Gagandeep Singh; Gurcharanjit Singh Certain subclass of alpha-convex bi-univalent functions defined using q-derivative operator

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 61 (2025), No. 2, 63-72

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/153017

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2025

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

CERTAIN SUBCLASS OF ALPHA-CONVEX BI-UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS DEFINED USING *q*-DERIVATIVE OPERATOR

GAGANDEEP SINGH AND GURCHARANJIT SINGH

ABSTRACT. The present investigation deals with a new subclass of alpha-convex bi-univalent functions in the unit disc $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ defined with *q*-derivative operator. Bounds for the first two coefficients and Fekete-Szegö inequality are established for this class. Many known results follow as consequences of the results derived here.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider the analytic functions f which have the expansion of the form

(1)
$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k ,$$

in the unit disc $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and normalized by f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0. The class of these functions is denoted by \mathcal{A} . Further, the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and which are univalent in E, is denoted by \mathcal{S} . The functions of the form $u(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$, which are analytic in the unit disc E and satisfy the conditions u(0) = 0 and |u(z)| < 1, are called Schwarz functions and the class of these functions is denoted by \mathcal{U} .

The classes \mathcal{S}^* of starlike functions and \mathcal{K} of convex functions are defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}^* = \left\{ f \colon f \in \mathcal{A}, Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > 0, z \in E \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K} = \left\{ f \colon f \in \mathcal{A}, Re\left(\frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)}\right) > 0, z \in E \right\}.$$

For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, Mocanu [17] introduced the class $\mathcal{M}(\alpha)$, which is a unification of the classes \mathcal{S}^* and \mathcal{K} and is defined as

$$\mathcal{M}(\alpha) = \left\{ f \colon f \in \mathcal{A}, Re\left((1-\alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \right) > 0, z \in E \right\}.$$

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: primary 30C45; secondary 30C50.

Key words and phrases: analytic functions, bi-univalent functions, alpha-convex functions, coefficient bounds, Fekete-Szegö inequality, q-derivative, subordination.

Received April 20, 2024, revised February 2025. Editor M. Kolář.

DOI: 10.5817/AM2025-2-63

The functions in the class $\mathcal{M}(\alpha)$ are known as alpha-convex functions. In particular, $\mathcal{M}(0) \equiv \mathcal{S}^*$ and $\mathcal{M}(1) \equiv \mathcal{K}$.

If f and g are two analytic functions in E, then f is said to be subordinate to g (denoted as $f \prec g$) if there exists a Schwarz function $u \in \mathcal{U}$ such that f(z) = g(u(z)). By making use of a subordination theorem for analytic functions, many authors derived several subordination relationships between certain subclasses of analytic functions, for example, see [8, 16, 28]. Further, if g is univalent in E, then $f \prec g$ implies f(0) = g(0) and $f(E) \subset g(E)$. For $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \eta < 1$, Polatoglu et al. [19] defined the class $\mathcal{P}(A, B; \eta)$ which consists of the functions p(z) such that $p(z) \prec \frac{1 + [B + (A - B)(1 - \eta)]z}{1 + Bz}$. For $\eta = 0$, the class $\mathcal{P}(A, B; \eta)$ reduces to $\mathcal{P}(A, B)$, which is a subclass of \mathcal{A} introduced by Janowski [12].

Quantum calculus is ordinary classical calculus which introduces q-calculus, where q stands for quantum. Nowadays, q-calculus has attracted many researchers as it is widely useful in various branches of Mathematics and Physics. The application of q-calculus was initiated by Jackson [10, 11] and he developed q-integral and q-derivative in a systematic way. For 0 < q < 1, Jackson [10] defined the q-derivative of a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ as

(2)
$$D_q f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z} & \text{for } z \neq 0, \\ f'(0) & \text{for } z = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $D_q^2 f(z) = D_q(D_q f(z))$. From (2), it is obvious that

$$D_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k z^{k-1},$$

where $[k]_q = \frac{1-q^k}{1-q} = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{k-1}$. If $q \to 1^-$, then $[k]_q \to k$. Further $D_q z^k = [k]_q z^{k-1}$ and $\lim_{q \to 1^-} D_q f(z) = f'(z)$.

Using q-derivative operator, Seoudy and Aouf [21] defined the subclasses of q-starlike and q-convex functions of order $\alpha(0 \le \alpha < 1)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}_q^*(\alpha) = \left\{ f \colon f \in \mathcal{A}, Re\left(\frac{zD_q f(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \alpha, z \in E \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_q(\alpha) = \left\{ f \colon f \in \mathcal{A}, Re\left(\frac{D_q(zD_qf(z))}{D_qf(z)}\right) > \alpha, z \in E \right\}.$$

It is obvious that $f \in \mathcal{K}_q(\alpha)$ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^*(\alpha)$. For $q \to 1^-$ and $\alpha = 0$, the classes $\mathcal{S}_q^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{K}_q(\alpha)$ reduces to the classes \mathcal{S}^* and \mathcal{K} , respectively.

By Koebe one-quarter theorem [7], every function $f \in S$ has an inverse f^{-1} , defined by $f^{-1}(f(x)) = f(x \in T)$

and

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z(z \in E)$$

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w\Big(|w| < r_0(f) : r_0(f) \ge \frac{1}{4}\Big)$$

where

(3)
$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ for which both f and f^{-1} are univalent in E, is called a bi-univalent function. The class of functions of the form (1) and which are bi-univalent in E, is denoted by Σ . The functions $\frac{z}{1-z}$, $-\log(1-z)$, $\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$, are some of the examples of the functions in the class Σ . The well known Koebe function $f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$ is not a member of the class Σ .

Lewin [13] was the first, who investigated the class Σ and proved that $|a_2| < 1.51$. Subsequently, bounds for the initial coefficients of numerous sub-classes of bi-univalent functions were studied by various authors in [3, 5, 9, 18, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27]. Further several subclasses of bi-univalent functions defined with q-derivative operator were studied by various authors including [1, 2, 6, 14, 15, 20, 29, 30].

Using the notion of q-derivative, now we define a subclass of alpha-convex bi-univalent functions and establish the bounds of $|a_2|$, $|a_3|$ and Fekete-Szegö inequality for this class.

Definition 1.1. A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{M}\Sigma_q(A, B; \eta; \alpha; \lambda)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(1-\lambda)\frac{zD_qf(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda\frac{D_q(zD_qf(z))}{D_qf(z)} \prec \left(\frac{1+[B+(A-B)(1-\eta)]z}{1+Bz}\right)^{\alpha}$$

and

$$(1-\lambda)\frac{wD_qg(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda\frac{D_q(wD_qg(w))}{D_qg(w)} \prec \left(\frac{1+[B+(A-B)(1-\eta)]w}{1+Bw}\right)^{\alpha},$$

where $g(w) = f^{-1}(w)$ as given in (3), $-1 \le B < A \le 1$, $0 \le \lambda \le 1$, $0 < \alpha \le 1$ and $0 \le \eta < 1$.

The following observations are obvious:

- (i) $\mathcal{M}\Sigma_q(1-2\beta,-1;0;1;\lambda) \equiv \mathcal{B}\Sigma_q(\beta,\lambda).$
- (ii) $\mathcal{M}\Sigma_q(1,-1;0;\alpha;\lambda) \equiv \mathcal{M}\Sigma_q(\alpha,\lambda).$
- (iii) For $q \to 1^-$, $\mathcal{M}\Sigma_q(1-2\beta,-1;0;1;\lambda) \equiv \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\beta,\lambda)$, the class studied by Li and Wang [14].
- (iv) For $q \to 1^-$, $\mathcal{M}\Sigma_q(1, -1; 0; \alpha; \lambda) \equiv \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\alpha, \lambda)$, the class introduced by Li and Wang [14].

- (v) $\mathcal{M}\Sigma_q(1,-1;0;\alpha;\lambda) \equiv \mathcal{S}^*_{\Sigma q}(\alpha,\lambda).$
- (vi) $\mathcal{M}\Sigma_q(1-2\beta,-1;0;1;\lambda) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{\Sigma q}(\beta,\lambda).$

Throughout this paper, we make the assumptions that $0 < \alpha \leq 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $0 \leq \beta < 1, 0 \leq \eta < 1, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, z \in E, w \in E$ and $g(w) = f^{-1}(w)$ as given in (3).

For deriving the main results, we use the following lemma:

Lemma 1.1 ([4]). If $p(z) = \frac{1 + [B + (A - B)(1 - \eta)]u(z)}{1 + Bu(z)} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k z^k$, $u(z) \in \mathcal{U}$, then

$$|p_n| \le (A - B)(1 - \eta), \quad n \ge 1.$$

2. The class $\mathcal{M}\Sigma_q(A, B; \eta; \alpha; \lambda)$

Theorem 2.1. If $f \in \mathcal{M}\Sigma_q(A, B; \eta; \alpha; \lambda)$, then

 $(4) |a_2| \leq$

$$\frac{\sqrt{2\alpha^2(A-B)(1-\eta)}}{\sqrt{2\alpha[([3]_q-[2]_q)+\lambda(-2[3]_q+[3]_q^2+[2]_q+[2]_q^2-[2]_q^3)]+(1-\alpha)[([2]_q-1)+\lambda(1-2[2]_q+[2]_q^2)]^2}}$$

and

(5)
$$|a_3| \le \frac{\alpha(A-B)(1-\eta)}{([3]_q-1)+\lambda(1-2[3]_q+[3]_q^2)} + \frac{\alpha^2(A-B)^2(1-\eta)^2}{[([2]_q-1)+\lambda(1-2[2]_q+[2]_q^2)]^2}.$$

Proof. From Definition 1.1, using the concept of subordination, we have

(6)
$$(1-\lambda)\frac{zD_qf(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \frac{D_q(zD_qf(z))}{D_qf(z)} \\ = \left(\frac{1+[B+(A-B)(1-\eta)]u(z)}{1+Bu(z)}\right)^{\alpha} = [p(z)]^{\alpha}, u \in \mathcal{U}$$

and

(7)
$$(1-\lambda)\frac{wD_qg(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda \frac{D_q(wD_qg(w))}{D_qg(w)}$$
$$= \left(\frac{1+[B+(A-B)(1-\eta)]v(w)}{1+Bv(w)}\right)^{\alpha} = [q(w)]^{\alpha}, v \in \mathcal{U},$$

where $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \ldots$ and $q(w) = 1 + q_1 w + q_2 w^2 + \ldots$ Expanding and equating the coefficients of z and z^2 in (6) and of w and w^2 in (7), we obtain

(8)
$$\left[([2]_q - 1) + \lambda (1 - 2[2]_q + [2]_q^2) \right] a_2 = \alpha p_1 \,,$$

$$[([3]_q - 1) + \lambda(1 - 2[3]_q + [3]_q^2)]a_3 + [(1 - [2]_q) + \lambda(-1 + [2]_q + [2]_q^2 - [2]_q^3)]a_2^2 = \alpha p_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)p_1^2}{2}$$

and

(10)
$$- \left[([2]_q - 1) + \lambda (1 - 2[2]_q + [2]_q^2) \right] a_2 = \alpha q_1 \,,$$

$$[([3]_q - 1) + \lambda(1 - 2[3]_q + [3]_q^2)](2a_2^2 - a_3)$$
(11)
$$+ [(1 - [2]_q) + \lambda(-1 + [2]_q + [2]_q^2 - [2]_q^3)]a_2^2 = \alpha q_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)q_1^2}{2}.$$

(8) and (10) together gives

$$(12) p_1 = -q_1$$

and

(13)
$$2\left[\left([2]_q - 1\right) + \lambda(1 - 2[2]_q + [2]_q^2)\right]^2 a_2^2 = \alpha^2 (p_1^2 + q_1^2).$$

Adding (9) and (11) and using (13), it yields

$$2\alpha \left[([3]_q - [2]_q) + \lambda (-2[3]_q + [3]_q^2 + [2]_q + [2]_q^2 - [2]_q^3) \right] a_2^2$$

(14)
$$= \alpha^2 (p_2 + q_2) + (\alpha - 1) \left[([2]_q - 1) + \lambda (1 - 2[2]_q + [2]_q^2) \right]^2 a_2^2,$$

which gives

(15)
$$a_2^2 = \frac{\alpha^2 (p_2 + q_2)}{2\alpha[([3]_q - [2]_q) + \lambda(-2[3]_q + [3]_q^2 + [2]_q - [2]_q^2)] + (1 - \alpha)[([2]_q - 1) + \lambda(1 - 2[2]_q + [2]_q^2)]^2}$$

Taking modulus, applying triangle inequality and using Lemma 1.1 in (15), we can easily obtain (4).

Now subtracting (11) from (9), we get

(16)
$$2[([3]_q - 1) + \lambda(1 - 2[3]_q + [3]_q^2)](a_3 - a_2^2) = \alpha(p_2 - q_2) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2}(p_1^2 - q_1^2)$$

Using (12), (13) and (16), it gives

(17)
$$a_3 = \frac{\alpha(p_2 - q_2)}{2[([3]_q - 1) + \lambda(1 - 2[3]_q + [3]_q^2)]} + \frac{\alpha^2(p_1^2 + q_1^2)}{2[([2]_q - 1) + \lambda(1 - 2[2]_q + [2]_q^2)]^2}$$

Using (12) and (13) in (17), and applying triangle inequality, we obtain (18)

$$|a_3| \le \frac{\alpha(|p_2| + |q_2|)}{2[([3]_q - 1) + \lambda(1 - 2[3]_q + [3]_q^2)]} + \frac{\alpha^2(2|p_1|^2)}{2[([2]_q - 1) + \lambda(1 - 2[2]_q + [2]_q^2)]^2}.$$

Using Lemma 1.1, the result (5) can be easily obtained from (18).

On putting $A = 1 - 2\beta$, B = -1, $\eta = 0$, $\alpha = 1$, Theorem 2.1 gives the following result.

Corollary 2.1. If $f \in \mathcal{B}\Sigma_q(\beta; \lambda)$, then

$$|a_2| \le \frac{2\sqrt{(1-\beta)}}{\sqrt{2\alpha[([3]_q - [2]_q) + \lambda(-2[3]_q + [3]_q^2 + [2]_q + [2]_q^2 - [2]_q^3)]}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{2(1-\beta)}{([3]_q-1) + \lambda(1-2[3]_q + [3]_q^2)} + \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{[([2]_q-1) + \lambda(1-2[2]_q + [2]_q^2)]^2}$$

For $A = 1, B = -1, \eta = 0$, Theorem 2.1 yields the following result.

Corollary 2.2. If $f \in \mathcal{M}\Sigma_q(\alpha; \lambda)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &|a_2| \leq & \\ & \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{2\alpha[([3]_q-[2]_q)+\lambda(-2[3]_q+[3]_q^2+[2]_q-[2]_q^3)]+(1-\alpha)[([2]_q-1)+\lambda(1-2[2]_q+[2]_q^2)]^2}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{2\alpha}{([3]_q - 1) + \lambda(1 - 2[3]_q + [3]_q^2)} + \frac{4\alpha^2}{[([2]_q - 1) + \lambda(1 - 2[2]_q + [2]_q^2)]^2}.$$

For $q \to 1^-$ and on putting $A = 1, B = -1, \eta = 0$, Theorem 2.1 agrees with the following result due to Li and Wang [14].

Corollary 2.3. If $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\alpha; \lambda)$, then

$$|a_2| \le \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{(1+\lambda)(\alpha+1+\lambda-\alpha\lambda)}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{\alpha}{1+2\lambda} + \frac{4\alpha^2}{(1+\lambda)^2} \,.$$

For $q \to 1^-$ and on substituting $A = 1 - 2\beta$, B = -1, $\eta = 0$, $\alpha = 1$, Theorem 2.1 coincides with the following result due to Li and Wang [14].

Corollary 2.4. If $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\beta; \lambda)$, then

$$a_2| \le \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\beta)}{1+\lambda}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{1-\beta}{1+2\lambda} + \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{(1+\lambda)^2}.$$

On putting $A = 1 - 2\beta$, B = -1, $\eta = 0$, $\alpha = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, the following result can be easily obtained from Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.5. If $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\Sigma q}(\beta)$, then

$$|a_2| \le \frac{2\sqrt{(1-\beta)}}{\sqrt{2\alpha[-[3]_q + [3]_q^2 + [2]_q^2 - [2]_q^3]}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{2(1-\beta)}{-[3]_q + [3]_q^2} + \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{[-[2]_q + [2]_q^2]^2}.$$

On putting $A=1,\,B=-1,\,\eta=0,\,\lambda=0$ in Theorem 2.1, the following result is obvious.

Corollary 2.6. If $f \in \mathcal{S}^*_{\Sigma q}(\alpha)$, then

$$|a_2| \le \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{2\alpha([3]_q - [2]_q) + (1 - \alpha)([2]_q - 1)^2}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{2\alpha}{[3]_q - 1} + \frac{4\alpha^2}{([2]_q - 1)^2}.$$

Theorem 2.2. If $f \in \mathcal{M}\Sigma_q(A, B; \eta; \alpha; \lambda)$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha(1-\eta)(A-B)}{([3]_q-1)+\lambda(1-2[3]_q+[3]_q^2)}, & \text{if } 0 \le |l(\mu)| < \frac{1}{2[([3]_q-1)+\lambda(1-2[3]_q+[3]_q^2)]}, \\ 2\alpha(1-\eta)(A-B)|l(\mu)|, & \text{if } |l(\mu)| \ge \frac{1}{2[([3]_q-1)+\lambda(1-2[3]_q+[3]_q^2)]}, \end{cases}$$

where

(19)
$$l(\mu) = \frac{\alpha(1-\mu)}{2\alpha[([3]_q - [2]_q) + \lambda(-2[3]_q + [3]_q^2 + [2]_q - [2]_q^3)] + (1-\alpha)[([2]_q - 1) + \lambda(1 - 2[2]_q + [2]_q^2)]^2}$$

Proof. Using (13) in (17), we have

(20)
$$a_3 = \frac{\alpha(p_2 - q_2)}{2[([3]_q - 1) + \lambda(1 - 2[3]_q + [3]_q^2)]} + a_2^2.$$

Making use of (20), it yields

(21)
$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{\alpha(p_2 - q_2)}{2[([3]_q - 1) + \lambda(1 - 2[3]_q + [3]_q^2)]} + (1 - \mu).$$

Further (21) can be expressed as

(22)
$$a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2} = \alpha \left[\left(l(\mu) + \frac{1}{2[([3]_{q} - 1) + \lambda(1 - 2[3]_{q} + [3]_{q}^{2})]} \right) p_{2} + \left(l(\mu) - \frac{1}{2[([3]_{q} - 1) + \lambda(1 - 2[3]_{q} + [3]_{q}^{2})]} \right) q_{2} \right],$$

where $l(\mu)$ is defined in (19).

Taking modulus, applying triangle inequality and using Lemma 1.1, (22) yields

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \alpha (1 - \eta) (A - B) \left| \left(l(\mu) + \frac{1}{2[([3]_q - 1) + \lambda(1 - 2[3]_q + [3]_q^2)]} \right) + \left(l(\mu) - \frac{1}{2[([2]_q - 1) + \lambda(1 - 2[3]_q + [3]_q^2)]} \right) \right|.$$

For
$$0 \le |l(\mu)| < \frac{1}{2[([3]_q - 1) + \lambda(1 - 2[3]_q + [3]_q^2)]} / |$$
.

(24)
$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \frac{\alpha(1-\eta)(A-B)}{([3]_q - 1) + \lambda(1 - 2[3]_q + [3]_q^2)}$$

For $|l(\mu)| \ge \frac{1}{2[([3]_q - 1) + \lambda(1 - 2[3]_q + [3]_q^2)]}$,

(25)
$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le 2\alpha (1 - \eta) (A - B) |l(\mu)|.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.2 is obvious from (24) and (25).

CONCLUSION

This paper is concerned with the study of a new and generalized class of alpha-convex bi-univalent functions using q-derivative operator. The class is defined using the concept of subordination. Some earlier known results follow as special cases of the results proved here. This paper will work as a motivation to the other researchers to study some more relevant subclasses of bi-univalent functions using subordination.

References

- Aldweby, H., Darus, M., On a subclass of bi-univalent functions associated with q-derivative operator, J. Math. Computer Sci. 19 (2019), 58–64.
- [2] Amourah, A., Frasin, B.A., Al-Hawary, T., Coefficient estimates for a subclass of bi-univalent functions associated with symmetric q-derivative operator by means of the Gegenbauer polynomials, Kyungpook Math. J. 62 (2) (2022), 257–269.
- [3] Amourah, A., Frasin, B.A., Seoudy, T.M., An application of Miller-Ross type Poisson distribution on certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions subordinate to Gegenbauer polynomials, Mathematics 10 (2022), 10 pp., 2462.
- [4] Aouf, M.K., On a class of p-valent starlike functions of order α, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 10
 (4) (1987), 733–744.
- [5] Brannan, D.A., Taha, T.S., On some classes of bi-univalent functions, Mathematical Analysis and its Applications (Mazhar, S.M., Hamoni, A., Faour, N.S., eds.), KFAS Proceedings Series, vol. 3, Kuwait; February 18-21, 1985, Pergamon Press, Elsevier Science Limited, Oxford, 1988, See also Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math., 1986, **31**(2): 70-77, pp. 53–60.
- [6] Bulut, S., Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions involving the q-derivative operator, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1. Math. Stat. 66 (1) (2017), 108–114.
- [7] Duren, P.L., Univalent Functions, Grundlehren der Mathematishen Wissenschaften, Springer, New York, 1983.

- [8] Frasin, B.A., Subordination results for a class of analytic functions defined by a linear operator, J. Inequ. Pure Appl. Math. 7 (4) (2006), 7 pp., Article 134.
- [9] Frasin, B.A., Aouf, M.K., New subclasses of bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (2011), 1569–1573.
- [10] Jackson, F.H., On q-functions and a certain difference operator, Trans. Royal Soc. Edinburgh 46 (1908), 253–281.
- [11] Jackson, F.H., On q-definite integrals, Quarterly J. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1910), 193-203.
- [12] Janowski, W., Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions, Ann. Pol. Math. 28 (1973), 297–326.
- [13] Lewin, M., On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1967), 63–68.
- [14] Li, X.F., Wang, A.P., Two new subclasses of bi-univalent functions, Int. Math. Forum 7 (30) (2012), 1495–1504.
- [15] Madian, S.M., Some properties for certain class of bi-univalent functions defined by q-Catas operator with bounded boundary rotation, AIMS Math. 7 (1) (2021), 903–914.
- [16] Magesh, N., Differential sandwich results for certain subclasses of analytic functions, Math. Comp. Modelling 54 (1-2) (2011), 803–814.
- [17] Mocanu, P.T., Une propriete de convexite géenéralisée dans la théorie de la représentation conforme, Mathematica (CLUJ) 11 (34) (1969), 127–133.
- [18] Páll-Szabó, A.O., Oros, G.I., Coefficient related studies for new classes of bi-univalent functions, Mathematics 8 (2020), 1110.
- [19] Polatoglu, Y., Bolkal, M., Sen, A., Yavuz, E., A study on the generalization of Janowski function in the unit disc, Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyí regyháziensis. 22 (2006), 27–31.
- [20] Rahmatan, H., Shokri, A., Ahmad, H., Botmart, T., Subordination method for the estimation of certain subclass of analytic functions defined by the q-derivative operator, J. Funct. Spaces, 9 pages, Article Id. 5078060.
- [21] Seoudy, T.M., Aouf, M.K., Coefficient estimates of new classes of q-starlike and q-convex functions of complex order, J. Math. Inequal. 10 (2016), 135–145.
- [22] Singh, Gagandeep, Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions with respect to symmetric points, J. Nonlinear Funct. Anal. 1 (2013), 1–9.
- [23] Singh, Gurmeet, Singh, Gagandeep, Singh, Gurcharanjit, Certain subclasses of Sakaguchi-type bi-univalent functions, Ganita 69 (2) (2019), 45–55.
- [24] Singh, Gurmeet, Singh, Gagandeep, Singh, Gurcharanjit, A generalized subclass of alpha-convex bi-univalent functions of complex order, Jnanabha 50 (1) (2020), 65–71.
- [25] Singh, Gurmeet, Singh, Gagandeep, Singh, Gurcharanjit, Certain subclasses of univalent and bi-univalent functions related to shell-like curves connected with Fibonacci numbers, General Mathematics 28 (1) (2020), 125–140.
- [26] Sivapalan, J., Magesh, N., Murthy, S., Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions with respect to symmetric conjugate points associated with Horadam Polynomials, Malaya J. Mat. 8 (2) (2020), 565–569.
- [27] Srivastava, H.M., Mishra, A.K., Gochhayat, P., Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 25 (2012), 990–994.
- [28] Srivastava, H.M., Sümer, S., Some applications of a subordination theorem for a class of analytic functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 21 (4) (2008), 394–399.

- [29] Toklu, E., A new subclass of bi-univalent functions defined by q-derivative, TWMS J. App. Engg. Math. 9 (2019), 84–90.
- [30] Venkatesan, M., Kaliappan, V., New subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with q-calculus operator, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 13 (2) (2022), 2141–2149.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KHALSA COLLEGE, AMRITSAR, PUNJAB, INDIA *E-mail*: kamboj.gagandeep@yahoo.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GNDU COLLEGE, CHUNGH(TARN-TARAN), PUNJAB, INDIA *E-mail*: dhillongs82@yahoo.com