# Bo Du; Yurong Liu; Dan Cao Stability analysis for neutral-type impulsive neural networks with delays

Kybernetika, Vol. 53 (2017), No. 3, 513-529

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/146940

# Terms of use:

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 2017

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

# STABILITY ANALYSIS FOR NEUTRAL-TYPE IMPULSIVE NEURAL NETWORKS WITH DELAYS

BO DU, YURONG LIU AND DAN CAO

By using linear matrix inequality (LMI) approach and Lyapunov functional method, we obtain some new sufficient conditions ensuring global asymptotic stability and global exponential stability of a generalized neutral-type impulsive neural networks with delays. A simulation example is provided to demonstrate the usefulness of the main results obtained. The main contribution in this paper is that a new neutral-type impulsive neural networks with variable delays is studied by constructing a novel Lyapunov functional and LMI approach.

Keywords: neutral-type, neural networks, Lyapunov functional method, stability

Classification: 34G20, 35B40

# 1. INTRODUCTION

In the past few decades, the successful applications of cellular neural networks (CNNs) in a variety of areas (e.g. pattern recognition, associative memory and combinational optimization) have aroused a surge of research interests in the dynamical behaviors of the CNNs, see [2, 16, 32, 45]. We note that for various behaviors, the stability has proven to be the most important one that has received considerable research attention. For example, if a neural network is given to solve some optimization problems, it is highly desirable for the neural network to have a unique globally stable equilibrium, and so, the stability analysis of CNNs has been an ever hot research topic resulting in enormous stability conditions reported in the literature, see e.g. [3, 6, 8, 10, 14, 20, 26, 28, 34, 37, 39, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46].

Neutral functional differential equation (NFDE) is a class of equations depending on past as well as present values, but which involve derivatives with delays as well as the function itself. NFDEs are not only an extension of functional differential equations, but also provide good models in many fields including biology, electronics, mechanics and economics. In practice, a large class of electrical networks containing lossless transmission lines such as automatic control, high speed computers, robotics and etc., these systems can be well described by neutral-type delayed differential equations, see e.g. [7, 22, 29, 30]. Particularly, we note that the time-delays occur not only in the system states (or outputs) but also in the derivatives of system states in engineering systems

DOI: 10.14736/kyb-2017-3-0513

[27]. Accordingly, CNNs with neutral terms have gained extensive research interests due to the fact that the neutral delays could exist during the implementation process of CNNs in VLSI circuits. The stability analysis issue of neutral CNNs has recently received much more research attention and a rich body of results has been obtained, see e.g. [4, 5, 48].

As is well known, the theory of impulsive differential equations has become more important in recent years in some mathematical models of real processes and phenomena. There has been a significant development in impulse theory, in recent years, especially in the area of impulsive differential equations with fixed moments and variable time; see e.g. [17, 18, 19, 23, 33]. We note that study of the existence and stability of the differential equations with delays was initiated by Travis and Webb [35] and Webb [38]. Since such equations are often more realistic to describe natural phenomena than those without delay, they have been investigated in variant aspects by many authors. In addition, an artificial electronic system and neural networks, are often subject to impulsive perturbation which can affect the dynamical behaviors of the system, just as time delays. Furthermore, the research of impulsive neural networks has received much interesting in recent years, see e.g. [3, 11, 12, 13, 15]. Several sufficient conditions ensuring the existence and global exponential stability of a unique equilibrium solution are given, by constructing suitable Lyapunov functional and employing some analysis techniques. In particular, the authors [25, 40, 47] studied the global exponential stability problems for impulsive neural networks with time-varying delays, and some stability results of periodic solutions were obtained in [41].

So far, to the best of the authors' knowledge, there is few results for the stability analysis to neutral-type impulsive neural networks with delays. The major challenges are as follows: (1) in order to construct a feasible Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional, the neutral operator D need exist inverse operator. So, when the neutral operator D is unstable, how can we obtain its inverse operator  $D^{-1}$  and some inequalities about  $D^{-1}$ ; (2) when the impulse exists in CNNs, the corresponding stability analysis becomes more complicated since a new Lyapunov functional is required to reflect impulsive influence; and (3) it is non-trivial to establish a unified framework to handle the impulsive influence, neutral terms and variable delays. It is, therefore, the main purpose of this paper to make the first attempt to handle the listed challenges.

In this paper, we consider the stability analysis problem for a generalized neutral-type impulsive neural networks with variable delays. Note that neural system comprise both the impulsive and variable delays that are all dependent on the properties of neutral operator. We first develop a special matrix inequality to account for the impulse and neutral time-delays, and then a novel Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional is proposed to reflect the nature of impulse and neutral term. A matrix inequality approach is utilized to derive sufficient conditions guaranteeing global asymptotic stability and global exponential stability of the considered neural networks. A numerical example is presented to illustrate the usefulness and effectiveness of the main results obtained.

Throughout the manuscript,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  denote, respectively, the *n*-dimensional Euclidean space and the set of all  $n \times m$  real matrices. The superscript "*T*" denotes the matrix transposition. We will use the notation A > 0 (or A < 0) to denote that *A* is a symmetric and positive definite (or negative definite) matrix. If *A*, *B* are symmetric

matrices, A > B  $(A \ge B)$ , then A - B is a positive definite (positive semi-definite).  $\lambda_M(A)$  denotes the largest eigenvalue of the matrix A. ||z|| denotes the Euclidean norm of a vector z and ||A|| denotes the induced norm of the matrix A, that is  $||A|| = \sqrt{\lambda_M(A^{\top}A)}$ In symmetric block matrices, an asterisk "\*" is used to represent a term that is induced by symmetry. Matrices, if their dimensions are not explicitly stated, are assumed to be compatible for algebraic operations.

#### 2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

Let  $C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$  is the Banach space of continuous functions on  $[-\tau, 0]$ , where  $\tau > 0$ .  $PC[J, \mathbb{R}^n] = \{\psi : J \to \mathbb{R}^n | \psi \text{ is continuous for all but, at most, a finite number of points } s \in J \text{ and at these points } s \in J, \ \psi(s^+) \text{ and } \psi(s^-) \text{ exist and } \psi(s^+) = \psi(s)\}, \text{ where } J \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded interval,  $\psi(s^+)$  and  $\psi(s^-)$  denote the right-hand and left-hand limits of the functions  $\psi$ , respectively.  $PC^1[J, \mathbb{R}^n] = \{\psi : J \to \mathbb{R}^n | \psi \text{ is continuous differ-}$ entiable for all but, at most, a finite number of points  $s \in J$  and at these points  $s \in J, \ \psi'(s^+) \text{ and } \ \psi'(s^-) \text{ exist and } \psi'(s^+) = \psi'(s)\}.$  In particular, let  $PC^1 = PC^1([-h, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ . For  $\phi \in PC$  or  $\phi \in PC^1$ , denote the following norm:

$$||\phi||_{\rho} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \left\{ \max_{-\tau \le s \le 0} |\phi_i(s)|, \max_{-h \le s \le 0} |\phi_i'(s)| \right\}.$$

Consider the following impulse neural networks of neutral-type:

$$(Dy)'(t) = -Ay(t) + Bg(y(t)) + Cg(y(t - \tau(t))), \quad t \neq t_k,$$
  

$$\Delta y(t) = I_k(y(t)), \quad t = t_k,$$
  

$$y(t_0^+ + s) = \phi(s), \quad s \in [t_0 - \rho, t_0], \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$
(2.1)

where D is a difference operator defined by

$$(Dy)(t) = y(t) - V(t)y(t - \delta(t)), \qquad (2.2)$$

where  $y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)^{\top}$  is the neuron vector,  $A = \text{diag}(a_i)$  is a positive diagonal matrix,  $B = (b_{ij})_{n \times n}$  and  $C = (c_{ij})_{n \times n}$  are the interconnection matrices representing the weight coefficients of the neurons, V(t) is a  $n \times n$  real positive definite symmetric matrix,  $g(y(t)) = (g_1(y_1(t)), g_2(y_2(t)), \ldots, g_n(y_n(t)))^{\top}$  denotes the neuron activations,  $\tau(t)$  and  $\delta(t)$  are nonnegative, bounded, and differentiable delays satisfying

$$0 < \tau(t) \le \tau_0, \ \tau'(t) \le \tau_1 < 1, \ 0 < \delta(t) \le \delta_0.$$

Let  $\rho = \max\{\tau_0, \delta_0\}$ .  $\phi(\cdot)$  is the given piecewise continuously differentiable function on  $[t_0 - \rho, t_0]$ , the fixed moments  $t_k$  satisfy  $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots$ ,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} t_k = \infty$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$  At time instants  $t_k$ , jumps in the state variable are denoted by

$$\Delta y(t)|_{t=t_k} = y(t_k) - y(t_k^-),$$

where  $y(t_k^-) = \lim_{t \to t_k^-} y(t)$ ,  $I_k \in C(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$  denotes the incremental change of the state at time  $t_k$ .

**Remark 2.1.** The neural network model (2.1) shows the neutral character by the D operator, which is different from other papers. For example, in [7] and [31], the authors studied the following neutral type neural system respectively,

$$\begin{cases} (x_i)'(t) = -a_i(t)x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n [b_{ij}(t)f_j(t, x_j(t)) + d_{ij}(t)g_j(t, x'_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t)))] + I_i(t), \\ x_i(t) = \phi_i(t), \ t \in [-\tau, 0], \ i = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

and

$$y'(t) = -Ay(t) + Bg(y(t)) + Cg(y(t - \tau(t))) + Dy'(t - h(t)), \quad t \neq t_k,$$
  

$$\Delta y(t) = I_k(y(t)), \quad t = t_k,$$
  

$$y(t_0^+ + s) = \phi(s), \quad s \in [t_0 - \rho, t_0], \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

their neutral terms are  $g_j(t, x'_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t)))$  and y'(t - h(t)). As was point by Hale [9] that the properties of D operator are important for studying NFDEs. Hence model (2.1) has significant theoretical value for study of functional differential equation and neural networks.

Next we introduce some basic definitions and lemmas for deriving our main results.

**Definition 2.2.** The zero solution of (2.1) is said to be globally asymptotic stable in PC or  $PC^1$ , if for any solution  $y(t, t_0, y(t_0))$  with the initial condition  $y(t_0) \in PC$  or  $PC^1$ ,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} ||y(t, t_0, y(t_0))|| = 0.$$

**Definition 2.3.** The zero solution of (2.1) is said to be globally exponentially stable in PC or  $PC^1$ , if there exist  $\alpha > 0$  and  $K \ge 0$  such that for any solution  $y(t, t_0, \phi)$  with the initial condition  $\phi \in PC$  or  $PC^1$ ,

$$||y(t,t_0,y(t_0))|| \le K ||\phi||_{\rho} e^{-\alpha(t-t_0)}, \quad t \ge t_0.$$

**Definition 2.4.** Letting  $V : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$  for  $(t, y) \in [t_k, t_{k+1}) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , we define

$$D^+V(t, y(t)) = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \sup \frac{1}{h} \big( V(t+h, y+hy'(t) - V(t, y)) \big).$$

**Lemma 2.5.** Suppose that  $\lambda_1(t), \lambda_2(t), \ldots, \lambda_n(t)$  are eigenvalues of V(t) and

$$\lambda_L = \max\{\lambda_i(t), \ i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \ t \in \mathbb{R}, \ t \neq t_k\},\$$

 $\lambda_l = \min \left\{ \lambda_i(t), \ i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \ t \in \mathbb{R}, \ t \neq t_k \right\}.$ 

If  $\lambda_L < 1$ , then operator D has continuous inverse operator  $D^{-1}$ , satisfying  $\frac{1}{1-\lambda_l} \leq ||D^{-1}|| \leq \frac{1}{1-\lambda_L}$ .

**Proof.** Since V(t) is a real symmetric positive definite matrix, there exists orthogonal matrix U(t) such that

$$U(t)V(t)U^{T}(t) = E_{\lambda}(t) = diag(\lambda_{1}(t), \lambda_{2}(t), \dots, \lambda_{n}(t)).$$

Consider the system

$$Dy(t) = y(t) - U^{T}(t)E_{\lambda}(t)U(t)y(t - \delta(t)).$$

Let  $By(t) = U^T(t)E_{\lambda}(t)U(t)y(t-\delta(t))$ , then  $||B|| = \lambda_L < 1$ . Thus,  $D^{-1} = (I-B)^{-1}$ exists and  $||D^{-1}|| = ||(I-B)^{-1}|| \le \frac{1}{1-\lambda_L}$ . Obviously,  $||D^{-1}|| \ge \frac{1}{1-\lambda_l}$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** (Berman and Plemmons [1]) Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , then

$$\lambda_m(A)y^{\top}Ay \le y^{\top}Ay \le \lambda_M(A)y^{\top}Ay$$

for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and A is a real symmetric matrix.

For convenience of proof, we list the following conditions:

(H<sub>0</sub>)  $I_k(0) = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , g(0) = 0.

(H<sub>1</sub>)  $0 \leq \frac{g_j(d_j^{-1}y_1) - g_j(d_j^{-1}y_2)}{y_1 - y_2} \leq l_j$ , where  $l_j$  (j = 1, 2, ..., n) are positive constants. Note that  $L = \text{diag}\{l_1, l_2, ..., l_n\}, \ l_M = \max\{l_i, \ i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$  and  $l_m = \min\{l_i, \ i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ .

(H<sub>2</sub>) There exist a positive constant  $\gamma$ , symmetric positive definite matrices P, Q and a positive diagonal matrix  $M = \text{diag}\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$  such that

$$\Xi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 & PB + B^\top P & PC + C^\top P \\ * & \Psi_2 & MC \\ * & * & \Psi_3 \end{pmatrix} < 0,$$

where

$$\Psi_1 = -2(1 - \lambda_l)^{-1}PA + \gamma P,$$
  
$$\Psi_2 = -MAL^{-1} + Q + MB, \quad \Psi_3 = -(1 - \tau_1)Q.$$

 $({\rm H}_3) \ ||I_k(Dy(t_k^-))|| \le \mu_k ||Dy(t_k^-)|| \ \ {\rm for} \ \mu_k \ge 0, \ k \in \mathbb{N}.$ 

(H<sub>4</sub>) There exist  $\mu > 1$  and  $\gamma > 0$  satisfying

$$\mu \rho \le \inf\{t_k - t_{k-1}\}, \quad \gamma \mu \rho > 1.$$

(H<sub>5</sub>) max{ $\nu_k$ }  $\leq G, \ k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\nu_k = 1 + \frac{(2||P|| + ||ML||)\mu_k + (||P|| + 0.5||ML||)\mu_k^2}{\lambda_m(P)}, \ G$  is a constant satisfying G > e.

(H<sub>6</sub>) There exist a positive constant  $\tilde{\gamma} > 0$ , symmetric positive definite matrices P, Qand a positive diagonal matrix  $M = \text{diag}\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$  such that

$$\tilde{\Xi} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Psi}_1 & PB + B^{\top}P & PC + C^{\top}P \\ * & \tilde{\Psi}_2 & MC \\ * & * & \tilde{\Psi}_3 \end{pmatrix} < 0,$$

where

$$\tilde{\Psi}_1 = 2\tilde{\gamma}P + \tilde{\gamma}LM - 2(1-\lambda_l)^{-1}PA, \ \Psi_2 = -MAL^{-1} + Q + MB,$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_3 = -(1-\tau_1)e^{-\tilde{\gamma}\rho}Q.$$

(H<sub>7</sub>) There exists  $\tilde{\mu} > 1$  satisfying

$$\tilde{\mu}\rho \le \inf\{t_k - t_{k-1}\}.$$

(H<sub>8</sub>) max{ $\tilde{\nu}_k$ }  $\leq G < e^{2\tilde{\gamma}\tilde{\mu}\rho}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\tilde{\nu}_k = 1 + \frac{(||P|| + ||ML||)\mu_k + (||P|| + 0.5||ML||)\mu_k^2}{\lambda_m(P)}$ , G is a constant.

**Remark 2.7.** Under the condition  $(H_0)$ , it is obvious that the origin y = 0 is the equilibrium point of (2.1). Usually, the existence of equilibrium points of a neural network can be guaranteed by boundedness of the activation functions (see [24]), and there is a standard way to shift the equilibrium point to the origin. Therefore, condition  $(H_0)$  is made here without loss of any generality. In addition, for the uniqueness of the equilibrium, see assumption  $(H_1)$ (Lipschiz condition).

The following sections are organized as follows: In Section 3, sufficient conditions are established for global asymptotic stability of (2.1). The global exponential stability of (2.1) are studied in Sections 4. In Section 5, an example is given to show the feasibility of our results.

### 3. GLOBAL ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that conditions  $(H_0)$ - $(H_5)$  hold. Then the equilibrium point of (2.1) is globally asymptotic stable.

Proof. We use the following Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional to derive global asymptotic stability result,

$$V(t, y(t)) = e^{\gamma t} (Dy(t))^{\top} P Dy(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \int_0^{d_i y_i(t)} g_i(d_i^{-1}s) \, \mathrm{d}s$$
$$+ \int_{-\tau(t)}^0 g^{\top} (y(t+s)) Qg(y(t+s)) \, \mathrm{d}s,$$

where P and Q are positive definite matrices. Now, if we can calculate the time derivative

of V(t, y(t)) along the trajectories of (2.1), then we have

$$D^{+}V(t, y(t)) = 2e^{\gamma t} (Dy(t))^{\top} P[-Ay(t) + Bg(y(t)) + Cg(y(t - \tau(t)))] + \gamma e^{\gamma t} (Dy(t))^{\top} PDy(t) + g^{\top} (y(t))M[-Ay(t) + Bg(y(t)) + Cg(y(t - \tau(t)))] + g^{\top} (y(t))Qg(y(t)) - (1 - \tau'(t))g^{\top} (y(t - \tau(t)))Qg(y(t - \tau(t))) = e^{\gamma t} (Dy(t))^{\top} [-2PA]y(t) + e^{\gamma t} (Dy(t))^{\top} 2PBg(y(t)) + e^{\gamma t} (Dy(t))^{\top} \gamma PDy(t) + e^{\gamma t} (Dy(t))^{\top} 2PCg(y(t - \tau(t))) - g^{\top} (y(t))MAy(t) + g^{\top} (y(t))(MB + Q)g(y(t)) + g^{\top} (y(t))MCg(y(t - \tau(t))) - (1 - \tau'(t))g^{\top} (y(t - \tau(t)))Qg(y(t - \tau(t))).$$
(3.1)

By condition  $(H_1)$ 

$$-g^{\top}(y(t))(MA)y(t) \le -g^{\top}(y(t))(MAL^{-1})g(y(t)).$$
(3.2)

Using Lemma 2.5, we have

$$||y(t)|| = ||D^{-1}Dy(t)|| \ge (1 - \lambda_l)^{-1} ||Dy(t)||.$$
(3.3)

From (3.1)-(3.3) and condition  $(H_2)$ , we have

$$D^{+}V(t, y(t)) \leq e^{\gamma t} (Dy(t))^{\top} [-2(1 - \lambda_{l})^{-1}PA + \gamma P] Dy(t) + e^{\gamma t} (Dy(t))^{\top} [PB + B^{\top}P] g(y(t)) + e^{\gamma t} (Dy(t))^{\top} [PC + C^{\top}P] g(y(t - \tau(t))) + g^{\top} (y(t)) [-MAL^{-1} + Q + MB] g(y(t)) + g^{\top} (y(t)) [MC] g(y(t - \tau(t))) + g^{\top} (y(t)) [MC] g(y(t - \tau(t))) + g^{\top} (y(t - \tau(t))) [-(1 - \tau_{1})Q] g(y(t - \tau(t))) = \begin{pmatrix} Y(t) \\ g(y(t)) \\ g(y(t - \tau(t))) \end{pmatrix}^{\top} \Xi \begin{pmatrix} Y(t) \\ g(y(t)) \\ g(y(t - \tau(t))) \end{pmatrix} < 0,$$
(3.4)

where

$$Y(t) = e^{\gamma t} Dy(t)$$

In addition, when  $t = t_k$ , by using (3.4) and condition (H<sub>3</sub>), we have

$$\begin{split} V(t_k, y(t_k)) &= V(t_k, y(t_k^-) + I_k(y(t_k^-))) \\ &= e^{\gamma t_k^-} (D(y(t_k^-) + I_k(y(t_k^-))))^\top P D(y(t_k^-) + I_k(y(t_k^-))) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \int_0^{d_i(y_i(t_k^-) + I_k(y_i(t_k^-)))} g_i(d_i^{-1}s) \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{t_k - \tau(t_k)}^{t_k} g^\top(y(s)) Q g(y(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= e^{\gamma t_{k}^{-}} (D(y(t_{k}^{-}))^{\top} PD(y(t_{k}^{-})) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} \int_{0}^{d_{i}(y_{i}(t_{k}^{-}))} g_{i}(d_{i}^{-1}s) \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{t_{k}^{-}-\tau(t_{k}^{-})}^{t_{k}^{-}} g^{\top}(y(s)) Qg(y(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s + \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} \int_{d_{i}(y_{i}(t_{k}^{-})+I_{k}(y_{i}(t_{k}^{-})))) \\ &+ e^{\gamma t_{k}^{-}} (D(I_{k}(y(t_{k}^{-}))))^{\top} PD(I_{k}(y(t_{k}^{-}))) \\ &+ e^{\gamma t_{k}^{-}} (D(y(t_{k}^{-})))^{\top} PD(I_{k}(y(t_{k}^{-}))) \\ &+ e^{\gamma t_{k}^{-}} (D(y(t_{k}^{-})))^{\top} PD(I_{k}(y(t_{k}^{-}))) \\ &+ e^{\gamma t_{k}^{-}} (D(y(t_{k}^{-})))^{\top} PD(I_{k}(y(t_{k}^{-}))))^{\top} PD(I_{k}(y(t_{k}^{-}))) \\ &+ e^{\gamma t_{k}^{-}} (D(y(t_{k}^{-})))^{\top} PD(I_{k}(y(t_{k}^{-}))))^{\top} PD(I_{k}(y(t_{k}^{-})))) \\ &+ e^{\gamma t_{k}^{-}} (D(y(t_{k}^{-})))^{\top} PD(I_{k}(y(t_{k}^{-}))) \\ &+ D((y(t_{k}^{-})))^{\top} MLD(I_{k}(y(t_{k}^{-}))) + D(I_{k}((y(t_{k}^{-}))))^{\top} 0.5MLD(I_{k}(y(t_{k}^{-})))) \\ &\leq V(t_{k}^{-}, y(t_{k}^{-})) + \left( (2||P|| + ||ML||)\mu_{k} + (||P|| + 0.5||ML||)\mu_{k}^{2} \right) e^{\gamma t_{k}^{-}} ||D(y(t_{k}^{-}))||^{2} \\ &\leq \left( 1 + \frac{(2||P|| + ||ML||)\mu_{k} + (||P|| + 0.5||ML||)\mu_{k}^{2}}{\lambda_{m}(P)} \right) V(t_{k}^{-}, y(t_{k}^{-})) \\ &= \nu_{k}V(t_{k}^{-}, y(t_{k}^{-})). \end{split}$$

$$(3.5)$$

For each solution  $y(t, t_0, y_0)$  of (2.1), using (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$V(t, y(t, t_0, y_0)) \le V(t_0, y_0) \Pi_{t_0 < t_k < t} \nu_k \le V(t_0, y_0) G^{k-1}, \quad t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k).$$

From condition (H<sub>4</sub>), one has  $k - 1 \leq (t_{k-1} - t_0)/\mu\rho$ , thus,

$$G^{k-1} \le G^{(t-t_0)/\mu\rho}, \quad t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k).$$
 (3.6)

On the other hand,

$$V(t_{0}, y_{0}) = e^{\gamma t_{0}} (Dy(t_{0}))^{\top} P Dy(t_{0}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} \int_{0}^{d_{i}y_{i}(t_{0})} g_{i}(d_{i}^{-1}s) \,\mathrm{d}s$$

$$+ \int_{t_{0}-\tau(t_{0})}^{t_{0}} g^{\top}(y(s)) Qg(y(s)) \,\mathrm{d}s$$

$$\leq e^{\gamma t_{0}} \lambda_{M}(P)(1-\lambda_{l})^{2} ||\phi||_{\rho}^{2} + 0.5 \lambda_{M}(LM)(1-\lambda_{l})^{2} ||\phi||_{\rho}^{2}$$

$$+ \lambda_{M}(Q) \int_{t_{0}-\tau(t_{0})}^{t_{0}} g^{\top}(y(s)) g(y(s)) \,\mathrm{d}s$$

$$\leq \left( e^{\gamma t_{0}} \lambda_{M}(P)(1-\lambda_{l})^{2} + \lambda_{M}(LM)0.5(1-\lambda_{l})^{2} + \lambda_{M}(Q)\tau_{1}l_{M}^{2} \right) ||\phi||_{\rho}^{2}.$$
(3.7)

Therefore, by (3.6) and (3.7)

$$\begin{split} ||y||^{2} &\leq \frac{e^{\gamma t_{0}} \lambda_{M}(P)(1-\lambda_{l})^{2} + \lambda_{M}(LM) 0.5(1-\lambda_{l})^{2} + \lambda_{M}(Q)\tau_{1}l_{M}^{2}}{\lambda_{m}(P)} \\ &\times ||\phi||_{\rho}^{2} G^{\frac{-(\gamma\mu\rho-1)t-t_{0}}{\mu\rho}}, \ t \in [t_{k-1}, t_{k}). \end{split}$$

Then by Definition 2.3 and  $\gamma \mu \rho > 1$ , Theorem 3.1 is proved.

# 4. EXPONENTIAL STABILITY

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume that conditions (H<sub>0</sub>), (H<sub>1</sub>), (H<sub>3</sub>) and (H<sub>6</sub>)-(H<sub>8</sub>) hold. Then the equilibrium point of system (2.1) is globally exponentially stable, if  $\tilde{\gamma} > \frac{\ln G}{2\tilde{\mu}\rho}$ . Moreover,

$$||y|| \le \mathcal{K} ||\phi||_{\rho} e^{-\beta(t-t_0)},$$

where 
$$\mathcal{K} = \left(\frac{\lambda_M(P)(1-\lambda_l)^2 + \lambda_M(LM)(1-\lambda_l)^2 + \lambda_M(Q)\frac{1-e^{-2\tilde{\gamma}\tau_0}}{2\tilde{\gamma}}l_M^2}{\lambda_m(P)}\right)^{1/2}, \quad \beta = \tilde{\gamma} - \frac{\ln G}{2\tilde{\mu}\rho}.$$

**Proof**. In order to obtain the stability result, construct the following Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional:

$$\begin{split} V(t, y(t)) &= e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t} (Dy(t))^\top P Dy(t) + e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t} \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \int_0^{d_i y_i(t)} g_i(d_i^{-1}s) \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{-\tau(t)}^0 e^{2\tilde{\gamma}(t+s)} g^\top(y(t+s)) Q g(y(t+s)) \, \mathrm{d}s, \end{split}$$

where P and Q are positive definite matrices. Calculating the time derivative of V(t, y(t))along the trajectories of (2.1), then we have

$$\begin{split} D^{+}V(t,y(t)) &= 2\tilde{\gamma}e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t}(Dy(t))^{\top}PDy(t) \\ &+ 2e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t}(Dy(t))^{\top}P[-Ay(t) + Bg(y(t)) + Cg(y(t-\tau(t)))] \\ &+ e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t}g^{\top}(y(t))M[-Ay(t) + Bg(y(t)) + Cg(y(t-\tau(t)))] \\ &+ \tilde{\gamma}e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t}(Dy(t))^{\top}LMDy(t) + e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t}g^{\top}(y(t))Qg(y(t)) \\ &- (1-\tau'(t))e^{2\tilde{\gamma}(t-\tau(t))}g^{\top}(y(t-\tau(t)))Qg(y(t-\tau(t))) \\ &= 2\tilde{\gamma}e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t}(Dy(t))^{\top}PDy(t) - e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t}(Dy(t))^{\top}2PAy(t) \\ &+ e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t}(Dy(t))^{\top}\tilde{\gamma}LMDy(t) \\ &+ e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t}(Dy(t))^{\top}2PBg(y(t)) + e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t}(Dy(t))^{\top}2PCg(y(t-\tau(t)))) \\ &- e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t}g^{\top}(y(t))MAy(t) + e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t}g^{\top}(y(t))(MB + Q)g(y(t)) \\ &+ e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t}g^{\top}(y(t))MCg(y(t-\tau(t))) \\ &- (1-\tau'(t))e^{2\tilde{\gamma}(t-\tau(t))}g^{\top}(y(t-\tau(t)))Qg(y(t-\tau(t))). \end{split}$$

From (3.2), (3.3), (4.1) and condition  $(H_6)$ , we have

$$\begin{split} D^{+}V(t,y(t)) &\leq e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t}(Dy(t))^{\top}[2\tilde{\gamma}P + \tilde{\gamma}LM - 2(1-\lambda_{l})^{-1}PA]Dy(t) \\ &+ e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t}(Dy(t))^{\top}[PB + B^{\top}P]g(y(t)) \\ &+ e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t}(Dy(t))^{\top}[PC + C^{\top}P]g(y(t-\tau(t))) \\ &+ e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t}g^{\top}(y(t))[-MAL^{-1} + Q + MB + B^{\top}M]g(y(t)) \\ &+ e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t}g^{\top}(y(t))[MC + C^{\top}M]g(y(t-\tau(t))) \\ &+ e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t}g^{\top}(y(t-\tau(t)))[-(1-\tau_{1})e^{-\tilde{\gamma}\rho}Q]g(y(t-\tau(t))) \\ &+ e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t}g^{\top}(y(t-\tau(t)))[-(1-\tau_{1})e^{-\tilde{\gamma}\rho}Q]g(y(t-\tau(t))) \\ &= e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t} \begin{pmatrix} Dy(t) \\ g(y(t)) \\ g(y(t-\tau(t))) \end{pmatrix}^{\top} \tilde{\Xi} \begin{pmatrix} Dy(t) \\ g(y(t)) \\ g(y(t-\tau(t))) \end{pmatrix} < 0. \end{split}$$

When  $t = t_k$ , by using (4.2) and condition (H<sub>3</sub>), we have

$$\begin{split} V(t_k, y(t_k)) &= V(t_k, y(t_k^-) + I_k(y(t_k^-))) \\ &= e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t_k^-} \left( D(y(t_k^-) + I_k(y(t_k^-))) \right)^\top P D(y(t_k^-) + I_k(y(t_k^-)))) \\ &+ e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t_k^-} \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \int_0^{d_i(y_i(t_k^-) + I_k(y_i(t_k^-)))} g_i(d_i^{-1}s) \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{t_k - \tau(t_k)}^{t_k} e^{2\tilde{\gamma}s} g^\top(y(s)) Q g(y(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &= e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t_k^-} \left( D(y(t_k^-))^\top P D(y(t_k^-)) \right) \\ &+ e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t_k^-} \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \int_0^{d_i(y_i(t_k^-))} g_i(d_i^{-1}s) \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{t_k^- - \tau(t_k^-)}^{t_k^-} e^{2\tilde{\gamma}s} g^\top(y(s)) Q g(y(s)) \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t_k^-} \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \int_{d_i(y_i(t_k^-) + I_k(y_i(t_k^-)))} g_i(d_i^{-1}s) \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t_k^-} \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \int_{d_i(y_i(t_k^-))}^{d_i(y_i(t_k^-)) + I_k(y_i(t_k^-)))} g_i(d_i^{-1}s) \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t_k^-} D(I_k(y(t_k^-))) + e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t_k^-} \left( D(I_k(y(t_k^-))) \right)^\top P D(y(t_k^-)) \\ &\leq V(t_k^-, y(t_k^-)) + e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t_k^-} \left( D(I_k(y(t_k^-))) \right)^\top P D(y(t_k^-)) \\ &+ e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t_k^-} D(I_k(y(t_k^-))) + e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t_k^-} \left( D(I_k(y(t_k^-))) \right)^\top P D(y(t_k^-)) \\ &+ e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t_k^-} D(I_k(y(t_k^-))) ^\top M L D(I_k(y(t_k^-))) \\ &+ e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t_k^-} D(I_k(y(t_k^-))) ^\top 0.5M L D(I_k(y(t_k^-)))) \end{split}$$

$$\leq V(t_{k}^{-}, y(t_{k}^{-})) + ((||P|| + ||ML||)\mu_{k}$$

$$+ (||P|| + 0.5||ML||)\mu_{k}^{2})e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t_{k}^{-}}||D(y(t_{k}^{-}))||$$

$$\leq \left(1 + \frac{(||P|| + ||ML||)\mu_{k} + (||P|| + 0.5||ML||)\mu_{k}^{2}}{\lambda_{m}(P)}\right)V(t_{k}^{-}, y(t_{k}^{-}))$$

$$= \tilde{\nu}_{k}V(t_{k}^{-}, y(t_{k}^{-})).$$

$$(4.3)$$

For each solution  $y(t, t_0, y_0)$  of (2.1), using (4.2) and (4.3), we have

$$V(t, y(t, t_0, y_0)) \le V(t_0, y_0) \prod_{t_0 < t_k < t} \tilde{\nu}_k \le V(t_0, y_0) G^{k-1}, \ t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k).$$

From condition (H<sub>7</sub>), one has  $k - 1 \leq (t_{k-1} - t_0)/\tilde{\mu}\rho$ , thus,

$$G^{k-1} \le e^{\frac{\ln G}{\tilde{\mu}_{\rho}}(t-t_0)}, \ t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} V(t_{0},y_{0}) &= e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t_{0}} (Dy(t_{0}))^{\top} P Dy(t_{0}) + e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t_{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} \int_{0}^{d_{i}y_{i}(t_{0})} g_{i}(d_{i}^{-1}s) \,\mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{t_{0}-\tau(t_{0})}^{t_{0}} e^{2\tilde{\gamma}s} g^{\top}(y(s)) Q g(y(s)) \,\mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t_{0}} \lambda_{M}(P)(1-\lambda_{l})^{-2} ||\phi||_{\rho}^{2} + e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t_{0}} \lambda_{M}(LM)(1-\lambda_{l})^{-2} ||\phi||_{\rho}^{2} \\ &+ \lambda_{M}(Q) \int_{t_{0}-\tau(t_{0})}^{t_{0}} e^{2\tilde{\gamma}s} g^{\top}(y(s)) g(y(s)) \,\mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq e^{2\tilde{\gamma}t_{0}} \left( \lambda_{M}(P)(1-\lambda_{l})^{2} + \lambda_{M}(LM)(1-\lambda_{l})^{2} + \lambda_{M}(Q) \frac{1-e^{-2\tilde{\gamma}\tau_{0}}}{2\tilde{\gamma}} l_{M}^{2} \right) ||\phi||_{\rho}^{2} \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$||y||^{2} \leq \frac{\lambda_{M}(P)(1-\lambda_{l})^{2} + \lambda_{M}(LM)(1-\lambda_{l})^{2} + \lambda_{M}(Q)\frac{1-e^{-2\gamma\tau_{0}}}{2\tilde{\gamma}}l_{M}^{2}}{\lambda_{m}(P)} \times ||\phi||_{\rho}^{2}e^{-2\tilde{\gamma}(t-t_{0})}e^{\frac{\ln G}{\tilde{\mu}\rho}(t-t_{0})}, \ t \in [t_{k-1}, t_{k}),$$

i.e.,

$$||y|| \leq \left(\frac{\lambda_M(P)(1-\lambda_l)^2 + \lambda_M(LM)(1-\lambda_l)^2 + \lambda_M(Q)\frac{1-e^{-2\gamma\tau_0}}{2\gamma}l_M^2}{\lambda_m(P)}\right)^{1/2} \times ||\phi||_{\rho} e^{-(\tilde{\gamma} - \frac{\ln G}{2\mu\rho})(t-t_0)}, \ t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k).$$

From  $\tilde{\gamma} > \frac{\ln G}{2\tilde{\mu}\rho}$ , the proof is completed.

**Remark 4.2.** From Definitions 2.1 to 2.2, one knows that the global exponential stability of equilibrium point of (2.1) implies its global asymptotic stability. In fact,  $\mathcal{K} > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  yield  $||y|| \leq \mathcal{K} ||\phi||_{\rho} e^{-\beta(t-t_0)} \to 0$ ,  $t \to 0$ . However, by comparing Theorem 3.1 and 4.1, we find that the conditions presented in them are almost same. The obvious difference is the framework of Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional. This shows that choosing of a suitable Lyapunov functional is important for obtaining the stability results.

**Remark 4.3.** In Theorem 4.1, LMI-based sufficient conditions are derived to guarantee the exponential stability for the (2.1). For some special cases (e.g.  $\tau(t) = \text{constant}$ , no impulse), the resulting stability criteria can be obtained as immediate consequences. We also point out here that our arguments can be extended to the systems in the presence of non-neutral equations (or systems) without major difficulty.

**Remark 4.4.** In this paper, the stability analysis problem is tackled for impulsive neural networks of neutral type. The distinctive contributions of this paper are outlined as follows: (1) when the neutral delay term is studied as a neutral D operator, novel analysis technique is developed since the conventional analysis tool no longer applies; (2) a new Lyapunov functional is constructed to reflect the neutral operator and impulse influence; (3) a unified framework is established to handle the discontinuous parameters, neutral terms and time-varying delays.

# 5. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

To illustrate the validity of our results, the following example will be discussed:

$$(y(t) - V(t)y(t - \delta(t)))' = -Ay(t) + Bg(y(t)) + Cg(y(t - \tau(t))), \quad t \neq t_k,$$
  

$$y(t_k) = W^k y(t_k^-), \quad t = t_k,$$
(5.1)

with the initial conditions  $y_1(s) = \cos s$ ,  $y_2(s) = \sin s$ ,  $-\rho \le s < 0$ . Let

$$\begin{split} V(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{53}{55} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{54}{55} \end{pmatrix}, \ \tau(t) = \delta(t) = 1.5 + 0.5 \sin t, \ \rho = \max_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} \{\tau(t), \delta(t)\} = 2, \ t_k = t_{k-1} + 1, \\ w_{11}^k &= w_{22}^k = (-1)^k (e-1)^{1/2}, \ \ w_{12}^k = w_{23}^k = 0, \ \ k \in \mathbb{N}, \ \tilde{\gamma} = 1, \ \tilde{\mu} = 2, \\ g_i(x) &= \frac{1}{4} (|x+2| - |x-2|)), \ \ i = 1, 2. \end{split}$$

The constant matrices are

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0.1 \\ 0 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0.2 \\ 0.05 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With the parameters given above, by using the Matlab LMI toolbox, we solve the  $\Xi$  in assumption (H<sub>6</sub>) and obtain the feasible solutions as follows:

$$P = 10^{-7} \begin{pmatrix} 0.0007 & -0.0070 \\ -0.0070 & 0.1163 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = 10^{-10} \begin{pmatrix} 0.0277 & 0.0216 \\ 0.0216 & 0.1410 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$M = 10^{-10} \begin{pmatrix} 0.5565 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5565 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$||y(t_k^- + I_k(y(t_k)))|| = ||(W^k - I)y(t_k^-) + Iy(t_k^-)|| \le (1 + ||W^k - I||)||y(t_k^-)||.$$



Fig. 1. The States' Evolution of the System (5.1).

Thus, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$||I_k(Dy(t_k^-))|| \le \frac{1-\lambda_l}{1-\lambda_L}(1+||W^k-I||)||Dy(t_k^-)||,$$

that is  $\mu_k = \frac{1-\lambda_l}{1-\lambda_L} (1+||W^k - I||)$ . Thus,

$$\tilde{\nu}_k = 1 + \frac{(||P|| + ||ML||)\mu_k + (||P|| + 0.5||ML||)\mu_k^2}{\lambda_m(P)} = 27.77.$$

Let G = 27.77, then  $\frac{\ln G}{2\tilde{\mu}\rho} = 0.4155$ . Hence  $\tilde{\gamma} > \frac{\ln G}{2\tilde{\mu}\rho}$ . Therefore, it follows from Theorem 4.1 that the system (5.1) with given parameters is globally exponentially stable. This is further confirmed by our numerical simulation. In fact, Figure 1 shows the evolution of states of the system (5.1) with the above parameters, and the simulation results show the state of the system indeed approach zero, which support the proposed methods.

**Remark 5.1.** We'd like to give the answer to the circuit diagram of model (2.1). However, model (2.1) contains neutral operators and impulse influence, is more complicated. So far, we can not obtain the circuit diagram of model (2.1). We hope that some authors research this subject in the future.

# 6. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have investigated stability problems for a class of neutral-type neural networks with time-varying delays and impulse. By utilizing novel Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals, the sufficient conditions are derived to guarantee global asymptotic stability and exponential stability for the involved systems. The criteria are expressed in the form of LMIs, which can be solved effectively by using the matlab LMI toolbox, and no turning of parameters will be needed. A simulation example has been provided to show the usefulness of the proposed global exponential stability conditions.

We mention here that some finer approaches to deal with time delays would be the delay-slope-dependent method [21] and the delay-fraction approach [36], which could be the further work to reduce the possible conservatism in the dynamical analysis. And another future research topics would be the extension of the present results to more general cases, for example, the case that there exist multiply variable delays, and the case that the neural network of neutral-type is a difference system. The results will appear in the near future.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was partially supported by NNSF (No. 11571136) of China.

(Received September 3, 2016)

# $\operatorname{REFERENCES}$

- A. Berman and R. J. Plemmons: Nonnegative Matrices in Mathematical Sciences. Academic Press, New York 1979.
- [2] A. Bouzerdoum and T.R. Pattison: Neural networks for quadratic optimization with bound constraints. IEEE Trans. Neural Networks 4 (1993), 293–303. DOI:10.1109/72.207617
- [3] P. P. Civalleri, M. Gilli, and L. Pandolfi: On stability of cellular neural networks with delay. IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I 40 (1993), 157–165. DOI:10.1109/81.222796
- [4] C. Cheng, T. Liao, J. Yan, and C. Hwang: Globally asymptotic stability of a class of neutral-type neural networks with delays. IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern. 36 (2006), 1191–1195. DOI:10.1109/tsmcb.2006.874677
- [5] L. Cheng, Z. Hou, and M. Tan: A neutral-type delayed projection neural network for solving nonlinear variational inequalities. IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II-Express Brief 55 (2008), 806–810. DOI:10.1109/tcsii.2008.922472
- [6] L. O. Chua and L. Yang: Cellular neural networks: applications. IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. 35 (1988), 1273–1290. DOI:10.1109/31.7601
- [7] Z. Gui, W. Ge, and X. Yang: Periodic oscillation for a Hopfield neural networks with neutral delays. Phys. Lett. A 364 (2007), 267–273. DOI:10.1016/j.physleta.2006.12.013
- [8] Z. Guan, G. Chen, and Y. Qin: On equilibria, stability and instability of Hopfield neural networks. IEEE Trans. Neural Networks 2 (2000), 534–540. DOI:10.1109/72.839023
- J. Hale: Theory of Functional Differential Equations. Applied Mathematical Springer– Verlag, New York 1977. DOI:10.1007/978-1-4612-9892-2

- [10] X.-M. Hang and Q.-L. Han: Event-based  $H_{\infty}$  filtering for sampled-data systems. Automatica 51 (2015), 55–69.
- [11] W. He, G. Chen, Q.-L. Han, and F. Qian: Network-based leader-following consensus of nonlinear multi-agent systems via distributed impulsive control. Inform. Sci. 20 (2017), 145–158. DOI:10.1016/j.ins.2015.06.005
- [12] W. He, F. Qian, and J. Cao: Pinning-controlled synchronization of delayed neural networks with distributed-delay coupling via impulsive control. Neural Networks 85 (2017), 1–9. DOI:10.1016/j.neunet.2016.09.002
- [13] W. He, F. Qian, J. Lam, G. Chen, Q.-L. Han, and J. Kurths: Quasisynchronization of heterogeneous dynamic networks via distributed impulsive control: Error estimation, optimization and design. Automatica 62 (2015), 249–262. DOI:10.1016/j.automatica.2015.09.028
- [14] D. H. Ji, J. H. Koo, S. C. Won, S. M. Lee, and J. H. Park: Passivity-based control for Hopfield neural networks using convex representation. Appl. Math. Comput. 217 (2011), 6168–6175. DOI:10.1016/j.amc.2010.12.100
- [15] S.K. Kaul and X.Z. Liu: Vector Lyapunov functions for impulsive differential systems with variable times. Dyn. Continuous Discrete Impulsive Systems 6 (1999), 25–38.
- [16] M. P. Kennedy and L. O. Chua: Neural networks for non-linear programming. IEEE Trans. Circuits. Syst. 35 (1988), 554-562. DOI:10.1109/31.1783
- [17] V. Lakshmikantham, D. D. Bainov, and P. S. Simeonov: Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations. World Scientific, Singapore 1989. DOI:10.1142/0906
- [18] V. Lakshmikantham, S. Leela, and S. K. Kaul: Comparison principle for impulsive differential equations with variable times and stability theory. Nonlinear Anal. 22 (1994), 499–503. DOI:10.1016/0362-546x(94)90170-8
- [19] V. Lakshmikantham, N. S. Papageorgiou, and J. Vasundhara: The method of upper and lower solutions and monotone technique for impulsive differential equations with variable moments. Appl. Anal. 15 (1993), 41–58. DOI:10.1080/00036819308840203
- [20] S. Lakshmanan, J. H. Park, H. Y. Jung, and P. Balasubramaniam: Design of state estimator for neural networks with leakage, discrete and distributed delays. Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2012), 11297–11310. DOI:10.1016/j.amc.2012.05.022
- [21] T. Li, W. Zheng, and C. Lin: Delay-slope dependent stability results of recurrent neural networks. IEEE Trans. Neural Networks 22 (2011), 2138–2143. DOI:10.1109/tnn.2011.2169425
- [22] C. Lien, K. Yu, Y. Lin, Y. Chung, and L. Chung: Exponential convergence rate estimation for uncertain delayed neural networks of neutral type. Chao. Solit. Fract. 40 (2009), 2491– 2499. DOI:10.1016/j.chaos.2007.10.043
- [23] X. Liu and G. Ballinger: Existence and continuability of solutions for differential equations with delays and state-dependent impulses. Nonlinear Anal. 51 (2002), 633–647. DOI:10.1016/s0362-546x(01)00847-1
- [24] Y. Liu, Z. Wang, and X. Liu: Global exponential stability of generalized recurrent neural networks with discrete and distributed delays. Neural Networks 19 (2006), 667–675. DOI:10.1016/j.neunet.2005.03.015
- [25] S. Long and D. Xu: Delay-dependent stability analysis for impulsive neural networks with time varying delays. Neurocomputing 71 (2008), 1705–1713. DOI:10.1016/j.neucom.2007.03.010

- [26] C. M. Marcus and R. M. Westervelt: Stability of analog neural networks with delay. Phys. Rev. A 39 (1989), 347–359. DOI:10.1103/physreva.39.347
- [27] Y. Niu, J. Lam, and X. Wang: Sliding-mode control for uncertain neutral delay systems. IEE Proc. Part D: Control Theory Appl. 151 (2004), 38–44. DOI:10.1049/ip-cta:20040009
- [28] J. H. Park: Further result on asymptotic stability criterion of cellular neural networks with time-varying discrete and distributed delays. Appl. Math. Comput. 182 (2006), 1661–1666. DOI:10.1016/j.amc.2006.06.005
- [29] J.H. Park, O. Kwon, and S. Lee: LMI optimization approach on stability for delayed neural networks of neutral-type. Appl. Math. Comput. 196 (2008), 236–244. DOI:10.1016/j.amc.2007.05.047
- [30] J. Qin and J. Cao: Delay-dependent robust stability of neutral-type neural networks with time delays. J. Math. Cont. Sci. Appl. 1 (2007), 179–188.
- [31] R. Rakkiyappan, P. Balasubramaniama, and J. Cao: Global exponential stability results for neutral-type impulsive neural networks. Nonlinear Anal. RWA 11 (2010), 122–130. DOI:10.1016/j.nonrwa.2008.10.050
- [32] T. Roska and L.O. Chua: Cellular neural networks with nonlinear and delay-type templates. Int. J. Circuit Theory Appl. 20 (1992), 469–481. DOI:10.1002/cta.4490200504
- [33] A. M. Samoilenko and N. A. Perestyuk: Impulsive Differential Equations. World Scientific, Singapore 1995. DOI:10.1142/9789812798664
- [34] V. Singh: On global robust stability of interval Hopfield neural networks with delay. Chao. Solit. Fract. 33 (2007), 1183–1188. DOI:10.1016/j.chaos.2006.01.121
- [35] C. C. Travis and G. F. Webb: Existence and stability for partial functional differential equations. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 200 (1974), 395–418. DOI:10.1090/s0002-9947-1974-0382808-3
- [36] Z. Wang, Y. Wang, and Y. Liu: Global synchronization for discrete-time stochastic complex networks with randomly occurred nonlinearities and mixed time delays. IEEE Trans. Neural Networks 21 (2010), 11–25. DOI:10.1109/tnn.2009.2033599
- [37] J. Wang, X.-M. Zhang, and Q.-L. Han: Event-triggered generalized dissipativity filtering for neural networks with time-varying delays. IEEE Trans. Neural Networks and Learning Systems 27 (2016), 77–88. DOI:10.1109/tnnls.2015.2411734
- [38] G. F. Webb: Autonomos nonlinear functional differential equations and nonlinear semigroups. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 46 (1974), 1–12. DOI:10.1016/0022-247x(74)90277-7
- [39] S. Xu, J. Lam, D. Ho, and Y. Zou: Delay-dependent exponential stability for class of neural networks with time delays. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 183 (2005), 16–28. DOI:10.1016/j.cam.2004.12.025
- [40] D. Xu and Z. Yang: Impulsive delay differential inequality and stability of neural networks. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 305 (2005), 107–120. DOI:10.1016/j.jmaa.2004.10.040
- [41] Y. Yang and J. Cao: Stability and periodicity in delayed cellular neural networks with impulsive effects. Nonlinear Anal. RWA 8 (2007), 362–374. DOI:10.1016/j.nonrwa.2005.11.004
- [42] J. Zhang: Global stability analysis in delayed cellular neural networks. Comput. Math. Appl. 45 (2003), 1707–1720. DOI:10.1016/s0898-1221(03)00149-4
- [43] X.-M. Zhang and Q.-L. Han: New Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals for global asymptotic stability of delayed neural networks. IEEE Trans. Neural Networks 20 (2009), 533–539. DOI:10.1109/tnn.2009.2014160

- [44] X.-M. Zhang and Q.-L. Han: Global asymptotic stability for a class of generalized neural networks with interval time-varying delays. IEEE Trans. Neural Networks 22 (2011), 1180–1192. DOI:10.1109/tnn.2011.2147331
- [45] X.-M. Zhang and Q.-L. Han: Global asymptotic stability analysis for delayed neural networks using a matrix-based quadratic convex approach. Neural Networks 54 (2014), 57–69. DOI:10.1016/j.neunet.2014.02.012
- [46] X.-M. Zhang and Q.-L. Han: Event-triggered  $H_{\infty}$  control for a class of nonlinear networked control systems using novel integral inequalities. Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control 27 (2016), 4, 679–700.DOI:10.1002/rnc.3598
- [47] Y. Zhang and J. T. Sun: Boundedness of the solutions of impulsive differential systems with time-varying delay. Appl. Math. Comput. 154 (2004), 279–288. DOI:10.1016/s0096-3003(03)00712-4
- [48] Y. Zhang, S. Xu, Y. Chu, and J. Lu: Robust global synchronization of complex networks with neutral-type delayed nodes. Appl. Math. Comput. 216 (2010), 768–778. DOI:10.1016/j.amc.2010.01.075

Bo Du, Department of Mathematics, Huaiyin Normal University, Huaian Jiangsu, 223300. P. R. China. e-mail: dubo7307@163.com

Yurong Liu, Department of Mathematics, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou Jiangsu, 225002. P. R. China. e-mail: yrliu@yzu.edu.cn

Dan Cao, Department of Mathematics, Huaiyin Normal University, Huaian Jiangsu, 223300. P. R. China.

*e-mail:* 1486426792@qq.com