

Xiaohan Hu; Jiwen Zeng
Principal blocks and p -radical groups

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 66 (2016), No. 2, 431–444

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/145734>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2016

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

PRINCIPAL BLOCKS AND p -RADICAL GROUPS

XIAOHAN HU, JIWEN ZENG, Xiamen

(Received May 16, 2015)

Abstract. Let G be a finite group and k a field of characteristic $p > 0$. In this paper, we obtain several equivalent conditions to determine whether the principal block B_0 of a finite p -solvable group G is p -radical, which means that B_0 has the property that $e_0(k_P)^G$ is semisimple as a kG -module, where P is a Sylow p -subgroup of G , k_P is the trivial kP -module, $(k_P)^G$ is the induced module, and e_0 is the block idempotent of B_0 . We also give the complete classification of a finite p -solvable group G which has not more than three simple B_0 -modules where B_0 is p -radical.

Keywords: principal block; p -radical group; p -radical block

MSC 2010: 20C05, 20C20

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group and k a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . In [15], Motose and Ninomiya first introduced the definition of p -radical groups. Namely, G is a p -radical group if the induced module $(k_P)^G$ of the trivial kP -module k_P is semisimple as a left kG -module. From the definition, it can be easily seen that G is a p -radical group if G is a p -group or a p' -group. In [19], Okuyama states that any p -radical group is p -solvable, so the discussion of p -radical groups can be carried out in finite p -solvable groups. In [12], Koshitani obtained a sufficient condition on p -radical groups, that is, if the vertex of V is contained in $\text{Ker}(V)$ for any simple kG -module V then G is p -radical, where $\text{Ker}(V) = \{x \in G : xv = v, \text{ for any } v \in V\}$. Tsushima [23] discussed the relationship between p -nilpotent groups and p -radical groups and asserted that, if G has an abelian p -complement,

The research has been supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11261060, No. 11201385) and Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province of China (No. 2015J01027).

then G is p -radical. However, we cannot say that any p -nilpotent group is p -radical. For instance, if $p = 3$ and $G = \text{SL}(2, 3)$, then G is 3-nilpotent but not 3-radical (see [15], Remark 2). Thus a much more profound theorem of Tsushima [23] claims that a p -nilpotent group G is p -radical if and only if $[O_{p'}(G), D] \cap C_{O_{p'}(G)}(D) = 1$ for any p -subgroup D of G . In order to prove this theorem, Tsushima generalized the concept of p -radical groups to a p -block form. Let B be a p -block of G and e_B be the block idempotent in kG corresponding to B . Then B is called a p -radical block if $e_B(kP)^G$ is semisimple.

Evidently, G is p -radical if and only if every block of G is p -radical, so the study of p -radical blocks significantly assists the study of p -radical groups. In [5], Hida generalized Koshitani's result (see [12]) to a p -block version and claimed that, if the vertex of V is contained in $\text{Ker}(V)$ for any simple kG -module V in a p -block B of G , then B is p -radical.

As we all know, the principal block of a group algebra plays an important role in the study of the theory of modular representations of finite groups. This prompts us to investigate when the principal block of a finite group is p -radical. We will give the definition of principal p -radical groups as follows:

Definition 1.1. G is called a principal p -radical group if the principal block of G is p -radical.

In this paper, we focus on the connection between p -radical groups and principal p -radical groups in finite p -solvable groups. Use the properties of p -constrained groups (see [6], Chapter 7, Theorem 13.6), the principal block B_0 of G is isomorphic with the group algebra $k(G/O_{p'}(G))$, which is due to [3]. We can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a finite group. Then the following results hold.*

- (i) *If G is principal p -radical, and if $G/O_{p',p}(G)$ is principal p -radical, then G is p -solvable.*
- (ii) *If G is p -solvable, then the following conditions are equivalent:*
 - (a) *G is principal p -radical.*
 - (b) *$G/O_{p'}(G)$ is p -radical.*
 - (c) *$G/O_{p',p}(G)$ is p -radical.*
- (iii) *G is a p -solvable and principal p -radical group if and only if every simple kG -module S in the principal block of G satisfies the following property **(P)**:*
 - (P)** *There exist a subgroup H of G and a simple kH -module U such that*
 - (1) *$S = U^G$ and some vertex D of S is contained in $\text{Ker}(U)$,*
 - (2) *$H \cap P^g \in \text{Syl}_p(H)$ for every $g \in G$.*

Corollary 1.3. *Let G be p -solvable and principal p -radical, and let S , H , U , and D be as in Theorem 1.2 (iii). Then*

- (i) $(U_{H \cap N_G(D)})^{N_G(D)}$ is the Green correspondent of S with respect to $(G, D, N_G(D))$,
- (ii) if $D \subset \text{Ker}(S)$, then $S_{N_G(D)} = (U_{H \cap N_G(D)})^{N_G(D)}$ is a simple $kN_G(D)$ -module.

Corollary 1.4. *If the vertex D of S is contained in $\text{Ker}(S)$ for any simple kG -module S in the principal block of G , then G is principal p -radical, and $S_{N_G(D)}$ is a simple $kN_G(D)$ -module.*

We can easily prove that every p -nilpotent group is principal p -radical. The class of p -radical groups is properly contained in the class of principal p -radical groups (see Remark 3.2). We also give an example (Example 3.7(ii)) to show that there exists a p -solvable group G such that G is not principal p -radical. This allows us to consider when p -solvable groups are principal p -radical. Let $l(B)$ be the number of non-isomorphic simple B -modules and B_0 be the principal block of G . We obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. *Let G be a p -solvable group with $l(B_0) \leq 3$. Then G is principal p -radical except for the following situations:*

- (i) $p = 3$ and $G/O_{p',p}(G) \cong \text{SL}(2, 3)$,
- (ii) $p = 2$ and $G/O_{p',p}(G) \cong M(3) \rtimes P$, where P is \mathbb{Z}_8 or SD_{16} , where $M(3) = \langle x, y: x^3 = y^3 = z^3 = 1, y^x = yz, z^x = z, z^y = z \rangle$, the non-abelian 3-group which is of order 27 and has exponent 3, and SD_{16} denotes the semi-dihedral group of order 16. In particular, if $l(B_0) \leq 2$, then G is principal p -radical.

All groups in this paper are finite and all modules are finitely generated left modules. Furthermore, \mathbb{Z}_n denotes the cyclic group of order n . E_{p^n} is the elementary abelian group of order p^n . Further Q_8 denotes the quaternion group of order 8, S_n is the symmetric group of degree n . Let q be a prime. Following [21], page 229, we define $T_0(q)$ to be the subgroup of $\text{GL}(2, q)$ consisting of the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & \pm x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ \pm x^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $x \in \text{GF}(q)$, $x \neq 0$. $\mathcal{J}(kG)$ denotes the Jacobson radical of the group algebra kG . Let B_0 be the principal block of G and e_0 be the block idempotent in kG corresponding to B_0 . Let $\Delta(G)$ be the augmentation ideal of kG . For a subset S of G , \widehat{S} denotes the sum of all elements of S . If T is a subset of kG , we write $r(T) = r_G(T)$ and $l(T) = l_G(T)$ for the right and left annihilators of T in kG . The notation and terminology undefined are standard, the reader is referred to [1] and [4].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\mathcal{A}(G) = \{H \subset G: \mathcal{J}(B_0) \subset kG \cdot \mathcal{J}(kH)\}$, let $\mathcal{B}(G) = \{H \subset G: \text{the induced } kG\text{-module } e_0W^G \text{ is semisimple for every simple } kH\text{-module } W\}$ and let $\mathcal{C}(G) = \{H \subset G: H \text{ contains a Sylow } p\text{-subgroup of } G\}$.

Lemma 2.1. $\mathcal{A}(G) = \mathcal{B}(G) \subset \mathcal{C}(G)$.

Proof. Following [10], Lemma 1.5, $\mathcal{A}(G) = \mathcal{B}(G)$. We need only verify that $\mathcal{B}(G) \subset \mathcal{C}(G)$. If $H \in \mathcal{B}(G)$, by [11], Theorem 2.2, we may choose a simple kG -module V in B_0 such that some Sylow p -subgroup P is a vertex of V . Let W be a simple submodule of V_H . Since $e_0V = V$, we have $0 \neq \text{Hom}_{kH}(W, V_H) \cong \text{Hom}_{kG}(W^G, V) \cong \text{Hom}_{kG}(e_0W^G, V)$. Hence, V is a direct summand of W^G since e_0W^G is semisimple. It follows that V is H -projective, and so $P \subset_G H$. Thus $H \in \mathcal{C}(G)$. \square

Remark 2.2. For an arbitrary extension field K of k there holds $\mathcal{J}(KG) = K \otimes_k \mathcal{J}(kG)$ and $\mathcal{J}(kG) = \mathcal{J}(KG) \cap kG$ since $kG/\mathcal{J}(kG)$ is a separable algebra. Thus it easily holds that $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{B}_0) \subset KG \cdot \mathcal{J}(KH)$ if and only if $\mathcal{J}(B_0) \subset kG \cdot \mathcal{J}(kH)$ for any subgroup H of G , where \tilde{B}_0 and B_0 are the principal blocks of KG and kG , respectively. This means that $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is determined by G and p .

Lemma 2.3 ([8], Chapter 5, Lemma 4.3). *Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Then*

- (i) $\bigcap_{x \in G} kG \cdot \Delta(P^x) = \bigcap_{x \in G} \Delta(P^x) \cdot kG$ is a nilpotent ideal of kG ,
- (ii) $\bigcap_{x \in G} kG \cdot \Delta(P^x) = \left\{ \sum_{x \in G} u_x x : \sum_{y \in S} u_{xy} = 0 \text{ for all } x \in G \text{ and all } S \in \text{Syl}_p(G) \right\}$
 $= \left\{ \sum_{x \in G} u_x x : \sum_{y \in S} u_{yx} = 0 \text{ for all } x \in G \text{ and all } S \in \text{Syl}_p(G) \right\}$.

In the following theorem, we give some characterizations for principal p -radical groups.

Theorem 2.4. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) G is a principal p -radical group.
- (ii) $\mathcal{A}(G) = \mathcal{B}(G) = \mathcal{C}(G)$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{J}(B_0) \subset kG \cdot \Delta(P)$ for some (and hence all) $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$.
- (iv) $\mathcal{J}(B_0) \subset \bigcap_{S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)} kG \cdot \Delta(S)$.
- (v) $l_G(\mathcal{J}(B_0)) \supset \sum_{S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)} \hat{S} \cdot kG$.
- (vi) $\mathcal{J}(B_0) \subset \left\{ \sum_{x \in G} u_x x : \sum_{y \in S} u_{xy} = 0 \text{ for all } x \in G \text{ and all } S \in \text{Syl}_p(G) \right\}$.

Proof. The equivalence of (iv) and (vi) follows from Lemma 2.3.

(i) \Leftrightarrow (iii): By Lemma 2.1, $e_0(k_p)^G$ is semisimple if and only if $\mathcal{J}(B_0) \subset kG \cdot \Delta(P)$ for $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): For any $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, since P is of p' -index, then by hypothesis $\mathcal{J}(B_0) \subset kG \cdot \Delta(P)$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): If $\mathcal{J}(B_0) \subset kG \cdot \Delta(P)$ for some $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, then, for all $x \in G$,

$$\mathcal{J}(B_0) = \mathcal{J}(B_0)^x \subset kG \cdot \Delta(P)^x = kG \cdot \Delta(P^x),$$

and hence $\mathcal{J}(B_0) \subset \bigcap_{S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)} kG \cdot \Delta(S)$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $H \in \mathcal{C}(G)$. Then H contains a Sylow p -subgroup P of G . Let $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^n x_i H$ be a left coset decomposition of G over H . Then we have $\mathcal{J}(B_0) \subset$

$\bigcap_{x \in G} kG \cdot \Delta(P^x) \subset \bigcap_{x \in H} kG \cdot \Delta(P^x) = \bigcap_{x \in H} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i kH \cdot \Delta(P^x) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \left(\bigcap_{x \in H} kH \times \Delta(P^x) \right) \subset kG \cdot \left(\bigcap_{x \in H} kH \cdot \Delta(P^x) \right) \subset kG \cdot \mathcal{J}(kH)$. Hence $H \in \mathcal{A}(G)$, and so the desired conclusion follows by virtue of Lemma 2.1.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v): $l_G(\mathcal{J}(B_0)) \supset l_G \left(\bigcap_{S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)} kG \cdot \Delta(S) \right) = \sum_{S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)} r_G(kG \cdot \Delta(S)) = \sum_{S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)} r_G(l_G(\widehat{S})) = \sum_{S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)} r_G(l_G(\widehat{S} \cdot kG)) = \sum_{S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)} \widehat{S} \cdot kG$.

(v) \Rightarrow (iv): $\mathcal{J}(B_0) = l_G(r_G(\mathcal{J}(B_0))) \subset l_G \left(\sum_{S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)} \widehat{S} \cdot kG \right) = \bigcap_{S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)} l_G(\widehat{S} \times kG) = \bigcap_{S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)} l_G(\widehat{S}) = \bigcap_{S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)} kG \cdot \Delta(S)$. Hence, the result follows. \square

Let N be a normal subgroup of G and let $\nu: G \rightarrow G/N$ be the natural homomorphism. Then $\nu^*: kG \rightarrow k(G/N)$ in the algebra homomorphism induced by ν and the kernel of this homomorphism is $\text{Ker}(\nu^*) = kG \cdot \Delta(N)$. A group G is called p -constrained if $C_G(O_{p',p}(G)/O_{p'}(G)) \subset O_{p',p}(G)$. It is well-known (see [4], pages 268–270, or [6], Chapter 7, Definition 13.3) that any p -solvable group is p -constrained.

Lemma 2.5 ([3], Theorem 2.1). *Let G be p -constrained. Then kG is indecomposable if and only if $O_{p'}(G) = 1$.*

Lemma 2.6 ([6], Chapter 7, Theorem 13.6). *Let G be p -constrained, let $N = O_{p'}(G)$ and $e = |N|^{-1} \widehat{N}$. Then $kGe \cong k(G/N)$ is the principal block of G and $\nu^*(e) = 1$, where $\nu^*: kG \rightarrow k(G/N)$ induced by the natural homomorphism $\nu: G \rightarrow G/N$.*

We now state some preliminary results on p -radical groups.

Lemma 2.7 ([1], Chapter 6, Theorem 6.5). *Assume that $N \triangleleft G$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If G is p -radical, so are N and G/N .*
- (ii) *If N is a p -group, then G is p -radical if and only if G/N is p -radical.*
- (iii) *If G/N is a p' -group, then G is p -radical if and only if N is p -radical.*

Lemma 2.8 ([23], Theorem 2). *Let G be a p -nilpotent group. Then G is p -radical if and only if $[O_{p'}(G), D] \cap C_{O_{p'}(G)}(D) = 1$ for any p -subgroup D of G .*

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

The proof of (i) is inspired by [19]. Let S be a simple kG -module in B_0 and Q be its vertex. Let H be a subgroup of G . By [1], Chapter 3, Lemma 4.9; Chapter 2, Lemma 3.7, then

$$\mathrm{Tr}_H^G(\mathrm{Hom}_{kH}(S, S)) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{Hom}_{kG}(S, S), & Q \subset_G H, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since G is principal p -radical, $e_0(k_P)^G$ is semisimple. From [23], Lemma 2, it follows that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_H^G(\mathrm{Hom}_{kH}(e_0(k_P)^G, S)) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{Hom}_{kG}(e_0(k_P)^G, S), & Q \subset_G H, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Mackey decomposition theorem, we have that k_Q is a trivial source module of S . Let U be an indecomposable direct summand of S_P , it follows that

$$U|S_P|((k_Q)^G)_P = \bigoplus_{t \in Q \backslash G/P} (k_{Q^t \cap P}^t)^P.$$

This implies that $U \cong (k_{Q^t \cap P})^P$ for some $t \in Q \backslash G/P$. By [1], Chapter 2, Lemma 2.5 and 3.5, we have $\mathrm{Tr}_{Q^t \cap P}^G(\mathrm{Hom}_{k(Q^t \cap P)}(e_0(k_P)^G, S)) \neq 0$. Hence $Q^x = Q^t \cap P$ for some $x \in G$. This implies $S_P = \bigoplus (k_{Q^x})^P$ for some x with $Q^x \subset P$. By [9], Corollary 3.6, there exists a block b of $Q^x C_G(Q^x)$ such that Q^x is a defect group of b and $b^G = B_0$. Since B_0 is the principal block of G , Brauer's third main theorem implies that b is the principal block of $Q^x C_G(Q^x)$. It follows that Q^x is the unique Sylow p -subgroup of $Q^x C_G(Q^x)$. Therefore, $Z(P) \subset Q^x$ as $Q^x \subset P$. This proves that $Z(P) \subset \mathrm{Ker}(S)$. By [1], Chapter 4, Lemma 4.12, $1 \neq Z(P) \subset O_{p',p}(G)$. Hence G is p -solvable by induction.

For (ii), (a) \Rightarrow (b): Let $N = O_{p'}(G)$ and let $\overline{G} = G/N$. Let $\nu: G \rightarrow \overline{G}$ be the natural homomorphism, and $\nu^*: kG \rightarrow k\overline{G}$ the algebra homomorphism induced by ν . Since G is p -solvable, G is p -constrained. Thus, we have $B_0 \cong k\overline{G}$ and $\nu^*(e_0) = 1$ by Lemma 2.6. Let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. If B_0 is p -radical, then $\mathcal{J}(B_0) \subset kG \cdot \Delta(P)$. It follows that $\nu^*(\mathcal{J}(B_0)) \subset \nu^*(kG \cdot \Delta(P))$. This implies that $\mathcal{J}(k\overline{G}) \subset k\overline{G} \cdot \Delta(\overline{P})$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a): Assume that \overline{G} is p -radical. Then $\mathcal{J}(k\overline{G}) \subset k\overline{G} \cdot \Delta(\overline{P})$, and thus

$$\mathcal{J}(B_0) = \mathcal{J}(kG)e_0 \subset kG \cdot \Delta(P) + \text{Ker}(\nu^*) = kG \cdot \Delta(P) + kG(1 - e_0),$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}(B_0) \subset (kG \cdot \Delta(P) + kG(1 - e_0))e_0 \subset kG \cdot \Delta(P)e_0 \subset kG \cdot \Delta(P).$$

The result follows from Theorem 2.4.

(b) \Leftrightarrow (c): The equivalence of (b) and (c) follows from Lemma 2.7.

For (iii), assume that G is a p -solvable and principal p -radical group. By [24], Theorem 3, then if S is a simple kG -module with $S \in B_0$, there exist a subgroup H of G and a simple kH -module U such that $S = U^G$ and $\dim_k(U)$ is a p' -number. By [23], Lemma 2, and Fong's dimension formula [2], Theorem (2B), we have $\dim_k(\text{Hom}_{kG}(S, (k_P)^G)) = \dim_k(\text{Hom}_{kG}(S, e_0(k_P)^G)) = \dim_k(S)_{p'}$, the p' -part of $\dim_k(S)$, since $e_0(k_P)^G$ is semisimple. The result follows from [13], Lemma 4.

Conversely, assume that every simple kG -module in B_0 satisfies the property **(P)**. Then G is p -solvable by [13], Lemma 2. By hypothesis, there exist a subgroup H of G and a simple kH -module U such that $H \cap P^g \in \text{Syl}_p(H)$ for every $g \in G$, $S = U^G$, and some vertex D of S is contained in $\text{Ker}(U)$. We may assume without loss of generality that $D \subset P$. Since H is p -solvable, there exist a subgroup K of H and a simple kK -module W such that $U = W^H$ and $\dim_k(W)$ is a p' -number by [24], Theorem 3. For any $g \in G$, we can find $x \in H$ such that $H \cap P^g = (H \cap P)^x$. Since $\text{Ker}(U) \subset \text{Ker}(W)$, we have $D^x \subset \text{Ker}(W) \cap (H \cap P)^x = \text{Ker}(W) \cap H \cap P^g \subset K \cap H \cap P^g = K \cap P^g$. Further [16], Chapter 4, Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 7.8, imply that D is a vertex of W since $S = W^G$. By [16], Chapter 4, Theorem 7.5, then $D \in \text{Syl}_p(K)$ because $\dim_k(W)$ is a p' -number. Hence $K \cap P^g \in \text{Syl}_p(K)$. By [13], Lemma 4, we have $\dim_k(\text{Hom}_{kG}(S, e_0(k_P)^G)) = \dim_k(\text{Hom}_{kG}(S, (k_P)^G)) = \dim_k(S)_{p'}$. It follows that $\dim_k(\text{Hom}_{kG}(S, \text{Soc}(e_0(k_P)^G))) = \dim_k(S)_{p'}$ since $\text{Hom}_{kG}(S, e_0(k_P)^G) = \text{Hom}_{kG}(S, \text{Soc}(e_0(k_P)^G))$. By [23], Lemma 2, and Fong's dimension formula [2], Theorem (2B), we have that $e_0(k_P)^G$ is semisimple, as required. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.3. For (i), let $\tilde{S} = (U_{H \cap N_G(D)})^{N_G(D)}$. By Mackey decomposition theorem,

$$\tilde{S}_D = \bigoplus_{t \in H \cap N_G(D) \backslash N_G(D)/D} (U_{D \cap H^t \cap N_G(D)^t}^t)^D = \bigoplus_t (U_{D \cap H^t}^t)^D.$$

Since $D \triangleleft N_G(D)$ and $D \subset \text{Ker}(U)$, we have $D \subset \text{Ker}(\tilde{S})$. By [16], Chapter 4, Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 7.8, then D is a vertex of every indecomposable direct summand of \tilde{S} since D is a vertex of S and $\tilde{S}|_{S_{N_G(D)}}$. It can be easily proved that \tilde{S} is the Green correspondent of S with respect to $(G, D, N_G(D))$ by Green's theorem [16], Chapter 4, Theorem 4.3.

For (ii), by Green's theorem, we have $S_{N_G(D)} = \tilde{S} \oplus (\oplus U_i)$ for indecomposable $kN_G(D)$ -module U_i such that U_i is \mathcal{X} -projective for all i , where $\mathcal{X} = \{H: H \text{ is a subgroup of } D^x \cap N_G(D) \text{ for some } x \in G - N_G(D)\}$. By [1], Chapter 3, Lemma 4.12, there exist no such U_i 's since $D \subset \text{Ker}(S)$. Hence $S_{N_G(D)} = (U_{H \cap N_G(D)})^{N_G(D)}$. Since G is principal p -radical, it follows by Mackey decomposition theorem that S is a trivial source module. The result follows from [20], Lemma 2.2. \square

Remark 3.1. Using Theorem 1.2 (ii), obviously, if $l_p(G) = 1$, then G is principal p -radical.

Remark 3.2. Note that every p -radical group is principal p -radical. It is therefore appropriate to ask, whether any principal p -radical group is p -radical. The answer is no. The following example is due to Saksonov [22]. If $p = 3$ and $G = \text{SL}(2, 3)$, then G is 3-nilpotent but not 3-radical (see [15], Remark 2). But, by Remark 3.1, G is principal 3-radical.

Following Theorem 1.2, we can formulate several sufficient conditions for principal p -radical groups.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. The results follow from Theorem 1.2 (iii) and Corollary 1.3. \square

Corollary 3.3. *If all simple kG -modules belonging to B_0 have k -dimension 1, then G is principal p -radical.*

Proof. This follows by [14], Theorem 6, and Remark 3.2. \square

Corollary 3.4. *If the principal block B_0 of G satisfies $\mathcal{J}(B_0)^2 = 0$, then G is principal p -radical.*

Proof. The result follows by [26], Theorem. \square

Assuming that G is a p -solvable and principal p -radical group, we give a group theoretical characterization of G .

Proposition 3.5. *If G is a p -solvable and principal p -radical group, then $k(G/O_{p'}(G))$ has no blocks of defect zero if and only if each pair of Sylow p -subgroups of $G/O_{p'}(G)$ has a nontrivial intersection. In particular, if $O_{p'}(G) = 1$, then the conclusion holds for G .*

Proof. By Theorem 1.2 (ii), we have that $\overline{G} = G/O_{p'}(G)$ is p -radical. From [15], Theorem 10, it follows that $k\overline{G}$ has no blocks of defect zero if and only if each pair of Sylow p -subgroups of \overline{G} has a nontrivial intersection. The proof is completed. \square

Remark 3.6. Assume that G is a p -solvable and principal p -radical group. It is not necessarily true that each pair of Sylow p -subgroups of G has nontrivial intersection. For example, if $p = 2$ and $G = S_3$, then G is principal 2-radical by Theorem 1.2 (ii) since G is 2-nilpotent. But the intersection of different Sylow 2-subgroups is trivial.

Let \mathcal{D} be the set of all p -nilpotent groups, \mathcal{E} be the set of all p -solvable groups, \mathcal{F} be the set of all p -constrained groups, and \mathcal{G} be the set of all principal p -radical groups. We have the following example.

Example 3.7. (i) $\mathcal{D} \subsetneq \mathcal{G}$: By Remark 3.1, we just need to find a group G with $G \in \mathcal{G} - \mathcal{D}$. Let $p = 2$ and $G = G_{48}$ (see [7], Chapter 12, Definition 8.4, and Lemma 4.7). Then G is 2-solvable but not 2-nilpotent. Since $O_2(G) \cong Q_8$ and $G/O_2(G) \cong S_3$, we have that G is 2-radical by Lemma 2.7. Therefore, $G \in \mathcal{G}$.

(ii) $\mathcal{E} \not\subseteq \mathcal{G}$, $\mathcal{F} \not\subseteq \mathcal{G}$: Let $p = 3$ and $G = (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \rtimes \text{SL}(2, 3)$, where the semidirect product is with respect to the canonical homomorphism $\text{SL}(2, 3) \subset \text{GL}(2, 3) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3)$. Thus G is 3-solvable and $O_3(G) = 1$. By Theorem 1.2 (ii), if G is principal 3-radical, then G is 3-radical. From Lemma 2.7, we have that $\text{SL}(2, 3)$ is 3-radical. That is a contradiction (see Remark 3.2).

Proposition 3.8. *If $G \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G \notin \mathcal{E}$, then*

- (i) $G/O_{p'}(G) \notin \mathcal{G}$,
- (ii) $G \notin \mathcal{G}$.

Proof. (i) Assume that $G/O_{p'}(G) \in \mathcal{G}$. Since $G \in \mathcal{F}$, we have that $G/O_{p'}(G) \in \mathcal{F}$. Thus $G/O_{p'}(G)$ is p -radical by Theorem 1.2 (ii). From [19], Theorem 1, it follows that $G/O_{p'}(G)$ is p -solvable. Therefore $G \in \mathcal{E}$ is a contradiction.

(ii) The required assertion is a consequence of (i) and Theorem 1.2 (ii). \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

The proof of Theorem 1.5 relies on Ninomiya's classification theorem (see [18], Theorem A and Theorem B, and [17], Theorem). By Lemma 2.6, $B_0 \cong k(G/O_{p'}(G))$. It follows that $l(B_0)$ is equal to the number of p -regular classes of $G/O_{p',p}(G)$. Thus, following Theorem 1.2 (ii), we just need to determine whether $G/O_{p',p}(G)$ is p -radical. Consider the case when $l(B_0) \leq 2$, and we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *If $l(B_0) \leq 2$, then G is principal p -radical.*

Proof. If $l(B_0) = 1$, then the conclusion follows directly by Corollary 3.3. If $l(B_0) = 2$, by [18], Theorem A, $G/O_{p',p}(G)$ has an abelian p -complement. Thus $G/O_{p',p}(G)$ is p -radical from [23], Proposition 2. This proves our conclusion. \square

In the proof of Lemma 4.1, we can see that if $l(B_0) \leq 3$ and $G/O_{p',p}(G)$ has an abelian p -complement, then G is principal p -radical. Using [18], Theorem B, and [17], Theorem, we shall consider the following ten cases:

- (I) $p = 3$ and $G_1 = \text{SL}(2, 3)$,
- (II) $p = 2$ and $G_2 = M(3) \rtimes P$, where P is \mathbb{Z}_8 or SD_{16} ,
- (III) $p \neq 2$ and $G_3 = \mathbb{Z}_r \times (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^n})$, where $r = 2p^n + 1$ is a prime,
- (IV) $p \neq 2, 3$ and $G_4 = E_{3^l} \times (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^n})$, where $3^l = 2p^n + 1$,
- (V) $p = 2$ and $G_5 = E_{5^2} \rtimes H$, where $H = \langle w, a \rangle$; $w^3 = a^8 = 1$, $a^{-1}wa = w^{-1}$,
- (VI) $p = 2$ and $G_6 = E_{5^2} \rtimes H$, where $H = \langle w, a, b \rangle$; $w^3 = a^8 = b^2 = 1$, $a^{-1}wa = w$, $b^{-1}wb = w^{-1}$, $b^{-1}ab = a^5$,
- (VII) $p = 2$ and $G_7 = E_{3^4} \rtimes H$, where $H = \langle w, a, b \rangle$; $w^5 = a^8 = 1$, $b^4 = a^4$, $a^{-1}wa = w$, $b^{-1}wb = w^2$, $b^{-1}ab = a^3$,
- (VIII) $p = 2$ and $G_8 = E_{3^4} \rtimes H$, where $H = \langle w, a, b \rangle$; $w^5 = a^{16} = b^4 = 1$, $a^{-1}wa = w$, $b^{-1}wb = w^2$, $b^{-1}ab = a^{11}$,
- (IX) $p = 2$ and $G_9 = E_{7^2} \rtimes T$, where $T = \langle R, w, x \rangle$; $Q_8 \cong R \triangleleft T$, $w^3 = x^4 = 1$, $x^2 \in R$, $x^{-1}wx = w^{-1}$,
- (X) $p = 2$ and $G_{10} = E_{5^2} \rtimes T$, where $T = \langle R, w, x \rangle$; $T_0(5) \cong R \triangleleft T$, $w^3 = x^8 = 1$, $x^2 \in R$, $x^{-1}wx = w^{-1}$.

Therefore, we shall check the situations (I)–(X) and determine whether G_i ($i = 1, \dots, 10$) is p -radical. For this purpose, we have to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be a p -solvable group of p -length 1. Then G is p -radical if and only if $[O_{p'}(G), D] \cap C_{O_{p'}(G)}(D) = 1$ for any p -subgroup D of G .*

Proof. Since $l_p(G) = 1$, then $O_{p',p}(G)$ is p -nilpotent and is of p' -index in G . It follows that $O_{p'}(O_{p',p}(G)) = O_{p'}(G)$. By Lemma 2.7, we have that G is p -radical if and only if $O_{p',p}(G)$ is p -radical. The proof of the lemma is completed by Lemma 2.8. \square

Lemma 4.3. *G_1 and G_2 are not p -radical.*

Proof. By Remark 3.2, $G_1 = \text{SL}(2, 3)$ is not 3-radical. For Case (II), since $\text{Aut}(M(3)) \cong E_{3^2} \rtimes \text{GL}(2, 3)$, we may regard P as a subgroup of $\text{GL}(2, 3)$ (see the proof of Proposition 3.3 in [18]). Let $M(3) = \langle x, y : x^3 = y^3 = z^3 = 1, y^x = yz, z^x = z, z^y = z \rangle$. Then there exists $t \in P$ such that $t^{-1}zt = z$. In fact,

since all cyclic subgroups of order 8 or all semi-dihedral subgroups of order 16 in $\text{GL}(2, 3)$ are conjugate to each other, we may without loss of generality assume that $P = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ or $\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$, and we can choose $t = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \in P$ with $t^{-1}zt = z$. Let $H = M(3) \rtimes \langle t \rangle$. Then $H \triangleleft G_2$, and if G_2 is 2-radical, then H is 2-radical from Lemma 2.7. Since $H' = M(3)$, we know that $H = H'\langle t \rangle$. Moreover, since $z \in N_H(\langle t \rangle)$, H is not a Frobenius group with $\langle t \rangle$ as a complement. Therefore we have $\mathcal{J}(kH) \not\subseteq Z(kH)$ from [25], Theorem A. Using the definition of p -radical groups, it suffices to show that $I = \bigcap_{h \in H} kH \cdot \Delta(\langle t \rangle^h) \subset Z(kH)$. Let U be the set of all elements of H of order 2. Since $I \subset kH(u-1)$ for any $u \in U$, we have $I(u-1) \subset kH(u-1)^2 = 0$. Obviously, $\{t, xt, yt\} \subset U$ and $H = \langle U \rangle$. It follows that $\Delta(H) = \sum_{u \in U} (u-1)kH$. This implies $I \cdot \Delta(H) = 0$, and thus $I \subset l_H(\Delta(H)) = k\widehat{H} \subset Z(kH)$. This leads to a contradiction. \square

Lemma 4.4. G_3 and G_4 are p -radical.

Proof. For Case (III), let $G_3 = \langle a \rangle \rtimes (\langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle)$, where $\langle a \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_r$, $\langle b \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\langle c \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$. Then we have $O_{p'}(G_3) = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$, and $\langle a \rangle \times \langle c \rangle$ is a Frobenius group with $\langle c \rangle$ as a complement from the proof of Theorem in [17]. By Sylow's theorem, we may without loss of generality choose a nontrivial subgroup D of $\langle c \rangle$. Then $\langle b \rangle \subset C_{O_{p'}(G_3)}(D)$. For any $1 \neq u \in D$, since $\langle a \rangle \times \langle c \rangle$ is a Frobenius group, this implies $C_{O_{p'}(G_3)}(D) \subset C_{O_{p'}(G_3)}(u) = \langle b \rangle$. It follows that $C_{O_{p'}(G_3)}(D) = \langle b \rangle$. Assume that $b \in [O_{p'}(G_3), D]$, then there exist $a^i b^j \in O_{p'}(G_3)$ and $c^k \in D$ such that $b = [a^i b^j, c^k]$. It follows that $b = b^{-j} a^{-i} c^{-k} a^i b^j c^k = (a^{-i} (a^i)^{c^k})^{b^j} \in \langle a \rangle$. This leads to a contradiction. Since G_3 is p -nilpotent, by Lemma 4.2, we have that G_3 is p -radical.

For Case (IV), the proof is similar and therefore will be omitted. \square

Lemma 4.5. G_5 and G_6 are p -radical.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem in [17], we can see $H \subset \text{GL}(2, 5)$. Choose $w = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $P = \langle a, b \rangle \in \text{Syl}_2(G_6)$, where $a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $G_5 = E_{5^2} \rtimes \langle w, ab \rangle$ and $G_6 = E_{5^2} \rtimes \langle w, a, b \rangle$. It follows that $G_5 \triangleleft G_6$. It suffices to show that G_6 is 2-radical from Lemma 2.7. Set $E_{5^2} = \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle$. Obviously, we have $O_{2'}(G_6) = E_{5^2} \rtimes \langle w \rangle$. We can easily find that G_6 has exactly three elements of order 2, which are $a^4, b, a^4 b$. For any nontrivial subgroup D of P , by Lemma 4.2, we will show this result in three steps.

Step 1. If any two of $a^4, b, a^4 b$ are contained in D , then $C_{O_{2'}(G_6)}(D) = 1$.

Without loss of generality, assume that $a^4, b \in D$. We can easily check that $C_{O_{2'}(G_6)}(D) \subset \langle w \rangle \cap \langle x \rangle = 1$.

Step 2. If $D = \langle a^4 \rangle$ or $\langle a^4b \rangle$ or $\langle b \rangle$, then $[O_{2'}(G_6), D] \cap C_{O_{2'}(G_6)}(D) = 1$.

Assume that $D = \langle a^4 \rangle$. From Step 1, we have $C_{O_{2'}(G_6)}(D) \subset \langle w \rangle$ and $[O_{2'}(G_6), a^4] \subset E_{5^2}$. This proves our conclusion. For the rest of these situations, the proof is similar.

Step 3. If $|D| \geq 4$, then the conclusion of Step 2 still holds.

By Step 1, we need only verify that this result holds for $\mathbb{Z}_4 \subset D$. After a simple calculation, we deduce that G_6 has exactly two cyclic subgroups of order 4, which are $\langle a^2 \rangle, \langle a^2b \rangle$. This implies that a^4 is contained in two subgroups. If there is no element of the form $a^l b$ in D , then $[O_{2'}(G_6), D] \subset E_{5^2}$. Since $C_{O_{2'}(G_6)}(D) \subset \langle w \rangle$, the conclusion is proved. If there exists $a^l b \in D$, then $C_{O_{2'}(G_6)}(D) \subset C_{O_{2'}(G_6)}(a^l b) \subset E_{5^2}$. Thus $C_{O_{2'}(G_6)}(D) = 1$, as required. \square

Using the method of Lemma 4.5, we can obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6. G_7 and G_8 are p -radical.

Sketch of proof. By the proof of Theorem in [17], we have $H \subset \text{GL}(4, 3)$. Set

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $G_7 = E_{3^4} \rtimes \langle w, a^2, ab \rangle$ and $G_8 = E_{3^4} \rtimes \langle w, a, b \rangle$. Hence $G_7 \triangleleft G_8$. We need only verify that G_8 is 2-radical by Lemma 2.7. Note that G_8 has exactly three elements of order 2, which are a^8, b^2, a^8b^2 . For any nontrivial subgroup D of $\langle a, b \rangle$, we get $C_{O_{2'}(G_8)}(D) = 1$ if any two of a^8, b^2, a^8b^2 are contained in D . And we can also deduce that $[O_{2'}(G_8), D] \cap C_{O_{2'}(G_8)}(D) = 1$, where $D = \langle a^8 \rangle$ or $\langle a^8b^2 \rangle$ or $\langle b^2 \rangle$. Therefore, we may assume that $D \supset \mathbb{Z}_4$. If $a^8 \in D$, then $a^8 \in \langle a^4 \rangle$ or $\langle a^4b^2 \rangle$ or $\langle a^{12}b^2 \rangle$. Imitating the proof of Step 3 in the above lemma, we can obtain $[O_{2'}(G_8), D] \cap C_{O_{2'}(G_8)}(D) = 1$. Similarly, for the case $b^2 \in D$ or $a^8b^2 \in D$, the conclusion holds for G_8 . \square

Now, if we can show that G_9 and G_{10} are p -radical, then Theorem 1.5 is completed by Theorem 1.2 (ii). Note that G_i ($i = 1, \dots, 8$) are of p -length 1 in Cases (I)–(VIII), respectively, thus we can use Lemma 4.2 to prove the required conclusion. But G_9 and G_{10} are of 2-length 2, so Lemma 4.2 is inappropriate for Cases (IX) and (X). This forces us back to the definition of p -radical blocks to prove our results. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.7. G_9 and G_{10} are p -radical.

Proof. For Case (IX), by the proof of Proposition 6.2 in [18], we have $O_{2'}(G_9) = E_{72}$ and T is a group G_{48} given in [7], Chapter 12, Definition 8.4. Since $T/O_2(T) \cong S_3$, this implies T is 2-radical from Lemma 2.7. Let B be a block of G_9 . Then there exists a block b of $O_{2'}(G_9)$ which is covered by B . We continue the proof by the following steps.

Step 1. If b is the principal block of $O_{2'}(G_9)$, then $\mathcal{J}(B) \subset kG_9 \cdot \Delta(P)$, where $P \in \text{Syl}_2(G_9)$.

Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$. Then the principal character of $O_{2'}(G_9)$ is a constituent of $\chi_{O_{2'}(G_9)}$ by [1], Chapter 5, Lemma 2.3. This implies $O_{2'}(G_9) \subset \text{Ker}(\chi)$, and it follows that $O_{2'}(G_9) \subset \text{Ker}(B)$. Hence B is the principal block of $G_9/O_{2'}(G_9)$ by Lemma 2.5. Since T is 2-radical, let $\overline{G_9} = G_9/O_{2'}(G_9)$, and then $\mathcal{J}(k\overline{G_9}) \subset k\overline{G_9} \cdot \Delta(\overline{P})$. The conclusion follows directly by the proof of Theorem 1.2 (ii).

Step 2. If b is not the principal block of $O_{2'}(G_9)$, then the conclusion of Step 1 still holds.

Obviously, b contains a unique irreducible character μ which is not the principal character of $O_{2'}(G_9)$. Let $T(b)$ be the inertia group of b in G_9 . Then $T(\mu) = T(b)$ contains a defect group D of B by [1], Chapter 5, Corollary 2.6. Since $O_{2'}(G_9) = E_{72}$ is abelian, μ is a linear character and $O_{2'}(G_9)/\text{Ker}(\mu)$ is cyclic. Hence D centralizes $O_{2'}(G_9)/\text{Ker}(\mu) \neq 1$. This implies $O_{2'}(G_9) = C_{O_{2'}(G_9)}(D) \times [O_{2'}(G_9), D] = C_{O_{2'}(G_9)}(D) \cdot \text{Ker}(\mu) \supsetneq \text{Ker}(\mu)$. It follows that $C_{O_{2'}(G_9)}(D) \neq 1$, and thus there exists an element $u \in O_{2'}(G_9)^\#$ such that $D \subset C_{G_9}(u)$. Since $T \subset \text{GL}(2, 7)$ and T acts transitively on $O_{2'}(G_9)^\#$, we have $C_T(u) = 1$ by $|T| = |G_{48}| = 48$. This implies $C_{G_9}(u) = E_{72}$. Note that T has a unique element x^2 of order 2 and $x^2 \in Z(T)$. It follows that $E_{72} \rtimes \langle x^2 \rangle \triangleleft G_9$. Moreover, $D \subset E_{72} \rtimes \langle x^2 \rangle$. We can see that $E_{72} \rtimes \langle x^2 \rangle$ is 2-radical by [23], Proposition 2. Following [1], Chapter 6, Theorem 2.3, $\mathcal{J}(B) = B \cdot \mathcal{J}(k(E_{72} \rtimes \langle x^2 \rangle)) \subset kG_9 \cdot \Delta(\langle x^2 \rangle) \subset kG_9 \cdot \Delta(P)$, where $P \in \text{Syl}_2(G_9)$.

Consequently, we have $\mathcal{J}(B) \subset kG_9 \cdot \Delta(P)$ for any block B of G_9 . Therefore, G_9 is 2-radical.

For Case (X), G_{10} satisfies all crucial conditions which are used to prove that G_9 is 2-radical. Therefore, we can prove similarly that G_{10} is 2-radical. \square

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank the referee for the helpful suggestions for improving the exposition. These comments were greatly appreciated.

References

- [1] *W. Feit*: The Representation Theory of Finite Groups. North-Holland Mathematical Library 25, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [2] *P. Fong*: Solvable groups and modular representation theory. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 103 (1962), 484–494.

- [3] *P. Fong, W. Gaschütz*: A note on the modular representations of solvable groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 208 (1961), 73–78.
- [4] *D. Gorenstein*: Finite Groups. Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1980.
- [5] *A. Hida*: On p -radical blocks of finite groups. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 114 (1992), 37–38.
- [6] *B. Huppert, N. Blackburn*: Finite Groups II. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 242, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [7] *B. Huppert, N. Blackburn*: Finite Groups III. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 243, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [8] *G. Karpilovsky*: The Jacobson Radical of Group Algebras. North-Holland Mathematics Studies 135, Notas de Matemática 115, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [9] *R. Knörr*: On the vertices of irreducible modules. *Ann. Math.* 110 (1979), 487–499.
- [10] *R. Knörr*: Semisimplicity, induction, and restriction for modular representations of finite groups. *J. Algebra* 48 (1977), 347–367.
- [11] *R. Knörr*: Blocks, vertices and normal subgroups. *Math. Z.* 148 (1976), 53–60.
- [12] *S. Koshitani*: A remark on p -radical groups. *J. Algebra* 134 (1990), 491–496.
- [13] *A. Laradji*: A characterization of p -radical groups. *J. Algebra* 188 (1997), 686–691.
- [14] *K. Morita*: On group rings over a modular field which possess radicals expressible as principal ideals. *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Bunrika Daikagu, Sect. A* 4 (1951), 177–194.
- [15] *K. Motose, Y. Ninomiya*: On the subgroups H of a group G such that $\mathcal{J}(KH)KG \supset \mathcal{J}(KG)$. *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* 17 (1975), 171–176.
- [16] *H. Nagao, Y. Tsushima*: Representations of Finite Groups. Academic Press, Boston, 1989.
- [17] *Y. Ninomiya*: Structure of p -solvable groups with three p -regular classes. II. *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* 35 (1993), 29–34.
- [18] *Y. Ninomiya*: Structure of p -solvable groups with three p -regular classes. *Can. J. Math.* 43 (1991), 559–579.
- [19] *T. Okuyama*: p -radical groups are p -solvable. *Osaka J. Math.* 23 (1986), 467–469.
- [20] *T. Okuyama*: Module correspondence in finite groups. *Hokkaido Math. J.* 10 (1981), 299–318.
- [21] *D. Passman*: Permutation Groups. Benjamin, New York, 1968.
- [22] *A. I. Saksonov*: On the decomposition of a permutation group over a characteristic field. *Sov. Math., Dokl.* 12 (1971), 786–790.
- [23] *Y. Tsushima*: On p -radical groups. *J. Algebra* 103 (1986), 80–86.
- [24] *Y. Tsushima*: On the second reduction theorem of P. Fong. *Kumamoto J. Sci., Math.* 13 (1978), 6–14.
- [25] *D. A. R. Wallace*: On the commutativity of the radical of a group algebra. *Proc. Glasg. Math. Assoc.* 7 (1965), 1–8.
- [26] *D. A. R. Wallace*: Group algebras with radicals of square zero. *Proc. Glasg. Math. Assoc.* 5 (1962), 158–159.

Authors' address: Xiaohan Hu (corresponding author), Jiwen Zeng, School of Mathematical Sciences, Sea Lilt Park, Xiamen University, West Zengcuan Road, Siming, Xiamen 361005, Fujian, P. R. China, e-mail: huxiaohan@vip.sina.com, jwzeng@xmu.edu.cn.