Jacques-Louis Lions Vectors of Gevrey classes and applications

In: Valter Šeda (ed.): Differential Equations and Their Applications, Proceedings of the Conference held in Bratislava in September 1966. Slovenské pedagogické nakladateľstvo, Bratislava, 1967. Acta Facultatis Rerum Naturalium Universitatis Comenianae. Mathematica, XVII. pp. 93--100.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700204

Terms of use:

© Comenius University in Bratislava, 1967

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

VECTORS OF GEVREY CLASSES AND APPLICATIONS

J. L. LIONS, Paris

Introduction.

In several problems in partial differential equations one is led to study the space of functions u defined in a domain Ω of \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary Γ and which satisfy conditions of the following type (we take here the simplest possible case):

(1)
$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta^k u|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/2} \leq c L^k M_k \qquad \forall k,$$

(2)
$$\Delta^k u = 0$$
 on $\Gamma \quad \forall k$,

where c and L are suitable constants (which depend on u) and M_k is a given sequence — For example, if

(3) $M_k = (2k)!$

then (1) (2) imply that u is analytic in $\overline{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \Gamma$ (assuming Γ to be realanalytic). A much more general result of this type will be reported in Section 4 below.

Once one is led to study classes of functions satisfying conditions of type (1) (2), it is natural to put this question in a more general framework and to replace in (1) (2) Δ by an unbounded operator A in a Banach space E, condition (2) being then replaced by

(2) $u \in \text{domain of } A, Au \in \text{domain of } A, \text{ and so on, and condition (1) being replaced by}$

 $(\widetilde{\mathbf{l}}) \quad ||A^k u|| \leq c L^k M_k \qquad \forall k,$

(where || || denotes the norm in).

In Sections 1,2 we give some (simple) remarks on the spaces defined by

⁽¹⁾ Expositery lecture. All details and other results are contained in the book [4] by E. Magenes and the A.

(1) (2) (the so — called "vectors of Gevrey class" when $\{M_k\}$ is a Gevrey sequence) when (-A) is the infinitesimal generator of a semi-group. [This contains (1) (2) by taking $E = L^2(\Omega)$, $A = -\Delta$, the domain of A consisting of those functions u which are zero on Γ].

The plan is as follows:

- 1. Domains $D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$.
- 2. A criterion of non triviality.
- 3. The semi group on $D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$.
- 4. The case when A is an elliptic operator.
- 5. Transposition.
- 6. Cauchy problem.
- 7. Some examples.

Bibliography

1. Domains $D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$.

Let *E* be a reflexive Banach space, norm || ||; let *A* be an unbounded operator given in *E*; we assume (for semi-group theory we refer to [2], [10]): (1.1) (-*A*) is the infinitesimal generator of a continuous semi-group G(t)in *E*. Let D(A) be the domain of *A*. We set

$$D(A^{\infty}) = \{ u \mid A^k u \in D(A) \qquad \forall k \};$$

it is well known [2], [10] that $D(A^{\infty})$ is dense in E.

Let now $\{M_k\}$ be a given sequence of positive numbers. We define

(1.2) $\begin{cases} D(A^{\infty}; M_k) = \{ u \mid u \in D(A^{\infty}); \text{ there exist constants } c \text{ and } L \text{ (de-pending on } u) \text{ such that } ||A^k u|| \leq cL^k M_k \ \forall \ k \}. \end{cases}$

Example 1.1.

If $M_k = (k!)^{\alpha}$, $\alpha > 1$, the corresponding $D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$ space is called: the space of vectors of Gevrey class α .

Example 1.2.

If $M_k = k!$, the corresponding $D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$ is the space of analytic vectors. (See [8])

Remark 1.1

Definition 1.2 is purely algebraic. There is a "natural" locally convex topology on $D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$: firstly, fix L in (1.2) (but not C) and call $D^L(A^{\infty}; M_k)$

the corresponding space; provided with the norm $\sup_{k\geq 0} \frac{1}{L^k M_k} ||A^k u||$, it is a Banach space; then $D(A^{\infty}; M_k) =$ inductive limit of $D^{Ln}(A^{\infty}; M_k), L_n \rightarrow +\infty$. For details see [4].

Remark 1.2.

Hypothesis (1.1) is perfectly useless in Definition (1.2). But it will be useful in the proofs below.

The "natural questions" are now:

(i) when is $D(A^{\infty}; M_k) \neq \{O\}$?

- (ii) what is the "abstract" interest of $D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$?
- (iii) how can one characterice, in "concrete" situations, the spaces $D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$ in "concrete" terms?

Partial answers to these questions are respectively given in Sections 2, 3, 4 below — some applications being given in Sections 5, 6, 7.

2. A criterion of non triviality.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\{M_k\}$ be a non quasi-analytic sequence⁽¹⁾ [1] [7]. Then $D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$ is dense in E.

Proof. 1) If $\{M_k\}$ is non quasi-analytic, one can find a sequence ϱ_n of functions with the following properties [7] [9]

(2.1)
$$\begin{cases} \varrho_n \in D_{M_k}, \ \varrho_n(t) = 0 \text{ if } t \leq 0 \text{ or if } t \geq \varepsilon_n, \ \varepsilon_n \to 0 \text{ if } n \to \infty, \\ \varrho_n \geq 0, \ \int_{0}^{\infty} \varrho_n(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = 1. \end{cases}$$

2) Define next $G(q_n) \in L(E;E)$ by

(2.2)
$$G(\varrho_n) e = \int_0^\infty G(t) e \cdot \varrho_n(t) dt, e \in E$$

One checks easily that $G(\varrho_n) e \in D(A^{\infty})$ and that (2.3) $A^k G(\varrho_n) e = G(\varrho_n^{(k)}) e \ \forall k$.

Thanks to the fact that $\varrho_n \in D_{M_k}$ it follows that $G(\varrho_n) e \in D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$.

3) Let now e be arbitrarely given in E; by (2.1) $G(\varrho_n) e \to e$ in E, and by 2), $G(\varrho_n) e \in D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$, hence the result follows.

Remark 2.1. It can happen that $D(A^{\infty}; \mathcal{M}_k)$ is dense in E even with $\mathcal{M}_k = 1 \forall k$ example: assume that A has a complete set in E of eigenvectors ω_n then $A\omega_n = \lambda_n \omega_n$ hence $||A^k \omega_n|| \leq ||\omega_n|| \lambda_n^k$, i.e. belongs to $D(A^{\infty}; 1)$.

⁽²⁾ This means: let D_{M_k} be the space of C^{∞} scalar functions φ on R with compact support and satisfying $|\ldots| \leq |\varphi^{(k)}(t)| \leq cL^k M_k \quad \forall k \text{ then } D_{M_k} \neq \{O\}.$

But in can happen that $D(A^{\infty}; M_k) = \{0\}$ if M_k is quasi — analytic; example: $E = L^p(0, \infty), \ A = \frac{d}{dx}, \ D(A) = \left\{f \mid f, \ \frac{df}{dx} \in L^p(0, \infty), \ f(0) = 0\right\}.$

3. The semi-group on $D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$.

Theorem 3.1. The necessary and sufficient condition for $u \in E$ to be in $D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$ is that the function (3.1) $G(.) u = ``t \to G(t) u''$ is of class M_k with values in E, i.e.:

(3.2) $\begin{cases} \text{for every finite } T \text{ there exist constants } C_1 \text{ and } L_1 \text{ (depending on } T \text{ and } u \text{ such that} \end{cases}$

$$\left\{ || \frac{\mathrm{d}^k}{\mathrm{d}t^k} G(t) u|| \leq C_1 L_1^k M_k \ \forall \ k, \ t \in [0, T]. \right.$$

Remark 3.1. This property justifies the terminology introduced in Examples 1.1 and 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.1.

1) (3.2) implies (1.2) (with $C = C_1$, $L = L_1$). Obvious, take t = 0 in (3.2) and use $\frac{d^k G(t)}{dt^k} \cdot u \mid_0 = (-1)^k A^k u$.

2) (1.2) implies (3.2). Obvious too. Indeed $\frac{d^k}{dt^k}G(t) u = (-1)^k G(t) A^k u$ hence, for $t \in [0, T]$

$$\left\|\frac{\mathrm{d}^k G(t)}{\mathrm{d}t^k} u\right\| \leq \sup_{t\in [o, T]} ||G(t)||_{L(E;E)} ||A^k u||,$$

hence 3.2 follows.

It follows easily from Theorem 3.1 that (see [4] for details).

Theorem 3.2. For every t, G(t) is a continuous linear mapping from $D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$ into itself; the semi group G(t) in $D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$ is C^{∞} (and of infinitesinal generator -A).

One can also show [4] that if for a suitable constant d(3.3) $M_{k+j} \leq d^{k+j}M_kM_j \forall k, j$

then for every $u \in D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$ the function $t \to G(t) u$ is of class M_k in $t \ge 0$ with values in $D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$ (i.e., for every finite T, there exists a bounded set B in $D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$ and a constant L such that $\frac{1}{L^k M_k} \frac{\mathrm{d}^k}{\mathrm{d}t^k} G(t) u \in B \forall k,$ $t \in [0, T]$).

4. The case when A is an elliptic operator.

Let us recall first a classical definition: a complex-valued function φ defined on a compact set of \mathbb{R}^n is said of Gevrey order $\beta > 1$ (resp. real analytic) if for suitable constants c and L one has

$$|D^{p}\varphi(x)| \leq cL^{p_{1}+\cdots+p_{n}}(p_{1}! p_{2}! \cdots p_{n}!)^{3} \quad (\text{resp. } \beta = 1)$$

 $\forall p = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}, \forall x \in \text{compact set of definition of } \varphi.$

Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^n , of boundary Γ ; we assume

(4.1) $\begin{cases}
\Gamma \text{ is a } (n-1) \text{ dimensional variety, of Gevrey order } \beta \text{ (resp. real analytic)}
\end{cases}$

Let A be a differential operator in Ω ; we assume that

(4.2) A is an elliptic operator of order 2m (and properly elliptic if n = 2) and that

(4.3) the coefficients of A are of Gevrey order β (resp. real analytic) in $\overline{\Omega}$.

We are going to characterize $D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$, taking

(4.4)
$$E = L^2(\Omega)$$
.

(4.5) $D(A) = \{u \mid u \in H^{2m}(\Omega) \cap H^m_0(\Omega)\}$ (that is: $D^p u \in L^2(\Omega) \neq p, |p| \leq 1$ $\leq 2m, D^p u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \forall, |p| \leq m-1),$

and when we choose

(4.6)
$$M_k = [(2km)!]^3$$
.

One can prove (see [5], [6], [4]):

Theorem 4.1. We assume the hypotheses (4.1), (4.2), (4.3) to hold choosing D(A) and M_k by (4.5) (4.6) one has

(4.7) $\begin{cases} D(A^{\infty}; M_k) \equiv & \text{functions of Gevrey order } \beta \text{ in } \overline{\Omega} \text{ (resp. real analytic)} \\ \text{which satisfy the boundary conditions ``} A^k u \in H_0^m(\Omega) \neq k \text{''}. \end{cases}$

Remark 4.1. Under the hypothesis (4.2), -A is the infinitesimal generator of a semi-group in E and even of an analytical semi-group. [2], [10].

One can replace $E = L^2(\Omega)$ by $L^p(\Omega)$, $1 , <math>p \neq 2$, without changing $D(A^{\infty}; M_k).$

Remark 4.2. The same result holds true for other boundary conditions than the Dirichlet boundary conditions considered above. — See [4].

Remark 4.3. If u satisfies $||A^k u|| \leq cL^k((2km)!) \forall k$ and no boundary conditions, then one can conclude that u is real analytic on every compact subset of Ω ; see [3]; this result in contained in Theorem 4.1.

Remark 4.4. A more general result is proved in [4] when we also consider "non-zero boundary conditions".

7 Equadiff II.

5. Transposition

Since E is assumed to be a reflexive Banach Space (actually "reflexive" is used here for the first time — and in a non essential manner!) all what we said in Sections 1, 2, 3 is valid after replacing

E by E' = dual of E

G(t) by $G^*(t) =$ adjoint of G(t)

A by A^* , A^* being the adjoint of A in the sense of unbounded operators in E or the (opposite to the) infinitesimal generator of the adjoint semi-group $G^*(t)$.

Consequently:

(5.1) $G^*(t)$ is a semi-group in $D(A^{*\infty}; M_k)'$.

If we make the hypothesis (see Theorem 1.1):

(5.2) $D(A^{*\infty}; M_k)$ is dense in E'

then we can identify E to a sub-space of the dual $D(A^{*\infty}; M_k)'$ of $D(A^{*\infty}; M_k)$; summing up, we have

(5.3) $D(A^{\infty}; M_k) \subset E \subset D(A^{*\infty}; M_k)!$

Taking the adjoint of (5.1) we obtain:

(5.4) $[G^*(t)]^*$ is a semi-group in $D(A^{*\infty}; M_k)'$.

But one easily checks that $(G^*(t))^*$ is an extension of G(t), that we can still denote by G(t). Therefore:

(5.5) $\begin{cases} G(t) \text{ is a semi-group in } D(A^{*\infty}; M_k)', \text{ which is } C^{\infty} \text{ and whose infinite-simal generators is } -A. \end{cases}$

For more details, see [4].

Remark 5.1. In the applications, $D(A^{*\infty}; M_k)'$ is not a space of distributions but a space of functionals (analytic functionals of Gervey's functionals). Structure theorems for the elements of $D(A^{*\infty}; M_k)'$ are given in [4].

6. Cauchy problem.

If -A is the infinitesimal generator of a semi-group G(t), then the unique solution of the Cauchy problem

(6.1)
$$Au + u' = 0$$
 $\left(u' = \frac{du}{dt}\right)$,
(6.2) $\begin{cases} u(t) \in D(A), \\ u(0) = u_0 \end{cases}$
is given by
(6.3) $u(t) = G(t) u_0.$
See [2], [10].

Thanks to Theorem 3.2 and its "transposed" version (5.5) we obtain:

Theorem 6.1. We assume that (5.2) holds true — For u_0 given in $D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$ (resp. in $D(A^{*\infty}; M_k)'$) the Cauchy problem (6.1), (6.2) admits a unique solution, given by (6.3), which is C^{∞} from $t \ge 0 \rightarrow D(A^{\infty}; M_k)$ (resp. $D(A^{*\infty}; M_k)'$). Moreover, in case (3.3) holds true, the solution u(t) is of class M_k .

Remark 6.1. In case G(t) is analytic (see Remark 4.1) then, even starting with $u_0 \in D(A^{*\infty}; M_k)'$ (i.e. with an extremely general Cauchy data), one has $u(t) \in D(A^{\infty}; M_k) \forall t > 0$.

See [4].

7. Some examples.

We take the two as simple as possible cases.

7.1. Heat equation.

Combining results of Sections 4 and 6 we obtain the following result: let u_0 be given in Ω , satisfying

(7.1) $\begin{cases} u_0 \text{ is of Gevrey order } \beta \text{ (resp. real analytic) in } \overline{\Omega}, \text{ and } \Delta^k u_0 = 0 \text{ on } \\ \Gamma \neq k. \end{cases}$

Then the solution of

(7.2)
$$-\Delta u + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0$$
 in $\Omega \times]0, \infty[,$

(7.3)
$$u(x, t) = 0$$
 if $x \in \Gamma, t > 0$

(7.4) $u(x, 0) = u_0(x), x \in \Omega$

is of Gevrey order β in x (resp. real analytic if $\beta = 1$) and of Gevrey order 2β in t.

We have just to take: $M_k = [(2k)!]^3$ in the general theory. Moreover in this case Remark 6.1 applies -

Moreover in this case Kemark 0.1 applies

7.2. Wave equation.

We consider now

7*

$$(7.5) \quad -\Delta u + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times]0, \infty[,$$

$$(7.6) \quad u(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{if } x \in \Gamma, \ t > 0,$$

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{cases} u(x, 0) = u_{00}(x), \ x \in \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0) = u_{01}(x), \ x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

99

Writing (7.5) as a first order system in t one can apply semi-group theory. One obtains:

(7.8) $\begin{cases} \text{if } u_{01} \text{ and } u_0, \text{ satisfy conditions' analogous to (7.1) for } u_0, \text{ then } u(x, t) \\ \text{is of Gevrey order } \beta \text{ in } x \text{ and in } t. \end{cases}$

See [4] Vol 3 for technical details.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] T. CARLEMAN: Les fonctions quasi analytiques-Gauthies-Villars, Paris, 1926.
- [2] E. HILLE-R. S. PHILIFS: Functional Analysis and semi-groups. Colloq. Pub. Amer. Math. Soc. 1957.
- [3] T. KOTAKE-M. S. NARASIMHAN: Fractional powers of a linear elliptic operator Bull. Soc. Math. France, t. 90 (1962), p. 449-471.
- [4] J. L. LIONS-E. MAGENES: Problemes aux limites non homogénes et applications -Vol. 1 and 2, Dunad, 1968. Vol. 3, to appear
- [5] J. L. LIONS-E. MAGENES: Espaces de functions et distributions du type de Gevrey et problemes aux limites paraboliques. Annali di Mat. Pura ed Appl. Vol. LXVIII, (1965), p. 341-418.
- [6] J. L. LIONS-E. MAGENES: Espaces du type Gevrey et problemés aux limites pour diverses classes d'équations d'évolution. Annali di Mat. Pura ed Appl. Vol. LXIX (1966).
- [7] S. MANDELBROJT: Séries adhérentes, régularisation des suites, applications. Gauthier Villars, Paris, 1952.
- [8] E. NELSON: Analytic vectors. Annals of Maths. 70 (1959), p. 572-615.
- [9] C. ROUMIEU. Sur quelques extensions de la notion de distributions. Ann. Sc. E. N. S. 77 (1960), p. 47-121.
- [10] K. YOSIDA: Functional Analysis. Springer. t. 123. 1965.