

Kazushi Yoshitomi

Fredholmness of pseudo-differential operators with nonregular symbols

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 73 (2023), No. 3, 941–954

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/151784>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2023

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

FREDHOLMNESS OF PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS WITH NONREGULAR SYMBOLS

KAZUSHI YOSHITOMI, Tokyo

Received September 9, 2022. Published online May 19, 2023.

Abstract. We establish the Fredholmness of a pseudo-differential operator whose symbol is of class $C^{0,\sigma}$, $0 < \sigma < 1$, in the spatial variable. Our work here refines the work of H. Abels, C. Pfeuffer (2020).

Keywords: Fredholmness; pseudo-differential operator; nonregular symbol

MSC 2020: 35S05, 47A53, 47G30

1. INTRODUCTION

The starting point of our consideration is the result of Abels and Pfeuffer (see [2]), which is recalled below. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of all positive integers, $\mathbb{Z}_+$ the set of all nonnegative integers. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We fix $0 < \tau \leq 1$, $0 \leq \varrho, \delta \leq 1$ and $M \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$. For $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $C^{\tilde{m},\tau} S_{\varrho,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M)$ stand for the set of functions $a: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the following properties are satisfied for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\beta| \leq \tilde{m}$ and $|\alpha| \leq M$:

- (i) $\partial_x^\beta a(x, \cdot) \in C^M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (ii) $\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha a \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\xi^n)$;
- (iii) $|\partial_\xi^\alpha a(x, \xi)| \leq C_\alpha \langle \xi \rangle^{m-\varrho|\alpha|}$ for all $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (iv) $\|\partial_\xi^\alpha a(\cdot, \xi)\|_{C^{\tilde{m},\tau}(\mathbb{R}_x^n)} \leq C_\alpha \langle \xi \rangle^{m-\varrho|\alpha|+\delta(\tilde{m}+\tau)}$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

We fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N)$ stand for the set of all linear bounded operators on $\mathbb{C}^N$; $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N)$ is identified with $\mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ in the standard way. A function $a: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N)$ is an element of $C^{\tilde{m},\tau} S_{\varrho,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$ if $a_{j,k}$, the (j, k) -component of a , belongs to $C^{\tilde{m},\tau} S_{\varrho,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M)$ for all $j, k = 1, \dots, N$. For a symbol $a \in C^{\tilde{m},\tau} S_{\varrho,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$, we define the associated pseudo-

differential operator by

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Op}(a)u(x) &= a(x, D_x)u(x) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} a(x, \xi) \widehat{u}(\xi) d\xi \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)^N, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.\end{aligned}$$

Here, $\widehat{u}$ stands for the Fourier transform of u and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Schwartz space. The main result of [2] reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1 ([2], Theorem 1.1). *Let $\widetilde{m}, N \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \tau < 1$, $0 \leq \delta < \varrho \leq 1$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $M \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$ with $p = 2$ if $\varrho \neq 1$. Additionally we choose an arbitrary $\theta \in (0, \min\{(\widetilde{m} + \tau)(\varrho - \delta), 1\})$ and $\widetilde{\varepsilon} \in (0, \min\{(\varrho - \delta)\tau, (\varrho - \delta)(\widetilde{m} + \tau) - \theta, \theta\})$. Moreover let $a \in C^{\widetilde{m}, \tau}_{\varrho, \delta}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$ be a symbol fulfilling the following properties for some $R > 0$ and $C_0 > 0$:*

- (1) $|\det(a(x, \xi))| \langle \xi \rangle^{-mN} \geq C_0$ for all $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x| + |\xi| \geq R$;
- (2) $a(x, \xi) \xrightarrow{|x| \rightarrow \infty} a(\infty, \xi)$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Then for all $M \geq (n + 2) + n \cdot \max\{1/2, 1/p\}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$(1 - \varrho)\frac{n}{2} - (1 - \delta)(\widetilde{m} + \tau) + \theta + \widetilde{\varepsilon} < s < \widetilde{m} + \tau$$

the operator

$$a(x, D_x): H_p^{m+s}(\mathbb{R}^n)^N \rightarrow H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N$$

is a Fredholm operator.

Theorem 1.1 does not cover the case where $\widetilde{m} = 0$ because of a technical reason. So we shall handle this case. Our main result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \tau < 1$, $0 < \sigma < 1$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $M \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$. Let $a \in C^{0, \sigma}_{1, \tau}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$ be a symbol satisfying the following properties for some $R > 0$ and $C_0 > 0$:*

- (1) $|\det(a(x, \xi))| \langle \xi \rangle^{-mN} \geq C_0$ for all $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x| + |\xi| \geq R$;
- (2) $a(x, \xi) \xrightarrow{|x| \rightarrow \infty} a(\infty, \xi)$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Then for all $M > (n + 1) + n \cdot \max\{1/2, 1/p\}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$-(1 - \tau)\sigma < s < \sigma$$

the operator

$$a(x, D_x): H_p^{m+s}(\mathbb{R}^n)^N \rightarrow H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N$$

is a Fredholm operator.

It is worth mentioning that the condition on M in Theorem 1.2 is milder than that in Theorem 1.1. In order to prove Theorem 1.2 we employ the decomposition of symbols inspired by Nagase in [6], see Theorem 2.2. This, together with several results in [2] and our tricky arguments in Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, completes the proof. We note that [2] uses the decomposition of symbols due to Taylor (see [7]), which is different from Nagase's. It is also worth mentioning that our proof here is somewhat simpler than that in [2].

The Fredholm properties of (smooth) pseudodifferential operators have been studied by many authors; we refer to [3], [4], [5] and the references therein. In [4] Kohn and Nirenberg show that for the Fredholmness of a pseudo-differential operator the ellipticity of its symbol is necessary. Kumano-go in [5], Chapter 3, Theorem 5.16 shows the Fredholm property of an elliptic pseudo-differential operator whose symbol belongs to a subclass of the Hörmander class and is slowly varying. In [3], Section 19.2, Hörmander studies the Fredholm index of an elliptic pseudo-differential operator on a compact manifold.

We organize this paper as follows. In Section 2 we prepare several notations and recall known results which are utilized in the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 3 we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We prepare several notations. We use the standard conventions on multi-indices. For a variable $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, we write

$$\partial_x^\alpha = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n} \quad \text{and} \quad D_x^\alpha = (-i)^{|\alpha|} \partial_x^\alpha,$$

where $\partial_{x_j} = \partial/\partial x_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We put

$$\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}.$$

We define the Hölder spaces as follows. For $0 < s \leq 1$, let $C^{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ stand for the set of functions $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\|f\|_{C^{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \equiv \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| + \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^s} < \infty.$$

Let $\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. A function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to $C^{\tilde{m},s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if we have $\partial_x^\alpha f \in C^{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq \tilde{m}$. For $f \in C^{\tilde{m},s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define $\|f\|_{C^{\tilde{m},s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \tilde{m}} \|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_{C^{0,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < p < \infty$, let $H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\langle D_x \rangle^s f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\langle D_x \rangle^s = \text{Op}(\langle \xi \rangle^s)$. For $f \in H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we put $\|f\|_{H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\langle D_x \rangle^s f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. We call $H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the Bessel potential space.

Next, we recall the definition of a Fredholm operator and the Atkinson theorem.

Definition 2.1. Let X, Y be Banach spaces. A linear bounded operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a *Fredholm operator* if $\text{Ker } T$ is finite-dimensional and $T(X)$ is finite-codimensional, that is, there is a finite-dimensional subspace Z of Y such that $Y = T(X) \dot{+} Z$.

Theorem 2.1 (Atkinson). *Let X, Y be Banach spaces. A linear bounded operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is a Fredholm operator if and only if there exist linear bounded operators $S_j: Y \rightarrow X$, $j = 1, 2$, and compact linear operators $K_1: X \rightarrow X$ and $K_2: Y \rightarrow Y$ such that $S_1 T = I_X + K_1$ and $T S_2 = I_Y + K_2$. Here, I_X and I_Y are identity operators on X and Y , respectively.*

We recall the decomposition of symbols due to Nagase, which plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $p(x, \xi)$ be a bounded continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. We choose a $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\int \varphi(y) dy = 1$. Fix $0 < \delta < 1$ and put

$$(2.1) \quad q(x, \xi) = \int \varphi(y) p(x - \langle \xi \rangle^{-\delta} y, \xi) dy.$$

Theorem 2.2 ([6], Theorem 1). *Let $M \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$. Assume that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq M$,*

$$(2.2) \quad |D_\xi^\alpha p(x, \xi)| \leq C_\alpha \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|},$$

$$(2.3) \quad |D_\xi^\alpha p(x, \xi) - D_\xi^\alpha p(y, \xi)| \leq C_\alpha |x - y|^\sigma \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha| + \sigma\tau},$$

where $0 < \sigma \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \tau < 1$, and set $r(x, \xi) = p(x, \xi) - q(x, \xi)$.

Then, there exist positive constants C_α and $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ such that for any α, β with $|\alpha| \leq M$ we have

$$(2.4) \quad |D_x^\beta D_\xi^\alpha q(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|},$$

$$(2.5) \quad |D_\xi^\alpha r(x, \xi)| \leq C_\alpha \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha| - (\delta - \tau)\sigma}.$$

We recall from [2] several materials needed in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $N, M \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ and $m, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\tau, M}^{m, N}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ stand for the set of all continuous functions $a: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq N, |\beta| \leq M$ we have

$$(i) \quad \partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_y^\beta a(y, \eta) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_y^n \times \mathbb{R}_\eta^n);$$

$$(ii) \quad |\partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_y^\beta a(y, \eta)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} (1 + |\eta|)^m (1 + |y|)^\tau \text{ for all } y, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where all derivatives are well defined in the sense of distributions. We choose a $\chi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ for which $\chi(0, 0) = 1$. For $a \in \mathcal{A}_{\tau, M}^{m, N}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, we define

$$(2.6) \quad \text{Os-} \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} a(y, \eta) dy d\eta = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint \chi(\varepsilon y, \varepsilon \eta) e^{-iy \cdot \eta} a(y, \eta) dy d\eta$$

whenever the limit exists. It is called the *oscillatory integral*.

Theorem 2.3 ([2], Corollary 2.7). *Let $m, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ be such that there is some $l' \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N \geq l' > n + \tau$. Moreover, let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $l > n + m$. Additionally, let $a_j, a \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be such that for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq N$ and $|\beta| \leq l$ the derivatives $\partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_y^\beta a_j, \partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_y^\beta a$ exist in the classical sense and*

- ▷ $|\partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_y^\beta a_j(y, \eta)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \eta \rangle^m \langle y \rangle^\tau$ for all $\eta, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$;
- ▷ $|\partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_y^\beta a(y, \eta)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \eta \rangle^m \langle y \rangle^\tau$ for all $\eta, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- ▷ $\partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_y^\beta a_j(y, \eta) \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} \partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_y^\beta a(y, \eta)$ for all $\eta, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Then

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \text{Os-} \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} a_j(y, \eta) dy d\eta = \text{Os-} \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} a(y, \eta) dy d\eta.$$

For results analogous to this theorem, see [1], Corollary 3.10 and [5], Chapter 1, Theorem 6.6.

For $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we put

$$\langle x; x' \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |x|^2 + |x'|^2}.$$

Definition 2.2. Let $\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+, 0 < \tau < 1, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq \delta, \varrho \leq 1$ and $M_1, M_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$. Then a continuous function $a: \mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\xi^n \times \mathbb{R}_{x'}^n \times \mathbb{R}_{\xi'}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to the nonsmooth double symbol-class $C^{\tilde{m}, \tau} S_{\varrho, \delta}^{m_1, m_2}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M_1, M_2)$ if

- (i) $\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_{x'}^{\beta'} \partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'} a \in C^{\tilde{m}, \tau}(\mathbb{R}_x^n)$ and $\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_{x'}^{\beta'} \partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'} a \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\xi^n \times \mathbb{R}_{x'}^n \times \mathbb{R}_{\xi'}^n)$;
- (ii)

$$\begin{aligned} & |\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_{x'}^{\beta'} \partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'} a(x, \xi, x', \xi')| \\ & \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, \beta', \alpha'}(x) \tilde{C}_{\alpha, \beta, \beta', \alpha'}(x') \langle \xi \rangle^{m_1 - \varrho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|} \langle \xi' \rangle^{m_2 - \varrho|\alpha'|} \langle \xi; \xi' \rangle^{\delta|\beta'|}; \end{aligned}$$

- (iii)

$$\|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_{x'}^{\beta'} \partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'} a(\cdot, \xi, x', \xi')\|_{C^{\tilde{m}, \tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{\alpha, \beta', \alpha'} \langle \xi \rangle^{m_1 - \varrho|\alpha| + \delta(\tilde{m} + \tau)} \langle \xi' \rangle^{m_2 - \varrho|\alpha'|} \langle \xi; \xi' \rangle^{\delta|\beta'|}$$

for all $x, \xi, x', \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and arbitrary $\beta, \alpha, \beta', \alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\beta| \leq \tilde{m}, |\alpha| \leq M_1$ and $|\alpha'| \leq M_2$. Here the constants $C_{\alpha, \beta, \beta', \alpha'}(x)$, $C_{\alpha, \beta', \alpha'}$ and $\tilde{C}_{\alpha, \beta, \beta', \alpha'}(x')$ are bounded and independent of $\xi, x', \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ or $\xi, x, \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^n$, respectively.

We will utilize the following assertions on double symbols.

Theorem 2.4 ([2], Theorem 3.10). *Let $0 < s < 1$, $\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Additionally we choose $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ such that there is an $l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N_1 \geq l > n$. Moreover, we put $\tilde{N} = \min\{N_1 - (n + 1), N_2\}$. Furthermore, let*

$$\mathcal{B} \subset C^{\tilde{m}, s} S_{\varrho, \delta}^{m_1, m_2}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; N_1, N_2)$$

be bounded. If we define for each $a \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\theta \in [0, 1]$ the function $a_L^\theta: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$a_L^\theta(x, \xi) = \text{Os-} \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} a(x, \theta\eta + \xi, x + y, \xi) dy d\eta \quad \forall x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

we get with $m \equiv m_1 + m_2$ that $a_L^\theta \in C^{\tilde{m}, s} S_{\varrho, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; \tilde{N})$ for all $a \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\theta \in [0, 1]$, and the existence of a constant C_α , independent of $a \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\theta \in [0, 1]$, such that for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq \tilde{N}$ and $|\beta| \leq \tilde{m}$

$$\|\partial_\xi^\alpha a_L^\theta(\cdot, \xi)\|_{C^{\tilde{m}, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_\alpha \langle \xi \rangle^{m - \varrho|\alpha| + \delta(\tilde{m} + s)} \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta a_L^\theta(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta}(x) \langle \xi \rangle^{m - \varrho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|} \quad \forall x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $C_{\alpha, \beta}(x)$ is bounded and independent of $a \in \mathcal{B}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\theta \in [0, 1]$. This implies the boundedness of $\{a_L^\theta: a \in \mathcal{B}, \theta \in [0, 1]\} \subset C^{\tilde{m}, s} S_{\varrho, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; \tilde{N})$.

Theorem 2.5 ([2], Theorem 4.5). *Let $0 \leq \delta \leq \varrho \leq 1$, $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $M_1, M_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ with $M_1 > n + 1$, $\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $0 < \tau < 1$. For $a \in C^{\tilde{m}, \tau} S_{\varrho, \delta}^{m_1, m_2}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M_1, M_2)$ we define*

$$a_L(x, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-n} \cdot \text{Os-} \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} a(x, \xi + \eta, x + y, \xi) dy d\eta \quad \forall x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Additionally we set for all $\theta \in [0, 1]$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\gamma| \leq M_1 - (n + 1)$

$$r_{\gamma, \theta}(x, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-n} \cdot \text{Os-} \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \partial_\eta^\gamma D_y^\gamma a(x, \xi + \theta\eta, x + y, \xi) dy d\eta \quad \forall x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Moreover we put $\widetilde{M}_k = \min\{M_1 - k - (n + 1), M_2\}$ for all $k \leq M_1 - (n + 1)$. Then we get for all $N \leq M_1 - (n + 1)$ that

$$a_L(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_\eta^\alpha D_y^\alpha a(x, \xi + \eta, x + y, \xi) \Big|_{\eta=y=0} + R_N(x, \xi),$$

where

$$R_N(x, \xi) \equiv N \cdot \sum_{|\gamma|=N} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\theta)^{N-1}}{\gamma!} r_{\gamma, \theta}(x, \xi) d\theta \in C^{\tilde{m}, \tau} S_{\varrho, \delta}^{m_1+m_2-(\varrho-\delta) \cdot N}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; \widetilde{M}_N)$$

and

$$\{r_{\gamma, \theta}(x, \xi) : \theta \in [0, 1]\} \subset C^{\tilde{m}, \tau} S_{\varrho, \delta}^{m_1+m_2-(\varrho-\delta) \cdot N}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; \widetilde{M}_N)$$

is bounded.

We need the following implication concerning the action of a pseudo-differential operator on the Bessel potential spaces.

Theorem 2.6 ([2], Theorem 3.2). *Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq \delta \leq \varrho \leq 1$ with $\varrho > 0$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $M \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ with $M > \max\{n/2, n/p\}$. Additionally let $\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $0 < \tau \leq 1$ be such that $\tilde{m} + \tau > (1-\varrho)/(1-\delta) \cdot (n/2)$ if $\varrho < 1$ and $\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\tau > 0$ if $\varrho = 1$, respectively. Moreover let $\mathcal{B} \subset C^{\tilde{m}, \tau} S_{\varrho, \delta}^{m-k_p}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M)$ be bounded with $k_p \equiv (1-\varrho)n|1/2 - 1/p|$ and let $(1-\varrho)n/p - (1-\delta)(\tilde{m} + \tau) < s < \tilde{m} + \tau$. Then there is some $C_s > 0$, independent of $a \in \mathcal{B}$, such that*

$$\|a(x, D_x)f\|_{H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_s \|f\|_{H_p^{s+m}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{B} \quad \text{and} \quad f \in H_p^{s+m}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The following result concerning the compactness of a pseudo-differential operator is due to Marschall.

Lemma 2.1 ([2], Lemma 4.2). *Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$, $1 < p < \infty$, $\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $0 < \tau < 1$. Moreover, let $M \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ with $M > n \cdot \max\{1/2, 1/p\}$. Additionally let $a \in C^{\tilde{m}, \tau} S_{1, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M)$ be such that*

$$\lim_{|x|+|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} (1+|\xi|)^{-m} a(x, \xi) = 0.$$

Then for $-(1-\delta)(\tilde{m} + \tau) < s < \tilde{m} + \tau$

$$a(x, D_x) : H_p^{s+m}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ is compact.}$$

For $0 \leq \varrho, \delta \leq 1$ and $M \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$, let $S_{\varrho, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M)$ be the set of functions $a : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the following properties are fulfilled for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq M$:

- (i) $\partial_x^\beta a(x, \cdot) \in C^M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (ii) $\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha a \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_\xi^n)$;
- (iii) $|\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha a(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-\varrho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}$ for all $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

We will utilize the embedding result, see [2], (3.1):

$$(2.7) \quad S_{\varrho, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M) \subset C^{\tilde{m}, s} S_{\varrho, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M)$$

for all $0 < s \leq 1$, $\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $M \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ and $0 \leq \varrho, \delta \leq 1$.

Let $a \in C^{n+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq n+1$, there is a $C_\alpha > 0$ such that $|\partial_y^\alpha a(y)| \leq C_\alpha$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We have

$$(2.8) \quad (2\pi)^{-n} \cdot \text{Os-} \iint e^{i(x-y) \cdot \eta} a(y) \, dy \, d\eta = a(x)$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For the proof, see [1], Example 3.11.

We will make use of the following implication.

Lemma 2.2 ([5], Chapter 1, Lemma 6.5). *Let $f(t)$ be a C^2 -function defined on $I = [0, 1]$. Then there exists a constant $M > 0$ independent of f such that*

$$\left(\max_I |f'(t)| \right)^2 \leq M \left(\max_I |f(t)| \right) \left\{ \max_I |f(t)| + \max_I |f''(t)| \right\}.$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We use the following notations. A function $a: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N)$ is an element of $S_{\varrho, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$ if $a_{j,k}$ belongs to the class $S_{\varrho, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M)$ for all $j, k = 1, \dots, N$, where $a_{j,k}$ is the (j, k) -component of a . A matrix version of the class $C^{\tilde{m}, \tau} S_{\varrho, \delta}^{m_1, m_2}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M_1, M_2)$ and that of the class $\mathcal{A}_{\tau, M}^{m, N}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ are defined similarly. For $a \in \mathcal{A}_{\tau, M}^{m, N}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$, we define $\text{Os-} \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} a(y, \eta) \, dy \, d\eta$ by (2.6) whenever the limit exists.

First, we prove the statement of Theorem 1.2 for $m = 0$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \tau < 1$, $0 < \sigma < 1$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$. Let $M \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ be such that $M > (n+1) + n \cdot \max\{1/2, 1/p\}$. Let $p \in C^{0, \sigma} S_{1, \tau}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$ be a symbol satisfying the following properties for some $R > 0$ and $C_0 > 0$:

- (i) $|\det(p(x, \xi))| \geq C_0$ for all $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x| + |\xi| \geq R$;
- (ii) $p(x, \xi) \xrightarrow{|x| \rightarrow \infty} p(\infty, \xi)$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

We pick a $\delta \in (\tau, 1)$ arbitrarily. We define $q(x, \xi)$ by (2.1) and put $r(x, \xi) = p(x, \xi) - q(x, \xi)$. We have

$$(3.1) \quad r(x, \xi) = \int \varphi(\langle \xi \rangle^\delta y) (p(x, \xi) - p(x - y, \xi)) \, dy \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^{n\delta}.$$

Let us prove the following assertion.

Lemma 3.1.

(1) We have $\lim_{|x|+|\xi|\rightarrow\infty} r(x, \xi) = 0$.

(2) For $-(1-\delta)\sigma < s < \sigma$, the operator $r(x, D_x): H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N \rightarrow H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N$ is compact.

Proof. (1) Seeking a contradiction, we assume that $r(x, \xi)$ does not converge to 0 as $|x| + |\xi| \rightarrow \infty$. Then, there are an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a sequence $\{(x_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (|x_i| + |\xi_i|) = \infty$ and

$$(3.2) \quad |r(x_i, \xi_i)| \geq \varepsilon_0 \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It follows from Theorem 2.2 that $|r(x, \xi)| \leq C_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{-(\delta-\tau)}$. This, combined with $\delta \in (\tau, 1)$ and (3.2), implies that the sequence $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is bounded. So, the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem implies that $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ has a convergent subsequence $\{\xi_{i(l)}\}_{l=1}^\infty$. We put $\xi^0 = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \xi_{i(l)}$. From condition (ii) we have for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} (p(x, \xi^0) - p(x - y, \xi^0)) = 0.$$

In addition, we have

$$|\varphi(\langle \xi^0 \rangle^\delta y)(p(x, \xi^0) - p(x - y, \xi^0))| \leq 2C_0 |\varphi(\langle \xi^0 \rangle^\delta y)|,$$

where $C_0 = \sup_{(x, \xi)} |p(x, \xi)|$. Since the right-hand side of this inequality is an integrable function on $\mathbb{R}^n$, we infer by the Lebesgue theorem and (3.1) that

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} r(x, \xi_0) = 0.$$

It follows from Theorem 2.2 that $K \equiv \sup_{(x, \xi)} |\nabla_\xi r(x, \xi)| < \infty$. Since $|x_{i(l)}| \rightarrow \infty$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$, we have from (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} |r(x_{i(l)}, \xi_{i(l)})| &\leq |r(x_{i(l)}, \xi_{i(l)}) - r(x_{i(l)}, \xi^0)| + |r(x_{i(l)}, \xi^0)| \\ &\leq K |\xi_{i(l)} - \xi^0| + |r(x_{i(l)}, \xi^0)| \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{as } l \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Since this violates (3.2), we get the conclusion.

(2) It follows from Theorem 2.2 that $q \in S_{1, \delta}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$. Combining this with (2.7) we have $q \in C^{0, \sigma} S_{1, \delta}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$. But,

$$p \in C^{0, \sigma} S_{1, \tau}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N)) \subset C^{0, \sigma} S_{1, \delta}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N)).$$

So, $r \in C^{0, \sigma} S_{1, \delta}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$. This, together with assertion (1) and Lemma 2.1, yields the conclusion. $\square$

Put $d(A) = \det A$ for $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N)$. We have

$$|\det(p(x, \xi)) - \det(q(x, \xi))| \leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |(\nabla d)(tp(x, \xi) + (1-t)q(x, \xi))||r(x, \xi)|.$$

This, combined with the boundedness of $p(x, \xi)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and (1) of Lemma 3.1, implies that $\lim_{|x|+|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} |\det(p(x, \xi)) - \det(q(x, \xi))| = 0$. So, we see from condition (i) that there exist $R > 0$ and $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad |\det(q(x, \xi))| \geq C_0 \quad \forall x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{with} \quad |x| + |\xi| \geq R.$$

Furthermore, it follows from condition (ii) and (1) of Lemma 2.2 that

$$(3.5) \quad q(x, \xi) \xrightarrow{|x| \rightarrow \infty} p(\infty, \xi) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We choose a $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\chi(x, \xi) = 0$ for $|x| + |\xi| \leq R + 1$ and $\chi(x, \xi) = 1$ for $|x| + |\xi| \geq R + 2$. Let

$$w(x, \xi) = \chi(x, \xi)q(x, \xi)^{-1} \quad \forall x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Because of (3.4) and the fact that $q \in S_{1,\delta}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$, we obtain $w \in S_{1,\delta}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$. Since $q, w \in S_{1,\delta}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$, we claim by (2.7) that $q, w \in C^{\tilde{m}, \sigma} S_{1,\delta}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$ for all $\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Thus, the functions $q_{i,j}(x, \xi)w_{k,l}(x', \xi')$ and $w_{k,l}(x, \xi)q_{i,j}(x', \xi')$ belong to the class $C^{0,\sigma} S_{1,\delta}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M, M)$, where $q_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, and $w_{k,l}$, $1 \leq k, l \leq N$, stand for the (i, j) -component of q or the (k, l) -component of w , respectively. We put

$$m_1(x, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-n} \cdot \text{Os-} \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} q(x, \xi + \eta) w(x + y, \xi) dy d\eta$$

and

$$m_2(x, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-n} \cdot \text{Os-} \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} w(x, \xi + \eta) q(x + y, \xi) dy d\eta.$$

Since $M \geq n + 1$, we infer from Theorem 2.4 that $m_1, m_2 \in C^{0,\sigma} S_{1,\delta}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M - (n + 1); \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$. We also put

$$r_1(x, \xi) = m_1(x, \xi) - q(x, \xi)w(x, \xi) \quad \text{and} \quad r_2(x, \xi) = m_2(x, \xi) - w(x, \xi)q(x, \xi).$$

Because $q(x, \xi)w(x, \xi)$ and $w(x, \xi)q(x, \xi)$ belong to $S_{1,\delta}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$, we have $r_1, r_2 \in C^{0,\sigma} S_{1,\delta}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M - (n + 1); \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$. Since $q_{i,j}(x, \xi)w_{k,l}(x', \xi')$ and $w_{k,l}(x, \xi)q_{i,j}(x', \xi')$ belong to the class $C^{0,\sigma} S_{1,\delta}^{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M, M)$ and since $M \geq n + 2$, we have by Theorem 2.5 that $r_1, r_2 \in C^{0,\sigma} S_{1,\delta}^{-(1-\delta)}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M - (n + 2); \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$. Let us demonstrate the following assertion.

Lemma 3.2.

- (1) We have $\lim_{|x|+|\xi|\rightarrow\infty} r_1(x, \xi) = 0$ and $\lim_{|x|+|\xi|\rightarrow\infty} r_2(x, \xi) = 0$.
(2) For $-(1-\delta)\sigma < s < \sigma$, the operators $r_1(x, D_x): H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N \rightarrow H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N$ and $r_2(x, D_x): H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N \rightarrow H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N$ are compact.

Proof. (1) On account of (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$(3.6) \quad w(x, \xi) \xrightarrow{|x| \rightarrow \infty} p(\infty, \xi)^{-1} \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Seeking a contradiction, we suppose that $r_1(x, \xi)$ does not tend to 0 as $|x| + |\xi| \rightarrow \infty$. Then, there are an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a sequence $\{(x_k, \xi_k)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (|x_k| + |\xi_k|) = \infty$ and

$$(3.7) \quad |r_1(x_k, \xi_k)| \geq \varepsilon_0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $r_1 \in C^{0,\sigma} S_{1,\delta}^{-(1-\delta)}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M - (n+2); \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$, there is a constant C_0 for which $|r_1(x, \xi)| \leq C_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{-(1-\delta)}$ for all $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This, combined with $-(1-\delta) < 0$ and (3.6), implies that the sequence $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is bounded. It then follows from the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem that $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ admits a convergent subsequence $\{\xi_{k(l)}\}_{l=1}^\infty$. Put $\xi^0 = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \xi_{k(l)}$. We have

$$(3.8) \quad r_1(x_{k(l)}, \xi_{k(l)}) = r_1(x_{k(l)}, \xi_{k(l)}) - r_1(x_{k(l)}, \xi^0) + r_1(x_{k(l)}, \xi^0).$$

Since $\nabla_\xi r_1(x, \xi)$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, we get

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} (r_1(x_{k(l)}, \xi_{k(l)}) - r_1(x_{k(l)}, \xi^0)) = 0.$$

Since $w(x_{k(l)} + \cdot, \xi^0) \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$, we obtain from (2.8)

$$(3.10) \quad r_1(x_{k(l)}, \xi^0) = (2\pi)^{-n} \cdot \text{Os-} \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} (q(x_{k(l)}, \xi^0 + \eta) - q(x_{k(l)}, \xi^0)) w(x_{k(l)} + y, \xi^0) dy d\eta.$$

We note that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq 1$, there is a constant C_α such that $|\partial_\eta^\alpha q(x_{k(l)}, \eta)| \leq C_\alpha \langle \eta \rangle^{-|\alpha|}$ for all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and that $|\partial_y^\alpha w(x_{k(l)} + y, \xi^0)| \leq C_\alpha$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$. This, together with the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem and the diagonalization argument, yields that $\{k(l)\}_{l=1}^\infty$ admits a subsequence $\{k(l(m))\}_{m=1}^\infty$ such that the sequence $[q(x_{k(l(m))}, \xi^0 + \eta) - q(x_{k(l(m))}, \xi^0)] w(x_{k(l)} + y, \xi^0)$ converges uniformly on each compact subset of $\mathbb{R}_y^n \times \mathbb{R}_\eta^n$. But, (3.5), (3.6) and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |x_{k(l(m))}| = \infty$ yield that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} [q(x_{k(l(m))}, \xi^0 + \eta) - q(x_{k(l(m))}, \xi^0)] w(x_{k(l)} + y, \xi^0) \\ &= (p(\infty, \xi^0 + \eta) - p(\infty, \xi^0)) p(\infty, \xi^0)^{-1} \quad \forall y, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

So, it holds that

$$(3.11) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} [q(x_{k(l(m))}, \xi^0 + \eta) - q(x_{k(l(m))}, \xi^0)]w(x_{k(l)} + y, \xi^0) \\ = (p(\infty, \xi^0 + \eta) - p(\infty, \xi^0))p(\infty, \xi^0)^{-1}$$

uniformly on each compact subset of $\mathbb{R}_y^n \times \mathbb{R}_\eta^n$.

Because $q, w \in S_{1,\delta}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$, we have, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq n+2$ and $|\beta| \leq n+2$, there is a constant $C_{\alpha,\beta}$ such that

$$(3.12) \quad |\partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_y^\beta [(q(x_{k(l(m))}, \xi^0 + \eta) - q(x_{k(l(m))}, \xi^0))w(x_{k(l)} + y, \xi^0)]| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \\ \forall \eta, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This, together with (3.11) and repeated use of Lemma 2.2, yields that, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq n+1$ and $|\beta| \leq n+1$,

$$(3.13) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_y^\beta [(q(x_{k(l(m))}, \xi^0 + \eta) - q(x_{k(l(m))}, \xi^0))w(x_{k(l)} + y, \xi^0)] \\ = \partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_y^\beta [(p(\infty, \xi^0 + \eta) - p(\infty, \xi^0))p(\infty, \xi^0)^{-1}]$$

uniformly on each compact subset of $\mathbb{R}_y^n \times \mathbb{R}_\eta^n$. We infer from Theorem 2.3, (2.8), (3.10), (3.12) and (3.13) that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} r_1(x_{k(l(m))}, \xi^0) \\ = (2\pi)^{-n} \cdot \text{Os-} \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} (p(\infty, \xi^0 + \eta) - p(\infty, \xi^0))p(\infty, \xi^0)^{-1} dy d\eta = 0.$$

This, combined with (3.8) and (3.9), yields that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} r_1(x_{k(l(m))}, \xi_{k(l(m))}) = 0.$$

Since this violates (3.7), we obtain $\lim_{|x|+|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} r_1(x, \xi) = 0$. Similarly, we get

$$\lim_{|x|+|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} r_2(x, \xi) = 0.$$

(2) Combining assertion (1) with the fact that $r_1, r_2 \in C^{0,\sigma}S_{1,\delta}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M - (n+1); \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$ and Lemma 2.1, we arrive at the conclusion. $\square$

Since $p, w \in C^{0,\sigma}S_{1,\delta}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$ and $M > n \cdot \max\{1/2, 1/p\}$, we infer from Theorem 2.6 that for $-(1-\delta)\sigma < s < \sigma$ the operators $p(x, D_x) : H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N \rightarrow H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N$ and $w(x, D_x) : H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N \rightarrow H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N$ are bounded. Let I stand for the identity matrix of size N . Since the function $q(x, \xi)w(x, \xi) - I$ belongs to the class $C^{0,\sigma}S_{1,\delta}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$ and has a compact support, we see from Lemma 2.1

that for $-(1 - \delta)\sigma < s < \sigma$ the operator $\text{Op}(qw - I): H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N \rightarrow H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N$ is compact. We define

$$R_1 = \text{Op}(r_1) + \text{Op}(qw - I) + \text{Op}(r) \circ \text{Op}(w).$$

We see from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 and the observation above that for $-(1 - \delta)\sigma < s < \sigma$ the operator $R_1: H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N \rightarrow H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N$ is compact. Furthermore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Op}(p) \circ \text{Op}(w) &= (\text{Op}(q) + \text{Op}(r)) \circ \text{Op}(w) = \text{Op}(r_1) + \text{Op}(qw) + \text{Op}(r) \circ \text{Op}(w) \\ &= \text{Id} + R_1. \end{aligned}$$

We also define

$$R_2 = \text{Op}(r_2) + \text{Op}(wq - I) + \text{Op}(w) \circ \text{Op}(r).$$

As in the discussion above, we claim that for $-(1 - \delta)\sigma < s < \sigma$ the operator $R_2: H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N \rightarrow H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N$ is compact and that

$$\text{Op}(w) \circ \text{Op}(p) = \text{Id} + R_2.$$

So we infer by the Atkinson theorem that for $-(1 - \delta)\sigma < s < \sigma$ the operator $p(x, D_x): H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N \rightarrow H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N$ is a Fredholm operator. Since we have chosen $\delta \in (\tau, 1)$ arbitrarily, the assertion of Theorem 1.2 for $m = 0$ holds true.

Next, we turn our attention to the general case. Assume that the conditions in Theorem 1.2 are satisfied. Put

$$(3.14) \quad \tilde{a}(x, \xi) = a(x, \xi) \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^{-m} I.$$

Since $a \in C^{0, \sigma} S_{1, \tau}^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$, we have $\tilde{a} \in C^{0, \sigma} S_{1, \tau}^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N; M; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^N))$. Thus, Theorem 1.2 for $m = 0$ yields that for $-(1 - \tau)\sigma < s < \sigma$ the operator $\tilde{a}(x, D_x): H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N \rightarrow H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N$ is a Fredholm operator. Note that (3.14) yields $a(x, D_x) = \tilde{a}(x, D_x) \circ \text{Op}(\langle \xi \rangle^m I)$. Since $\text{Op}(\langle \xi \rangle^m I): H_p^{s+m}(\mathbb{R}^n)^N \rightarrow H_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)^N$ is a linear isomorphism for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we get the conclusion of Theorem 1.2.

References

- [1] *H. Abels*: Pseudodifferential and Singular Integral Operators: An Introduction With Applications. de Gruyter Graduate Lectures. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2012. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [2] *H. Abels, C. Pfeuffer*: Fredholm property of non-smooth pseudodifferential operators. *Math. Nachr.* 293 (2020), 822–846. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [3] *L. Hörmander*: The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators. III. Pseudo-Differential Operators. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 274. Springer, Berlin, 1994. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

- [4] *J. J. Kohn, L. Nirenberg*: An algebra of pseudo-differential operators. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 18 (1965), 269–305. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [5] *H. Kumano-go*: Pseudo-Differential Operators. MIT Press, Cambridge, 1982. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [6] *M. Nagase*: The L^p -boundedness of pseudo-differential operators with non-regular symbols. Commun. Partial Differ. Equations 2 (1977), 1045–1061. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [7] *M. E. Taylor*: Pseudodifferential Operators and Nonlinear PDE. Progress in Mathematics 100. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1991. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

Author's address: Kazushi Yoshitomi, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Minamiohsawa 1-1, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan, e-mail: yositomi@tmu.ac.jp.