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

where

$$
c_{\beta}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} \phi(\gamma(t))>0
$$

with

$$
\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right): \gamma(0)=0, \phi(\gamma(1))<0\right\}
$$

We recall that $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of the problem (1) if it verifies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M\left(\|u\|^{p}\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Omega} \beta h(x)|u|^{q-2} u v \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \\
& \quad-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}-2} u v \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Omega} f(x) v \mathrm{~d} x=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $v \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.
So the critical points of $\phi$ are solutions of the problem (1).

## 2 Auxiliary results

Let $L^{s}(\Omega)$ be the Lebesgue space equipped with the norm $|u|_{s}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{s} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}$, $1 \leq s<\infty$ and let $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ be the usual Sobolev space with respect to the norm

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Now we can define the best Sobolev constant

$$
S=\inf _{u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x}{\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}}} .
$$

In the sequel, we are to compare the minimax level $c_{\beta}$ with a suitable number which involves the constant $S$.

Lemma 1. There exist $\sigma>0, \rho>0$ and $e \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ with $\|e\|>\rho$ such that
(i) $\inf _{\|u\|=\rho} \phi(u) \geq \sigma>0$;
(ii) $\phi(e)<0$.

Proof. (i) From the Hölder's inequality and the compact embedding theorem, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(u) & \geq \frac{m_{0}}{p} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{\beta}{q}|h|_{\theta} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{1}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Omega} f(x) u \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \geq C_{0}\|u\|^{p}-\frac{C_{1} \beta}{q}|h|_{\theta}\|u\|^{q}-\frac{1}{p^{*} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p}}}\|u\|^{p^{*}}-|f|_{p^{\prime}}|u|_{p} \\
& \geq C_{0}\|u\|^{p}-\frac{C_{1} \beta}{q}|h|_{\theta}\|u\|^{q}-C_{2}\|u\|^{p^{*}}-C_{3}\|f\|_{*}\|u\|, \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\theta=\frac{p^{*}}{\left[p^{*}-q\right]}$ and $C_{0}, C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}>0$. Since $q \in\left(p, p^{*}\right)$ then for $\|u\|=\rho>0$ small enough, we may find $\sigma>0$ such that

$$
\inf _{\|u\|=\rho} \phi(u) \geq \sigma>0
$$

where $\|f\|_{*}$ be small.
(ii) Fix $v \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega \backslash\{0\})$ with $v \geq 0$ in $\Omega$ and $\|v\|=1$.

$$
\phi(t v) \leq A|t|^{p}-\beta|t|^{\theta} \int_{\Omega} h(x) v^{\theta} \mathrm{d} x+C-\frac{|t|^{p^{*}}}{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega} h(x) v^{p^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x-|t| \int_{\Omega} f(x) v \mathrm{~d} x
$$

with $A$ and $C$ are two positive constants, it follows that

$$
\phi(t v) \rightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as } \quad|t| \rightarrow \infty
$$

Lemma 2. $\lim _{\beta \rightarrow+\infty} c_{\beta}=0$.
Proof. Let $v$ the function given by the previous lemma 1, then there is $t_{\beta}>0$ such that $\phi\left(t_{\beta} v\right)=\max _{t \geq 0} \phi(t v)$, thereafter,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\left\|t_{\beta} v\right\|^{p}\right) t_{\beta}^{p}\|v\|^{p}=\beta t_{\beta}^{q} \int_{\Omega} h(x)|v|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+t_{\beta}^{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}|v|^{p^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x+t_{\beta}^{2} \int_{\Omega} f(x) v^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows from (3) that there is $c>0$, such that

$$
\widehat{M}(s) \leq c|s| \quad \text { for all } s>s_{0}>0
$$

Hence

$$
c t_{\beta}^{p}\|v\|^{p} \geq \beta t_{\beta}^{q} \int_{\Omega} h(x)|v|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+t_{\beta}^{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}|v|^{p^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x+t_{\beta}^{2} \int_{\Omega} f(x) v^{2} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

and then $t_{\beta}$ is bounded, so there exists a sequence $\beta_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ and $t_{*} \geq 0$ with $t_{\beta_{n}} \rightarrow t_{*}$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ and thus

$$
M\left(\left\|t_{\beta_{n}} v\right\|^{p}\right) t_{\beta_{n}}^{p}\|v\|^{p}<C, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

with $C$ is a positive constant, which yields

$$
\beta_{n} t_{*}^{q} \int_{\Omega} h(x)|v|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+t_{*}^{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}|v|^{p^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x \leq C, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Hence, we claim that $t_{*}=0$, otherwise, $t_{*}>0$ and then the last inequality becomes

$$
\beta_{n} t_{*}^{q} \int_{\Omega} h(x)|v|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+t_{*}^{p^{*}} \int_{\Omega}|v|^{p^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow+\infty
$$

as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, which is absurd, so $t_{*}=0$.
Taking $\gamma_{0}(t)=t e$, with $\gamma_{0} \in \Gamma$, then we get

$$
0<c_{\beta} \leq \max _{t \in[0,1]} \phi\left(\gamma_{0}(t)\right) \leq \frac{1}{p} \widehat{M}\left(t_{\beta}^{p}\right) .
$$

Since $\widehat{M}\left(t_{\beta}^{p}\right) \rightarrow 0$ then $\lim _{\beta \rightarrow \infty} c_{\beta}=0$.

As consequence of the above lemma, there exists $\beta^{*}>0$ such that for every $\beta \geq \beta^{*}$,

$$
c_{\beta}<\left(1-\frac{p}{p^{*}}\right)\left(m_{0} S\right)^{\frac{N}{p}} .
$$

Lemma 3. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n} \subset W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, with $\phi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\beta}$, and $\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Then $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Assume that $\phi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\beta}$, and $\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p c_{\beta}+o(1)+o(1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|= & p \phi\left(u_{n}\right)-\left(\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \cdot u_{n}\right) \\
\geq & C_{4} \beta\left(1-\frac{p}{q}\right)|h|_{\theta}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q}+C_{5}\left(1-\frac{p}{p^{*}}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{*}} \\
& +(p-1) \int_{\Omega} f(x) u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta=\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-q}, C_{4}, C_{5}>0$, we infer that $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.

## 3 Proof of the main result

Proof. (Theorem 1) As it was previously mentioned, we are to apply a version of the Mountain Pass theorem without Palais-Smale condition to obtain a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n} \subset W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that $\phi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{\beta}$ and $\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$.

Because $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is a bounded sequence in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, passing to a subsequence, so we may find $\gamma>0$ with

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \gamma
$$

it follows from the continuity of $M$ that

$$
M\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}\right) \rightarrow M\left(\gamma^{p}\right)
$$

On the other side, we know that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, then

$$
u_{n} \rightarrow u \text { in } L^{r}(\Omega), \quad \text { for } 1<r<p^{*}
$$

and

$$
u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega .
$$

By the Lebesgue Dominated Theorem,

$$
\int_{\Omega} h(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} h(x)|u|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \rightharpoonup|\nabla u|^{p}+\mu \quad \text { weak }^{*} \text {-sense of measure, } \\
\left|u_{n}\right|^{\left.\right|^{*}} \rightharpoonup|u|^{p^{*}}+\nu \quad \text { weak }^{*} \text {-sense of measure. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Afterwards, as a consequence of the concentration compactness principle due to Lion 88, there is an index set $I$, which is an at most countable set such that

$$
\nu=\sum_{i \in I} \nu_{i} \delta_{i}, \quad \mu \geq \sum_{i \in I} \mu_{i} \delta_{i}
$$

and

$$
S \nu_{i}^{p / p^{*}} \leq \mu_{i},
$$

for any $i \in I$ with $\left(\mu_{i}\right)_{i},\left(\nu_{i}\right)_{i} \subset[0, \infty), \delta_{i}$ is the Dirac mass and $\left(\mu_{i}\right)_{i},\left(\nu_{i}\right)_{i}$ are nonatomic positive measures. We claim that $I=\emptyset$, otherwise, we have $I \neq \emptyset$ and fix $i \in I$. Taking $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega,[0,1])$ such that $\psi \equiv 1$ if $|x|<1$ and $\psi \equiv 0$ when $|x|>2$ with $|\nabla \psi|_{\infty} \leq 2$. Putting $\psi_{\rho}(x)=\psi\left(\frac{x-x_{i}}{\rho}\right)$ for $\rho>0$, noting that $\left(\psi_{\rho} u_{n}\right)$ is bounded thus $\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \cdot\left(\psi_{\rho} u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\rho} u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad=-M\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \psi_{\rho} \nabla u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}-2} u_{n} \cdot \psi_{\rho} u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \\
& \quad+\beta \int_{\Omega} h(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q-2} u_{n} \psi_{\rho} u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\Omega} f(x) \psi_{\rho} u_{n}+O_{n}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

As it is known that $B_{2 \rho}\left(x_{i}\right)$ is the support of the functional $\psi_{\rho}$ and by applying Hölder inequality then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| \nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\rho} u_{n} \mathrm{~d} x \mid & \leq \int_{B_{2 \rho}\left(x_{i}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-1}\left|u_{n} \nabla \psi_{\rho}\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq\left(\int_{B_{2 \rho}\left(x_{i}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{B_{2 \rho}\left(x_{i}\right)}\left|u_{n} \nabla \psi_{\rho}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{B_{2 \rho}\left(x_{i}\right)}\left|u_{n} \nabla \psi_{\rho}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Dominated convergence Theorem we entail that

$$
\int_{B_{2 \rho}\left(x_{i}\right)}\left|u_{n} \nabla \psi_{\rho}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow 0
$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\rho \rightarrow 0$.
Hence,

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0}\left[\lim _{n} \int_{\Omega} u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} . \nabla \psi_{\rho}\right]=0 .
$$

On the other hand, we recall that $M\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}\right)$ converges to $M\left(\gamma^{p}\right)$, so we reach

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0}\left[\lim _{n} M\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}\right) \int_{\Omega} u_{n}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} . \nabla \psi_{\rho}\right]=0
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n}\left[\int_{\Omega} h(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q-2} u_{n} \psi_{\rho} u_{n}\right] & =0 \\
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n}\left[\int_{\Omega} f(x) \psi_{\rho} u_{n}\right] & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus

$$
\int_{\Omega} M\left(\gamma^{p}\right) \psi_{\rho} \mathrm{d} \mu+O_{\rho}(1) \leq \int_{\Omega} \psi_{\rho} \mathrm{d} \nu
$$

Tending $\rho$ to zero we conclude that

$$
\nu_{i} \geq M\left(\gamma^{P}\right) \mu_{i} \geq m_{0} \mu_{i}
$$

from the definition of $\nu$ and $\mu$ we have

$$
\nu_{i} \geq\left(m_{0} S\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}
$$

It does not make sense, indeed, let $i \in I$ such that

$$
\nu_{i} \geq\left(m_{0} S\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}
$$

Since $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is a $(P S)_{c_{\beta}}$ for the functional $\phi$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
p c_{\beta} & =p \phi\left(u_{n}\right)=p \phi\left(u_{n}\right)-\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \cdot u_{n}+O_{n}(1) \\
& \geq\left(1-\frac{p}{p^{*}}\right) \int_{\Omega} \psi_{\rho}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x+O_{n}(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

tending $n \rightarrow+\infty$, therefore

$$
p c_{\beta} \geq\left(1-\frac{p}{p^{*}}\right) \sum_{i \in I} \psi_{\rho}\left(x_{i}\right) \nu_{i}=\left(1-\frac{p}{p^{*}}\right) \sum_{i \in I} \nu_{i} \geq\left(1-\frac{p}{p^{*}}\right)\left(m_{0} S\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}
$$

which cannot occur (because $\lim _{\beta \rightarrow \infty} c_{\beta}=0$ ), thereafter $I$ is empty and thereby $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p^{*}}(\Omega)$.

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}-|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)\left(\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \cdot\left(u_{n}-u\right)+\beta \int_{\Omega} h(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q-2} u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega} f(x)\left(u_{n}-u\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}-2} u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right) \mathrm{d} x-M\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\left(\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u\right) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$, a standard argument (similar to those found in 3) shows that

$$
\nabla u_{n}(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x) \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in \Omega
$$

and

$$
u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in \Omega,
$$

then

$$
M\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}-|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)\left(\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u\right) \mathrm{d} x \rightarrow 0
$$

Using the following inequalities $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
|x-y|^{\gamma} \leq 2^{\gamma}\left(|x|^{\gamma-2} x-|y|^{\gamma-2} y\right) \cdot(x-y) & \text { if } \gamma \geq 2 \\
|x-y|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma-1}(|x|+|y|)^{2-\gamma}\left(|x|^{\gamma-2} x-|y|^{\gamma-2} y\right) \cdot(x-y) & \text { if } 1<\gamma<2
\end{array}
$$

where $x \cdot y$ is the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, we get
$c m_{0} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \leq M\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}-|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)\left(\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u\right) \mathrm{d} x$.
Consequently,

$$
\left\|u_{n}-u\right\| \rightarrow 0
$$

which will imply that

$$
u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)
$$

Thus

$$
\phi(u)=c_{\beta}, \quad \phi^{\prime}(u)=0
$$

and we get the solution $u_{1}$, it is a mountain pass type.
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