George E. Chatzarakis; Ponnuraj Dinakar; Srinivasan Selvarangam; Ethiraju Thandapani Oscillation properties of second-order quasilinear difference equations with unbounded delay and advanced neutral terms

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 147 (2022), No. 2, 271-284

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/150332

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2022

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*: *The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

OSCILLATION PROPERTIES OF SECOND-ORDER QUASILINEAR DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS WITH UNBOUNDED DELAY AND ADVANCED NEUTRAL TERMS

GEORGE E. CHATZARAKIS, Athens, Ponnuraj Dinakar, Srinivasan Selvarangam, Ethiraju Thandapani, Chennai

Received June 10, 2020. Published online July 12, 2021. Communicated by Josef Diblík

Abstract. We obtain some new sufficient conditions for the oscillation of the solutions of the second-order quasilinear difference equations with delay and advanced neutral terms. The results established in this paper are applicable to equations whose neutral coefficients are unbounded. Thus, the results obtained here are new and complement some known results reported in the literature. Examples are also given to illustrate the applicability and strength of the obtained conditions over the known ones.

Keywords: oscillation; quasilinear difference equation; delay and advanced neutral terms *MSC 2020*: 39A10

1. INTRODUCTION

We are dealing with the oscillatory properties of solutions of a second-order quasilinear difference equation with delay and advanced neutral terms of the form

(1.1) $\Delta(\zeta(i)(\Delta\chi(i))^{\alpha}) + \varrho(i)\psi^{\beta}(\sigma(i)) = 0, \quad i \ge i_0 > 0,$

where $\chi(i) = \psi(i) + \varrho_1(i)\psi(i-\kappa) + \varrho_2(i)\psi(i+l)$, subject to the following conditions: (C₁) { $\zeta(i)$ } and { $\varrho(i)$ } are real positive sequences with $\sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \zeta^{-1/\alpha}(i) = \infty$;

(C₂) α , β are ratios of odd positive integers and l and κ are positive integers;

(C₃) { $\sigma(i)$ } is a sequence of integers and $\lim_{i \to \infty} \sigma(i) = \infty$;

DOI: 10.21136/MB.2021.0109-20

- (C₄) { $\varrho_1(i)$ } and { $\varrho_2(i)$ } are real sequences with $\varrho_1(i) \ge 0$, $\varrho_2(i) \ge 1$, and $\varrho_2(i) \ne 1$ eventually;
- (C₅) { $\varrho_1(i)$ } and { $\varrho_2(i)$ } are real sequences with $\varrho_2(i) \ge 0$, $\varrho_1(i) \ge 1$, and $\varrho_1(i) \ne 1$ eventually.

We say a real sequence $\{\psi(i)\}\$ is a solution of (1.1) if it is defined and satisfies (1.1) for all $i \ge i_0$. We consider only those solutions of $\{\psi(i)\}\$ of (1.1) that satisfy $\sup\{|\psi(i)|: i \ge N\} > 0$ for all $N \ge i_0$; moreover, we assume tacitly that (1.1) possesses such solutions. Such a solution $\{\psi(i)\}\$ of (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative, and nonoscillatory otherwise. Equation (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if all solutions of (1.1) are oscillatory.

The problem of oscillation and asymptotic behavior solutions to various classes of delay and advanced type neutral difference equations have been widely investigated in the literature, see for example [1], [2], [4], [5], [9], [10], [13], [14], [16], [17], [21]–[27] and the references cited therein. However, oscillation results for mixed type neutral difference equations are relatively scarce in the literature; some results can be found, for example, in [3], [6], [7], [8], [11], [12], [15], [19], [18], [20] and the references cited therein.

From the review of literature, we note that results obtained in [3], [6], [7], [8], [11], [12], [15], [18], [19], [20] require both of $\{\varrho_1(i)\}$ and $\{\varrho_2(i)\}$ to be constant or bounded sequences, and hence, the results established in these papers cannot be applied to the cases where $\lim_{i\to\infty} \varrho_1(i) = \infty$ and/or $\lim_{i\to\infty} \varrho_2(i) = \infty$. Motivated by this observation, we wish to develop new sufficient conditions which can be applied to the cases where $\lim_{i\to\infty} \varrho_1(i) = \infty$ and/or $\lim_{i\to\infty} \varrho_2(i) = \infty$. Therefore, the results obtained in the present paper are new and complement some existing results in the literature. Thus, we hope that the present paper will contribute significantly to the study of oscillation of the solutions of the second-order mixed type neutral difference equations.

2. Auxiliary Lemmas

In this section, we present some lemmas that will play a significant role in establishing our main results. For the sake of convenience, we define the following notation:

$$F(i) = \sum_{s=N}^{i-1} \zeta^{-1/\alpha}(s), \quad \xi(i) = \frac{1}{\varrho_2(i-l)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\varrho_2(i-2l)} - \frac{\varrho_1(i-l)}{\varrho_2(i-\kappa-2l)} \right) > 0,$$

$$\varphi(i) = \frac{1}{\varrho_1(i+\kappa)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\varrho_1(i+2\kappa)} \frac{F(i+2\kappa)}{F(i+\kappa)} - \frac{\varrho_2(i+\kappa)}{\varrho_1(i+2\kappa+l)} \frac{F(i+2\kappa+l)}{F(i+\kappa)} \right) > 0,$$

for any $N \ge i_0$ and for all sufficiently large *i*.

Lemma 2.1 ([28]). If E > 0, $D \ge 0$ and $\alpha > 0$, then

$$Du - Eu^{1+1/\alpha} \leqslant \frac{\alpha^{\alpha}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{D^{\alpha+1}}{E^{\alpha}},$$

where equality holds if and only if D = E.

Lemma 2.2. Assume that $(C_1)-(C_4)$ (or $(C_1)-(C_3)$ and (C_5)) hold, and let $\{\psi(i)\}$ be an eventually positive solution of (1.1). Then there is an integer $i_1 \ge i_0$ such that, for $i \ge i_1$,

(2.1)
$$\chi(i) > 0, \ \Delta\chi(i) > 0 \text{ and } \Delta(\zeta(i)(\Delta\chi(i))^{\alpha}) < 0.$$

Proof. The proof is standard and so we omit the details.

Lemma 2.3. Assume that $(C_1)-(C_4)$ (or $(C_1)-(C_3)$ and (C_5)) hold, and $\{\psi(i)\}$ is a positive solution of (1.1) such that (2.1) holds. Then

(2.2)
$$\chi(i) \ge F(i)\zeta^{1/\alpha}(i)\Delta\chi(i)$$

(2.3)
$$\left\{\frac{\chi(i)}{F(i)}\right\} \text{ is decreasing for all } i \ge N \ge i_1.$$

Proof. Since $\{\zeta(i)(\Delta\chi(i))^{\alpha}\}$ is decreasing for all $i \ge i_1$, we have

$$\chi(i) = \chi(i_1) + \sum_{s=i_1}^{i-1} \frac{(\zeta(s)(\Delta\chi(s))^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha}}{\zeta^{1/\alpha}(s)} \ge \zeta^{1/\alpha}(i)F(i)\Delta\chi(i).$$

Furthermore,

$$\Delta\Big(\frac{\chi(i)}{F(i)}\Big) = \frac{1}{\zeta^{1/\alpha}(i)} \frac{F(i)\zeta^{1/\alpha}(i)\Delta\chi(i) - \chi(i)}{F(i)F(i+1)} \leqslant 0, \quad \text{since } \Delta F(i) = \frac{1}{\zeta^{1/\alpha}(i)}.$$

The proof is now completed.

Lemma 2.4. Assume that $(C_1)-(C_4)$ hold. If $\{\psi(i)\}$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.1) such that (2.1) holds, then $\{\chi(i)\}$ satisfies the inequality

(2.4)
$$\Delta(\zeta(i)(\Delta\chi(i))^{\alpha}) + \varrho(i)\xi^{\beta}(\sigma(i))\chi^{\beta}(\sigma(i) - l) \leqslant 0$$

for sufficiently large i.

Proof. Let $\{\psi(i)\}$ be an eventually positive solution of (1.1) such that $\psi(i) > 0$, $\psi(i - \kappa) > 0$, $\psi(i + l) > 0$, $\psi(\sigma(i)) > 0$ and $\chi(i)$ satisfies (2.1) for all $i \ge i_1$ for an integer $i_1 \ge i_0$. From the definition of $\chi(i)$ we obtain

(2.5)
$$\psi(i) = \frac{1}{\varrho_2(i-l)} (\chi(i-l) - \psi(i-l) - \varrho_1(i-l)\psi(i-\kappa-l))$$

and

(2.6)
$$\psi(i) < \frac{1}{\varrho_2(i-l)}\chi(i-l).$$

Using (2.6) in (2.5), we have

$$(2.7) \quad \psi(i) \ge \frac{1}{\varrho_2(i-l)} \Big(\chi(i-l) - \frac{1}{\varrho_2(i-2l)} \chi(i-2l) - \frac{\varrho_1(i-l)}{\varrho_2(i-\kappa-2l)} \chi(i-\kappa-2l) \Big) \\ \ge \frac{1}{\varrho_2(i-l)} \Big(1 - \frac{1}{\varrho_2(i-2l)} - \frac{\varrho_1(i-l)}{\varrho_2(i-\kappa-2l)} \Big) \chi(i-l)$$

for $i \ge i_1$, where we have used $\{\chi(i)\}$ is strictly increasing. Since $\lim_{i\to\infty} \sigma(i) = \infty$, we can choose an integer $i_2 \ge i_1$ such that $\sigma(i) \ge i_2$ for all $i \ge i_2$. From (2.7) we have

(2.8)
$$\psi(\sigma(i)) \ge \xi(\sigma(i))\chi(\sigma(i)-l), \quad i \ge i_2.$$

Combining (1.1) with (2.8), we conclude that (2.4) is satisfied. The proof of the lemma is complete. $\hfill \Box$

Lemma 2.5. Assume that (C_1) – (C_3) and (C_5) hold. If $\{\psi(i)\}$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.1) such that (2.1) holds, then $\{\chi(i)\}$ satisfies the inequality

(2.9)
$$\Delta(\zeta(i)(\Delta\chi(i))^{\alpha}) + \varrho(i)\varphi^{\beta}(\sigma(i))\chi^{\beta}(\sigma(i) + \kappa) \leq 0$$

for sufficiently large i.

Proof. Let $\{\psi(i)\}$ be an eventually positive solution of (1.1) such that $\psi(i) > 0$, $\psi(i - \kappa) > 0$, $\psi(i + l) > 0$, $\psi(\sigma(i)) > 0$ and $\chi(i)$ satisfies (2.1) for all $i \ge i_1$ for an integer $i_1 \ge i_0$. Following a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.4 and taking into account that $\{\chi(i)/F(i)\}$ is decreasing for all $i \ge i_2$ for an integer $i_2 \ge i_1$, we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad \psi(i) \ge \frac{1}{\varrho_1(i+\kappa)} \Big(\chi(i+\kappa) - \frac{\chi(i+2\kappa)}{\varrho_1(i+2\kappa)} - \frac{\varrho_2(i+\kappa)\chi(i+2\kappa+l)}{\varrho_1(i+2\kappa+l)} \Big) \\\ge \frac{1}{\varrho_1(i+\kappa)} \Big(1 - \frac{F(i+2\kappa)}{F(i+\kappa)\varrho_1(i+2\kappa)} - \frac{\varrho_2(i+\kappa)F(i+2\kappa+l)}{\varrho_1(i+2\kappa+l)F(i+\kappa)} \Big) \chi(i+\kappa).$$

Since $\lim_{i\to\infty} \sigma(i) = \infty$, we choose an integer $i_3 \ge i_2$ such that $\sigma(i) \ge i_3$ for all $i \ge i_3$. Thus, from (2.10) we obtain

(2.11)
$$\psi(\sigma(i)) = \varphi(\sigma(i))\chi(\sigma(i) + \kappa), \quad i \ge i_3.$$

Combining (1.1) and (2.11), we conclude that (2.9) is satisfied. The proof of the lemma is complete. $\hfill \Box$

3. Main results

In this section, we present several sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of (1.1).

Theorem 3.1. Assume that $(C_1)-(C_4)$ hold and $i + l \ge \sigma(i)$. If $\beta = \alpha$ and there exists a positive nondecreasing sequence $\{\eta(i)\}$ such that for all sufficiently large integer $N \ge i_1$,

(3.1)
$$\limsup_{i \to \infty} \sum_{s=N}^{i} \left(\eta(s)\varrho(s)\xi^{\alpha}(\sigma(s)) \frac{F^{\alpha}(\sigma(s)-l)}{F^{\alpha}(s)} - \frac{\Delta\eta(s)}{F^{\alpha}(s+1)} \right) = \infty,$$

then every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $\{\psi(i)\}$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1). With no loss of generality, we may assume that there is an integer $i_1 \ge i_0$ such that $\psi(i) > 0$, $\psi(i-\kappa) > 0$, $\psi(i+l) > 0$, $\psi(\sigma(i)) > 0$ and $\chi(i)$ satisfies (2.1) for all $i \ge i_1$. Proceeding as in the proof of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we see that (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) hold for all $i \ge i_1$. Define

(3.2)
$$\omega(i) = \eta(i) \frac{\zeta(i)(\Delta \chi(i))^{\alpha}}{\chi^{\alpha}(i)}, \quad i \ge i_1.$$

Clearly $\omega(i) > 0$ for $i \ge i_1$, and from (2.4) we obtain

$$(3.3) \qquad \Delta\omega(i) \leqslant -\eta(i)\varrho(i)\frac{\xi^{\alpha}(\sigma(i))\chi^{\alpha}(\sigma(i-l))}{\chi^{\alpha}(i)} + \frac{\Delta\eta(i)\zeta(i+1)(\Delta\chi(i+1))^{\alpha}}{\chi^{\alpha}(i+1)} \\ - \frac{\eta(i)\zeta(i+1)(\Delta\chi(i+1))^{\alpha}}{\chi^{\alpha}(i)\chi^{\alpha}(i+1)}\Delta\chi^{\alpha}(i).$$

From $i \ge \sigma(i) - l$ and by (2.3) we get

(3.4)
$$\frac{\chi(\sigma(i)-l)}{\chi(i)} \ge \frac{F(\sigma(i)-l)}{F(i)}, \quad i \ge i_2 \ge i_1.$$

0	7	Б
4	1	J

Using (3.4) in (3.3) yields

$$(3.5) \qquad \Delta\omega(i) \leqslant -\eta(i)\varrho(i)\xi^{\alpha}(\sigma(i))\frac{F^{\alpha}(\sigma(i)-l)}{F^{\alpha}(i)} + \Delta\eta(i)\frac{\zeta(i+1)(\Delta\chi(i+1))^{\alpha}}{\chi^{\alpha}(i+1)} - \frac{\eta(i)\zeta(i)(\Delta\chi(i))^{\alpha}}{\chi^{\alpha}(i)\chi^{\alpha}(i+1)}\Delta\chi^{\alpha}(i), \quad i \geqslant i_2.$$

From (2.2), it is easy to see that

(3.6)
$$\frac{1}{F^{\alpha}(i+1)} \ge \zeta(i+1) \frac{(\Delta \chi(i+1))^{\alpha}}{\chi^{\alpha}(i+1)}$$

In view of (3.6), $\chi(i) > 0$ and $\Delta \chi(i) > 0$, (3.5) yields

(3.7)
$$\Delta\omega(i) \leqslant -\eta(i)\varrho(i)\xi^{\alpha}(\sigma(i))\frac{F^{\alpha}(\sigma(i)-l)}{F^{\alpha}(i)} + \frac{\Delta\eta(i)}{F^{\alpha}(i+1)}$$

for $i \ge i_2$. Summing up (3.7) from i_2 to i, we get

$$\sum_{s=i_2}^{i} \left(\eta(s)\varrho(s)\xi^{\alpha}(\sigma(s)) \frac{F^{\alpha}(\sigma(s)-l)}{F^{\alpha}(s)} - \frac{\Delta\eta(s)}{F^{\alpha}(s+1)} \right) \leqslant \omega(i_2),$$

which contradicts (3.1). The proof of the theorem is complete.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that $(C_1)-(C_4)$ hold and $i + l \ge \sigma(i)$. If there exists a positive nondecreasing sequence $\{\eta(i)\}$ such that for all sufficiently large integers $N \ge i_1$,

(3.8)
$$\limsup_{i \to \infty} \sum_{s=N}^{i} \left(E_1(s) - \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{(\Delta \eta(i))^{\alpha+1} f(s)}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} \eta^{\alpha}(s) \delta^{\alpha}(s)} \right) = \infty,$$

where

$$E_1(i) = \eta(i)\varrho(i)\xi^{\beta}(\sigma(i))\frac{F^{\beta}(\sigma(i)-l)}{F^{\beta}(i)} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha = \beta, \\ M_1 & \text{if } \alpha < \beta, \\ M_2F^{-1+\beta/\alpha}(i+1) & \text{if } \alpha > \beta \end{cases}$$

for all $M_1 > 0$, $M_2 > 0$, then every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $\{\psi(i)\}$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1). With no loss of generality, we may assume that there is an integer $i_1 \ge i_0$ such that $\psi(i) > 0$, $\psi(i-\kappa) > 0$, $\psi(i+l) > 0$, $\psi(\sigma(i)) > 0$ and $\chi(i)$ satisfies (2.1) for all $i \ge i_1$. Define

(3.9)
$$\omega(i) = \eta(i) \frac{\zeta(i) (\Delta \chi(i))^{\alpha}}{\chi^{\beta}(i)}, \quad i \ge i_1.$$

276

Clearly $\omega(i) > 0$ for $i \ge i_1$, and from (2.4) and (3.9) we get

(3.10)
$$\Delta\omega(i) \leqslant -\eta(i)\varrho(i)\xi^{\beta}(\sigma(i))\frac{\chi^{\beta}(\sigma(i)-l)}{\chi^{\beta}(i)} + \frac{\Delta\eta(i)}{\eta(i+1)}\omega(i+1) \\ -\frac{\eta(i)}{\eta(i+1)}\omega(i+1)\frac{\Delta\chi^{\beta}(i)}{\chi^{\beta}(i)}, \quad i \ge i_2 \ge i_1.$$

By discrete mean value theorem (see [1]), we have

$$\Delta \chi^{\beta}(i) \ge \beta \frac{\chi^{\beta}(i)}{\chi(i+1)} \Delta \chi(i).$$

Using this and (3.4) in (3.10) gives

(3.11)
$$\Delta\omega(i) \leqslant -E_1(i) + \frac{\Delta\eta(i)}{\eta(i+1)}\omega(i+1) - \beta\frac{\eta(i)}{\eta(i+1)}\omega(i+1)\frac{\Delta\chi(i)}{\chi(i+1)}$$

Since $f^{1/\alpha}(i)\Delta\chi(i)$ is decreasing, we have from (3.9) and (3.11) (3.12)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\omega(i) \leqslant &- E_1(i) + \frac{\Delta\eta(i)}{\eta(i+1)}\omega(i+1) - \beta \frac{\eta(i)}{\eta^{1+1/\alpha}(i+1)}\omega^{1+1/\alpha}(i+1)\chi^{-1+\beta/\alpha}(i+1) \\ \leqslant &- E_1(i) + \frac{\Delta\eta(i)}{\eta(i+1)}\omega(i+1) - \beta \frac{\eta(i)\delta(i)}{\eta^{1+1/\alpha}(i+1)\zeta^{1/\alpha}(i)}\omega^{1+1/\alpha}(i+1), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\chi(i)$ is increasing for $\beta > \alpha$ and $\chi(i)/F(i)$ is decreasing for $\beta < \alpha$. Applying Lemma 2.1 with

$$D = \frac{\Delta \eta(i)}{\eta(i+1)}, \quad E = \frac{\beta \eta(i)\delta(i)}{\eta^{1+1/\alpha}(i+1)\zeta^{1/\alpha}(i)},$$

we have from (3.12) that

$$\Delta\omega(i) \leqslant -E_1(i) + \frac{(\alpha/\beta)^{\alpha}(\Delta\eta(i))^{\alpha+1}\zeta(i)}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}\eta^{\alpha}(i)\delta^{\alpha}(i)}, \quad i \ge i_2.$$

Summing up the last inequality from i_2 to i, we get

$$\sum_{s=i_2}^{i} \left(-E_1(s) + \frac{(\alpha/\beta)^{\alpha} (\Delta\eta(s))^{\alpha+1} \zeta(s)}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} \eta^{\alpha}(s) \delta^{\alpha}(s)} \right) \leqslant \omega(i_2),$$

which contradicts (3.8). The proof of the theorem is complete.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that $(C_1)-(C_4)$ hold, $\alpha = \beta$ and $i + l \leq \sigma(i)$. If there exists a positive nondecreasing real sequence $\{\eta(i)\}$ such that for all sufficiently large $N \geq i_1 \geq i_0$,

(3.13)
$$\limsup_{i \to \infty} \sum_{s=N}^{i} \left(\eta(s)\varrho(s)\xi^{\alpha}(\sigma(s)) - \frac{\Delta\eta(s)}{F^{\alpha}(s+1)} \right) = \infty,$$

then every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $\{\psi(i)\}$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1). With no loss of generality, we may assume that there is an integer $i_1 \ge i_0$ such that $\psi(i) > 0$, $\psi(i-\kappa) > 0$, $\psi(i+l) > 0$, $\psi(\sigma(i)) > 0$ and $\chi(i)$ satisfies (2.1) for all $i \ge i_1$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we arrive at (3.3) for $i \ge i_2 \ge i_1$. From $i + \kappa \le \sigma(i)$ we have $i \le \sigma(i) - l$ and so

(3.14)
$$\frac{\chi(\sigma(i)-l)}{\chi(i)} \ge 1.$$

Using (3.14) in (3.3) yields

(3.15)
$$\Delta\omega(i) \leqslant -\eta(i)\varrho(i)\xi^{\alpha}(\sigma(i)) + \Delta\eta(i)\frac{\zeta(i+1)(\Delta\chi(i+1))^{\alpha}}{\chi^{\alpha}(i+1)} - \frac{\eta(i)\zeta(i)(\Delta\chi(i))^{\alpha}\Delta\chi^{\alpha}(i)}{\chi^{\alpha}(i)\chi^{\alpha}(i+1)}, \quad i \ge i_2.$$

Taking into account that (2.2) holds and using the fact that $\Delta \chi(i) > 0$, (3.15) takes the form

$$\Delta\omega(i) \leqslant -\eta(i)\varrho(i)\xi^{\alpha}(\sigma(i)) + \frac{\Delta\eta(i)}{F^{\alpha}(i+1)}, \quad i \geqslant i_2.$$

The remaining part of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 and the details are omitted. The proof of the theorem is complete. $\hfill \Box$

Theorem 3.4. Assume that $(C_1)-(C_4)$ hold and $i+l \leq \sigma(i)$. If there exists a positive nondecreasing real sequence $\{\eta(i)\}$ such that for all sufficiently large $N \geq i_1 \geq i_0$,

(3.16)
$$\limsup_{i \to \infty} \sum_{s=N}^{i} \left(\eta(s)\varrho(s)\xi^{\beta}(\sigma(s)) - \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{(\Delta\eta(s))^{\alpha+1}\zeta(s)}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}\eta^{\alpha}(s)\delta^{\alpha}(s)} \right) = \infty,$$

where $\delta(i)$ is defined as in Theorem 3.3, then every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.2 and (3.14) and so the details are omitted. $\hfill \Box$

Theorem 3.5. Assume that $\alpha = \beta$, (C₁)–(C₃) and (C₅) hold, and $i - \kappa \ge \sigma(i)$. If there exists a positive nondecreasing real sequence $\{\eta(i)\}$ such that for all sufficiently large $N \ge i_1 \ge i_0$,

(3.17)
$$\limsup_{i \to \infty} \sum_{s=N}^{i} \left(E_2(s) - \frac{\Delta \eta(s)}{F^{\alpha}(s+1)} \right) = \infty$$

where

$$E_2(i) = \eta(i)\varrho(i)\varphi^{\alpha}(\sigma(i))\frac{F^{\alpha}(\sigma(i)+\kappa)}{F^{\alpha}(i)},$$

then every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $\{\psi(i)\}$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1). With no loss of generality, we may assume that there is an integer $i_1 \ge i_0$ such that $\psi(i) > 0$, $\psi(i-\kappa) > 0$, $\psi(i+l) > 0$, $\psi(\sigma(i)) > 0$ and $\chi(i)$ satisfies (2.1) for all $i \ge i_1$. Proceeding as in the proof of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5, we have (2.2), (2.3) and (2.9) hold for $i \ge i_2$ for an integer $i_2 \ge i_1$. Define $\omega(i)$ by (3.2). Then it follows from (3.2) and (2.9) that

$$(3.18) \qquad \Delta\omega(i) \leqslant -\eta(i)\varrho(i)\varphi^{\alpha}(\sigma(i))\frac{\chi^{\alpha}(\sigma(i)+\kappa)}{\chi^{\alpha}(i)} + \Delta\eta(i)\frac{\zeta(i+1)(\Delta\chi(i+1))^{\alpha}}{\chi^{\alpha}(i+1)} -\frac{\eta(i)\zeta(i+1)(\Delta\chi(i+1))^{\alpha}\Delta\chi^{\alpha}(i)}{\chi^{\alpha}(i)\chi^{\alpha}(i+1)}, \quad i \ge i_2.$$

Since $i - \kappa \ge \sigma(i)$, we have $i + 1 \ge i \ge \sigma(i) + \kappa$, and from (2.3) we get

(3.19)
$$\frac{\chi(\sigma(i)+\kappa)}{\chi(i)} \ge \frac{F(\sigma(i)+\kappa)}{F(i)}.$$

Substituting (3.19) into (3.18) yields

$$\Delta\omega(i) \leqslant -E_2(i) + \Delta\eta(i) \frac{\zeta(i+1)(\Delta\chi(i+1))^{\alpha}}{\chi^{\alpha}(i+1)} - \frac{\eta(i)\zeta(i+1)(\Delta\chi(i+1))^{\alpha}\Delta\chi^{\alpha}(i)}{\chi^{\alpha}(i)\chi^{\alpha}(i+1)},$$

where $i \ge i_2$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 and hence the details are not repeated. The proof of the theorem is complete.

Theorem 3.6. Assume that $(C_1)-(C_3)$ and (C_5) hold and $i - \kappa \ge \sigma(i)$. If there exists a positive nondecreasing real sequence $\{\eta(i)\}$ such that for all sufficiently large integers $N \ge i_1$,

(3.20)
$$\limsup_{i \to \infty} \sum_{s=N}^{i} \left(E_3(s) - \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{(\Delta \eta(s))^{\alpha+1} \zeta(s)}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} \eta^{\alpha}(s) \delta^{\alpha}(s)} \right) = \infty,$$

where

$$E_3(i) = \eta(i)\varrho(i)\varphi^\beta(\sigma(i))\frac{F^\beta(\sigma(i)+\kappa)}{F^\beta(i+1)},$$

then every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.2 by using (3.19) instead of (3.4), and so the details are not repeated. This completes the proof. \Box

Theorem 3.7. Assume that (C_1) – (C_3) and (C_5) hold $\alpha = \beta$ and $i - \kappa \leq \sigma(i)$. If there exists a positive nondecreasing real sequence $\{\eta(i)\}$ such that for all sufficiently large integers $N \geq i_1 \geq i_0$,

(3.21)
$$\limsup_{i \to \infty} \sum_{s=N}^{i} \left(E_4(s) - \frac{\Delta \eta(s)}{F^{\alpha}(s+1)} \right) = \infty,$$

where

$$E_4(i) = \eta(i)\varrho(i)\varphi^{\alpha}(\sigma(i)),$$

then every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.5, we arrive at (3.18). For $i - \kappa \leq \sigma(i)$, we see that $i \leq \sigma(i) + \kappa$, and so since using $\{\chi(i)\}$ is increasing, we have

(3.22)
$$\frac{\chi(\sigma(i)+\kappa)}{\chi(i)} \ge 1.$$

Using (3.22) in (3.18), we obtain

$$\Delta\omega(i) \leqslant E_4(i) + \Delta\eta(i) \frac{\zeta(i+1)(\Delta\chi(i+1))^{\alpha}}{\chi^{\alpha}(i+1)} - \frac{\eta(i)\zeta(i+1)(\Delta\chi(i+1))^{\alpha}\Delta\chi^{\alpha}(i)}{\chi^{\alpha}(i)\chi^{\alpha}(i+1)}, \quad i \ge i_2$$

The remaining part of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.5 and so the details are omitted. The proof of the theorem is complete. $\hfill \Box$

Theorem 3.8. Assume that $(C_1)-(C_3)$ and (C_5) hold and $i - \kappa \leq \sigma(i)$. If there exists a positive nondecreasing real sequence $\{\eta(i)\}$ such that for all sufficiently large integers $N \geq i_1 \geq i_0$,

(3.23)
$$\limsup_{i \to \infty} \sum_{s=N}^{i} \left(E_5(s) - \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{(\Delta \eta(i))^{\alpha+1} \zeta(s)}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} \eta^{\alpha}(s) \delta^{\alpha}(s)} \right) = \infty,$$

where $\delta(i)$ is defined as in Theorem 3.2 and $E_5(i) = \eta(i)\varrho(i)\varphi^\beta(\sigma(i))$, then every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.2 and using (2.9) instead of (2.4) we obtain

(3.24)
$$\Delta\omega(i) \leqslant -\eta(i)\varrho(i)\varphi^{\beta}(\sigma(i))\frac{\chi^{\beta}(\sigma(i)+\kappa)}{\chi^{\beta}(i)} + \frac{\Delta\eta(i)}{\eta(i+1)}\omega(i+1) \\ -\frac{\eta(i)}{\eta(i+1)}\omega(i+1)\frac{\Delta\chi^{\beta}(i)}{\chi^{\beta}(i)}, \quad i \ge i_2.$$

Using (3.22) in (3.24) yields

$$\Delta\omega(i) \leqslant -E_5(i) + \frac{\Delta\eta(i)}{\eta(i+1)}\omega(i+1) - \frac{\eta(i)}{\eta(i+1)}\omega(i+1)\frac{\Delta\chi^{\beta}(i)}{\chi^{\beta}(i)}$$

The rest part of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.2 and so the details are omitted. The proof of the theorem is complete. $\hfill \Box$

4. Examples

In this section, we present several examples to illustrate the importance of the main results.

Example 4.1. Consider the second-order neutral difference equation

(4.1)
$$\Delta((\Delta(\psi(i) + \psi(i-1) + i\psi(i+2)))^3) + (i^4 + 1)\psi^3(i+1) = 0, \quad i \ge 10.$$

Here, $\alpha = \beta = 3$, $\zeta(i) = \varrho_1(i) = 1$, $\varrho_2(i) = n$, $\varrho(i) = n^4 + 1$, $\kappa = 1$, l = 2 and $\sigma(i) = i + 1$. It is clear that (C₁)-(C₄) hold, $i + \kappa \ge \sigma(i)$, and

$$\xi(i) = \frac{1}{i-2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{i-4} - \frac{1}{i-5} \right) = \frac{1}{i-2} \frac{i^2 - 11i + 29}{(i-4)(i-5)} > 0,$$

$$F(i) = \sum_{s=N}^{i-1} \frac{1}{\zeta^{1/\alpha}(s)} = \sum_{s=10}^{i-1} \Delta s = i - 10.$$

With $\eta(i) = 1$, we see that (3.1) becomes

$$\limsup_{i \to \infty} \sum_{s=10}^{i} \frac{(s^4+1)}{(s-2)^3} \frac{(s^2-11s+29)^3}{(s-4)^3(s-5)^3} \frac{(s-11)^3}{(s-10)^3} = \infty,$$

which, in view of Theorem 3.1, means that all solutions of (4.1) are oscillatory.

Example 4.2. Consider the second-order neutral difference equation

$$(4.2) \ \Delta\left(\frac{1}{i^{1/3}}(\Delta(\psi(i)+2\psi(i-1)+8\psi(i+2)))^{1/3}\right) + (i^2+1)\psi^{1/3}(i+3) = 0, \quad i \ge 2.$$

Here, $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{3}$, $\zeta(i) = i^{-1/3}$, $\rho_1(i) = 2$, $\rho_2(i) = 8$, $\rho(i) = i^2 + 1$, $\kappa = 1$, l = 2, and $\sigma(i) = i + 3$. It is clear that (C₁)–(C₄) hold, $\sigma(i) \ge (i + \kappa)$ and

$$\xi(i) = \frac{1}{8} \left(1 - \frac{1}{8} - \frac{2}{8} \right) = \frac{5}{64} > 0, \quad F(i) = \sum_{s=2}^{i-1} s = \frac{i^2 - i - 2}{2}.$$

With $\eta(i) = 1$, (3.13) becomes

$$\limsup_{i \to \infty} \sum_{s=2}^{i} (s^2 + 1) \left(\frac{5}{64}\right)^{1/3} = \infty,$$

which, in view of Theorem 3.3, means that all solutions of (4.2) are oscillatory.

Example 4.3. Consider the second-order neutral difference equation

(4.3)
$$\Delta((\Delta(\psi(i)+3i\psi(i-1)+i\psi(i+2)))^3)+i^5\psi^3(i-2)=0, \quad i \ge 2.$$

Here, $\alpha = \beta = 3$, $\zeta(i) = 1$, $\varrho_1(i) = 3i$, $\varrho_2(i) = i$, $\varrho(i) = i^5$, $\kappa = 1$, l = 2, and $\sigma(i) = i - 2$. It is clear that (C₁)–(C₃) and (C₅) hold, $i - \kappa \ge \sigma(i)$ and

$$\begin{split} \varphi(i) &= \frac{1}{3(i+1)} \Big(1 - \frac{1}{3(i+2)} \frac{i}{i-1} - \frac{i+1}{3(i+4)} \frac{(i+1)}{(i-1)} \Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{3(i+1)} \frac{6i^4 + 24i^3 - 39i^2 - 69i + 14}{9(i^4 + 4i^3 - 3i^2 - 10i + 8)} > 0. \end{split}$$

With $\eta(i) = 1$, we see that (3.17) holds for N > 2. Therefore, in view of Theorem 3.5, every solution of (4.3) is oscillatory.

Example 4.4. Consider the second-order neutral difference equation

(4.4)
$$\Delta^2(\psi(i) + 2^i\psi(i-2) + \psi(i+1)) + 9(2^i)\psi(i-1) = 0, \quad i \ge 5.$$

Here, $\alpha = \beta = 1$, $\zeta(i) = \varrho_2(i) = 1$, $\varrho_1(i) = 2^i$, $\varrho(i) = 9(2^i)$, $\kappa = 2$, l = 1, and $\sigma(i) = i - 1$. It is clear that (C₁)–(C₃) and (C₅) hold and $i - \kappa < \sigma(i)$. Also F(i) = i - 5 and so

$$\varphi(i) = \frac{1}{4^{i+1}} \left(2^i - \frac{9i - 8}{32(i-3)} \right) > 0.$$

With $\eta(i) = 1$, it is easy to see that (3.21) holds. Therefore, in view of Theorem 3.7, every solution of (4.4) is oscillatory. In fact, $\{\psi(i)\} = \{(-1)^i\}$ is such a solution.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have established several new oscillation theorems for equation (1.1) by using Ricatti transformation technique and summation averaging method. Furthermore, none of the results obtained in the literature can be used for the above examples to get any conclusion since the coefficients $\{\varrho_1(i)\}$ and $\{\varrho_2(i)\}$ are unbounded. Thus, the results established in this paper are new and complement the existing results.

References

[1] R. P. Aqarwal: Difference Equations and Inequalities: Theory, Methods, and Applications. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker 228. Marcel Dekker, New York, zbl MR doi 2000.[2] R. P. Agarwal, M. Bohner, S. R. Grace, D. O'Regan: Discrete Oscillation Theory. Hindawi Publishing, New York, 2005. zbl MR doi [3] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace, E. Akin-Bohner: On the oscillation of higher order neutral difference equations of mixed type. Dyn. Syst. Appl. 11 (2002), 459–469. zbl MR [4] G. E. Chatzarakis, G. N. Miliaras: Asymptotic behavior in neutral difference equations with several retarded arguments. Rocky Mt. J. Math. 45 (2015), 131–156. zbl MR doi [5] G. E. Chatzarakis, G. N. Miliaras, I. P. Stavroulakis, E. Thandapani: Asymptotic behaviour of non-oscillatory solutions of first-order neutral difference equations. Panam. Math. J. 23 (2013), 111-129. zbl MR [6] S. R. Grace: Oscillation of certain neutral difference equations of mixed type. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 224 (1998), 241-254. zbl MR doi [7] S. R. Grace, J. Alzabut: Oscillation results for nonlinear second order difference equations with mixed neutral terms. Adv. Difference Equ. 2020 (2020), Article ID 8, 12 pages. MR doi [8] S. R. Grace, S. Dontha: Oscillation of higher order neutral difference equations of mixed type. Dyn. Syst. Appl. 12 (2003), 521-532. zbl MR [9] J. Jiang: Oscillation of second order nonlinear neutral delay difference equations. Appl. zbl MR doi Math. Comput. 146 (2003), 791–801. [10] S. H. Saker: New oscillation criteria for second-order nonlinear neutral delay difference equations. Appl. Math. Comput. 142 (2003), 99-111. zbl MR doi [11] D. Seghar, E. Thandapani, S. Pinelas: Some new oscillation theorems for second order difference equations with mixed neutral terms. Br. J. Math. Comput. Sci. 8 (2015), 121 - 133.[12] S. Selvarangam, S. Geetha, E. Thandapani, S. Pinelas: Classification of solutions of second order nonlinear neutral difference equations of mixed type. Dyn. Contin. Discrete \mathbf{zbl} Impuls. Syst., Ser. B, Appl. Algorithms 23 (2016), 433–447. [13] S. Selvarangam, E. Thandapani, S. Pinelas: Oscillation theorems for second order nonlinear neutral difference equations. J. Inequal. Appl. 2014 (2014), Article ID 417, 15 pages. zbl MR doi [14] Y. G. Sun, S. H. Saker: Oscillation for second-order nonlinear neutral delay difference equations. Appl. Math. Comput. 163 (2005), 909–918. zbl MR doi [15] E. Thandapani, V. Balasubramanian: Oscillation and asymptotic behavior of second order nonlinear neutral difference equations of mixed arguments. Transylv. J. Math. Mech. 5 (2013), 149–156. MR[16] E. Thandapani, V. Balasubramanian: Some oscillation results for second order neutral type difference equations. Differ. Equ. Appl. 3 (2013), 319–330. zbl MR doi

[17]	E. Thandapani, V. Balasubramanian: Some oscillation theorems for second order non-	
	linear neutral type difference equations. Malaya J. Mat. 3 (2013), 34–43.	zbl
[18]	E. Thandapani, N. Kavitha: Oscillation theorems for second-order nonlinear neutral dif-	
	ference equation of mixed type. J. Math. Comput. Sci. 1 (2011), 89–102.	MR
[19]	E. Thandapani, N. Kavitha, S. Pinelas: Comparison and oscillation theorem for sec-	
	ond-order nonlinear neutral difference equations of mixed type. Dyn. Syst. Appl. 21	
	(2012), 83–92.	$\mathbf{zbl} \mathbf{MR}$
[20]		
	neutral difference equations of mixed type. Adv. Difference Equ. 2012 (2012), Article	
	ID 4, 10 pages.	zbl MR doi
[21]	E. Thandapani, K. Mahalingam: Necessary and sufficient conditions for oscillation of	
	second order neutral difference equations. Tamkang J. Math. 34 (2003), 137–145.	$\mathrm{zbl}\ \mathrm{MR}$
[22]	E. Thandapani, K. Mahalingam: Oscillation and nonoscillation of second order neutral	
	delay difference equations. Czech. Math. J. 53 (2003), 935–947.	zbl <mark>MR doi</mark>
[23]	E. Thandapani, D. Seghar, S. Selvarangam: Oscillation of second order quasilinear dif-	
	ference equations with several neutral terms. Transylv. J. Math. Mech. 7 (2015), 67–74.	$\mathrm{zbl}\ \mathbf{MR}$
[24]	E. Thandapani, S. Selvarangam: Oscillation theorems for second order quasilinear neu-	
	tral difference equations. J. Math. Comput. Sci. 2 (2012), 866–879.	${ m MR}$
[25]	E. Thandapani, S. Selvarangam: Oscillation of solutions of second order neutral type	
	difference equations. Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl. 20 (2015), 329–336.	zbl
[26]	E. Thandapani, P. Sundaram, I. Gyori: Oscillation of second order nonlinear neutral	
	delay difference equations. J. Math. Phys. Sci. 31 (1997), 121–132.	${ m MR}$
[27]	DM. Wang, ZT. Xu: Oscillation of second-order quasilinear neutral delay difference	
	equations. Acta Math. Appl. Sin., Engl. Ser. 27 (2011), 93–104.	zbl <mark>MR doi</mark>
[28]	SY. Zhang, QR. Wang: Oscillation of second-order nonlinear neutral dynamic equa-	
	tions on time scales. Appl. Math. Comput. 216 (2010), 2837–2848.	zbl MR doi

Authors' addresses: George E. Chatzarakis, Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Educators, School of Pedagogical and Technological Education, Marousi 15122, Athens, Greece, e-mail: gea.xatz@aspete.gr, geaxatz@otenet.gr; Ponnuraj Dinakar, Srinivasan Selvarangam, Department of Mathematics, Presidency College, University of Madras, Chennai 600 005, India, e-mail: dinakarraj@gmail.com, selvarangam.9962 @gmail.com; Ethiraju Thandapani, Ramanujan Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics, University of Madras, Chennai 600 005, India, e-mail: ethandapani@yahoo.co.in.