

EQUADIFF 6

Jaroslav Kurzweil; Jiří Jarník

Perron integral, Perron product integral and ordinary differential equations

In: Jaromír Vosmanský and Miloš Zlámal (eds.): Equadiff 6, Proceedings of the International Conference on Differential Equations and Their Applications held in Brno, Czechoslovakia, Aug. 26 - 30, 1985. J. E. Purkyně University, Department of Mathematics, Brno, 1986. pp. [149]--154.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700190>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

PERRON INTEGRAL, PERRON PRODUCT INTEGRAL AND ORDINARY LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

J. KURZWEIL and J. JARNÍK
*Mathematical Institute, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences
 115 67 Prague 1, Czechoslovakia*

1. Perron integral and Perron product integral

A finite set $\Delta = \{x_0, t_1, x_1, \dots, t_k, x_k\}$ is called a partition of an interval $[a, b]$ if

$$a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k = b, \quad x_{j-1} \leq t_j \leq x_j$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Let $\delta : [a, b] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ (no continuity or measurability properties required). A partition Δ is said to be δ -fine if $[x_{j-1}, x_j] \subset (t_j - \delta(t_j), t_j + \delta(t_j))$.

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, put $S(f, \Delta) = \sum_{j=1}^k f(t_j)(x_j - x_{j-1})$. It is well known (cf. [1], [2]) that the following two conditions are equivalent:

$$f \text{ is Perron integrable (P-integrable) over } [a, b], \quad (1.1)$$

$$q = (P) \int_a^b f(t) dt;$$

$$\text{for every } \epsilon > 0 \text{ there exists such a } \delta : [a, b] \rightarrow (0, \infty) \text{ that} \quad (1.2)$$

$$|q - S(f, \Delta)| \leq \epsilon \text{ for every } \delta\text{-fine partition } \Delta \text{ of } [a, b].$$

Condition (1.2) makes good sense since

$$\text{for every } \delta : [a, b] \rightarrow (0, \infty) \text{ there exists a } \delta\text{-fine} \quad (1.3)$$

$$\text{partition } \Delta \text{ of } [a, b].$$

1.1. REMARK. The proof of (1.3) is easy: If (1.3) were false for a δ on $[a, b]$, it would be false either for δ on $[\bar{a}, (a+b)/2]$ or for δ on $[(a+b)/2, b]$ and this procedure, if continued, leads to a contradiction.

Denote by M the ring of real or complex $n \times n$ matrices. For

$A : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ and a partition Δ of $[a, b]$ put

$$P(A, \Delta) = \{I + A(t_k)(x_k - x_{k-1})\} \dots \{I + A(t_1)(x_1 - x_0)\} ,$$

$$\tilde{P}(A, \Delta) = \exp(A(t_k)(x_k - x_{k-1})) \dots \exp(A(t_1)(x_1 - x_0)) .$$

The following result is well known (cf. [4], [5]): If A is continuous and if U is the matrix solution of

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x , \quad (1.4)$$

$U(a) = I$, then both $P(A, \Delta)$, $\tilde{P}(A, \Delta)$ converge to $U(b)$ in the following sense:

For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists such an $\eta > 0$ that

$$||U(b) - P(A, \Delta)|| \leq \epsilon , \quad ||U(b) - \tilde{P}(A, \Delta)|| \leq \epsilon \quad \text{for every} \quad (1.5)$$

partition Δ of $[a, b]$ satisfying $x_j - x_{j-1} < \eta$,
 $j = 1, \dots, k$.

In [5] the Lebesgue product integral was introduced in a way analogous to the usual introduction of the Bochner integral and it was proved that $U(b)$ is equal to the Lebesgue product integral of $\exp(A(t) dt)$ over $[a, b]$ provided A is Lebesgue integrable in the usual sense. In the next definition, the limiting process from (1.2) is applied to the product $P(A, \Delta)$ - of course without any continuity or measurability condition on A .

1.2. DEFINITION. Let $Q \in M$ be regular. A is said to be *Perron product-integrable over* $[a, b]$ (P -integrable), Q is called the *Perron product integral* (P -integral) of A and denoted by $P \int_a^b (I + A(t) dt)$,
 if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists such a $\delta : [a, b] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ that
 $||Q - P(A, \Delta)|| \leq \epsilon$ for every δ -fine partition Δ of $[a, b]$.

1.3. REMARK. The same concept of the P -integral is obtained if $P(A, \Delta)$ is replaced by $\tilde{P}(A, \Delta)$ in Definition 1.2.

The integral $P \int_a^b (I + A(t) dt)$ has properties analogous to those of the integral $(P) \int_a^b f(t) dt$. The properties of the latter are listed in Section 2, the analogous properties of the former in Section 3. In Section 4 some relations to ACG_* -functions and to the equation (1.4) are mentioned.

2. Properties of the Perron integral

If f is P -integrable over $[a, b]$ then $F(t) = (P) \int_a^t f(s) ds$ exists for $t \in (a, b]$. We put $F(a) = 0$. (2.1)

If f is P -integrable, then F is continuous and $\dot{F}(t) = f(t)$ a.e. Moreover, f is measurable. (2.2)

Let f be P -integrable over $[a, b]$. Then the following assertion holds: if $C \subset [a, b]$ is of measure zero and $\epsilon > 0$, then there exists such a $\delta : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ that $\sum_{j=1}^r |F(\eta_j) - F(\xi_j)| < \epsilon$ provided $\tau_j \in C$, $\xi_j \leq \tau_j \leq \eta_j \leq \xi_{j+1}$ and $[\xi_j, \eta_j] \subset (\tau_j - \delta(\tau_j), \tau_j + \delta(\tau_j))$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$. (2.3)

Let $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have derivative a.e. and satisfy the assertion from (2.3). Put $f(t) = \dot{F}(t)$ if $\dot{F}(t)$ exists, $f(t)$ arbitrary otherwise. (2.4)

Then $(P) \int_a^b f(t) dt$ exists and equals $F(b) - F(a)$.

If $(P) \int_a^t f(s) ds$ exists for $t < b$ and if $\lim_{t \rightarrow b^-} (P) \int_a^t f(s) ds = q \in \mathbb{R}$, then $(P) \int_a^b f(s) ds$ exists and equals q . (2.5)

3. Properties of the Perron product integral

If A is P -integrable over $[a, b]$ then $U(t) = (P) \int_a^t (I + A(s) ds)$ exists for $t \in (a, b]$. We put $U(a) = I$. (3.1)

If A is P -integrable, then $U(t)$ is regular at every t , U is continuous and $\dot{U}(t)U^{-1}(t) = A(t)$ a.e. Moreover, A is measurable. (3.2)

Let A be P -integrable. Then the assertion (2.3) holds with F replaced by U . (3.3)

Let $U : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ be continuous, regular at every t and differentiable a.e., and let it satisfy the modified assertion of (2.3) (cf. (3.3)). Put $A(t) = \dot{U}(t)U^{-1}(t)$ if $\dot{U}(t)$ exists, $A(t)$ arbitrary otherwise. Then $P \int_a^b (I + A(t) dt)$ exists and equals $U(b)U^{-1}(a)$.

If $P \int_a^t (I + A(s) ds)$ exists for $t < b$ and if $\lim_{t \rightarrow b^-} P \int_a^t (I + A(s) ds) = Q \in M$ is regular, then $P \int_a^b (I + A(s) ds)$ exists and equals Q .

4. ACG_* -solutions of linear ordinary differential equations

The concept of an ACG_* -function (cf. [6]) extends without complications to functions with values in finitedimensional linear spaces. A function $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ (\mathbb{C}^n) is called an ACG_* -solution of (1.4) if u is an ACG_* -function and satisfies (1.4) a.e. In an analogous manner the concept of a matrix ACG_* -solution of (1.4) is to be understood.

It is well known that F from (2.1) is an ACG_* -function and that every ACG_* -function is the primitive of its derivative (in the sense of (2.1), (2.2)). It follows from (2.3) and (2.4) that F is an ACG_* -function iff it satisfies (2.4). It follows from (3.1) - (3.4) that U from (3.1) is an ACG_* -function and that every ACG_* -function $U : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ can be written in the form

$$U(t)U^{-1}(a) = P \int_a^t (I + A(s) ds)$$

provided $U(t)$ is regular for every t . At the same time we have

$$U(t) - U(a) = (P) \int_a^t \dot{U}(s) ds = (P) \int_a^t A(s) U(s) ds$$

for $t \in [a, b]$, that is, U is an ACG_* -matrix solution of (1.4).

Thus we have obtained a class of LDE's the solutions of which are ACG_* -functions; these LDE's have the usual existence and uniqueness properties.

Denote by $H([a,b])$ the set of such $A : [a,b] \rightarrow M$ that $\int_a^b (I + A(t) dt)$ exists. Let us find some effective conditions for $A \in H([a,b])$.

Assume that $c > 0$, $B : [-c,c] \rightarrow M$ is continuous, $I + B(t)$ is regular for $t \in [-c,c]$ and B is locally absolutely continuous on $[-c,c] \setminus \{0\}$. We have

$$\text{if } A(t) = \dot{B}(t) [I + B(t)]^{-1} \text{ a.e. then } A \in H([-c,c]), \tag{4.1}$$

$$\text{if } k \in \{0,1,\dots\}, A(t) = \dot{B}(t) [I - B(t) + \dots + (-1)^k B^k(t)] \tag{4.2}$$

$$\text{a.e., } \int_{-c}^c |\dot{B}(t) B^{k+1}(t)| dt < \infty, \text{ then } A \in H([-c,c]).$$

In the case (4.1), $I + B(t)$ is a fundamental matrix of (1.4), in the case (4.2) the substitution $x = [I + B(t)]y$ leads to the result.

Let $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $T, S \in M$. If $\alpha < 1 + \beta$, then there exists such a continuous $B : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ that $B(0) = 0$, $\dot{B}(t) =$

$|t|^{-\alpha} [T \cos |t|^{-\beta} + S \sin |t|^{-\beta}]$ for $t \neq 0$. Let $c > 0$ be so small that $I + B(t)$ is regular for $t \in [-c,c]$. Then (4.1) may be applied. If $\alpha < 1 + \beta/2$ then (4.2) may be applied with $k = 0$. If $1 + \beta/2 \leq \alpha < 1 + 2\beta/3$ then (4.2) may be applied with $k = 1$; moreover, if

$$TS - ST \neq 0 \text{ then } \int_{-1}^t A(s) ds \text{ is unbounded for } t \rightarrow 0^- \text{ so that}$$

$$(P) \int_{-1}^1 A(t) dt \text{ does not exist.}$$

5. The Saks-Henstock Lemma

In the proof of the properties (2.2) and (2.3) of the Perron integral the key part is played by the following

5.1. LEMMA (Saks, Henstock). Assume that f is P-integrable over

$$[a,b], F(t) = (P) \int_a^t f(s) ds. \text{ Let } \epsilon > 0 \text{ and let the gauge } \delta \text{ correspond to } \epsilon \text{ according to (1.2). Let}$$

$$\xi_j, \tau_j, \eta_j \in [a,b], \xi_j \leq \tau_j \leq \eta_j \leq \xi_{j+1} \tag{5.1}$$

$$[\xi_j, \eta_j] \subset (\tau_j - \delta(\tau_j), \tau_j + \delta(\tau_j)), j = 1, 2, \dots, r.$$

Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^r |f(\tau_j)(\eta_j - \xi_j) - F(\eta_j) + F(\xi_j)| \leq 2\epsilon .$$

For the Perron product integral, an analogous role in the proof of the properties (3.2) and (3.3) is played by

5.2. LEMMA. There exist $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $K > 0$ depending on n only so that the following holds:

Assume that A is P -integrable over $[a, b]$,

$$U(t) = P \int_a^t (I + A(s)) ds, \quad U(b) = Q .$$

Let $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0 / \|Q^{-1}\|$ and let the gauge δ correspond to ϵ according to Definition 1.2. Let (5.1) hold. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^r \|I + A(\tau_j)(\eta_j - \xi_j) - U(\eta_j)U^{-1}(\xi_j)\| \leq K\epsilon .$$

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] KURZWEIL, J.: *Nichtabsolut konvergente Integrale*. Teubner, Leipzig 1980, Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik, 26.
- [2] KURZWEIL, J.: *The integral as a Limit of Integral Sums*. Jahrbuch Überblicke Mathematik 1984, 105-136, Bibliographisches Institut AG 1984.
- [3] SCHLESINGER, L.: *Einführung in die Theorie der gewöhnlichen Differentialgleichungen auf funktionentheoretischer Grundlage*. Berlin 1922.
- [4] GANTMACHER, F. R.: *Theory of Matrices*. Moskva 1966 (Russian).
- [5] DOLLARD, J. D. and FRIEDMAN, CH. N.: *Product Integration with Applications to Differential Equations*. Univ. Press, Cambridge 1979.
- [6] SAKS, S.: *Theory of the Integral*. Monografie matematyczne VII. G.E. Stechert, New York - Warszawa 1937.