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Abstract. Let $\Delta$ be a pure simplicial complex on the vertex set $[n]=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $I_{\Delta}$ its Stanley-Reisner ideal in the polynomial ring $S=K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. We show that $\Delta$ is a matroid (complete intersection) if and only if $S / I_{\Delta}^{(m)}\left(S / I_{\Delta}^{m}\right)$ is clean for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and this is equivalent to saying that $S / I_{\Delta}^{(m)}\left(S / I_{\Delta}^{m}\right.$, respectively) is Cohen-Macaulay for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By this result, we show that there exists a monomial ideal $I$ with (pretty) cleanness property while $S / I^{m}$ or $S / I^{(m)}$ is not (pretty) clean for all integer $m \geqslant 3$. If $\operatorname{dim}(\Delta)=1$, we also prove that $S / I_{\Delta}^{(2)}\left(S / I_{\Delta}^{2}\right)$ is clean if and only if $S / I_{\Delta}^{(2)}\left(S / I_{\Delta}^{2}\right.$, respectively) is Cohen-Macaulay.
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## Introduction

Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[n]=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $S=$ $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be the polynomial ring in $n$ indeterminates over a field $k$. The StanleyReisner ideal of $\Delta, I_{\Delta}$, is defined by $I_{\Delta}:=\left(\prod_{i \in F} x_{i}: F \notin \Delta\right)$.

There is a bijection between squarefree monomial ideals $I$ and simplicial complexes. Cohen-Macaulayness (Buchsbaumness, cleanness, generalized Cohen-Macaulayness) of these ideals have been studied by several authors (see [4], [10], [8], [13], [15], [16], [18]). Minh and Trung in [13] and Varbaro in [17] independently proved that $\Delta$ is a matroid if and only if $S / I_{\Delta}^{(m)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where $I_{\Delta}^{(m)}$
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denotes the $m$ th-symbolic power of $I_{\Delta}$. Later on, Terai and Trung in [16] showed that $\Delta$ is a matroid if and only if $S / I_{\Delta}^{(m)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for some integer $m \geqslant 3$. The similar characterizations of being Buchsbaum and generalized Cohen-Macaulay were also studied by them. Minh and Trung in [12] proved that for a simplicial complex $\Delta$ with $\operatorname{dim}(\Delta)=1, I_{\Delta}^{(2)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $\operatorname{diam}(\Delta) \leqslant 2$, where $\operatorname{diam}(\Delta)$ denotes the diameter of $\Delta$. We pursue this line of research further.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 1, we collect some preliminaries which will be needed later. In Section 2, we show that if $\Delta$ is a matroid, then $S / I_{\Delta}^{(m)}$ is clean for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$; see Theorem 2.1. Since $I_{\Delta}$ is unmixed, in particular, this shows that $S / I_{\Delta}^{(m)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore this result covers one direction of the result of Minh and Trung in [13] and Varbaro in [17]. Our proof is combinatorial and more elementary than that given in [13]. As our first corollary, by using [16], Theorem 3.6, we show that if $\Delta$ is pure and $I=I_{\Delta} \subset S$, then the following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $\Delta$ is a matroid.
(b) $S / I^{(m)}$ is clean for all integers $m>0$.
(c) $S / I^{(m)}$ is clean for some integer $m \geqslant 3$.
(d) $S / I^{(m)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for some integer $m \geqslant 3$.
(e) $S / I^{(m)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for all integers $m>0$.

Our second corollary asserts that a pure simplicial complex $\Delta$ is a complete intersection if and only if $S / I_{\Delta}^{m}$ is clean for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and if and only if $S / I_{\Delta}^{m}$ is clean for some integer $m \geqslant 3$.

Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal such that $S / I$ is (pretty) clean. It is natural to ask whether $S / I^{m}$ or $S / I^{(m)}$ is again (pretty) clean for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ? Example 2.5 shows that the answer is negative in general.

In Section 3, we show that if $I \subset S$ is the Stanley-Reisner ideal of a pure simplicial complex $\Delta$ with $\operatorname{dim} \Delta=1$, then for an integer $m>1, S / I^{(m)}\left(S / I^{m}\right)$ is clean if and only if $S / I^{(m)}\left(S / I^{m}\right.$, respectively) is Cohen-Macaulay.

## 1. Preliminary

A simplicial complex $\Delta$ on the vertex set $[n]=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is a collection of subsets of $[n]$ with the property that if $F \subset G$ and $G \in \Delta$, then $F \in \Delta$. An element of $\Delta$ is called a face, and the maximal faces of $\Delta$, under inclusion, are called facets. We denote by $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ the set of facets of $\Delta$. When $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)=\left\{F_{1}, \ldots, F_{t}\right\}$, we write $\Delta=\left\langle F_{1}, \ldots, F_{t}\right\rangle$. For each $F \in \Delta$, we set $\operatorname{dim} F:=|F|-1$, and

$$
\operatorname{dim} \Delta:=\max \{\operatorname{dim} F: F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)\}
$$

which is called the dimension of $\Delta$. A simplicial complex $\Delta$ is called pure if all facets of $\Delta$ have the same dimension. According to Björner and Wachs in [3], a simplicial complex $\Delta$ is said to be (non-pure) shellable if there exists an order $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{t}$ of the facets of $\Delta$ such that for each $2 \leqslant i \leqslant t,\left\langle F_{1}, \ldots, F_{i-1}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle F_{i}\right\rangle$ is a pure ( $\operatorname{dim} F_{i}-1$ )dimensional simplicial complex. Such an ordering of facets is called a shelling.

Let $S=K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a polynomial ring in $n$ indeterminates over a field $K$. The Stanley-Reisner ideal of $\Delta$ is denoted by $I_{\Delta}$ and defined as $I_{\Delta}:=\left(\prod_{i \in F} x_{i}: F \notin \Delta\right)$. The facet ideal of $\Delta$ is defined as $I(\Delta):=\left(\prod_{i \in F} x_{i}: F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)\right)$.

The Alexander dual of $\Delta$ is given by $\Delta^{\vee}:=\left\{F^{c}: F \notin \Delta\right\}$. Let $I$ be a squarefree monomial ideal in $S$. We denote by $I^{\vee}$ the squarefree monomial ideal which is minimally generated by all monomials $x_{i_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{k}}$, where $\left(x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{k}}\right)$ is a minimal prime ideal of $I$. It is easy to see that for any simplicial complex $\Delta$, one has $I_{\Delta \vee}=$ $\left(I_{\Delta}\right)^{\vee}$. The complement of a face $F$ is $[n] \backslash F$ and it is denoted by $F^{c}$. Also, the complement of a simplicial complex $\Delta=\left\langle F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r}\right\rangle$ is $\Delta^{c}:=\left\langle F_{1}^{c}, \ldots, F_{r}^{c}\right\rangle$. It is known that for a simplicial complex $\Delta$ one has $I_{\Delta \vee}=I\left(\Delta^{c}\right)$.

Definition 1.1. A matroid $\Delta$ is a simplicial complex with the property that for all faces $F$ and $G$ in $\Delta$ with $|F|<|G|$, there exists $i \in G \backslash F$ such that $F \cup\{i\} \in \Delta$.

The above definition implies that each matroid is pure. As a consequence of [7], Theorem 12.2.4, a matroid can be characterized by the following exchange property: a pure simplicial complex $\Delta$ is a matroid if and only if for any two facets $F$ and $G$ of $\Delta$ with $F \neq G$, and for any $i \in F \backslash G$, there exists $j \in G \backslash F$ such that $(F \backslash\{i\}) \cup\{j\} \in \Delta$. A squarefree monomial ideal $I$ in $S$ is called matroidal if $I=I(\Delta)$, where $\Delta$ is a matroid. On the other hand, by [14], Theorem 2.1.1, $\Delta$ is a matroid if and only if $\Delta^{c}$ is a matroid. Altogether, as $I\left(\Delta^{c}\right)=I_{\Delta^{\vee}}$, we have that $\Delta$ is a matroid if and only if $I_{\Delta \vee}$ is matroidal.

A simplicial complex $\Delta$ is called a complete intersection if $I_{\Delta}$ is a complete intersection monomial ideal. It is well known that each complete intersection simplicial complex is a matroid.

If $F \subseteq[n]$, then we put $P_{F}:=\left(x_{i}: i \in F\right)$. We have $I_{\Delta}=\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}\left(\Delta^{c}\right)} P_{F}$, hence for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the $m$ th-symbolic power of $I_{\Delta}$ is the ideal

$$
I_{\Delta}^{(m)}=\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}\left(\Delta^{c}\right)} P_{F}^{m}
$$

An ideal $I \subset S$ is called normally torsionfree if $\operatorname{Ass}\left(S / I^{m}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Ass}(S / I)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $I$ is a squarefree monomial ideal, then $I$ is normally torsionfree if and only if $I^{(m)}=I^{m}$ for all $m$; see [7], Theorem 1.4.6.

Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal. A chain of monomial ideals

$$
\mathcal{F}: I=I_{0} \subset I_{1} \subset \ldots \subset I_{r}=S
$$

is called a prime filtration of $S / I$ if for each $i=1, \ldots, r$ there exists a monomial prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ of $S$ such that $I_{i} / I_{i-1} \cong S / \mathfrak{p}_{i}$. The set of prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{r}$ which define the cyclic quotients of $\mathcal{F}$ will be denoted by $\operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{F}$. It is known (and easy to see) that

$$
\text { Ass } S / I \subseteq \operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{F} \subseteq \operatorname{Supp} S / I
$$

Let Min $I$ denote the set of minimal prime ideals of Supp $S / I$. Dress in [5] called a prime filtration $\mathcal{F}$ of $S / I$ clean if $\operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{F}=\operatorname{Min} I$ and in [5], Theorem on page 53, proved that a simplicial complex $\Delta$ is (non-pure) shellable if and only if $K[\Delta]$ is a clean ring. Pretty clean filtrations were defined as a generalization of clean filtrations by Herzog and Popescu in [8]. A prime filtration $\mathcal{F}$ is called pretty clean if for all $i<j$ for which $\mathfrak{p}_{i} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{j}$, it follows that $\mathfrak{p}_{i}=\mathfrak{p}_{j}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a pretty clean filtration of $S / I$, then $\operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{F}=$ Ass $S / I$; see [8], Corollary 3.4. $S / I$ is called clean (pretty clean) if it admits a clean (pretty clean) filtration. Obviously, cleanness implies pretty cleanness.

Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal. Then $S / I$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if there exist a chain of monomial ideals

$$
I=I_{0} \subset I_{1} \subset I_{2} \subset \ldots \subset I_{r}=S
$$

such that each quotient $I_{i} / I_{i-1}$ is Cohen-Macaulay and

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{1} / I_{0}\right)<\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{2} / I_{1}\right)<\ldots<\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{r} / I_{r-1}\right)
$$

Clearly, if $S / I$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then it is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. Also, if $S / I$ is pretty clean, then by [8] it is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

The monomial ideal $I$ has linear quotients if one can order the set of minimal monomial generators of $I, \mathrm{G}(I)=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$, so that the colon ideal $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{i-1}\right): u_{i}$ is generated by a subset of the variables for all $i=2, \ldots, m$. This means for each $j<i$ there exists a $k<i$ such that $u_{k}: u_{i}=x_{t}$ and $x_{t} \mid u_{j}: u_{i}$, where $t \in[n]$ and $u_{k}: u_{i}=u_{k} / \operatorname{gcd}\left(u_{k}, u_{i}\right)$. In the case $I$ is squarefree, it is enough to show that for each $j<i$ there exists a $k<i$ such that $u_{k}: u_{i}=x_{t}$ and $x_{t} \mid u_{j}$ for some $t \in[n]$.

Let $u=\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{a_{i}}$ be a monomial in $S$. Then

$$
u^{p}:=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{a_{i}} x_{i, j} \in K\left[x_{1,1}, \ldots, x_{1, a_{1}}, \ldots, x_{n, 1}, \ldots, x_{n, a_{n}}\right]
$$

is called the polarization of $u$. Let $I$ be a monomial ideal of $S$ with the unique set of minimal monomial generators $\mathrm{G}(I)=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$. Then the ideal $I^{p}:=$ $\left(u_{1}^{p}, \ldots, u_{m}^{p}\right)$ of

$$
T:=K\left[x_{i, j}: i=1, \ldots, n, j=1, \ldots, a_{i}\right]
$$

is called the polarization of $I$.

## 2. Matroids and complete intersection simplicial complexes

We will characterize matroids (complete intersection simplicial complexes) $\Delta$ in terms of the cleanness of the symbolic (ordinary) powers of $I_{\Delta}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $I \subset S$ be the Stanley-Reisner ideal of a matroid $\Delta$. Then $S / I^{(m)}$ is clean for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $I=I_{\Delta}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{t} P_{F_{i}}$ be the irredundant irreducible primary decomposition of $I$, where $\left.\Delta^{c}=\stackrel{i=1}{\left\langle F_{1}\right.}, \ldots, F_{t}\right\rangle$ and $r=\left|F_{i}\right|$ for all $i=1, \ldots, t$. Then $I^{(m)}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{t} P_{F_{i}}^{m}$. By [11], Theorem 3.10, it is enough to show that $T /\left(I^{(m)}\right)^{p}$ is clean.

One can see by [6], Proposition $2.3(3)$, that $\left(\left(I^{(m)}\right)^{p}\right)^{\vee}=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(\left(P_{F_{i}}^{m}\right)^{p}\right)^{\vee}$. If $F_{i}=$ $\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right\}$, then by [6], Proposition $2.5(2),\left(P_{F_{i}}^{m}\right)^{p}$ has the irredundant irreducible primary decomposition

$$
\left(P_{F_{i}}^{m}\right)^{p}=\bigcap_{\substack{1 \leqslant t_{j} \leqslant m \\ \sum t_{j} \leqslant m+r-1}}\left(x_{s_{1}, t_{1}}, \ldots, x_{s_{r}, t_{r}}\right)
$$

It follows that the ideal $J:=\left(\left(I^{(m)}\right)^{p}\right)^{\vee}$ is generated by the monomials

$$
x_{i_{1}, a_{1}} x_{i_{2}, a_{2}} \ldots x_{i_{r}, a_{r}} \quad \text { with }\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}\left(\Delta^{c}\right),
$$

where $a_{j}$ are positive integers satisfying $1 \leqslant a_{j} \leqslant m$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{r} a_{j} \leqslant m+r-1$. For showing that $T /\left(I^{(m)}\right)^{p}$ is clean, it is enough to show that $J$ has linear quotients; see for example [2], Lemma 2.1.

Now, we order the variables in $T$ as follows:
$x_{i, a}>x_{j, b} \Leftrightarrow(i, a)<(j, b)$, and $(i, a)<(j, b)$ if $a<b$, or $a=b$ and $i<j$. Then we show that $J$ has linear quotients with respect to the reverse lexicographical order of its generators induced from the above ordering. Indeed, let $u=x_{i_{1}, a_{1}} x_{i_{2}, a_{2}} \ldots x_{i_{r}, a_{r}}$ and $v=x_{j_{1}, b_{1}} x_{j_{2}, b_{2}} \ldots x_{j_{r}, b_{r}}$ be two monomials in $G(J)$ with $u>v$. We have to show that there exists $w \in G(J)$ with $w>v$ such that $w: v=x_{i_{l}, a_{l}}$ and $x_{i_{l}, a_{l}} \mid u$.

Since $u>v$, there exists an integer $t$ such that $x_{i_{t}, a_{t}}>x_{j_{t}, b_{t}}$ and $x_{i_{k}, a_{k}}=x_{j_{k}, b_{k}}$ for all $k>t$. In particular, we have $a_{t}<b_{t}$, or $a_{t}=b_{t}$ and $i_{t}<j_{t}$. We first claim that there exists $1 \leqslant l \leqslant t$ such that

$$
x_{j_{1}} \ldots x_{j_{t-1}} x_{i_{l}} x_{j_{t+1}} \ldots x_{j_{r}} \in G\left(I_{\Delta v}\right)=G\left(I\left(\Delta^{c}\right)\right) .
$$

This is obvious, if $x_{j_{t}} \mid x_{i_{1}} x_{i_{2}} \ldots x_{i_{t}}$, and if $x_{j_{t}} \nmid x_{i_{1}} x_{i_{2}} \ldots x_{i_{t}}$, then, as $I^{\vee}$ is matroidal, it follows that there exists $1 \leqslant l \leqslant t$ such that $x_{j_{1}} \ldots x_{j_{t-1}} x_{i_{l}} x_{j_{t+1}} \ldots x_{j_{r}} \in G\left(I^{\vee}\right)$. Here, we used the fact that $i_{k}=j_{k}$ for $k=t+1, \ldots, r$. Then

$$
w:=x_{j_{1}, b_{1}} x_{j_{2}, b_{2}} \ldots x_{j_{t-1}, b_{t-1}} x_{i_{l}, a_{l}} x_{j_{t+1}, b_{t+1}} \ldots x_{j_{r}, b_{r}} \in G(J),
$$

because $a_{l} \leqslant b_{t}$. Moreover, we have $w: v=x_{i_{l}, a_{l}}$ and $x_{i_{l}, a_{l}} \mid u$.
Next, we will show that $w>v$. If $x_{i_{l}, a_{l}}>x_{j_{t-1}, b_{t-1}}$, then $w>v$ because $x_{j_{t-1}, b_{t-1}}>x_{j_{t}, b_{t}}$. Otherwise, one has $x_{i_{l}, a_{l}}<x_{j_{t-1}, b_{t-1}}$. We know that $a_{t}<b_{t}$, or $a_{t}=b_{t}$ and $i_{t}<j_{t}$. Since $a_{l} \leqslant a_{t}$, if $a_{t}<b_{t}$, then $w>v$. Now, assume that $a_{t}=b_{t}$ and $i_{t}<j_{t}$. Since $a_{l}<a_{t}$ or $a_{l}=a_{t}$, and $i_{l}<i_{t}<j_{t}$, one has $x_{i_{l}, a_{l}}>x_{j_{t}, b_{t}}$ and $w>v$.

We shall use the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal. Then $S / I$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $S / I$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay and $I$ is unmixed.

Proof. If $S / I$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then it is obvious that $S / I$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay and $I$ is unmixed. Conversely, assume that $S / I$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay and $I$ is unmixed. Then there exists a chain of monomial ideals

$$
I=I_{0} \subset I_{1} \subset I_{2} \subset \ldots \subset I_{r}=S
$$

such that each quotient $I_{i} / I_{i-1}$ is Cohen-Macaulay and

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{1} / I_{0}\right)<\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{2} / I_{1}\right)<\ldots<\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{r} / I_{r-1}\right)
$$

By [9], Lemma 1.2, depth $(S / I)=\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{1} / I_{0}\right)$. On the other hand, by [8], Proposition 2.5, $\operatorname{Ass}(S / I)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{Ass}\left(I_{i} / I_{i-1}\right)$. Since $I$ is unmixed, it follows that $\operatorname{dim}(S / I)=$ $\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{i} / I_{i-1}\right)$ for all $i$. Hence $\operatorname{depth}(S / I)=\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{1} / I_{0}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(S / I)$, and so $S / I$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

If we combine our results with [16], Theorem 3.6, we get the following characterization of matroids.

Corollary 2.3. Let $\Delta$ be a pure simplicial complex and $I=I_{\Delta} \subset S$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $\Delta$ is a matroid.
(b) $S / I^{(m)}$ is clean for all integers $m>0$.
(c) $S / I^{(m)}$ is clean for some integer $m \geqslant 3$.
(d) $S / I^{(m)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for some integer $m \geqslant 3$.
(e) $S / I^{(m)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for all integers $m>0$.

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.1, (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) holds. The implications (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (d) $\Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{e})$ follow from [16], Theorem 3.6. The implication $(\mathrm{b}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{c})$ is trivial.
(c) $\Rightarrow$ (d) Suppose that for an integer $m \geqslant 3, S / I^{(m)}$ is clean. Then by [8], Corollary 4.3, $S / I^{(m)}$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. On the other hand, $I^{(m)}$ is an unmixed monomial ideal for all $m$, because $I$ is unmixed and $\operatorname{Ass}\left(S / I^{(m)}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Ass}(S / I)$. Hence by Lemma 2.2, $S / I^{(m)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

It is known [1] that a simplicial complex $\Delta$ is a complete intersection if and only if $S / I_{\Delta}^{m}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since for a complete intersection monomial ideal $I_{\Delta}$ the symbolic powers coincide with its ordinary powers, we have:

Corollary 2.4. Let $\Delta$ be a pure simplicial complex and $I=I_{\Delta} \subset S$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $\Delta$ is a complete intersection.
(b) $S / I^{m}$ is clean for all integers $m>0$.
(c) $S / I^{m}$ is clean for some integer $m \geqslant 3$.
(d) $S / I^{m}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for some integer $m \geqslant 3$.
(e) $S / I^{m}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for all integers $m>0$.

Proof. The equivalences (a) $\Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{d}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{e})$ follow from [16], Theorem 4.3. The implication $(\mathrm{b}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{c})$ is obvious. The proof of $(\mathrm{c}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{d})$ is similar to that of the same case in Corollary 2.3. Note that, as $S / I^{m}$ is clean for some integer $m \geqslant 3$, it follows that

$$
\operatorname{Ass}\left(S / I^{m}\right)=\operatorname{Min}\left(I^{m}\right)=\operatorname{Min}(I)=\operatorname{Ass}(S / I)
$$

It remains to show (a) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{b})$. Since $I$ is complete intersection, for any $m>0$, one has $\operatorname{Ass}\left(S / I^{m}\right)=\operatorname{Min}\left(I^{m}\right)=\operatorname{Min}(I)$. Hence by the definition of symbolic powers (see [18], Definition 3.3.22), $I^{m}=I^{(m)}$ for all $m>0$. Since any complete intersection complex is a matroid, therefore by Theorem 2.1, S/I $I^{m}$ is clean for all $m>0$.

Example 2.5. Let $I:=\left(x_{1} x_{2}, x_{2} x_{3}, x_{3} x_{4}\right)$. Obviously, $I$ is an unmixed squarefree monomial ideal. Since $|\mathrm{G}(I)| \leqslant 3$, it follows by [2], Corollary 2.6, that $S / I$ is clean. On the other hand, $I^{\vee}=\left(x_{1} x_{3}, x_{2} x_{3}, x_{2} x_{4}\right)$ is not matroidal. Hence, $I$ is not the Stanley-Reisner ideal of a matroid. So by Corollary $2.3, S / I^{(m)}$ is not clean for all integers $m \geqslant 3$. Also, $S / I$ is not complete intersection, so by Corollary 2.4 $S / I^{m}$ is not clean for all integers $m \geqslant 3$. Now, consider the ideal $I$ as the edge ideal of a graph $G$. Obviously, $G$ is a bipartite graph, so by [7], Corollary 10.3.17, $I$ is normally torsionfree. Therefore for any $m$,

$$
\operatorname{Ass}\left(S / I^{m}\right)=\operatorname{Ass}(S / I)=\operatorname{Min}(I)=\operatorname{Min}\left(I^{m}\right)
$$

It follows by [8], Corollary 3.5, that $S / I^{m}$ is not pretty clean for all integers $m \geqslant 3$.
We note that the above example shows that, if $I \subset S$ is a pretty clean monomial ideal, then necessarily $S / I^{(m)}$ cannot be pretty clean for all integers $m>0$.

## 3. SECOND SYMBolic power and cleanness

Let $\Delta$ be a 1-dimensional simplicial complex and $I=I_{\Delta} \subset S$. Minh and Trung in [12] studied under which conditions $S / I^{(2)}$ and $S / I^{2}$ are Cohen-Macaulay. In this section we will give a characterization for the Cohen-Macaulayness of $S / I^{(2)}$ and $S / I^{2}$ in terms of the cleanness property.

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple graph. In graph theory, the distance between two vertices $u$ and $v$ of $G$ is the minimal length of paths from $u$ to $v$ and is denoted by $d(u, v)$. This length is infinite if there is no path connecting them. The diameter of $G, \operatorname{diam}(G)$, is defined by $\operatorname{diam}(G):=\max \{d(u, v): u, v \in V\}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\Delta$ be a pure simplicial complex on $[n]$ with $\operatorname{dim} \Delta=1$ and $I=I_{\Delta} \subset S$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $S / I^{(2)}$ is clean.
(b) $S / I^{(2)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay.
(c) $\operatorname{diam} \Delta \leqslant 2$.

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) Since $S / I^{(2)}$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay and $I^{(2)}$ is unmixed, the desired conclusion follows from Lemma 2.2.
(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) follows from [12], Theorem 2.3.
(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a) By [11], Theorem 3.10, it is enough to show that $S /\left(I^{(2)}\right)^{p}$ is clean. Let $I=I_{\Delta}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{t} P_{F_{i}}$ be a primary decomposition of $I$. Then $\Delta^{c}=\left\langle F_{1}, \ldots, F_{t}\right\rangle$ with
$\left|F_{i}\right|=n-2$ for all $i=1, \ldots, t$. We know that

$$
\left(I^{(2)}\right)^{p}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{t}\left(P_{F_{i}}^{2}\right)^{p} .
$$

If $F \subset[n]$, then by [6], Proposition 2.5 (2),

$$
\left(P_{F}^{2}\right)^{p}=\bigcap_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-2} P_{\left(F, 2_{j}\right)} \cap P_{(F, 1)},
$$

where if $F=\left\{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n-2}\right\}$ with $r_{1}<r_{2}<\ldots<r_{n-2}$, then we set $(F, 1):=\left\{\left(r_{i}, 1\right)\right.$ : $\left.r_{i} \in F\right\}$ and $\left(F, 2_{j}\right):=\left\{\left(r_{j}, 2\right)\right\} \cup\left\{\left(r_{i}, 1\right): 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-2, i \neq j\right\}$. Note that $\left(I^{(2)}\right)^{p}$ is a monomial ideal in a polynomial ring $T=K\left[x_{(1,1)}, \ldots, x_{(n, 1)}, x_{(1,2)}, \ldots, x_{(n, 2)}\right]$. Since $\left(I^{(2)}\right)^{p}$ is the Stanley-Reisner ideal of the simplicial complex

$$
\Gamma=\left\langle\left(F_{i}, 1\right)^{c},\left(F_{i}, 2_{j}\right)^{c}: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-2\right\rangle,
$$

by a result of Dress in [5] it is enough to prove that $\Gamma$ is shellable.
We set $A_{0}:=\emptyset$ and $A_{i}:=\left\{F_{j}^{c} \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta): i \in F_{j}^{c}\right.$ and $\left.F_{j}^{c} \notin \bigcup_{s=1}^{i-1} A_{s}\right\}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. Note that $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}$. We order the facets of $\Gamma$ by the following process and show that the given order is a shelling order. For the convenience we can assume that $A_{1}=\left\{F_{1}^{c}, \ldots, F_{s_{1}}^{c}\right\}$ for some $1 \leqslant s_{1} \leqslant t$. Let the initial part of our order be
(*) $\quad\left(F_{1}, 1\right)^{c},\left(F_{1}, 2_{1}\right)^{c}, \ldots,\left(F_{1}, 2_{n-2}\right)^{c},\left(F_{2}, 1\right)^{c},\left(F_{2}, 2_{1}\right)^{c}, \ldots,\left(F_{2}, 2_{n-2}\right)^{c}, \ldots$,

$$
\left(F_{s_{1}}, 1\right)^{c},\left(F_{s_{1}}, 2_{1}\right)^{c}, \ldots,\left(F_{s_{1}}, 2_{n-2}\right)^{c}
$$

Then the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
n & =\left|\left(F_{1}, 1\right)^{c} \cap\left(F_{1}, 2_{j}\right)^{c}\right|-1=\operatorname{dim}\left(\left\langle\left(F_{1}, 1\right)^{c}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\left(F_{1}, 2_{j}\right)^{c}\right\rangle\right) \\
& \leqslant \operatorname{dim}\left(\left\langle\left(F_{1}, 1\right)^{c},\left(F_{1}, 2_{1}\right)^{c}, \ldots,\left(F_{1}, 2_{j-1}\right)^{c}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\left(F_{1}, 2_{j}\right)^{c}\right\rangle\right) \\
& \leqslant \operatorname{dim}\left\langle\left(F_{1}, 2_{j}\right)^{c}\right\rangle-1=\left|\left(F_{1}, 2_{j}\right)^{c}\right|-2=n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, let $2 \leqslant d \leqslant s_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
n & =\operatorname{dim}\left(\left\langle\left(F_{1}, 1\right)^{c}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\left(F_{d}, 1\right)^{c}\right\rangle\right) \\
& \leqslant \operatorname{dim}\left(\left\langle\left(F_{1}, 1\right)^{c},\left(F_{1}, 2_{1}\right)^{c}, \ldots,\left(F_{d-1}, 2_{n-2}\right)^{c}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\left(F_{d}, 1\right)^{c}\right\rangle\right) \\
& \leqslant \operatorname{dim}\left\langle\left(F_{d}, 1\right)^{c}\right\rangle-1=n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, for any $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n & =\operatorname{dim}\left(\left\langle\left(F_{d}, 1\right)^{c}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\left(F_{d}, 2_{j}\right)^{c}\right\rangle\right) \\
& \leqslant \operatorname{dim}\left(\left\langle\left(F_{1}, 1\right)^{c},\left(F_{1}, 2_{1}\right)^{c}, \ldots,\left(F_{d}, 1\right)^{c}, \ldots,\left(F_{d}, 2_{j-1}\right)^{c}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\left(F_{d}, 2_{j}\right)^{c}\right\rangle\right) \\
& \leqslant \operatorname{dim}\left\langle\left(F_{d}, 2_{j}\right)^{c}\right\rangle-1=n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that $\Gamma_{1}$ is a simplicial complex whose facets are all of the sets belonging to $(*)$. If we rename the facets of $\Gamma_{1}$ in the same order as above by $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{s_{1}(n-1)}$, then it is easy to see that $\left\langle G_{1}, \ldots, G_{i-1}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle G_{i}\right\rangle$ is a pure simplicial complex for all $i=1, \ldots, s_{1}(n-1)$. Therefore, $\Gamma_{1}$ is shellable.

Assume that $A_{i}=\left\{F_{s_{i-1}+1}^{c}, \ldots, F_{s_{i}}^{c}\right\}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant h-1<n$, where $s_{0}=0$ and $s_{i-1}<s_{i}$. Then we may assume by induction process that the following order is a shelling order for the simplicial complex with the set of facets

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(F_{1}, 1\right)^{c},\left(F_{1}, 2_{1}\right)^{c}, \ldots,\left(F_{1}, 2_{n-2}\right)^{c}, \ldots,\left(F_{j}, 1\right)^{c},\left(F_{j}, 2_{1}\right)^{c}, \ldots,\left(F_{j}, 2_{n-2}\right)^{c}, \\
\left(F_{j+1}, 1\right)^{c},\left(F_{j+1}, 2_{1}\right)^{c}, \ldots,\left(F_{j+1}, 2_{n-2}\right)^{c}, \ldots \\
\left(F_{s_{h-1}}, 1\right)^{c},\left(F_{s_{h-1}}, 2_{1}\right)^{c}, \ldots,\left(F_{s_{h-1}}, 2_{n-2}\right)^{c}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $1<j<s_{h-1}$.
Now, let $1<h \leqslant n$. If there exists $F^{c} \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{h-1} A_{i}$ such that $h \in F^{c}$, then we take an arbitrary element $G$ of $A_{h}$ and set $F_{s_{h-1}+1}^{c}:=G$. In this case, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n & =\operatorname{dim}\left(\left\langle(F, 1)^{c}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\left(F_{s_{h-1}+1}, 1\right)^{c}\right\rangle\right) \\
& \leqslant \operatorname{dim}\left(\left\langle\left(F_{1}, 1\right)^{c},\left(F_{1}, 2_{1}\right)^{c}, \ldots,\left(F_{s_{h-1}}, 2_{n-2}\right)^{c}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\left(F_{s_{h-1}+1}, 1\right)^{c}\right\rangle\right) \\
& \left.\leqslant \operatorname{dim}\left\langle\left(F_{s_{h-1}+1}, 1\right)^{c}\right)\right\rangle-1=n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Otherwise, for any $F^{c} \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{h-1} A_{i}, h \notin F^{c}$. Hence $\{1, h\} \notin \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. Since $\operatorname{diam}(\Delta) \leqslant 2$, it follows that there exists $m \in[n]$ such that $m \neq 1, m \neq h$ and $\{m, h\} \in A_{h}$, and $F^{c}:=\{1, m\} \in A_{1}$. In this case we set $F_{s_{h-1}+1}^{c}:=\{m, h\}$.

Now, the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
n & =\operatorname{dim}\left(\left\langle(F, 1)^{c}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\left(F_{s_{h-1}+1}, 1\right)^{c}\right\rangle\right) \\
& \leqslant \operatorname{dim}\left(\left\langle\left(F_{1}, 1\right)^{c},\left(F_{1}, 2_{1}\right)^{c}, \ldots,\left(F_{s_{h-1}}, 2_{n-2}\right)^{c}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\left(F_{s_{h-1}+1}, 1\right)^{c}\right\rangle\right) \\
& \leqslant \operatorname{dim}\left\langle\left(F_{s_{h-1}+1}, 1\right)^{c}\right\rangle-1=n .
\end{aligned}
$$

We order all the other facets of $\Gamma$ which correspond to $A_{h}$ as

$$
\left(F_{s_{h-1}+1}, 2_{1}\right)^{c}, \ldots,\left(F_{s_{h-1}+1}, 2_{n-2}\right)^{c}, \ldots,\left(F_{s_{h}}, 1\right)^{c},\left(F_{s_{h}}, 2_{1}\right)^{c}, \ldots,\left(F_{s_{h}}, 2_{n-2}\right)^{c}
$$

where $s_{h-1}<s_{h}$.

In the same way as previously, we can easily check that the given order is a shelling order.

A 1-dimensional simplicial complex $\Delta$ on the vertex set $[n]$ is called a cycle of length $n$ if the facets of $\Delta$ are $\{1, n\}$ and $\{i, i+1\}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n-1$.

Corollary 3.2. Let $\Delta$ be a pure simplicial complex on $[n]$ with $\operatorname{dim} \Delta=1$ and $I=I_{\Delta} \subset S$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $S / I^{2}$ is clean.
(b) $S / I^{2}$ is Cohen-Macaulay.
(c) $\Delta$ is a path of length 1,2 or a cycle of length $3,4,5$.

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) Since $S / I^{2}$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay and $I^{2}$ is unmixed, the desired conclusion follows from Lemma 2.2.
(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) If $n=2$, then $\Delta$ is a path of length 1 . If $n=3$, then $\Delta$ is either a path of length 2 or a triangle (a cycle of length 3 ). Finally, if $n \geqslant 4$, then by [12], Corollary $3.4, \Delta$ is a cycle of length 4 or 5 .
(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a) It is easy to see that in each case, we have diam $\Delta \leqslant 2$ and $I^{(2)}=I^{2}$. Hence the desired conclusion follows by Theorem 3.1.

It is known that if $I$ is a monomial ideal and $S / I$ is clean, then $S / I$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. In particular when $I$ is unmixed, then $S / I$ is Cohen-Macaulay. But the converse is not true in general. In some special cases, like edge ideals of unmixed bipartite graphs, it is known that Cohen-Macaulayness and cleanness are equivalent. As another corollary of our results we get the following:

Corollary 3.3. Let $m>1$ be an integer, $\Delta$ a pure simplicial complex with $\operatorname{dim} \Delta=1$, and $I=I_{\Delta} \subset S$. Then $S / I^{(m)}\left(S / I^{m}\right)$ is clean if and only if $S / I^{(m)}$ ( $S / I^{m}$, respectively) is Cohen-Macaulay.
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