Kybernetika

Steffen Lauritzen Harmonic analysis of symmetric random graphs

Kybernetika, Vol. 56 (2020), No. 6, 1081-1089

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/148500

Terms of use:

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 2020

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

HARMONIC ANALYSIS OF SYMMETRIC RANDOM GRAPHS

STEFFEN LAURITZEN

This note attempts to understand graph limits as defined by Lovasz and Szegedy in terms of harmonic analysis on semigroups. This is done by representing probability distributions of random exchangeable graphs as mixtures of characters on the semigroup of unlabeled graphs with node-disjoint union, thereby providing an alternative derivation of de Finetti's theorem for random exchangeable graphs.

Keywords: characters, deFinetti's theorem, exchangeability, extreme point models, graph

limits, graphons, positive definite functions, semigroups

Classification: 60B99, 43A35

1. INTRODUCTION

Random exchangeable graphs are fundamental for the analysis of network data, see for example [21] and [13]. Diaconis and Janson showed in [8] that the modern theory of graph limits ([18, 19]) gave a natural way of understanding such graphs.

Some of the arguments associated with establishing the connection between exchangeable graphs and graph limits may appear complicated. However, as earlier demonstrated by Ressel ([22, 23]), the theory of positive definite functions on Abelian semigroups provides a simple, generic structure for understanding exchangeability, and this is what this note attempts to exploit and explain in somewhat larger detail. Also, this analysis establishes that the graphon based models for random exchangeable graphs can be understood as natural 'exponential families' for random graphs, in contrast to the so-called exponential random graph models (ERGMs) ([11, 25]).

An ultra short summary of the main points in the developments below has appeared as part of [14].

2. HARMONIC ANALYSIS ON SEMIGROUPS

We shall use the following concepts from [3], see also [4]. We consider an Abelian semigroup (S,+) with neutral element 0 and identity involution. A function $\rho: S \to \mathbb{R}$ is a *character* if and only if

$$\rho(s+t) = \rho(s)\rho(t), \quad \rho(0) = 1$$

DOI: 10.14736/kyb-2020-6-1081

that is, a character behaves like an exponential function. A function $\phi: S \to \mathbb{R}$ is positive definite if and only if

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} c_j c_k \phi(s_j + s_k) \ge 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, c_j \in \mathbb{R}, s_j \in S;$$

in other words, a function is positive definite if and only if all matrices of the form $\{m_{jk} = \phi(s_j + s_k)\}$ are positive semidefinite.

We let $\mathcal{P}(S)$ denote the set of positive definite functions on S, $\mathcal{P}^b(S)$ the set of all bounded positive definite functions, and \mathcal{P}^b_1 the set of bounded positive definite functions ϕ with $\phi(0) = 1$. When equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence, \mathcal{P}^b_1 is a compact Hausdorff space.

We let \hat{S} denote the set of all bounded characters on (S, +) and note that these form an Abelian semigroup under multiplication; (\hat{S}, \cdot) is the *dual semigroup* to (S, +). We shall be using the following result from [3].

Theorem 2.1. (Berg, Christensen, Ressel) The set \mathcal{P}_1^b is a Bauer simplex with the bounded characters as extreme points. In particular, any $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_1^b$ has a unique representation as barycentre of a probability measure μ on \hat{S} :

$$\phi(s) = \int_{\hat{S}} \rho(s) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(\rho)$$

and the set of bounded characters \hat{S} form a closed subset of \mathcal{P}_1^b .

3. GENERALIZED EXPONENTIAL FAMILIES

Generalized exponential families were introduced in [15] and studied further in [16] where Theorem 2.1 was exploited to identify these as so-called *extreme point models* for i.i.d. observations.

More precisely, a generalized exponential family of distributions on a discrete state space \mathcal{X} is determined as a set of probability mass functions of the form

$$p(x;\theta) = \frac{\theta(t(x))}{c(\theta)}b(x), \quad \theta \in \Theta \subseteq \tilde{S}, \quad x \in \mathcal{X}$$

where $t: \mathcal{X} \to S$ is a *canonical sufficient statistic* with values in an Abelian semigroup S, b defines a *base measure*, \tilde{S} are the (not necessarily bounded if b is not uniform) characters, and Θ is the canonical parameter space

$$\Theta = \left\{ \theta \in \tilde{S} : c(\theta) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \theta(t(x))b(x) < \infty \right\}.$$

Note that $c(\theta)$ is the Laplace transform of the lifted base measure b^* on S:

$$b^*(s) = \sum_{x \in t^{-1}(s)} b(x), \quad c(\theta) = \sum_{s \in S} \theta(s)b^*(s).$$

These exponential families share many (but not all) properties of more standard exponential families which have the same form but for the special semigroup $(\mathbb{R}^k, +)$, usually considered as a vector space.

4. GRAPHS

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we let \mathcal{L}_n and \mathcal{U}_n denote the set of simple labeled graphs and simple unlabeled graphs with node set $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$; further, we let $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{U}_0$ be the empty graph, \mathcal{L}_{∞} the set of infinite graphs with node set \mathbb{N} , $\mathcal{L} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_n$, and $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_n$.

For $G \in \mathcal{L}$ we let [G] denote the equivalence class of all labeled graphs isomorphic to G. We will also without ambiguity think of [G] as an unlabeled graph.

If G and H are in \mathcal{L} , we write $H \subseteq G$ if H is a subgraph of G. If A is a subset of the node set in a labeled graph G, the subgraph induced by A has A as node set and edge set equal to all edges in G with both endpoints in A. For $m \leq n$ and $G \in \mathcal{L}_n$, G[m] is the subgraph of G induced by [m]. An infinite graph in \mathcal{L}_{∞} can be identified with its sequence of induced subgraphs $G_n = G([n]), n \in \mathbb{N}$; such a sequence is *consistent* in the sense that for all $m \leq n$ we have $G_m = G_n([m])$.

For $n < \infty$, $G \in \mathcal{L}_n$, and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$, the permutation group on [n], we will let G_{σ} be the graph obtained from G by relabeling its nodes according to σ . Thus $i \sim j$ in G if and only if $\sigma(i) \sim \sigma(j)$ in G_{σ} .

5. SYMMETRIC RANDOM GRAPHS

We consider a probability distribution P on \mathcal{L}_{∞} and say this is *symmetric* if and only if

$$P(G[n] = H) = P(G[n] = H_{\sigma}), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, H \in \mathcal{L}_n, \sigma \in S_n.$$

We then also say the random graph G or its distribution P is exchangeable ([1, 2, 7, 8, 17, 20]).

It is practical to represent the distribution P through its $M\ddot{o}bius\ parameters$ ([9, 13, 14]) $Z(F), F \in \mathcal{L}^*$ where $\mathcal{L}^* = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_n^*$ is the set of labeled graphs with no isolated nodes and

$$Z(F) = P(G : F \subseteq G).$$

The quantities Z and P are related by the Möbius transform; if $G \in \mathcal{L}_n$

$$P(G) = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{L}^*: G \subseteq B \subseteq K_n} (-1)^{|B \setminus G|} Z(B), \quad Z(F) = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{L}_n: F \subseteq B} P(B)$$
 (1)

where K_n is the complete graph on n nodes and $|B \setminus C|$ denotes the cardinality of the difference of the corresponding edge set. A non-negative function Z is a valid Möbius parameter if and only if the left-most expression in (1) is non-negative for all $G \in \mathcal{L}$. We note that the positivity condition is not so easy to verify for a given function Z, so it is of interest to derive alternative representations.

Clearly, P is symmetric if and only if Z is symmetric, or, equivalently, if there is a function $\phi: \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$Z(F) = \phi([F]). \tag{2}$$

We also note that $(\mathcal{U}, +)$ — where $U + V = U \cup V$ is (node disjoint) graph union — is an Abelian semigroup with the empty graph as its neutral element. So the map $t : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{U}$ which maps any finite graph into its equivalence class: t(F) = [F], appears as the canonical sufficient statistic in the generalized exponential family of exchangeable random graphs. Moreover we have

Lemma 5.1. Let G be a random exchangeable graph with Möbius parameter Z given as above. Then the function ϕ is bounded and positive definite on $(\mathcal{U}, +)$; in other words, $\phi \in \mathcal{P}_{D}^{1}(\mathcal{U})$.

Proof. Clearly $\phi(\emptyset) = 1$ and ϕ is bounded. Introduce the binary random variables X_{ij} for $i \neq j \in \mathbb{N}$ where $X_{ij} = 1$ if $i \sim j$ in G and $X_{ij} = 0$ otherwise; X is the (random) adjacency matrix of G. Then, clearly

$$Z(F) = \mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{ij:i\sim j\in F} X_{ij}\right).$$

So elementary calculations will verify that for F_u and F_v being node-disjoint we have

$$\sum_{u,v=1}^{n} c_u c_v \phi([F_u] + [F_v]) = \sum_{u,v=1}^{n} c_u c_v \mathbb{E} \left(\prod_{i \sim j \in F_u} X_{ij} \prod_{i \sim j \in F'_v} X_{ij} \right)$$
$$= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sum_{u} c_u \prod_{i \sim j \in F_u} X_{ij} \right\}^2 \ge 0,$$

where F'_v is a representative of $[F_v]$ which is node-disjoint from F_u for all u, v. This completes the proof.

We note that the property in Lemma 5.1 is referred to as *reflection positivity* in [19]. A de Finetti type representation of random symmetric graphs can now be obtained as a Corollary to Theorem 2.1:

Corollary 5.2. (deFinetti's theorem for exchangeable random graphs) Let P be the distribution of an random graph with Möbius parameter Z. Then P is exchangeable if and only if there is a unique probability measure μ on $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{L}$

$$Z(F) = \int_{\hat{\mathcal{U}}} \rho([F]) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(\rho).$$

We note in particular that the extreme points of the convex set of exchangeable measures — corresponding to the pure characters — are dissociated ([24]), i.e if $F = F_1 \cup F_2$ and F_1 and F_2 are node disjoint subgraphs of F it holds that

$$Z(F) = \rho([F_1]) = \rho([F_1])\rho([F_2]) = Z(F_1)Z(F_2)$$

or, in other words, we have for node-disjoint F_1, F_2 :

$$P_{\rho}(F_1 \cup F_2 \subseteq G) = P_{\rho}(F_1 \subseteq G)P_{\rho}(F_2 \subseteq G).$$

6. CHARACTERS ON THE SEMIGROUP OF UNLABELED GRAPHS

To get a more detailed version of de Finetti's theorem for exchangeable graphs we need to identify the characters on $(\mathcal{U},+)$ that enter into the representation above since not all bounded characters will be valid in the sense that their Möbius transform would be non-negative. We shall say that such characters are *fully positive* and denote the set of these characters by $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_+$. We consider first the *homomorphism densities*

$$t_{\text{hom}}(F,G) = \frac{\text{hom}(F,G)}{|G|^{|F|}},$$

where $F, G \in \mathcal{L}$ and hom(F, G) is the number of graph homomorphisms (edge preserving maps) from F to G and, as before |F| and |G| is the cardinality of the edge sets of F and G. These are multiplicative in the sense that for node disjoint subgraphs F_1, F_2

$$t_{\text{hom}}(F_1 \cup F_2, G) = t_{\text{hom}}(F_1, G)t_{\text{hom}}(F_2, G).$$
 (3)

Noting that in fact $t_{\text{hom}}(F, G)$ only depends on (F, G) through their isomorphism classes ([F], [G]) we can for $[G] \in \mathcal{U}$ define a character as

$$\rho_{[G]}([F]) = t_{\text{hom}}(F, G). \tag{4}$$

In addition we shall need the *injective homomorphism densities*

$$t_{\rm inj}(F,G) = \frac{{\rm inj}(F,G)}{(|G|)_{|F|}},$$

where $F, G \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\operatorname{inj}(F, G)$ is the number of injective graph homomorphisms (edge preserving maps) from F to G, and

$$(x)_y = x(x-1)\cdots(x-y+1)$$

is the falling factorial.

The homomorphism densities can be understood as Möbius parameters of a probability distributions of a random graph F, where vertices in F are sampled from G with or without replacement respectively. If we let $p_{\text{hom}}(F,G)$ and $p_{\text{inj}}(F,G)$ denote the corresponding probability distributions, we have therefore the inequalities

$$\sup_{F \in \mathcal{L}_m} |p_{\text{hom}}(F, G) - p_{\text{inj}}(F, G)| \le 1 - \frac{(|G|)_{|F|}}{|G|^{|F|}} \le \frac{\binom{|F|}{2}}{|G|},\tag{5}$$

and therefore in particular

$$|t_{\text{hom}}(F,G) - t_{\text{inj}}(F,G)| \le 1 - \frac{(|G|)_{|F|}}{|G|^{|F|}} \le \frac{\binom{|F|}{2}}{|G|},$$
 (6)

see [10] and Lemma 3.3 in [14] or Lemma 2.1 in [19] who give the slightly weaker bound to the right.

In particular we note that for any fixed F, the right-hand side in (5) tends to zero as $|G| \to \infty$.

Note now that for any exchangeable random graph with Möbius parameter Z, the law of total probability yields

$$Z(F) = \sum_{G \in \mathcal{L}_n} t_{\text{inj}}(F, G) P_Z^n(G), \tag{7}$$

where P_Z^n the induced measure on \mathcal{L}_n , We then have

Theorem 6.1. For every $[G] \in \mathcal{U}$, the function $\rho_{[G]}$ is a bounded and fully positive character in $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_+$. Further, these characters are dense in $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_+$: if we let \mathcal{B} denote the closure of $\{\rho_{[G]}, [G] \in \mathcal{U}\}$ within \mathcal{P}_1^b (pointwise convergence), we have $\mathcal{B} = \hat{\mathcal{U}}_+$.

Proof. For any [G], $\rho_{[G]}$ is clearly a bounded and fully positive character by (3). Since the set of bounded characters $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ is closed by Theorem 2.1 and therefore also the set of fully positive characters, we clearly have $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{U}}_+$. We need to establish the reverse inequality.

Thus let $Z \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}_+$ and let P_Z be the corresponding probability measure on \mathcal{L}_{∞} with P_Z^n the induced measure on \mathcal{L}_n . By (5) and (7) it then holds for any $F \in \mathcal{L}_m$ and any $n \geq m$ that

$$Z(F) = \sum_{G \in \mathcal{L}_n} t_{\text{hom}}(F, G) P_Z^n(G) + R(m, n), \tag{8}$$

where

$$|R(m,n)| \le \sum_{G \in \mathcal{L}_n} |t_{\text{hom}}(F,G) - t_{\text{inj}}(F,G)| P_Z^n(G) \le 1 - \frac{(n)_m}{n^m}.$$

Using (4) we can rewrite (8) as

$$Z(F) = \int_{\mathcal{B}} \rho_{[G]}(F) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_Z^n([G]) + R(m, n) \tag{9}$$

which now holds for all $n \geq m$.

Since $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ is compact, so is the set of probability measures on \mathcal{B} and hence the sequence $\mu_Z^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ must have an accumulation point μ_Z^* which then satisfies for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$Z(F) = \int_{\mathcal{B}} \rho_{[G]}(F) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_Z^*([G]).$$

Now, as the integral representation is unique by Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 5.2, we must have μ_Z^* concentrated on $Z \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}_+$ and thus $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_+ \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ which completes the proof.

Note that, in effect, (9) yields a finite deFinetti type representation for an exchangeable random graph, see also [6] and [14]. We state this results as its own corollary and note that this is in fact Theorem $1^{j.e}$ of [20]:

Corollary 6.2. (de Finetti's theorem for finitely exchangeable random graphs) Let μ_m be the induced distribution of G[m] where G is a finitely exchangeable random graph in \mathcal{L}_n . Then there is an exchangeable random graph G^* such that the distribution μ_m^* of $G^*[m]$ satisfies

$$||\mu_m - \mu_m^*||_{\infty} \le 2R(m,n) \le \frac{m(m-1)}{n}$$

where $||\cdot||_{\infty}$ is the total variation norm.

Proof. We define μ^* by its Möbius parameter Z^* as

$$Z^*(F) = \sum_{G \in \mathcal{L}_n} t_{\text{hom}}(F, G) P_Z^n(G)$$

or, equivalently

$$\mu_m^*(F) = \sum_{G \in \mathcal{L}_n} p_{\text{hom}}(F, G) P_Z^n(G)$$

and now (5) yields

$$||\mu_m - \mu_m^*||_{\infty} = 2 \sup_F |\mu_m(F) - \mu_m^*(F)|$$

 $\leq 2 \sum_{G \in \mathcal{L}_n} |p_{\text{inj}}(F, G) - p_{\text{hom}}(F, G)| P_Z^n(G)$
 $\leq 2R(m, n) \leq \frac{m(m-1)}{n}$

which was required.

Elements of \mathcal{B} can naturally be interpreted as limits of unlabeled graphs ([5, 18, 19]) by the embedding $[G] \mapsto \rho_{[G]}$ and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} [G_n] = U \iff \lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_{[G_n]}(F) = U(F), \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{U}$$

so we can write $\mathcal{U}_{\infty} = \mathcal{B} = \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{+}$.

In addition, the characters can be represented by (equivalence classes of) functions $W:[0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$ also known as a *graphons*, see references above, corresponding to adjacency matrices of infinitely exhangeable random arrays ([1, 7, 12]). This is contained in the following result:

Theorem 6.3. The fully positive and bounded characters $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_+$ on $(\mathcal{U}, +)$ are exactly the functions ρ satisfying for $F \in \mathcal{L}_n^*$

$$\rho([F]) = \int_{[0,1]^n} \prod_{ij: i \sim j \in F} W(u_i, u_j) \, du$$

for some measurable, symmetric function $W:[0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$. The function W is unique up to measure-preserving transformations of the unit interval.

Proof. It is straightforward to see that these are fully positive and bounded characters. Also for every graph $[G] \in \mathcal{U}_n$ we can construct a specific $W_{[G]}$ by partitioning the unit interval into n subintervals

$$[0,1) = [0,1/n) \cup \cdots \cup, [(n-1)/n) = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_n$$

and letting for G being a representative of [G]

$$W_{[G]}(u,v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \sim j \text{ in } G, u \in A_i, v \in A_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It may then be shown that these converge in a suitable metric exactly when $[G_n] \to U$. We refrain from giving the details of this and refer to [19] or [18].

The graphon representation of a graph limit has its advantages, but also its disadvantages in that it can be difficult to identify exactly when two graphons W and W' are representing the same character ρ since there are many measure-preserving transformations of the unit interval. In that sense, the representation as a character Z is more direct and there is a one-to-one correspondence between Z and the corresponding random graph. However, in general, it is not so easy to decide whether a given function Z specifies a valid probability distribution, i. e. satisfies the positivity restriction in (1).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This article is dedicated to the memory of František Matúš. I am grateful to an anonymous referee for sharp and constructive comments, correcting substantial shortcomings in the exposition.

(Received August 18, 2019)

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Aldous: Representations for partially exchangeable random variables. J. Multivar. Anal. 11 (1981), 581–598. DOI:10.1016/0047-259x(81)90099-3
- [2] D. Aldous: Exchangeability and related topics. In: École d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XIII 1983 (P. Hennequin, ed.), Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg 1985, pp. 1–198. DOI:10.1007/bfb0099421
- [3] C. Berg, J. P. R. Christensen, and P. Ressel: Positive definite functions on Abelian semi-groups. Math. Ann. 259 (1976), 253–274. DOI:10.1007/bf01360957
- [4] C. Berg, J. P. R. Christensen, and P. Ressel: Harmonic Analysis on Semigroups. Springer-Verlag, New York 1984. DOI:10.1007/978-1-4612-1128-0
- [5] C. Borgs, J. Chayes, L. Lovász, V. Sós, and K. Vesztergombi: Convergent sequences of dense graphs I: Subgraph frequencies, metric properties and testing. Adv. Math. 219 (2008), 1801–1851. DOI:10.1016/j.aim.2008.07.008
- [6] P. Diaconis and D. Freedman: Finite exchangeable sequences. Ann. Probab. 8 (1980), 745–764. DOI:10.1214/aop/1176994663

- [7] P. Diaconis and D. Freedman: On the statistics of vision: the Julesz conjecture. J. Math. Psychol. 24 (1981), 112–138. DOI:10.1016/0022-2496(81)90039-0
- [8] P. Diaconis and S. Janson: Graph limits and exchangeable random graphs. Rendiconti di Matematica, Serie VII 28 (2008), 33–61.
- [9] M. Drton and T.S. Richardson: Binary models for marginal independence. J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Series B 70 (2008), 287–309. DOI:10.1111/j.1467-9868.2007.00636.x
- [10] D. Freedman: A remark on the difference between sampling with and without replacement. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 72 (1977), 681. DOI:10.1080/01621459.1977.10480637
- [11] P.W. Holland and S. Leinhardt: An exponential family of probability distributions for directed graphs. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 76 (1981), 33–50. DOI:10.1080/01621459.1981.10477598
- [12] D. N. Hoover: Relations on probability spaces and arrays of random variables. Preprint, Institute of Advanced Study, Princeton 1979.
- [13] S. Lauritzen, A. Rinaldo, and K. Sadeghi: Random networks, graphical models, and exchangeability. J. Roy. Statist. Soc., Series B, 80 (2018), 481–508.
- [14] S. Lauritzen, A. Rinaldo, and K. Sadeghi: On exchangeability in network models. J. Algebr. Statist. 10 (2019), 85–114. DOI:10.18409/jas.v10i1.73
- [15] S.L. Lauritzen: General exponential models for discrete observations. Scand. J. Statist. 2 (1975), 23–33.
- [16] S. L. Lauritzen: Extremal Families and Systems of Sufficient Statistics. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg 1988. DOI:10.1007/978-1-4612-1023-8
- [17] S. L. Lauritzen: Exchangeable Rasch matrices. Rendiconti di Matematica, Serie VII 28 (2008), 83–95. DOI:10.1007/bf02419265
- [18] L. Lovász: Large Networks and Graph Limits. Colloquium Publications, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island 2012. DOI:10.1090/coll/060
- [19] L. Lovász and B. Szegedy: Limits of dense graph sequences. J. Combinat. Theory, Series B 96 (2006), 933–957. DOI:10.1016/j.jctb.2006.05.002
- [20] F. Matúš: Finite Partially Exchangeable Arrays. Technical Report 1856, Institute of Information Theory and Automation, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague 1995.
- [21] P. Orbantz and D.M. Roy: Bayesian models of graphs, arrays, and other exchangeable structures. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Machine Intel. 37 (2015), 437–461. DOI:10.1109/tpami.2014.2334607
- [22] P. Ressel: de Finetti-type theorems: An analytical approach. Ann. Probab. 13 (1985), 898–922.
- [23] P. Ressel: Exchangeability and semigroups. Rendiconti di Matematica, Serie VII 28 (2008), 63–81.
- [24] B. W. Silverman: Limit theorems for dissociated random variables. Adv. Appl. Probab. 8 (1976), 806–819. DOI:10.1017/s0001867800042932
- [25] T. A. B. Snijders, P. E. Pattison, G. L. Robins, and M. S. Handcock: New specifications for exponential random graph models. Sociolog. Methodology 36 (2006), 99–153.

Steffen Lauritzen, Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, 2100 Copenhagen. Denmark.

e-mail: lauritzen@math.ku.dk