

Zhiyong Wang; Zhengya Qian

Periodic solutions for a class of non-autonomous Hamiltonian systems with $p(t)$ -Laplacian

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 149 (2024), No. 2, 185–208

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/152467>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2024

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

PERIODIC SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF NON-AUTONOMOUS HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS WITH $p(t)$ -LAPLACIAN

ZHIYONG WANG, ZHENGYA QIAN, Nanjing

Received June 3, 2022. Published online March 28, 2023.

Communicated by Ondřej Kreml

Abstract. We investigate the existence of infinitely many periodic solutions for the $p(t)$ -Laplacian Hamiltonian systems. By virtue of several auxiliary functions, we obtain a series of new super- p^+ growth and asymptotic- p^+ growth conditions. Using the minimax methods in critical point theory, some multiplicity theorems are established, which unify and generalize some known results in the literature. Meanwhile, we also present an example to illustrate our main results are new even in the case $p(t) \equiv p = 2$.

Keywords: auxiliary functions; $p(t)$ -Laplacian systems; periodic solution; (C) condition; generalized mountain pass theorem

MSC 2020: 34C25, 35A15

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Consider the $p(t)$ -Laplacian systems

$$(1.1) \quad -(|u'(t)|^{p(t)-2}u'(t))' = \nabla F(t, u(t)) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $F(t, x)$ and $p(t)$ satisfy the following conditions:

(H0) $F: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable and T -periodic ($T > 0$) in its first variable for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, continuously differentiable in x for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, and there exist $a \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $b \in L^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$|F(t, x)| + |\nabla F(t, x)| \leq a(|x|)b(t)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$;

This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11571176, 11701289) and Jiangsu Province Science Foundation for Youths (BK20170936).

(P) $p(t) \in C(0, T; \mathbb{R}^+)$, $p(t) = p(t + T)$ and

$$1 < p^- := \min_{0 \leq t \leq T} p(t) \leq p^+ := \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} p(t) < \infty.$$

The interest in the study of problem (1.1) is twofold. On one hand, we have the physical motivations, since the $p(t)$ -Laplacian systems can be applied to describe the physical phenomena with ‘pointwise different properties’ which first arose from the nonlinear elasticity theory, see [31] and the references therein. On the other hand, we have the purely mathematical interest in these types of problems, mainly regarding the existence of solutions as well as multiplicity results. For more general and recent works on $p(t)$ -Laplacian systems, we refer the reader to [4], [10], [22], [26], [28].

As we have seen, if $p(t) \equiv p > 1$, problem (1.1) reduces to the classical ordinary p -Laplacian systems

$$(1.2) \quad -(|u'(t)|^{p-2}u'(t))' = \nabla F(t, u(t)) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In last decades, considerable attention has been drawn to the existence and multiplicity of periodic solutions for problem (1.2) under various conditions, see [8], [9], [11], [21], [25], [27], [30]. Specially, applying the generalized mountain pass theorem and some techniques of analysis, Ma and Zhang in [11] have proved problem (1.2) has infinitely many nontrivial periodic solutions. More precisely, for the super- p growth case, they established the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 ([11]). *Assume that F satisfies (H0) and the following conditions:*

- (H1) $F(t, x) \geq 0$ for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N$;
- (H2) $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} F(t, x)/|x|^p = 0$ uniformly for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$;
- (H3) $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} F(t, x)/|x|^p > 0$ uniformly for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$;
- (H4) $\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} F(t, x)/|x|^r \leq M < \infty$ uniformly for some $M > 0$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$;
- (H5) $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} ((\nabla F(t, x), x) - pF(t, x))/|x|^\mu \geq \varrho > 0$ uniformly for some $\varrho > 0$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$,

where $r > p$, $\mu > r - p$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)$, $|\cdot|$ are the Euclidean inner product and norm in $\mathbb{R}^N$, respectively. Then problem (1.2) has a sequence of distinct periodic solutions with period $k_j T$ satisfying $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

At the same time, for the asymptotic- p growth case, they obtained the following results.

Theorem 1.2 ([11]). *Assume that F satisfies (H0)–(H3) and the following conditions:*

- (H4') $\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} F(t, x)/|x|^p \leq M < \infty$ uniformly for some $M > 0$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$;
- (H6) there exists $\gamma \in L^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that $(\nabla F(t, x), x) - pF(t, x) \geq \gamma(t)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$;
- (H7) $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} ((\nabla F(t, x), x) - pF(t, x)) = \infty$ uniformly for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

Then problem (1.2) has a sequence of distinct periodic solutions with period $k_j T$ satisfying $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1.3 ([11]). Assume that F satisfies (H0)–(H3), (H4') and the following conditions:

- (H6') there exists $\gamma \in L^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that $(\nabla F(t, x), x) - pF(t, x) \leq \gamma(t)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$;
- (H7') $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} ((\nabla F(t, x), x) - pF(t, x)) = -\infty$ uniformly for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

Then problem (1.2) has a sequence of distinct periodic solutions with period $k_j T$ satisfying $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Motivated by the results of [6], [11], [19], [20], [23], [24], in the present paper, making use of auxiliary functions, we are interested in extending Theorem 1.1 to the $p(t)$ -Laplacian systems (1.1) under more general hypotheses. In addition, we should stress that the different methods in [11] are used to ensure the compact conditions for the super- p growth situation and the asymptotic- p growth situation. Here, we attempt to propose a unified approach when the potential function $F(t, x)$ exhibits either an asymptotic- p^+ or a super- p^+ behaviour for problem (1.1). Hence, our results are new and improve recent results in the literature even in the case $p(t) \equiv p = 2$.

Now, we are in a position to state our main results. To begin with, for the super- p^+ growth case, we have:

Theorem 1.4. Suppose that conditions (H0), (P) hold and F satisfies the following conditions:

- (F1) $F(t, x) \geq 0$ for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N$;
- (F2) $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} F(t, x)/|x|^{p^+} = 0$ uniformly for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$;
- (F3) $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} F(t, x)/|x|^{p^+} > 0$ uniformly for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$;
- (F4) there exists $h \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x)}{h(|x|)} \leq M < \infty \text{ uniformly for some } M > 0 \text{ and a.e. } t \in [0, T];$$

(F5) there exist $M_1 > 0$, $\theta_1 \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} h(s)/\theta_1(s)s^{p^-} = 0$ and $\theta_1(s)/h(s)$ is non-increasing in s for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$, where $h(s)$ is defined in (F4), such that

$$(\nabla F(t, x), x) - p^+ F(t, x) \geq \theta_1(|x|) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, |x| \geq M_1 \text{ and for a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

Then problem (1.1) has a sequence of distinct periodic solutions with period $k_j T$ satisfying $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 1.5. When comparing Theorem 1.4 to Theorem 1.1, the following four aspects must be pointed out:

(1) Condition (F1) is the same as (H1), conditions (F2) and (F3) can be regarded as natural generalizations of (H2) and (H3) about $p(t)$ -Laplacian systems, respectively.

(2) We can find that conditions (F4) and (F5) cover the cases of assumptions (H4) and (H5) when (H1) (or (F1)) holds. We follow two steps to demonstrate this claim.

Step 1. We confirm that (H1) (or (F1)), (H4) and (H5) could imply $\mu \leq r$. It follows from (H4) that there exists $d_1 > 0$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad F(t, x) \leq M|x|^r \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, |x| \geq d_1 \text{ and for a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

By (H5), we can choose $d_2 > 0$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad (\nabla F(t, x), x) - pF(t, x) \geq \varrho|x|^\mu \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, |x| \geq d_2 \text{ and for a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

Let $d_3 := \max\{d_1, d_2\}$, taking account of (1.3), (1.4) and (H1) (or (F1)), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} M|x|^r &\geq F(t, x) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{s} (\nabla F(t, sx), sx) ds + F(t, 0) \geq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{s} (\varrho|sx|^\mu + pF(t, sx)) ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\mu} \varrho|x|^\mu \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, |x| \geq d_3 \text{ and for a.e. } t \in [0, T], \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\mu \leq r$.

Step 2. We claim that (H4) and (H5) are special cases of (F4) and (F5), respectively, when (H1) (or (F1)) holds. We only need to take $p(t) \equiv p > 1$, $h(s) = s^r$, $\theta_1(s) = \varrho s^\mu$ and M_1 large enough, where $\varrho > 0$, $r > p$, $\mu > r - p$. In fact, we can check that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} h(s)/\theta_1(s)s^p = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{r-\mu-p}/\varrho = 0$ by $\mu > r - p$. Furthermore, $\theta_1(s)/h(s) = \varrho s^{\mu-r}$ is non-increasing in s for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ by Step 1. Therefore, $h(s)$ and $\theta_1(s)$ satisfy all conditions of (F4) and (F5).

(3) There exist functions $F(t, x)$ satisfying Theorem 1.4 and not fulfilling the result of Theorem 1.1. The detailed example will be given in Section 5.

(4) Last but not the least, from the discussions of (1)–(3) we see that Theorem 1.4 significantly extends and improves Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.6. In [23], the first author and Zhang are concerned with the existence of periodic solutions for the following damped vibration problem

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} \ddot{u}(t) + q(t)\dot{u} + \nabla F(t, u(t)) = 0 & \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ u(0) - u(T) = \dot{u}(0) - \dot{u}(T) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $q \in L^1(0, T; \mathbb{R})$, $\int_0^T q(t) dt = 0$. Theorem 1.1, the main result of [23], has introduced the following new non-quadratic conditions:

- (F4*) $\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} e^{Q(t)} F(t, x)/|x|^r \leq M < \infty$ uniformly for some $M > 0$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, where $Q(t) := \int_0^t q(s) ds$, $r > 2$;
(F5*) there exist $M_1 > 0$, $\mu > r-2$ and $k_1 \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} k_1(s)s^{\mu+2-r} = \infty$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} k_1^{r/\mu}(s)s^2 = \infty$ and $k_1(s)$ is non-increasing in s for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$e^{Q(t)}((\nabla F(t, x), x) - 2F(t, x)) \geq k_1(|x|)|x|^\mu \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, |x| \geq M_1$$

and for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

From [23] we have known that (F4*) and (F5*) are more general than (H4) and (H5) when (H1) (or (F1)) holds. Here, if $q(t) \equiv 0$ and $\mu \leq r$, we emphasize that these new non-quadratic conditions (F4*) and (F5*) are also special cases of assumptions (F4) and (F5), respectively. Indeed, set $p(t) \equiv p = 2$, $h(s) = s^r$, $\theta_1(s) = k_1(s)s^\mu$. Using the properties of $k_1(s)$ and $\mu \leq r$, a direct computation shows that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} h(s)/\theta_1(s)s^2 = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} 1/k_1(s)s^{\mu+2-r} = 0$; moreover, we have $g(s) := \theta_1(s)/h(s) = k_1(s)s^{\mu-r}$ is non-increasing in s for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ since $g(s)/g(t) = (k_1(s)/k_1(t))(s/t)^{\mu-r} \geq 1$ for all $s \leq t$ by $\mu \leq r$. Therefore, in some sense, Theorem 1.4 also generalizes Theorem 1.1 with $q(t) \equiv 0$ of [23].

Theorem 1.7. Suppose that conditions (H0), (P) hold, F satisfies (F1)–(F3) and the following condition:

- (F6) there exist $M_2 > 0$, $\tau \geq 1$, $\theta_2 \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{p^*}/\theta_2^{1/\tau}(s) = 0$ and $\theta_2(s)/s^{p^*+\tau}$ is non-increasing in s for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$, where $p^* := p^+ - p^-$ such that

$$(\nabla F(t, x), x) - p^+ F(t, x) \geq \theta_2(|x|) \left(\frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{p^+}} \right)^\tau \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, |x| \geq M_2$$

and for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

Then problem (1.1) has a sequence of distinct periodic solutions with period $k_j T$ satisfying $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 1.8. We assert that (H1) (or (F1)), (H4) and (H5) could imply (F6) with $p(t) \equiv p > 1$. As a matter of fact, in view of (H4) and (H5), for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and M_2 large enough we have

$$(\nabla F(t, x), x) - pF(t, x) \geq \varrho |x|^{\mu+p-r} \frac{|x|^r}{|x|^p} \geq \frac{\varrho}{M} |x|^{\mu+p-r} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^p}.$$

Select $p(t) \equiv p$, $\tau = 1$, $\theta_2(s) = \varrho s^{\mu+p-r}/M$, noticing $\mu > r - p$, then $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} 1/\theta_2(s) = 0$ and $\theta_2(s)/s^p = \varrho s^{\mu-r}/M$ is non-increasing in s for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ by Remark 1.5 (2), Step 1. So, (F6) holds. Therefore, Theorem 1.7 greatly unifies and generalizes Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.9. Suppose that conditions (H0), (P) hold, F satisfies (F1)–(F3) and the following condition:

(F7) there exist $M_3 > 0$, $\sigma \geq p^-(p^- - 1)$, $\theta_3 \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{p^*}/\theta_3^{1/\sigma}(s) = 0$ and $\theta_3(s)/s^{(p^+-1)\sigma}$ is non-increasing in s for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$, such that

$$(\nabla F(t, x), x) - p^+ F(t, x) \geq \theta_3(|x|) \left(\frac{|\nabla F(t, x)|}{|x|^{p^+-1}} \right)^\sigma \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, |x| \geq M_3$$

and for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

Then problem (1.1) has a sequence of distinct periodic solutions with period $k_j T$ satisfying $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 1.10. When $p(t) \equiv p = 2$, $\sigma > 1$, condition (F7) was originally due to [24].

Next, turning our attention to the asymptotic- p^+ growth case, from Theorem 1.4, Theorem 1.7 and Theorem 1.9, we can easily get the following results.

Corollary 1.11. Suppose that conditions (H0), (P) hold, F satisfies (F1)–(F3) and the following conditions:

(F4') $\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} F(t, x)/|x|^{p^+} \leq M < \infty$ uniformly for some $M > 0$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$;
(F5') there exist $M_1 > 0$, $\theta_1 \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{p^*}/\theta_1(s) = 0$ and $\theta_1(s)/s^{p^+}$ is non-increasing in s for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$(\nabla F(t, x), x) - p^+ F(t, x) \geq \theta_1(|x|) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, |x| \geq M_1 \text{ and for a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

Then problem (1.1) has a sequence of distinct periodic solutions with period $k_j T$ satisfying $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 1.12. Corollary 1.11 seems like a new result. When $p(t) \equiv p$, in contrast to Theorem 1.2, Corollary 1.11 removes the assumption (H6) completely although it uses a few stronger condition (F5') instead of (H7).

Corollary 1.13. Suppose that conditions (H0), (P) hold, F satisfies (F1)–(F3) and (F4') and the following condition:

(F5'') there exist $M_1 > 0$, $\theta_1 \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{p^*}/\theta_1(s) = 0$ and $\theta_1(s)/s^{p^+}$ is non-increasing in s for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$(\nabla F(t, x), x) - p^+ F(t, x) \leq -\theta_1(|x|) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, |x| \geq M_1 \text{ and for a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

Then problem (1.1) has a sequence of distinct periodic solutions with period $k_j T$ satisfying $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Corollary 1.14. Suppose that conditions (H0), (P) hold, F satisfies (F1)–(F3) and (F4') and the following condition:

(F6'') there exist $M_2 > 0$, $\tau \geq 1$, $\theta_2 \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{p^*}/\theta_2^{1/\tau}(s) = 0$ and $\theta_2(s)/s^{p^+ \tau}$ is non-increasing in s for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$(\nabla F(t, x), x) - p^+ F(t, x) \geq \theta_2(|x|) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, |x| \geq M_2 \text{ and for a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

Then problem (1.1) has a sequence of distinct periodic solutions with period $k_j T$ satisfying $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Corollary 1.15. Suppose that conditions (H0), (P) hold, F satisfies (F1)–(F3) and (F4') and the following condition:

(F6'') there exist $M_2 > 0$, $\tau \geq 1$, $\theta_2 \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{p^*}/\theta_2^{1/\tau}(s) = 0$ and $\theta_2(s)/s^{p^+ \tau}$ is non-increasing in s for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$(\nabla F(t, x), x) - p^+ F(t, x) \leq -\theta_2(|x|) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, |x| \geq M_2 \text{ and for a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

Then problem (1.1) has a sequence of distinct periodic solutions with period $k_j T$ satisfying $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Corollary 1.16. Suppose that conditions (H0), (P) hold, F satisfies (F1)–(F3) and the following conditions:

(F4'') $\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |\nabla F(t, x)|/|x|^{p^+-1} \leq M < \infty$ uniformly for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$;

(F7') there exist $M_3 > 0$, $\sigma \geq p^-(p^- - 1)$, $\theta_3 \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{p^*} / \theta_3^{1/\sigma}(s) = 0$ and $\theta_3(s)/s^{(p^+-1)\sigma}$ is non-increasing in s for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$(\nabla F(t, x), x) - p^+ F(t, x) \geq \theta_3(|x|) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, |x| \geq M_3 \text{ and for a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

Then problem (1.1) has a sequence of distinct periodic solutions with period $k_j T$ satisfying $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Corollary 1.17. Suppose that conditions (H0), (P) hold, F satisfies (F1), (F2) and (F4'') and the following conditions:

(F3') $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} (\nabla F(t, x), x) / |x|^{p^+} > 0$ uniformly for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$;

(F7'') there exist $M_3 > 0$, $\sigma \geq p^-(p^- - 1)$, $\theta_3 \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{p^*} / \theta_3^{1/\sigma}(s) = 0$ and $\theta_3(s)/s^{(p^+-1)\sigma}$ is non-increasing in s for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$(\nabla F(t, x), x) - p^+ F(t, x) \leq -\theta_3(|x|) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, |x| \geq M_3 \text{ and for a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

Then problem (1.1) has a sequence of distinct periodic solutions with period $k_j T$ satisfying $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 1.18. Without loss of generality, we may assume that function b in assumption (H0) is T -periodic.

Finally, the rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we set up the functional analytic framework needed to study problem (1.1) from the variational point of view. In Section 3, we find that all (C) sequences (see Definition 3.2 below) of the energy functional associated with systems (1.1) are bounded (see Lemmas 3.3–3.5 below), then we prove all the compact conditions (C) (see Definition 3.2 below) hold. In Section 4, we adopt the same ideas developed by Ma and Zhang in [11] to show our main results by generalized mountain pass theorem in [12]. At last, in Section 5, we give an example to illustrate our results are new even in the case $p(t) \equiv p = 2$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we first give some necessary background knowledge and propositions concerning the generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces. We can refer the reader to [3] for more information.

Let k be a positive integer and $p(t)$ satisfy condition (P). Define

$$L^{p(t)}(0, kT; \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}) := \left\{ u \in L^1(0, kT; \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}) : \int_0^{kT} |u|^{p(t)} dt < \infty \right\}$$

with the norm

$$|u|_{L^{p(t)}} = |u|_{p(t)} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0: \int_0^{kT} \left| \frac{u}{\lambda} \right|^{p(t)} dt \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Define

$$C_{kT}^\infty = C_{kT}^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N}) := \{u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N}): u \text{ is } kT\text{-periodic}\}.$$

For $u \in L^1(0, kT; \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N})$, if there exists $\nu \in L^1(0, kT; \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N})$ satisfying

$$\int_0^{kT} \nu \varphi dt = - \int_0^{kT} u \varphi' dt \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{kT}^\infty,$$

then ν is called the kT -weak derivative of u and is denoted by u' . Define

$$W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}(0, kT; \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N}) := \{u \in L^{p(t)}(0, kT; \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N}): u' \in L^{p(t)}(0, kT; \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N})\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}} = \|u\| := |u|_{p(t)} + |u'|_{p(t)}.$$

For $u \in W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}(0, kT; \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N})$, let

$$\bar{u} := \frac{1}{kT} \int_0^{kT} u(t) dt, \quad \tilde{u}(t) = u(t) - \bar{u}$$

and

$$\widetilde{W}_{kT}^{1,p(t)}(0, kT; \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N}) := \left\{ u \in W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}(0, kT; \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N}): \int_0^{kT} u(t) dt = 0 \right\},$$

then

$$W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}(0, kT; \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N}) = \widetilde{W}_{kT}^{1,p(t)}(0, kT; \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N}) \oplus \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N}.$$

For the sake of convenience, in the following we use $L^{p(t)}$, $W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$, $\widetilde{W}_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$ to denote $L^{p(t)}(0, kT; \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N})$, $W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}(0, kT; \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N})$, $\widetilde{W}_{kT}^{1,p(t)}(0, kT; \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N})$, respectively.

Proposition 2.1 ([4]). *For $u \in L^{p(t)}$, one has*

- (1) $|u|_{p(t)} < 1$ ($= 1$; > 1) $\Leftrightarrow \int_0^{kT} |u(t)|^{p(t)} dt < 1$ ($= 1$; > 1);
- (2) $|u|_{p(t)} > 1 \Rightarrow |u|_{p(t)}^{p^-} \leq \int_0^{kT} |u(t)|^{p(t)} dt \leq |u|_{p(t)}^{p^+}$, $|u|_{p(t)} < 1 \Rightarrow |u|_{p(t)}^{p^+} \leq \int_0^{kT} |u(t)|^{p(t)} dt \leq |u|_{p(t)}^{p^-}$;
- (3) $|u|_{p(t)} \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \int_0^{kT} |u(t)|^{p(t)} dt \rightarrow 0$, $|u|_{p(t)} \rightarrow \infty \Leftrightarrow \int_0^{kT} |u(t)|^{p(t)} dt \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 2.2 ([4]). *The space $L^{p(t)}$ and $W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$ are separable and reflexive Banach spaces when $p^- > 1$.*

Proposition 2.3 ([4]). *There is a continuous embedding $W_{kT}^{1,p(t)} \hookrightarrow C(0, kT; \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N})$; when $p^- > 1$, it is a compact embedding.*

Proposition 2.4 ([4]). For every $u \in \widetilde{W}_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$, there exists $c_k > 0$ such that $\|u\|_\infty \leq c_k \|u'\|_{p(t)}$, where $\|u\|_\infty := \max_{t \in [0, kT]} |u(t)|$.

Proposition 2.5 ([4]). Let $u = \bar{u} + \tilde{u}(t) \in W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$. Then the norm $|\tilde{u}'|_{p(t)}$ is an equivalent norm on $\widetilde{W}_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$.

Proposition 2.6 ([22]). Let $J_k(u) := \int_0^{kT} |u'(t)|^{p(t)} / p(t) dt$ for $u \in W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$. Then $\langle J'_k(u), v \rangle = \int_0^{kT} (|u'(t)|^{p(t)-2} u'(t), v'(t)) dt$ for all $u, v \in W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$, and J'_k is a mapping of type (S_+) , i.e., if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J'_k(u_n) - J'_k(u), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$, then $\{u_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence in $W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$.

By assumption (H0), the functional

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi_k(u) := \int_0^{kT} \frac{1}{p(t)} |u'(t)|^{p(t)} dt - \int_0^{kT} F(t, u(t)) dt$$

is continuously differentiable, and

$$(2.2) \quad \langle \varphi'_k(u), v \rangle = \int_0^{kT} |u'(t)|^{p(t)-2} (u'(t), v'(t)) dt - \int_0^{kT} (\nabla F(t, u(t)), v(t)) dt$$

for all $u, v \in W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$ (see [22]).

We say that $u \in W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$ is a weak solution of problem (1.1) if it satisfies

$$\langle \varphi'_k(u), v \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for any } v \in W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}.$$

Hence, the kT -solutions of problem (1.1) correspond to the critical points of the functional φ_k . In the whole paper, we denote various positive constants as C_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$

3. COMPACT CONDITIONS

Let us recall the following compact concepts, which can be found in [2], [12], [16].

Definition 3.1. Let E be a real Banach space. We say that $\{u_n\}$ in E is a Palais-Smale sequence ((PS) sequence) for φ_k if $\varphi_k(u_n)$ is bounded and $\varphi'_k(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The functional $\varphi_k \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition ((PS) condition) if any Palais-Smale sequence contains a convergent subsequence.

Definition 3.2. Let E be a real Banach space. We say that $\{u_n\}$ in E is a Cerami sequence ((C) sequence) for φ_k if $\varphi_k(u_n)$ is bounded and $\varphi'_k(u_n)(1 + \|u_n\|) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The functional $\varphi_k \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the Cerami condition ((C) condition) if any Cerami sequence contains a convergent subsequence.

In this section, we observe that although the energy functional of problem (1.1) may possess unbounded (PS) sequence, we can prove that all (C) sequences of this functional are bounded. Concretely speaking, we have

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that (H0), (P), (F1), (F3)–(F5) hold, then the functional φ_k satisfies condition (C).*

Proof. Let $E := W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$. Suppose that $\{u_n\} \subset W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$ is a (C) sequence of φ_k , then one has

$$(3.1) \quad |\varphi_k(u_n)| \leq C_1, \quad (1 + \|u_n\|)\|\varphi'_k(u_n)\|_{E^*} \leq C_1$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where E^* is the dual space of E .

In the first place, by (F4), there exists $M_4 > 0$ such that

$$F(t, x) \leq Mh(|x|)$$

for all $|x| \geq M_4$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, which together with assumption (H0) yields

$$(3.2) \quad F(t, x) \leq Mh(|x|) + h_1(t)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a.e. $t \in [0, kT]$, where $h_1(t) := \max_{|x| \leq M_4} a(|x|)b(t) \geq 0$. It follows from (2.1), (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} C_1 &\geq \varphi_k(u_n) = \int_0^{kT} \frac{1}{p(t)} |u'_n(t)|^{p(t)} dt - \int_0^{kT} F(t, u_n(t)) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \int_0^{kT} |u'_n(t)|^{p(t)} dt - M \int_0^{kT} h(|u_n|) dt - \int_0^{kT} h_1(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (F5), one has

$$(3.4) \quad (\nabla F(t, x), x) - p^+ F(t, x) \geq \theta_1(|x|)$$

for all $|x| \geq M_1$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$. Let $\Omega_{1n} := \{t \in [0, kT] : |u_n(t)| \geq M_1\}$, $\Omega_{1n}^c := \{t \in [0, kT] : |u_n(t)| < M_1\}$ and $h_2(t) := (p^+ + M_1) \max_{|x| \leq M_1} a(|x|)b(t) \geq 0$. It follows from (2.1), (2.2), (3.1) and (3.4) that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (p^+ + 1)C_1 &\geq p^+ \varphi_k(u_n) - \langle \varphi'_k(u_n), u_n \rangle \geq \int_0^{kT} ((\nabla F(t, u_n), u_n) - p^+ F(t, u_n)) dt \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega_{1n}} \theta_1(|u_n|) dt - \int_0^{kT} h_2(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \int_0^{kT} \theta_1(|u_n|) dt = \int_{\Omega_{1n}} \theta_1(|u_n|) dt + \int_{\Omega_{1n}^c} \theta_1(|u_n|) dt \leq C_2 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Next, from assumption (P) and Proposition 2.3, there exists $d > 0$ such that

$$(3.7) \quad \|u\|_\infty \leq d\|u\|$$

for all $u \in W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$. By virtue of (3.3), (3.6), (3.7), and noticing that $h(s)/\theta_1(s)$ is non-decreasing in s for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we obtain that

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} C_1 &\geq \varphi_k(u_n) \geq \frac{1}{p^+} \int_0^{kT} |u'_n(t)|^{p(t)} dt - M \int_0^{kT} \frac{h(|u_n|)}{\theta_1(|u_n|)} \theta_1(|u_n|) dt - \int_0^{kT} h_1(t) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \int_0^{kT} |u'_n(t)|^{p(t)} dt - MC_2 \frac{h(\|u_n\|_\infty)}{\theta_1(\|u_n\|_\infty)} - \int_0^{kT} h_1(t) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \int_0^{kT} |u'_n(t)|^{p(t)} dt - MC_2 \frac{h(d\|u_n\|)}{\theta_1(d\|u_n\|)} - \int_0^{kT} h_1(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we claim $\{u_n\}$ is bounded, otherwise, going if necessary to a subsequence, we assume that $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Set $v_n := u_n/\|u_n\| = \bar{u}_n/\|u_n\| + \tilde{u}_n(t)/\|u_n\| = \bar{v}_n + \tilde{v}_n(t)$, then $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in $W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$. Hence, there exists a subsequence, again denoted by $\{v_n\}$, such that

$$(3.9) \quad v_n \rightharpoonup v_0 \quad \text{weakly in } W_{kT}^{1,p(t)},$$

$$(3.10) \quad v_n \rightarrow v_0 \quad \text{strongly in } C(0, kT; \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}).$$

Then, by (3.10), one has

$$(3.11) \quad \bar{v}_n = \frac{1}{kT} \int_0^{kT} v_n(t) dt \rightarrow \frac{1}{kT} \int_0^{kT} v_0(t) dt = \bar{v}_0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Dividing both sides of (3.8) by $\|u_n\|^{p^-}$, in light of the properties of $\theta_1(s)$ and condition (P), we can find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C_1}{\|u_n\|^{p^-}} &\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \int_0^{kT} \frac{|u'_n(t)|^{p(t)}}{\|u_n\|^{p^-}} dt - MC_2 \frac{h(d\|u_n\|)}{\theta_1(d\|u_n\|)\|u_n\|^{p^-}} - \frac{\int_0^{kT} h_1(t) dt}{\|u_n\|^{p^-}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \int_0^{kT} \frac{|u'_n(t)|^{p(t)}}{\|u_n\|^{p(t)}} dt - MC_2 d^{p^-} \frac{h(d\|u_n\|)}{\theta_1(d\|u_n\|)d^{p^-}\|u_n\|^{p^-}} - \frac{\int_0^{kT} h_1(t) dt}{\|u_n\|^{p^-}} \\ &= \frac{1}{p^+} \int_0^{kT} |v'_n(t)|^{p(t)} dt - MC_2 d^{p^-} \frac{h(d\|u_n\|)}{\theta_1(d\|u_n\|)d^{p^-}\|u_n\|^{p^-}} - \frac{\int_0^{kT} h_1(t) dt}{\|u_n\|^{p^-}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(3.12) \quad \int_0^{kT} |v'_n(t)|^{p(t)} dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then, by Proposition 2.1 (3), one has $|v'_n(t)|_{p(t)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which together with Proposition 2.4 and (3.10) yield

$$(3.13) \quad v_n \rightarrow \bar{v}_0 = v_0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently, we get $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $v_0 \neq 0$, which implies that

$$(3.14) \quad |u_n(t)| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly for a.e. } t \in [0, kT].$$

So, from (F1), (F3), (3.14) and Fatou's lemma, we get

$$(3.15) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^{kT} F(t, u_n(t)) dt}{\|u_n\|^{p^+}} \geq \int_0^{kT} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, u_n(t))}{|u_n(t)|^{p^+}} |v_n(t)|^{p^+} dt \\ = \int_0^{kT} \liminf_{|u_n(t)| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, u_n(t))}{|u_n(t)|^{p^+}} |v_0(t)|^{p^+} dt > 0.$$

However, by (2.1), (3.1) and (3.12), we have

$$\frac{\int_0^{kT} F(t, u_n(t)) dt}{\|u_n\|^{p^+}} = \int_0^{kT} \frac{1}{p(t)} \frac{|u'_n(t)|^{p(t)}}{\|u_n\|^{p^+}} dt - \frac{\varphi_k(u_n)}{\|u_n\|^{p^+}} \\ \leq \frac{1}{p^-} \int_0^{kT} \left| \frac{u'_n(t)}{\|u_n\|} \right|^{p(t)} dt - \frac{\varphi_k(u_n)}{\|u_n\|^{p^+}} \\ = \frac{1}{p^-} \int_0^{kT} |v'_n(t)|^{p(t)} dt - \frac{\varphi_k(u_n)}{\|u_n\|^{p^+}},$$

which means that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^{kT} F(t, u_n) dt}{\|u_n\|^{p^+}} \leq 0,$$

which contradicts (3.15). Thus, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$.

By Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.3, $\{u_n\}$ has a subsequence, again denoted by $\{u_n\}$, such that

$$(3.16) \quad u_n \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weakly in } W_{kT}^{1,p(t)},$$

$$(3.17) \quad u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } C(0, kT; \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}).$$

Now, we show that $\{u_n\}$ has a subsequence convergent strongly to u in $W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$. From Proposition 2.6, it suffices to prove that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J'_k(u_n) - J'_k(u), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$. It follows from (3.7) that

$$(3.18) \quad |u_n(t)| \leq C_3 \quad \forall t \in [0, kT].$$

From (3.17), (3.18), (H0) and Remark 1.18, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.19) \quad \left| \int_0^{kT} (\nabla F(t, u_n(t)), u_n(t) - u(t)) dt \right| &\leq \int_0^{kT} |\nabla F(t, u_n(t))| |u_n(t) - u(t)| dt \\
 &\leq \|u_n - u\|_\infty \int_0^{kT} a(|u_n(t)|) b(t) dt \\
 &\leq C_4 \|u_n - u\|_\infty \int_0^{kT} b(t) dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (3.17), we obtain

$$(3.20) \quad \left| \int_0^T (\nabla F(t, u_n(t)), u_n(t) - u(t)) dt \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (3.1) and (3.18), we also have

$$(3.21) \quad \langle \varphi'_k(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then it follows from (3.20) and (3.21) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.22) \quad \langle J'_k(u_n), u_n - u \rangle &= \int_0^{kT} (|u'_n(t)|^{p(t)-2} u'_n(t), u'_n(t) - u'(t)) dt \\
 &= \langle \varphi'_k(u_n), u_n - u \rangle + \int_0^{kT} (\nabla F(t, u_n(t)), u_n(t) - u(t)) dt \rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, since $J'_k(u) \in (W_{kT}^{1,p(t)})^*$, by (3.16), one has

$$(3.23) \quad \langle J'_k(u), u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which combined with (3.22) implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J'_k(u_n) - J'_k(u), u_n - u \rangle = 0.$$

Hence, from Proposition 2.6, $\{u_n\}$ has a subsequence convergent strongly to u in $W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$. This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.3. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that (H0), (P), (F1), (F3) and (F6) hold. Then the functional φ_k satisfies condition (C).*

P r o o f. From the arguments of Lemma 3.3, we only need to prove that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$. It follows from assumptions (F6) and (H0) that

$$(3.24) \quad (\nabla F(t, x), x) - p^+ F(t, x) \geq \theta_2(|x|) \left(\frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{p^+}} \right)^\tau$$

for all $|x| \geq M_2$ and a.e. $t \in [0, kT]$. Let $\Omega_{2n} := \{t \in [0, kT] : |u_n(t)| \geq M_2\}$. By (2.1), (2.2), (3.1), (3.7), (3.24), (F1) and the properties of $\theta_2(s)$, we obtain

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} (p^+ + 1)C_1 &\geq p^+ \varphi_k(u_n) - \langle \varphi'_k(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &\geq \int_0^{kT} ((\nabla F(t, u_n), u_n(t)) - p^+ F(t, u_n)) dt \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega_{2n}} \theta_2(|u_n|) \left(\frac{F(t, u_n)}{|u_n|^{p^+}} \right)^\tau dt - \int_0^{kT} h_3(t) dt \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega_{2n}} \theta_2(\|u_n\|_\infty) \frac{F^\tau(t, u_n)}{\|u_n\|_\infty^{p^+ \tau}} dt - \int_0^{kT} h_3(t) dt \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega_{2n}} \theta_2(d\|u_n\|) \frac{F^\tau(t, u_n)}{d^{p^+ \tau} \|u_n\|^{p^+ \tau}} dt - \int_0^{kT} h_3(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $h_3(t) := (p^+ + M_2) \max_{|x| \leq M_2} a(|x|)b(t) \geq 0$. Hence, we see that

$$(3.26) \quad \int_0^{kT} F^\tau(t, u_n(t)) dt \leq \frac{C_5}{\theta_2(d\|u_n\|)} \|u_n\|^{p^+ \tau} + C_6$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, by (F1), (3.1), (3.26) and Hölder's inequality, one derives

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} C_1 \geq \varphi_k(u_n) &= \int_0^{kT} \frac{1}{p(t)} |u'_n(t)|^{p(t)} dt - \int_0^{kT} F(t, u_n(t)) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \int_0^{kT} |u'_n(t)|^{p(t)} dt - C_7 \left(\int_0^{kT} F^\tau(t, u_n(t)) dt \right)^{1/\tau} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \int_0^{kT} |u'_n(t)|^{p(t)} dt - C_7 \left(\frac{C_5}{\theta_2(d\|u_n\|)} \|u_n\|^{p^+ \tau} + C_6 \right)^{1/\tau} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \int_0^{kT} |u'_n(t)|^{p(t)} dt - \frac{C_8}{\theta_2^{1/\tau}(d\|u_n\|)} \|u_n\|^{p^+} - C_9 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we claim $\{u_n\}$ is bounded, otherwise, going if necessary to a subsequence, we can assume that $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. With the same manner of Lemma 3.3, dividing both sides of (3.27) by $\|u_n\|^{p^-}$, using the properties of $\theta_2(s)$, we conclude

that $|u_n(t)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly for a.e. $t \in [0, kT]$. From (F1), (F3) and Fatou's lemma, we get

$$(3.28) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^{kT} F(t, u_n) dt}{\|u_n\|^{p^+}} \geq \int_0^{kT} \liminf_{|u_n| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, u_n)}{|u_n|^{p^+}} |v_0|^{p^+} dt > 0.$$

On the other hand, noting that (3.1) and (3.12), we know that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^{kT} F(t, u_n) dt}{\|u_n\|^{p^+}} \leq 0,$$

which contradicts (3.28). Therefore, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$, and then φ_k satisfies condition (C). $\square$

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that (H0), (P), (F1), (F3) and (F7) hold. Then the functional φ_k satisfies condition (C).*

Proof. Let $\Omega_{3n} := \{t \in [0, kT] : |u_n(t)| \geq M_3\}$. It follows from (3.1), (3.7), (H0), (F7) and the properties of $\theta_3(s)$ that

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} (p^+ + 1)C_1 &\geq p^+ \varphi_k(u_n) - \langle \varphi'_k(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &\geq \int_0^{kT} ((\nabla F(t, u_n), u_n) - p^+ F(t, u_n)) dt \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega_{3n}} \theta_3(|u_n|) \frac{|\nabla F(t, u_n)|^\sigma}{|u_n|^{(p^+-1)\sigma}} dt - \int_0^{kT} h_4(t) dt \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega_{3n}} \theta_3(d\|u_n\|) \frac{|\nabla F(t, u_n)|^\sigma}{d^{(p^+-1)\sigma} \|u_n\|^{(p^+-1)\sigma}} dt - \int_0^{kT} h_4(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $h_4(t) := (p^+ + M_3) \max_{|x| \leq M_3} a(|x|)b(t) \geq 0$. As a consequence, we have

$$(3.30) \quad \int_0^{kT} |\nabla F(t, u_n)|^\sigma dt \leq \frac{C_{10}}{\theta_3(d\|u_n\|)} \|u_n\|^{(p^+-1)\sigma} + C_{11}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $1/\sigma + 1/\sigma' = 1$, since $\sigma \geq p^-/(p^- - 1)$, by simple computation, we get $p^- \geq \sigma'$. So, there exists continuous embedding $L^{p^-}(0, kT; \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow L^{\sigma'}(0, kT; \mathbb{R})$, where $L^\gamma(0, kT; \mathbb{R})$ is the usual L^γ space, $\gamma = p^-, \sigma'$. What is more, it is not difficult to see that there exists continuous embedding $L^{p(t)} \hookrightarrow L^{p^-}(0, kT; \mathbb{R})$, which together

with (3.1), (3.30) and Hölder's inequality yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.31) \quad C_1 &\geq \langle \varphi'_k(u_n), u_n \rangle = \int_0^{kT} |u'_n|^{p(t)} dt - \int_0^{kT} (\nabla F(t, u_n), u_n) dt \\
&\geq \int_0^{kT} |u'_n|^{p(t)} dt - \left(\int_0^{kT} |\nabla F(t, u_n)|^\sigma dt \right)^{1/\sigma} \left(\int_0^{kT} |u_n|^{\sigma'} dt \right)^{1/\sigma'} \\
&\geq \int_0^{kT} |u'_n|^{p(t)} dt - \left(\frac{C_{10}}{\theta_3(d\|u_n\|)} \|u_n\|^{(p^+-1)\sigma} + C_{11} \right)^{1/\sigma} C_{12} \left(\int_0^{kT} |u_n|^{p^-} dt \right)^{1/p^-} \\
&\geq \int_0^{kT} |u'_n|^{p(t)} dt - \left(\frac{C_{10}}{\theta_3(d\|u_n\|)} \|u_n\|^{(p^+-1)\sigma} + C_{11} \right)^{1/\sigma} C_{12} |u_n|_{p(t)} \\
&\geq \int_0^{kT} |u'_n|^{p(t)} dt - \left(\frac{C_{10}}{\theta_3(d\|u_n\|)} \|u_n\|^{(p^+-1)\sigma} + C_{11} \right)^{1/\sigma} C_{12} \|u_n\| \\
&\geq \int_0^{kT} |u'_n|^{p(t)} dt - \frac{C_{13}}{\theta_3^{1/\sigma}(d\|u_n\|)} \|u_n\|^{p^+} - C_{14} \|u_n\| \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we claim $\{u_n\}$ is bounded, otherwise, going if necessary to a subsequence, we assume that $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, multiplying both sides of (3.31) by $\|u_n\|^{-p^-}$, we can obtain that $|u_n(t)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly for a.e. $t \in [0, kT]$, and then from (F1), (F3) and Fatou's lemma, we obtain that

$$(3.32) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^T F(t, u_n) dt}{\|u_n\|^{p^+}} > 0.$$

On the other hand, note that (3.1) and (3.12) imply that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^T F(t, u_n) dt}{\|u_n\|^{p^+}} \leq 0,$$

which contradicts (3.32). Thus, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$. Using the same arguments as in Lemma 3.3, we know that φ_k satisfies condition (C). $\square$

4. PROOFS OF MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we shall use the following generalized mountain pass theorem to prove our results.

Theorem 4.1 ([16]). *Let E be a real Banach space with $E = V \oplus X$, where V is finite dimensional. Suppose $\varphi_k \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the (PS) condition, and*

- (i) there exist $\varrho_k, \alpha > 0$ such that $\varphi_k|_{\partial B_{\varrho_k} \cap X} \geq \alpha$, where $B_{\varrho_k} := \{u \in E : \|u\| < \varrho_k\}$, ∂B_{ϱ_k} denotes the boundary of B_{ϱ_k} ;
- (ii) there exist $e_k \in \partial B_1 \cap X$ and $s_0 > \varrho_k$ such that if $Q_k := (\overline{B}_{s_0} \cap V) \oplus \{se_k : 0 \leq s \leq s_0\}$, then $\varphi|_{\partial Q_k} \leq 0$.

Then φ possesses a critical value $c \geq \alpha$ which can be characterized as

$$c := \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in Q} \varphi_k(h(u)),$$

where $\Gamma := \{h \in C(\overline{Q}_k, E) : h = \text{id on } \partial Q_k\}$; here, id denotes the identity operator.

Remark 4.2. It is well known that Theorem 4.1 holds based on the deformation lemma (see [12] or [16]). As shown in [1], the deformation lemma can be proved with the weaker condition (C) replacing the usual (PS) condition, and it turns out that the generalized mountain pass theorem holds true under condition (C).

Now we prove our main results. We only give the proofs of Theorem 1.4, Theorem 1.7, Theorem 1.9, Corollary 1.11, Corollary 1.14, Corollary 1.16 and Corollary 1.17. The other results can be proved similarly.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $X := \widetilde{W}_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$, $V := \mathbb{R}^N$, recalling $E = W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$, then $E = V \oplus X$ and $\dim V < \infty$. From Lemma 3.3, we see that φ_k satisfies condition (C). By virtue of Theorem 4.1 and Remark 4.2, we only need to verify the assertions:

- (a) $\inf_{u \in S} \varphi_k(u) > 0$;
- (b) $\sup_{u \in Q_k} \varphi_k(u) < \infty$, $\sup_{u \in \partial Q_k} \varphi(u) \leq 0$,

where $S := \widetilde{W}_{kT}^{1,p(t)} \cap \partial B_{\varrho_k}$, $Q_k := \{se_k : 0 \leq s \leq R_1, e_k(t) \in \widetilde{W}_{kT}^{1,p(t)}\} \oplus \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \leq R_2\}$ and $\varrho_k < R_1$. Firstly, by (F2) and (H0), we know that for any positive constant $\varepsilon_1 < \min\{c_k, 1/p^+ kT c_k^{p^+}\}$, there exists $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad F(t, x) \leq \varepsilon_1 |x|^{p^+} \quad \forall |x| \leq \delta \text{ and a.e. } t \in [0, kT].$$

Let $\varrho_k \in (0, \delta/c_k)$ and by Proposition 2.5 set $S = \{u \in \widetilde{W}_{kT}^{1,p(t)} : |u'|_{p(t)} = \varrho_k\}$ for all $u \in S$, by Proposition 2.4, we get $|u(t)| \leq c_k |u'|_{p(t)} = c_k \varrho_k \leq \delta$. Since $0 < \varrho_k < 1$, then it follows from Proposition 2.1 (2), (4.1) and Proposition 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(u) &= \int_0^{kT} \frac{1}{p(t)} |u'(t)|^{p(t)} dt - \int_0^{kT} F(t, u(t)) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \int_0^{kT} |u'(t)|^{p(t)} dt - \varepsilon_1 \int_0^{kT} |u(t)|^{p^+} dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p^+} |u'|_{p(t)}^{p^+} - \varepsilon_1 kT c_k^{p^+} |u'|_{p(t)}^{p^+} = \left(\frac{1}{p^+} - \varepsilon_1 kT c_k^{p^+} \right) \varrho_k^{p^+} \\ &= \alpha > 0 \quad \forall u \in S. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes (a). Next, we check (b).

Let $f(t) := \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} F(t, x)/|x|^{p^+}$, $E_0 := \{t \in [0, T]: f(t) = 0\}$, then by (F3), $\text{meas}(E_0) = 0$ and $f(t) > 0$ on $[0, T] \setminus E_0$. Let $E_m := \{t \in [0, T]: f(t) \geq 1/m\}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $[0, T] \setminus E_0 = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} E_m$, $\text{meas}\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} E_m\right) = T$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \text{meas}(E_m) = T$. Therefore, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a small number $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_2(\varepsilon) > 0$ (which is independent of k) and a subset $E_\varepsilon \subset \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} E_m \subset [0, T]$ such that

$$T - \varepsilon \leq \text{meas}(E_\varepsilon) \leq T \quad \text{and} \quad f(t) \geq 2\varepsilon_2 \text{ on } E_\varepsilon.$$

Let $E_\varepsilon^k := \bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} (E_\varepsilon + jT)$, where $E_\varepsilon + jT := \{t + jT: t \in E_\varepsilon\}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. Then

$$kT - k\varepsilon \leq \text{meas}(E_\varepsilon^k) \leq kT,$$

hence,

$$(4.2) \quad \text{meas}([0, kT] \setminus E_\varepsilon^k) \leq k\varepsilon.$$

Furthermore, by the periodicity of $F(t, x)$ in t , we get

$$f(t) \geq 2\varepsilon_2 \quad \text{on } E_\varepsilon^k.$$

Again, by (F3) and (H0), there exists $M_5 = M_5(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$F(t, x) \geq \varepsilon_2 |x|^{p^+} \quad \forall |x| \geq M_5 \text{ and } t \in E_\varepsilon^k.$$

Therefore, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t \in E_\varepsilon^k$ we have

$$F(t, x) \geq \varepsilon_2 |x|^{p^+} - \varepsilon_2 M_5^{p^+},$$

which combining with (F1) implies that for all $u \in W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$,

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^{kT} F(t, u) dt &\geq \int_{E_\varepsilon^k} F(t, u) dt \geq \varepsilon_2 \int_{E_\varepsilon^k} |u|^{p^+} dt - \varepsilon_2 M_5^{p^+} kT \\ &= \varepsilon_2 \int_0^{kT} |u|^{p^+} dt - \varepsilon_2 M_5^{p^+} kT - \varepsilon_2 \int_{[0, kT] \setminus E_\varepsilon^k} |u|^{p^+} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $e_k := (\sin(k^{-1}\omega t), 0, \dots, 0) \in \widetilde{W}_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$, where $\omega := 2\pi/T$. Let $\overline{W}_{kT}^{1,p(t)} := \mathbb{R}^N \oplus \text{span}\{e_k\}$. Since $\dim(\overline{W}_T^{1,p(t)}) = n+1$, one has

$$(4.4) \quad \left(\int_0^T |u|^{p^+} dt \right)^{1/p^+} \geq C_{15} \left(\int_0^T |u|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \quad \forall u \in \overline{W}_T^{1,p(t)}.$$

Let $|s|^{\tilde{p}} := \max\{|s|^{p^-}, |s|^{p^+}\}$, $\omega^{\tilde{p}^*} := \max\{\omega^{p^-}, \omega^{p^+}\}$, $A := (1/p^-)\omega^{\tilde{p}^*} \int_0^T 1 \times |\cos \omega t|^{p(t)} dt$. Bearing in mind that $Q_k = \{se_k : 0 \leq s \leq R_1\} \oplus \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \leq R_2\}$ with $R_1 \geq 1 > \varrho_k$ and $R_2 > 0$ being specified below, observe that by (4.3), (4.4), (P) and Hölder inequality, for $x + se_k \in \widetilde{W}_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.5) \quad \varphi_k(x + se_k) &= \int_0^{kT} \frac{1}{p(t)} |se'_k|^{p(t)} dt - \int_0^{kT} F(t, x + se_k) dt \\
&\leq \frac{1}{p^-} k^{-p^-} \omega^{\tilde{p}^*} |s|^{\tilde{p}} \int_0^{kT} |\cos k^{-1} \omega t|^{p(t)} dt - \varepsilon_2 \int_0^{kT} |x + se_k|^{p^+} dt \\
&\quad + \varepsilon_2 M_5^{p^+} kT + \varepsilon_2 \int_{[0, kT] \setminus E_\varepsilon^k} |x + se_k|^{p^+} dt \\
&\leq \frac{1}{p^-} k^{-p^-+1} \omega^{\tilde{p}^*} |s|^{\tilde{p}} \int_0^T |\cos \omega t|^{p(t)} dt + \varepsilon_2 M_5^{p^+} kT \\
&\quad - \varepsilon_2 k \int_0^T |x + se_1|^{p^+} dt + 2^{p^+} \varepsilon_2 \int_{[0, kT] \setminus E_\varepsilon^k} (|x|^{p^+} + |s|^{p^+}) dt \\
&\leq Ak^{-p^-+1} |s|^{\tilde{p}} - \varepsilon_2 k C_{15}^{p^+} \left(\int_0^T |x + se_1|^2 dt \right)^{p^+/2} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon_2 M_5^{p^+} kT + 2^{p^+} \varepsilon_2 k \varepsilon (|x|^{p^+} + |s|^{p^+}) \\
&\leq Ak^{-p^-+1} |s|^{\tilde{p}} - \varepsilon_2 k C_{15}^{p^+} \left(\int_0^T (|x|^2 + |s|^2 |e_1|^2) dt \right)^{p^+/2} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon_2 M_5^{p^+} kT + 2^{p^+} \varepsilon_2 k \varepsilon (R_1^{p^+} + R_2^{p^+}) \\
&\leq Ak^{-p^-+1} |s|^{\tilde{p}} - \varepsilon_2 k C_{16} |x|^{p^+} - \varepsilon_2 k C_{16} |s|^{p^+} + \varepsilon_2 M_5^{p^+} kT \\
&\quad + 2^{p^+} \varepsilon_2 k \varepsilon (R_1^{p^+} + R_2^{p^+}).
\end{aligned}$$

If $k \geq (2A/\varepsilon_2 C_{16})^{1/p^-}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.6) \quad k^{-1} \varphi_k(x + se_k) &\leq Ak^{-p^-} |s|^{\tilde{p}} - \varepsilon_2 C_{16} |s|^{p^+} + \varepsilon_2 M_5^{p^+} T + 2^{p^+} \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon (R_1^{p^+} + R_2^{p^+}) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_2 C_{16} |s|^{\tilde{p}} - \varepsilon_2 C_{16} |s|^{p^+} + \varepsilon_2 M_5^{p^+} T + 2^{p^+} \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon (R_1^{p^+} + R_2^{p^+})
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.7) \quad k^{-1} \varphi_k(x + se_k) &\leq Ak^{-p^-} |s|^{\tilde{p}} - \varepsilon_2 C_{16} |x|^{p^+} + \varepsilon_2 M_5^{p^+} T + 2^{p^+} \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon (R_1^{p^+} + R_2^{p^+}) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_2 C_{16} |s|^{\tilde{p}} - \varepsilon_2 C_{16} |x|^{p^+} + \varepsilon_2 M_5^{p^+} T + 2^{p^+} \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon (R_1^{p^+} + R_2^{p^+}).
\end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $\varepsilon < C_{16}/2^{p^++3}$ and $M_5 = M_5(\varepsilon) \geq (C_{16}/4T)^{1/p^+}$. Then

$$R := M_5 \left(\frac{4T}{C_{16}} \right)^{1/p^+} \geq 1.$$

Put $R_1 = R_2 = R$. Then for all $x + R_1 e_k \in \partial Q_k$, note $|s|^{\tilde{p}} = |s|^{p^+}$ for $|s| \geq 1$. It follows from (4.6) that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_2 k} \varphi_k(x + R_1 e_k) \leq -\frac{1}{2} C_{16} R^{p^+} + M_5^{p^+} T + 2^{p^++1} R^{p^+} \varepsilon \leq -\frac{1}{4} C_{16} R^{p^+} + M_5^{p^+} T = 0,$$

and for all $x + s e_k \in \partial Q_k$ with $|x| = R_2$ it follows from (4.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_2 k} \varphi_k(x + R_1 e_k) &\leq \frac{1}{2} C_{16} |s|^{\tilde{p}} - C_{16} |x|^{p^+} + M_5^{p^+} T + 2^{p^+} \varepsilon (R_1^{p^+} + R_2^{p^+}) \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} C_{16} R^{p^+} + M_5^{p^+} T + 2^{p^++1} \varepsilon R^{p^+} \leq -\frac{1}{4} C_{16} R^{p^+} + M_5^{p^+} T = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (F1) that $\varphi_k(x) = -\int_0^{kT} F(t, x) dt \leq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Hence, we get

$$\varphi_k(x + s e_k) \leq 0 \quad \forall x + s e_k \in \partial Q_k,$$

which implies that (b) holds.

Furthermore, for all $x + s e_k \in Q_k$, by (F1) and (P), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(x + s e_k) &= \int_0^{kT} \frac{1}{p(t)} |s e'_k|^{p(t)} dt - \int_0^{kT} F(t, x + s e_k) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p^-} k^{-p^-} \omega^{\tilde{p}^*} |s|^{\tilde{p}} \int_0^{kT} |\cos k^{-1} \omega t|^{p(t)} dt \\ &\leq A k^{-p^-+1} |s|^{\tilde{p}} \leq A |s|^{\tilde{p}} \leq A \max \left\{ M_5 \left(\frac{4T}{C_{16}} \right)^{1/p^+}, 1 \right\}^{\tilde{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any positive integer $k \geq (2A/\varepsilon_2 C_{16})^{1/p^-}$, φ_k has at least one critical point u_k in $W_{kT}^{1,p(t)}$ and

$$(4.8) \quad \varphi_k(u_k) \leq A \max \left\{ M_5 \left(\frac{4T}{C_{16}} \right)^{1/p^+}, 1 \right\}^{\tilde{p}}.$$

For $k_1 \geq (2A/(\varepsilon_2 C_{16}))^{1/p^-}$ we obtain a $k_1 T$ -periodic solution u_{k_1} . We claim that there exists a positive integer $k_2 > k_1$ such that $u_{kk_1} \neq u_{k_1}$ for all $kk_1 \geq k_2$. Otherwise, $\varphi_{kk_1}(u_{kk_1}) = k \varphi_{k_1}(u_{k_1}) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts (4.8). Repeating this process, we obtain a sequence $\{u_{k_j}\}$ of distinct nontrivial periodic solutions of problem (1.1). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.7. From Lemma 3.4, using the same arguments of Theorem 1.4, we see that problem (1.1) has a sequence of distinct periodic solutions with period $k_j T$ satisfying $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Clearly, with the aid of Lemma 3.5 and the arguments of Theorem 1.4, we can easily see that problem (1.1) has a sequence of distinct periodic solutions with period $k_j T$ satisfying $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. $\square$

P r o o f of Corollary 1.11. Taking $h(s) = s^{p^+}$, by Theorem 1.4, we can obtain that problem (1.1) has a sequence of distinct periodic solutions with period $k_j T$ satisfying $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ immediately. $\square$

P r o o f of Corollary 1.14. From (F3) and (F4') we conclude that $F(t, x)$ is positive and asymptotic- p^+ for all $|x|$ large enough and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, thus, (F6) is equivalent to (F6'). By Theorem 1.7, problem (1.1) has a sequence of distinct periodic solutions with period $k_j T$ satisfying $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. $\square$

P r o o f of Corollary 1.16. Applying (F3), (F4'') and (F7'), we have

$$(4.9) \quad M \geq \frac{|\nabla F(t, x)|}{|x|^{p^+-1}} \geq \frac{(\nabla F(t, x), x)}{|x|^{p^+}} \geq \frac{p^+ F(t, x)}{|x|^{p^+}} > 0$$

for $|x|$ large enough and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$. From (4.9), we deduce that $|\nabla F(t, x)|$ is positive and asymptotic- p^+ for all $|x|$ large enough and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, which means (F7) and (F7') are equivalent. Then, by Theorem 1.9, problem (1.1) has a sequence of distinct periodic solutions with period $k_j T$ satisfying $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. $\square$

P r o o f of Corollary 1.17. By (F3') and (F7''), one has

$$\frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{p^+}} \geq \frac{(\nabla F(t, x), x)}{p^+ |x|^{p^+}} > 0$$

for $|x|$ large enough and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, which implies (F3) holds. Moreover, utilizing (F3') and (F4''), we have

$$M \geq \frac{|\nabla F(t, x)|}{|x|^{p^+-1}} \geq \frac{(\nabla F(t, x), x)}{|x|^{p^+}} > 0.$$

Therefore, we know that $|\nabla F(t, x)|$ is also positive and asymptotic- p^+ for all $|x|$ large enough and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$. From Corollary 1.16, we can deduce that problem (1.1) has a sequence of distinct periodic solutions with period $k_j T$ satisfying $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. $\square$

5. EXAMPLE

In this section, we give an example to illustrate that our results are new even in the case $p(t) \equiv p = 2$.

Example 5.1. Let

$$\alpha(t) := \begin{cases} \sin(2\pi/T)t, & t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}T], \\ 0, & t \in [\frac{1}{2}T, T], \end{cases}$$

and $D(t) := 12 - \alpha(t)(4 \ln(e + 4) + \sin 4 - \ln^2(e + 4)) > 0$. Consider

$$F(t, x) = \begin{cases} |x|^4, & |x| \leq 2, \\ \alpha(t)(|x|^2 \ln(e + |x|^2) + \sin |x|^2 - \ln^2(e + |x|^2)) + |x|^2 + D(t), & |x| > 2. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that $F(t, x)$ is superquadratic at infinity when $t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}T]$ and asymptotically quadratic at infinity when $t \in [\frac{1}{2}T, T]$. Then, Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.3 and the conclusions of [5]–[7], [13]–[15], [17]–[20], [29], [32] cannot treat this case. Furthermore, by simple computation, one has $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} ((\nabla F(t, x), x) - 2F(t, x))|x|^{-\lambda} = 0$ uniformly for a.e. $t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}T]$ and all $\lambda > 0$, which implies that $F(t, x)$ does not satisfy the results of Theorem 1.1. However for all $s \geq 0$, select $h(s) = s^2 \ln(e + s^2)$, $\theta_1(s) = \ln^2(e + s^2)$, a direct computation shows that $F(t, x)$ satisfies all conditions of Theorem 1.4 with $p(t) \equiv p = 2$. Hence, problem (1.1) with $p(t) \equiv p = 2$ has a sequence of distinct periodic solutions with period $k_j T$ satisfying $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

References

- [1] *P. Bartolo, V. Benci, D. Fortunato*: Abstract critical point theorems and applications to some problems with “strong” resonance at infinity. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **7** (1983), 981–1012. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [2] *G. Cerami*: An existence criterion for the critical points on unbounded manifolds. *Ist. Lombardo Accad. Sci. Lett., Rend., Sez. A* **112** (1978), 332–336. (In Italian.) [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [3] *L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, M. Růžička*: *Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2017. Springer, Berlin, 2011. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [4] *X.-L. Fan, X. Fan*: A Knobloch-type result for $p(t)$ -Laplacian systems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **282** (2003), 453–464. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [5] *F. Faraci, R. Livrea*: Infinitely many periodic solutions for a second-order nonautonomous system. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A* **54** (2003), 417–429. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [6] *G. Fei*: On periodic solutions of superquadratic Hamiltonian systems. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2002** (2002), Article ID 8, 12 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [7] *Q. Jiang, C.-L. Tang*: Periodic and subharmonic solutions of a class of subquadratic second-order Hamiltonian systems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **328** (2007), 380–389. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [8] *C. Li, Z.-Q. Ou, C.-L. Tang*: Three periodic solutions for p -Hamiltonian systems. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A* **74** (2011), 1596–1606. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [9] *H. Lian, D. Wang, Z. Bai, R. P. Agarwal*: Periodic and subharmonic solutions for a class of second-order p -Laplacian Hamiltonian systems. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2014** (2014), Article ID 260, 15 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [10] *C. Liu, Y. Zhong*: Infinitely many periodic solutions for ordinary $p(t)$ -Laplacian differential systems. *Electron Res. Arch.* **30** (2022), 1653–1667. [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [11] *S. Ma, Y. Zhang*: Existence of infinitely many periodic solutions for ordinary p -Laplacian systems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **351** (2009), 469–479. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [12] *J. Mawhin, M. Willem*: *Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems*. Applied Mathematical Sciences 74. Springer, New York, 1989. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

- [13] Z.-Q. Ou, C.-L. Tang: Periodic and subharmonic solutions for a class of superquadratic Hamiltonian systems. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A* 58 (2004), 245–258. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [14] J. Pipan, M. Schechter: Non-autonomous second order Hamiltonian systems. *J. Differ. Equations* 257 (2014), 351–373. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [15] P. Rabinowitz: On subharmonic solutions of Hamiltonian systems. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 33 (1980), 609–633. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [16] P. Rabinowitz: *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*. Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 65. AMS, Providence, 1986. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [17] M. Schechter: Periodic non-autonomous second-order dynamical systems. *J. Differ. Equations* 223 (2006), 290–302. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [18] C.-L. Tang, X.-P. Wu: Periodic solutions for a class of new superquadratic second order Hamiltonian systems. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 34 (2014), 65–71. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [19] X. H. Tang, J. Jiang: Existence and multiplicity of periodic solutions for a class of second-order Hamiltonian systems. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 59 (2010), 3646–3655. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [20] Z.-L. Tao, C.-L. Tang: Periodic and subharmonic solutions of second-order Hamiltonian systems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 293 (2004), 435–445. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [21] Y. Tian, W. Ge: Periodic solutions of non-autonomous second-order systems with a p -Laplacian. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A* 66 (2007), 192–203. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [22] X.-J. Wang, R. Yuan: Existence of periodic solutions for $p(t)$ -Laplacian systems. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A* 70 (2009), 866–880. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [23] Z. Wang, J. Zhang: Existence of periodic solutions for a class of damped vibration problems. *C. R., Math., Acad. Sci. Paris* 356 (2018), 597–612. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [24] Z. Wang, J. Zhang: New existence results on periodic solutions of non-autonomous second order Hamiltonian systems. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 79 (2018), 43–50. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [25] B. Xu, C.-L. Tang: Some existence results on periodic solutions of ordinary p -Laplacian systems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 333 (2007), 1228–1236. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [26] L. Zhang, X. H. Tang, J. Chen: Infinitely many periodic solutions for some second-order differential systems with $p(t)$ -Laplacian. *Bound. Value Probl.* 2011 (2011), Article ID 33, 15 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [27] Q. Zhang, X. H. Tang: On the existence of infinitely many periodic solutions for second-order ordinary p -Laplacian systems. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. - Simon Stevin* 19 (2012), 121–136. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [28] S. Zhang: Periodic solutions for a class of second order Hamiltonian systems with $p(t)$ -Laplacian. *Bound. Value Probl.* 2016 (2016), Article ID 211, 20 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [29] X. Zhang, X. Tang: Existence of subharmonic solutions for non-quadratic second-order Hamiltonian systems. *Bound. Value Probl.* 2013 (2013), Article ID 139, 25 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [30] Y. Zhang, S. Ma: Some existence results on periodic and subharmonic solutions of ordinary p -Laplacian systems. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., Ser. B* 12 (2009), 251–260. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [31] V. V. Zhikov: Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory. *Math. USSR, Izv.* 29 (1987), 33–66; translation from *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* 50 (1986), 675–710. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [32] W. Zou: Multiple solutions for second-order Hamiltonian systems via computation of the critical groups. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A* 44 (2001), 975–989. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

Authors' address: Zhiyong Wang (corresponding author), Zhengya Qian, Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing 210044, Jiangsu, P. R. China, e-mail: wangzhiyong@nuist.edu.cn, myqqqzy@163.com.