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Abstract. Given a distribution $T$ on the sphere we define, in analogy to the work of Łojasiewicz, the value of $T$ at a point $\xi$ of the sphere and we show that if $T$ has the value $\tau$ at $\xi$, then the Fourier-Laplace series of $T$ at $\xi$ is Abel-summable to $\tau$.
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## 1. Introduction

Consider the periodic distribution $T$ with period $2 \pi$ defined by

$$
T(\varphi):=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \int_{\varepsilon}^{2 \pi-\varepsilon} \cot \left(\frac{1}{2} t\right) \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

for all test functions $\varphi$ ( $T$ is the principal value of $\left.\cot \left(\frac{1}{2} t\right)\right)$. Its Fourier coefficients, given by $\mathcal{F} T(k):=T\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} k t}\right) / 2 \pi$, are equal to -i for $k>0,0$ for $k=0$ and i for $k<0$. Hence, the Fourier series of $T$,

$$
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F} T(k) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k t}
$$

does not converge at any $t \in[-\pi, \pi]$; generally, one only reads that it converges to $T$ in the sense of distributions. In fact it is possible to reconstruct $T$ from $\mathcal{F} T$ using pointwise convergence only (and no test functions); the Fourier series of $T$ is

Abel-summable to $\cot \left(\frac{1}{2} t\right)$ at every $t \neq 0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1_{-}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} r^{|k|} \mathcal{F} T(k) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k t} & =\lim _{r \rightarrow 1_{-}}(-\mathrm{i}) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t}\right)^{k}+\mathrm{i} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(r \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} t}\right)^{k} \\
& =\lim _{r \rightarrow 1-}(-\mathrm{i}) \frac{r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t}}{1-r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t}}+\mathrm{i} \frac{r \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} t}}{1-r \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} t}} \\
& =\lim _{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{2 r \sin t}{1+r^{2}-2 r \cos t} \\
& =\cot \left(\frac{1}{2} t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This result is general: Walter [9], page 146, proved that if a periodic distribution $T$ in one variable has the value $\tau$ at a point $t$ (in the sense of Lojasiewicz), then the Fourier series of $T$ at $t$ is Cesàro- and hence Abel-summable to $\tau$. A complete characterization for Fourier series and Fourier integrals on $\mathbb{R}$ was given in [8]. Note that the pointwise convergence or summability of expansions of distributions has been investigated with respect to other orthogonal systems, such as wavelets (see [5], [9], [10]).

If we want to generalize Walter's result to the spheres $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, n \geqslant 2$, we must define the notion of value at a point for distributions on the sphere. In Section 2, after introducing useful notation we give a definition which is analogous to the one of Lojasiewicz, but which only uses the Laplace-Beltrami operator and its iterates instead of more general differential operators. We are then able in Section 3 to show that if $T$ has the value $\tau$ at $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, then the Fourier-Laplace series of $T$ at $\xi$ is Abel-summable to $\tau$.

## 2. Preliminaries

We write $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ for the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geqslant 2$, and $\sigma_{n-1}$ for the measure on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ induced by the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, so that

$$
\omega_{n-1}:=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{n-1}(\eta)=\frac{2 \pi^{n / 2}}{\Gamma(n / 2)}
$$

We define a distance $d$ on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ by $d(\zeta, \eta):=1-(\zeta \mid \eta)$, where $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ is the euclidean scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. A spherical harmonic of degree $l$ on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, is the restriction to $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ of a polynomial on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ which is harmonic and homogeneous of degree $l$. We write $\mathcal{S} H_{l}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ for the vector space of spherical harmonics of degree $l$; its dimension is

$$
d_{l}^{n}:=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S} H_{l}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)=\frac{(2 l+n-2)(n+l-3)!}{(n-2)!l!}=\frac{2 l^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}+O\left(l^{n-3}\right)
$$

Two spherical harmonics of different degrees are orthogonal with respect to the scalar product $(\cdot \mid \cdot)_{2}$ of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}\right)$. If $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, we write $\Pi_{l}(f)$ for the orthogonal projection of $f$ onto $\mathcal{S} H_{l}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$; the series

$$
\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \Pi_{l}(f),
$$

called Fourier-Laplace series of $f$, converges to $f$ in square mean. Given $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, the unique spherical harmonic $Z_{l}(\zeta, \cdot)$ of degree $l$ such that

$$
\Pi_{l}(f)(\zeta)=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n}-1} Z_{l}(\zeta, \eta) f(\eta) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{n-1}(\eta)
$$

is the zonal with pole $\zeta$ of degree $l$; it is the reproducing kernel of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{S} H_{l}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$. If $f$ is a function defined on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we write $f \uparrow$ for the homogeneous function of degree 0 defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ by $(f \uparrow)(x):=f(x /\|x\|)$. Conversely, if $g$ is a function defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$, we denote by $g \downarrow$ its restriction to $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. We say that a function $f$ on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is in $C^{l}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ (where $\left.l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right)$ if $f \uparrow \in C^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$. When $f \in C^{l}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$, we can define for every multiindex $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ with $|\alpha|:=\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n} \leqslant l$,

$$
D_{S}^{\alpha} f:=\left(D^{\alpha}(f \uparrow)\right) \downarrow=\left(\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}}(f \uparrow)\right) \downarrow .
$$

In this way we can obtain from the Laplacian $\Delta$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \Delta_{S}$; it is self-adjoint with respect to $(\cdot \mid \cdot)_{2}$ and $\mathcal{S} H_{l}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ is an eigenspace associated to the eigenvalue $-l(l+n-2)$ (for all this, see [1] and [4]).

We write $\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ for the space of functions $C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ with the topology given by the family of seminorms

$$
p_{m}(\varphi):=\sup _{|\alpha| \leqslant m} \sup _{\eta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\left|D_{S}^{\alpha} \varphi(\eta)\right|,
$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ (note that $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}=p_{0}(\varphi)$ ). If $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$, its Fourier-Laplace series converges to $\varphi$ in this topology [2], page 265.

The dual $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ of $\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ is the space of distributions on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. The FourierLaplace series of a distribution $T$ on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is

$$
\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \Pi_{l}(T),
$$

where for $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,

$$
\Pi_{l}(T)(\zeta):=T\left[\eta \mapsto Z_{l}(\zeta, \eta)\right] ;
$$

it converges to $T$ in the sense of distributions [2], page 265.

To find how we can define the value of a distribution $T$ on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ at a point $\zeta$ in $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we must consider the original definition on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of Łojasiewicz: a distribution $S$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ has the value $\tau$ at a point $x_{0}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ if and only if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied [6] on pages $15,25,21$ :
(a) $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} S\left(x_{0}+\lambda x\right)=\tau$, distributionally, in a neighbourhood of $x_{0}$;
(b) $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} S\left[x \mapsto \lambda^{-n} \varphi\left(\left(x-x_{0}\right) / \lambda\right)\right]=\tau$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) \mathrm{d} x=1$;
(c) there exist $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ and a continuous function $F$ such that $S=D^{\alpha} F$ and $F(x)=\tau\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{\alpha} / \alpha!+o\left(\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|^{|\alpha|}\right)$ in a neighbourhood of $x_{0}$.
Since there is no natural dilation on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, conditions (a) and (b) are not adequate here. Condition (c) is more promising. In fact, it is heuristically quite clear: $S$ is on a neighbourhood of $x_{0}$ the derivative $D^{\alpha}$, up to a "negligible" term, of $\tau\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{\alpha} / \alpha$ ! and $D^{\alpha}\left(\tau\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{\alpha} / \alpha!\right)=\tau$. However, in saying this we use the fact that the derivation of distributions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a generalization of the derivation of functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ : if $T_{f}$ is the distribution defined by the function $f \in C^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then $D^{\alpha} T_{f}=T_{D^{\alpha} f}$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ with $|\alpha| \leqslant m$, which is a consequence of the equality

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi(x) D^{\alpha} \psi(x) \mathrm{d} x=(-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} D^{\alpha} \varphi(x) \psi(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

true for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Now, such an equality is in general false on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ for the differential operators $D_{S}^{\alpha}$ : there is no constant $c$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi(\eta) D_{S}^{e_{j}} \psi(\eta) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{n-1}(\eta)=c \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} D_{S}^{e_{j}} \varphi(\eta) \psi(\eta) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{n-1}(\eta)
$$

for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$, where $e_{j}$ is the multiindex given by $\left(e_{j}\right)_{l}=\delta_{j l}$ (take $\varphi=1$ and $\left.\psi(\zeta)=\zeta_{j}\right)$. Instead of general $D_{S}^{\alpha}$ we therefore use the Laplace-Beltrami operator and its iterates, because these are self-adjoint.

There is still a point we cannot transpose without modification on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ : in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ we have $D^{\alpha}\left(\tau\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{\alpha} / \alpha!\right)=\tau$ everywhere. On the contrary, there is no function $f \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ such that $\Delta_{S} f=\tau$ if $\tau \in \mathbb{C}, \tau \neq 0$. We are thus led to the following.

Definition 2.1. A distribution $T \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ has the value $\tau \in C$ in $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ if there exist $p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, F \in C\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ and $f \in C^{2 p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ such that
(1) in the sense of distributions, $T=\Delta_{S}^{p} F$ on a neighbourhood of $\zeta$;
(2) $F(\eta)=f(\eta)+o\left[d(\zeta, \eta)^{p}\right]$ for $\eta \rightarrow \zeta$;
(3) $\Delta_{S}^{p} f(\zeta)=\tau$.

Remark 2.2. It is not difficult, using the criterion (b) above, to show that given $S \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$, if there exist $p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, F \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $f \in C^{2 p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $S=\Delta^{p} F$ on a neighbourhood of $x_{0}, F(x)=f(x)+o\left(\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|^{2 p}\right)$ for $x \rightarrow x_{0}$
and $\Delta^{p} f\left(x_{0}\right)=\tau$, then $S$ has the value $\tau$ in $x_{0}$ (and this conclusion is no more true when assuming $o\left(\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|^{p}\right)$ instead of $\left.o\left(\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|^{2 p}\right)\right)$. The discrepancy between the exponents in this $o\left(\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|^{2 p}\right)$ and in $o\left[d(\zeta, \eta)^{p}\right]$ of (2) above is only superficial. Take two points $\zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and let $\varphi$ be the angle between $\zeta$ and $\eta$ seen as vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then $d(\zeta, \eta)=1-(\zeta \mid \eta)=1-\cos (\varphi)=2 \sin ^{2}(\varphi / 2)=2(\|\zeta-\eta\| / 2)^{2}=\|\zeta-\eta\|^{2} / 2$ and $o\left[d(\zeta, \eta)^{p}\right]=o\left(\|\zeta-\eta\|^{2 p}\right)$ as $\eta \rightarrow \zeta$.

Remark 2.3. It immediately follows from the definition that if $T$ is equal in the sense of distributions to a continuous function $F$ on a neighbourhood of $\zeta$, then $T$ has the value $F(\zeta)$ in $\zeta$.

## 3. Fourier inversion on the sphere

Let $T \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$. Since $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is compact, $T$ is of finite order; that is, there exist $C>0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|T(\varphi)| \leqslant C \sup _{|\alpha| \leqslant m} \sup _{\eta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\left|D_{S}^{\alpha} \varphi(\eta)\right| \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$.
Let us now study the derivatives, with respect to $\eta$, of

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{l}(\zeta, \eta)=\frac{d_{l}^{n}}{\omega_{n-1}} P_{l}^{(n-2) / 2}((\zeta \mid \eta)) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a fixed $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, where $P_{l}^{(n-2) / 2}$ are polynomials in one variable (see [7], Theorem 2.14, page 149). We know (see [3], page 762) that if $l \geqslant 1$,

$$
D_{S}^{e_{j}} \frac{d_{l}^{n}}{\omega_{n-1}} P_{l}^{(n-2) / 2}((\zeta \mid \eta))=2 \pi \frac{d_{l-1}^{n+2}}{\omega_{n+1}} P_{l-1}^{n / 2}((\zeta \mid \eta)) D_{S}^{e_{j}}(\zeta \mid \eta)
$$

We get similarily for every multiindex $\alpha \neq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{S}^{\alpha}\left[\eta \mapsto Z_{l}(\zeta, \eta)\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{|\alpha|}(2 \pi)^{j} Q_{j}(\zeta, \eta) \frac{d_{l-j}^{n+2 j}}{\omega_{n-1+2 j}} P_{l-j}^{(n-2+2 j) / 2}((\zeta \mid \eta)), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{j}(\zeta, \eta)$ is a linear combination of products of $D_{S}^{\beta}(\zeta \mid \eta)$ (with $\beta \leqslant \alpha$ ) which does not depend on $l$. Now, according to [7], Corollary 2.9, page 144,

$$
\left|Z_{l}(\zeta, \eta)\right| \leqslant \frac{d_{l}^{n}}{\omega_{n-1}}
$$

for any $\zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Comparing this with (3.2), we deduce that

$$
\left|P_{l}^{(n-2) / 2}((\zeta \mid \eta))\right| \leqslant 1
$$

for any $\zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Therefore each term in the sum of (3.3) can be majorized in absolute value by

$$
A_{j} \frac{d_{l-j}^{n+2 j}}{\omega_{n-1+2 j}}
$$

where $A_{j}>0$ does not depend on $l$. Moreover $d_{l-j}^{n+2 j} \leqslant B_{j} l^{n+2 j-2}$, where $B_{j}>0$ does not depend on $l$. Put $A_{0}=B_{0}:=1$. Then for all $\eta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$,

$$
\left|D_{S}^{\alpha} Z_{l}(\zeta, \eta)\right| \leqslant(|\alpha|+1) \max _{0 \leqslant j \leqslant|\alpha|} A_{j} B_{j} l^{n+2|\alpha|-2}
$$

We deduce that for $0 \leqslant r<1$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ fixed the series

$$
\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} r^{l} Z_{l}(\zeta, \eta)
$$

converges as a function of $\eta$ for the semi-norm $p_{m}$. It follows from (3.1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} r^{l} \Pi_{l}(T)(\zeta) & =\lim _{L \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{l=0}^{L} r^{l} \Pi_{l}(T)(\zeta) \\
& =\lim _{L \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{l=0}^{L} r^{l} T\left[\eta \mapsto Z_{l}(\zeta, \eta)\right] \\
& =\lim _{L \rightarrow \infty} T\left[\eta \mapsto \sum_{l=0}^{L} r^{l} Z_{l}(\zeta, \eta)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

exists and is equal to

$$
T\left[\eta \mapsto \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} r^{l} Z_{l}(\zeta, \eta)\right]
$$

that is, by [7], Theorem 2.10, page 145 , to

$$
T\left[\eta \mapsto \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \frac{1-r^{2}}{\left(1-2 r(\zeta \mid \eta)+r^{2}\right)^{n / 2}}\right]
$$

We are now ready to state our main result.

Theorem 3.1. Let $T \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right), \xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $\tau \in C$. If $T$ has the value $\tau$ in $\xi$, then

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1-} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} r^{l} \Pi_{l}(T)(\xi)=\tau
$$

Proof. We divide it in two parts.
First part. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\|x\|<1$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ we put

$$
P(x, \eta):=\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \frac{1-\|x\|^{2}}{\|x-\eta\|^{n}}
$$

this is the well known Poisson kernel; among its many properties we will use the following two: if $f \in C\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \zeta,\|x\|<1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(\eta) P(x, \eta) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{n-1}(\eta)=f(\zeta) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [1], Theorem 1.17 page 13); and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\|x\|<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} P(x, \eta) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{n-1}(\eta)=1 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [1], Proposition 1.20, page 14).
If we write $x=r \zeta$ with $0 \leqslant r<1$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|x-\eta\|^{n} & =(r \zeta-\eta \mid r \zeta-\eta)^{n / 2} \\
& =((r \zeta \mid r \zeta)-2(r \zeta \mid \eta)+(\eta \mid \eta))^{n / 2} \\
& =\left(r^{2}-2 r(\zeta \mid \eta)+1\right)^{n / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
P(r \zeta, \eta)=\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \frac{1-r^{2}}{\left(1-2 r(\zeta \mid \eta)+r^{2}\right)^{n / 2}}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} r^{l} \Pi_{l}(T)(\zeta)=T[\eta \mapsto P(r \zeta, \eta)]
$$

We will calculate $D_{S}^{\alpha}[\eta \mapsto P(r \zeta, \eta)]$. Using the equality

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(1-2 r(\zeta \mid x)\|x\|^{-1}+r^{2}\right)=-2 r\left(\zeta_{j}\|x\|^{-1}-(\zeta \mid x) x_{j}\|x\|^{-3}\right),
$$

we find that

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} P\left(r \zeta, \frac{x}{\|x\|}\right)=\frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right) r}{\omega_{n-1}} \frac{n\left(\zeta_{j}\|x\|^{-1}-(\zeta \mid x) x_{j}\|x\|^{-3}\right)}{\left(1-2 r(\zeta \mid x)\|x\|^{-1}+r^{2}\right)^{1+n / 2}}
$$

and, by induction,

$$
D^{\alpha} P\left(r \zeta, \frac{x}{\|x\|}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right) r^{j}}{\omega_{n-1}} \frac{R_{j}^{\alpha}\left(\zeta, x,\|x\|^{-1}\right)}{\left(1-2 r(\zeta \mid x)\|x\|^{-1}+r^{2}\right)^{j+n / 2}},
$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}, \alpha \neq 0, r>0, \zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $R_{j}^{\alpha}\left(\zeta, x,\|x\|^{-1}\right)$ is a polynomial in $\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n},\|x\|^{-1}$ which does not depend on $r$. Restricting it to $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ we deduce that

$$
D_{S}^{\alpha}[\eta \mapsto P(r \zeta, \eta)]=\sum_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right) r^{j}}{\omega_{n-1}} \frac{\widetilde{R}_{j}^{\alpha}(\zeta, \eta)}{\left(1-2 r(\zeta \mid \eta)+r^{2}\right)^{j+n / 2}},
$$

where $\widetilde{R}_{j}^{\alpha}(\zeta, \eta)$ is a polynomial in $\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{n}$ which does not depend on $r$. Let

$$
M_{\alpha}:=\max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant|\alpha|} \sup _{\zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\left|\widetilde{R}_{j}^{\alpha}(\zeta, \eta)\right|
$$

and observe that

$$
1-2 r(\zeta \mid \eta)+r^{2}=(1-r)^{2}+2 r(1-(\zeta \mid \eta)) \geqslant 2 r(1-(\zeta \mid \eta))=2 r d(\zeta, \eta)
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{S}^{\alpha}[\eta \mapsto P(r \zeta, \eta)]\right| \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right) r^{j}}{\omega_{n-1}} \frac{M_{\alpha}}{[2 r d(\zeta, \eta)]^{j+n / 2}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarily, to calculate $\Delta_{S}^{l}[\eta \mapsto P(r \zeta, \eta)]$ we use the equalities

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\zeta_{j}\|x\|^{-1}-(\zeta \mid x) x_{j}\|x\|^{-3}\right)^{2}=\|x\|^{-2}-(\zeta \mid x)^{2}\|x\|^{-4}, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(1-(\zeta \mid x)^{2}\|x\|^{-2}\right)=-2(\zeta \mid x)\|x\|^{-1}\left(\zeta_{j}\|x\|^{-1}-(\zeta \mid x) x_{j}\|x\|^{-3}\right), \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(\zeta_{j}\|x\|^{-1}-(\zeta \mid x) x_{j}\|x\|^{-3}\right)=-2 \zeta_{j} x_{j}\|x\|^{-1}-(\zeta \mid x)\|x\|^{-3}+3 x_{j}^{2}(\zeta \mid x)\|x\|^{-5}, \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(-2 \zeta_{j} x_{j}\|x\|^{-1}-(\zeta \mid x)\|x\|^{-3}+3 x_{j}^{2}(\zeta \mid x)\|x\|^{-5}\right)=(1-n)(\zeta \mid x)\|x\|^{-3},
\end{gathered}
$$

and find, after tedious but straightforward calculations, that for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{S}^{l}[\eta \mapsto P(r \zeta, \eta)]=\sum_{j=1}^{2 l} \frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right) r^{j}}{\omega_{n-1}} \frac{Q_{j}((\zeta \mid \eta))\left[1-(\zeta \mid \eta)^{2}\right]^{\max (j-l, 0)}}{\left(1-2 r(\zeta \mid \eta)+r^{2}\right)^{j+n / 2}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $Q_{j}$ a polynomial in one variable depending on $l$ but not on $r$. But since $1-2 r(\zeta \mid \eta)+r^{2} \geqslant 2 r(1-(\zeta \mid \eta))$, we have

$$
0 \leqslant \frac{r^{j}[1-(\zeta \mid \eta)]^{j}}{\left(1-2 r(\zeta \mid \eta)+r^{2}\right)^{j}} \leqslant \frac{r^{j}[1-(\zeta \mid \eta)]^{j}}{[2 r(1-(\zeta \mid \eta))]^{j}} \leqslant \frac{1}{2^{j}}
$$

Moreover $|1 \pm(\zeta \mid \eta)| \leqslant 2$ for any $\zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. We deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d(\zeta, \eta)^{l}\left|\Delta_{S}^{l} P(r \zeta, \eta)\right|=\left|[1-(\zeta \mid \eta)]^{l} \Delta_{S}^{l} P(r \zeta, \eta)\right| \\
&= \left\lvert\, \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{1-r^{2}}{\omega_{n-1}} \frac{Q_{j}((\zeta \mid \eta))[1-(\zeta \mid \eta)]^{l-j}}{\left(1-2 r(\zeta \mid \eta)+r^{2}\right)^{n / 2}} \frac{r^{j}[1-(\zeta \mid \eta)]^{j}}{\left(1-2 r(\zeta \mid \eta)+r^{2}\right)^{j}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{j=l+1}^{2 l} \frac{1-r^{2}}{\omega_{n-1}} \frac{Q_{j}((\zeta \mid \eta))[1+(\zeta \mid \eta)]^{j-l}}{\left(1-2 r(\zeta \mid \eta)+r^{2}\right)^{n / 2}} \frac{r^{j}[1-(\zeta \mid \eta)]^{j}}{\left(1-2 r(\zeta \mid \eta)+r^{2}\right)^{j}} \right\rvert\, \\
& \leqslant\left(\sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{\left\|Q_{j}\right\|_{\infty} 2^{l-j}}{2^{j}}+\sum_{j=l+1}^{2 l} \frac{\left\|Q_{j}\right\|_{\infty} 2^{j-l}}{2^{j}}\right) \frac{1-r^{2}}{\omega_{n-1}} \frac{1}{\left(1-2 r(\zeta \mid \eta)+r^{2}\right)^{n / 2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(\zeta, \eta)^{l}\left|\Delta_{S}^{l} P(r \zeta, \eta)\right| \leqslant C_{l} P(r \zeta, \eta) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C_{l}>0$ a constant depending only on $l$. Set $C_{0}:=1$, so that (3.8) is true for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

Finally, from

$$
0 \leqslant \frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right) r^{j}}{\left(1-2 r(\zeta \mid \eta)+r^{2}\right)^{j+n / 2}} \leqslant \frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right) r^{j}}{(2 r d(\zeta, \eta))^{j+n / 2}}
$$

it follows by (3.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1-1} \int_{\eta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, d(\zeta, \eta)>\delta}\left|\Delta_{S}^{l} P(r \zeta, \eta)\right| \mathrm{d} \sigma_{n-1}(\eta)=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $0<\delta<2$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

Second part. Choose $\varepsilon>0$ arbitrary. By assumption there exist $p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, F \in$ $C\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ and $f \in C^{2 p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ such that $T=\Delta_{S}^{p} F$ on a neighbourhood of $\xi, F(\eta)=$ $f(\eta)+o\left[d(\xi, \eta)^{p}\right]$ as $\eta \rightarrow \xi$ and $\Delta_{S}^{p} f(\xi)=\tau$.

Hence there exists $0<\delta_{1}<1$ such that $T=\Delta_{S}^{p} F$ on $B\left(\xi, 2 \delta_{1}\right)$ and there exists $0<\delta_{2}<2$ such that for all $\eta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ with $d(\xi, \eta)<\delta_{2}$ we have

$$
|F(\eta)-f(\eta)|<\varepsilon \frac{d(\xi, \eta)^{p}}{4 C_{p}}
$$

Let $\delta:=\min \left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$. Since the support of $T-\Delta_{S}^{p} F$ is included in $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \backslash B(\xi, 2 \delta)$, there exists a constant $\widetilde{C}>0$ such that

$$
\left|\left(T-\Delta_{S}^{p} F\right)(\varphi)\right| \leqslant \widetilde{C} \sup _{|\alpha| \leqslant \widetilde{m} d(\eta, \xi) \geqslant \delta} \sup _{S}\left|D_{S}^{\alpha} \varphi(\eta)\right|
$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$, where $\widetilde{m}$ is the order of the distribution $T-\Delta_{S}^{p} F$. In view of (3.6), we can then find $0 \leqslant r_{1}<1$ such that $r_{1} \leqslant r<1$ implies

$$
\left|\left(T-\Delta_{S}^{p} F\right)[\eta \mapsto P(r \xi, \eta)]\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}
$$

By (3.9) there exists $0 \leqslant r_{2}<1$ such that $r_{2} \leqslant r<1$ implies

$$
\int_{d(\xi, \eta)>\delta}\left|\Delta_{S}^{p} P(r \xi, \eta)\right| \mathrm{d} \sigma_{n-1}(\eta)<\frac{\varepsilon}{4\|F\|_{\infty}+4\|f\|_{\infty}+1} .
$$

Finally, since $f \in C^{2 p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right), \Delta_{S}^{p} f \in C\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$, by (3.4) we get

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \xi,\|x\|<1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n}-1} \Delta_{S}^{p} f(\eta) P(x, \eta) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{n-1}(\eta)=\Delta_{S}^{p} f(\xi)=\tau
$$

Therefore there exists $0 \leqslant r_{3}<1$ such that $r_{3} \leqslant r<1$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \Delta_{S}^{p} f(\eta) P(r \xi, \eta) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{n-1}(\eta)-\tau\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $r_{0}:=\max \left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$. For all $r_{0} \leqslant r<1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} r^{l} \Pi_{l}(T)(\xi)-\tau\right| & =|T[\eta \mapsto P(r \xi, \eta)]-\tau| \\
& \leqslant\left|\left(T-\Delta_{S}^{p} F\right)[\eta \mapsto P(r \xi, \eta)]\right|+\left|\Delta_{S}^{p} F[\eta \mapsto P(r \xi, \eta)]-\tau\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
< & \frac{\varepsilon}{4}+\left|F\left[\eta \mapsto \Delta_{S}^{p} P(r \xi, \eta)\right]-\tau\right| \\
< & \frac{\varepsilon}{4}+\left|\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} F(\eta) \Delta_{S}^{p} P(r \xi, \eta) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{n-1}(\eta)-\tau\right| \\
\leqslant & \frac{\varepsilon}{4}+\left|\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(F(\eta)-f(\eta)) \Delta_{S}^{p} P(r \xi, \eta) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{n-1}(\eta)\right| \\
& +\left|\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(\eta) \Delta_{S}^{p} P(r \xi, \eta) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{n-1}(\eta)-\tau\right| \\
\leqslant & \frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\int_{d(\xi, \eta)<\delta}|F(\eta)-f(\eta)|\left|\Delta_{S}^{p} P(r \xi, \eta)\right| \mathrm{d} \sigma_{n-1}(\eta) \\
& +\int_{d(\xi, \eta) \geqslant \delta}(|F(\eta)|+|f(\eta)|)\left|\Delta_{S}^{p} P(r \xi, \eta)\right| \mathrm{d} \sigma_{n-1}(\eta) \\
\leqslant & \frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\int_{d(\xi, \eta)<\delta}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4 C_{p}}\right) d(\xi, \eta)^{p}\left|\Delta_{S}^{p} P(r \xi, \eta)\right| \mathrm{d} \sigma_{n-1}(\eta) \\
& +\int_{d(\xi, \eta) \geqslant \delta}\left(\|F\|_{\infty}+\|f\|_{\infty}\right)\left|\Delta_{S}^{p} P(r \xi, \eta)\right| \mathrm{d} \sigma_{n-1}(\eta) \\
< & \frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}=\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

(In the fifth inequality we can use (3.10) because $\Delta_{S}$ is self-adjoint; in the last inequality we use (3.8) and (3.5) to majorize the integral over $B(\xi, \delta)$.)

Remark 3.2. The theorem shows that if the value of $T$ in $\xi$ exists, it is unique.
Remark 3.3. The converse of the theorem is false: take $n=2$ and $T$ the principal value of $\cot \left(\frac{1}{2} t\right)$. Then its Fourier-Laplace series is Abel-summable to 0 at $t=0$ :

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1_{-}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} r^{|k|} \mathcal{F} T(k) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k 0}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1_{-}} \frac{2 r \sin 0}{1+r^{2}-2 r \cos 0}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1_{-}} 0=0
$$

but $T$ has no value at $t=0$.
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