Dáša Lacková The asymptotic properties of the solutions of the n-th order neutral differential equations

In: Jaromír Kuben and Jaromír Vosmanský (eds.): Equadiff 10, Czechoslovak International Conference on Differential Equations and Their Applications, Prague, August 27-31, 2001, [Part 2] Papers. Masaryk University, Brno, 2002. CD-ROM; a limited number of printed issues has been issued. pp. 267--274.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700358

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2002

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Equadiff 10, August 27–31, 2001 Prague, Czech Republic

The Asymptotic Properties of the Solutions of the n-th order Neutral Differential Equations

Dáša Lacková

Department of Applied Mathematics and Business Informatics, Faculty of Economics of Technical University Košice, B. Němcovej 32, 040 01 Košice, Slovak Republic, Email: Dasa.Lackova@tuke.sk

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to deduce oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of the solutions of the n-th order neutral differential equation

$$(x(t) - px(t - \tau))^{(n)} - q(t)x(\sigma(t)) = 0,$$

where $\sigma(t)$ is a delayed or advanced argument.

MSC 2000. 34C10, 34K11

We consider the n-th order differential equation with a deviating argument of the form

$$(x(t) - px(t - \tau))^{(n)} - q_1(t)x(\sigma_1(t)) = 0,$$
(1)

where

(i) n is even,
(ii) p and τ are positive numbers,
(iii) q₁(t), σ₁(t) ∈ C(ℝ₊, ℝ₊), q₁(t) is positive, lim_{y→∞} σ₁(t) = ∞.

By a solution of Eq.(1) we mean a function $x : [T_x, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies (1) for all sufficiently large t. Such a solution is called oscillatory if it has a sequence of zeroes tending to infinity; otherwise it is called nonoscillatory. Eq.(1) is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

This is the preliminary version of the paper.

We introduce the notation

$$Q_j(t) = q_j(t) \sum_{i=0}^m p^i, \qquad \text{where } m \text{ is a positive integer}, \ j = 1, 2.$$
(2)

Lemma 1. Let z(t) be an n times differentiable function on \mathbb{R}_+ of constant sign, $z^{(n)}(t) \neq 0$ on $[T_0, \infty)$ which satisfies $z^{(n)}(t)z(t) \geq 0$. Then there is an integer l, $0 \leq l \leq n$ such that n + l is even and

$$z(t)z^{(i)}(t) > 0, \qquad 0 \le i \le \ell, (-1)^{i-\ell}z(t)z^{(i)}(t) > 0, \qquad \ell \le i \le n.$$
(3)

Lemma 1 is a well-known lemma of Kiguradze [5].

A function z(t) satisfying (3) is said to be a function of degree l. The set of all functions of degree l is denoted by \mathbb{N}_l . If we denote by \mathcal{N} the set of all functions satisfying $z^{(n)}(t)z(t) \geq 0$ then the set \mathcal{N} has the following decomposition

$$\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_0 \cup \mathcal{N}_2 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{N}_n$$

Lemma 2. Let y(t) be a positive function of degree ℓ , $\ell \geq 2$. Then

$$y(t) \ge \int_{t_1}^t y^{(\ell-1)}(s) \frac{(t-s)^{\ell-2}}{(\ell-2)!} ds.$$
(4)

The proof of this lemma is immediate from integration the identity $y^{(l-1)}(t) = y^{(l-1)}(t)$.

Theorem 3. Assume that m is a positive integer. Let

$$\sigma_1(t) < t - \tau, \ \sigma_1(t) \in C^1, \ \sigma'_1(t) \ge 0.$$
 (5)

Further assume that the differential equation

$$y^{(n)}(t) + \frac{1}{p}q_1(t)y(\sigma_1(t) + \tau) = 0$$
(6)

is oscillatory and the differential inequality

$$z^{(n)}(t) - Q_1(t)z(\sigma_1(t)) \ge 0$$
(7)

has no solution of degree 0. Then every nonoscillatory solution of Eq.(1) tends to ∞ as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Without loss of generality let x(t) be an eventually positive solution of Eq.(1) and define

$$z(t) = x(t) - px(t - \tau).$$
 (8)

It is easy to see that

$$z(t) < x(t). \tag{9}$$

From Eq.(1) we have $z^{(n)}(t) > 0$ for all large t, say $t \ge t_0$. Thus $z^{(i)}(t)$ are monotonous, i = 0, 1, ..., n - 1. If z(t) < 0 eventually, then we set u(t) = -z(t). In the view of (8)

$$x(t-\tau) > \frac{1}{p}u(t),$$

that is

$$x(t) > \frac{1}{p}u(t+\tau).$$

One gets that u(t) is a positive solution of the inequality

$$u^{(n)}(t) + \frac{1}{p}q_1(t)u(\sigma_1(t) + \tau) \le 0$$

and by Kusano and Naito [1] the corresponding equation

$$u^{(n)}(t) + \frac{1}{p}q_1(t)u(\sigma(t_1) + \tau) = 0$$

has a positive solution u(t). This contradicts that (6) is oscillatory.

Therefore z(t) > 0. According to Lemma 1 we have two possibilities for z'(t):

(a) z'(t) > 0, for $t \ge t_1 \ge t_0$, (b) z'(t) < 0, for $t \ge t_1$.

For case (a) by Lemma 1 we obtain z(t) > 0, z'(t) > 0, z''(t) > 0. It implies that $\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) = \infty$ and from (9) also $\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = \infty$.

For case (b) Eq.(1) can be written in the form

$$z^{(n)}(t) - q_1(t)x(\sigma_1(t)) = 0.$$

Using (8) we have

$$z^{(n)}(t) - q_1(t)z(\sigma_1(t)) - pq_1(t)x(\sigma_1(t) - \tau) = 0.$$

Repeating this procedure m-times we arrive at

$$z^{(n)}(t) - q_1(t) \sum_{i=1}^m p^i z(\sigma_1(t) - i\tau) - p^{m+1} q_1(t) x(\sigma_1(t) - (m+1)\tau) = 0.$$

Since z(t) is decreasing, we get

$$z^{(n)}(t) - q_1(t)z(\sigma_1(t)) \sum_{i=1}^m p^i \ge 0.$$

In the view of (2) we have

$$z^{(n)}(t) - Q_1(t)z(\sigma_1(t)) \ge 0.$$
(10)

Hence z(t) is a solution of degree 0 of the inequality (10). This is a contradiction.

Corollary 4. Let m be a positive integer. Further assume that (5) holds, differential equation (6) is oscillatory and there exists $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ such that

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{k!(n-k-1)!} \int_{\sigma_1(t)}^t \left[s - \sigma_1(t)\right]^k \left[\sigma_1(t) - \sigma_1(s)\right]^{n-k-1} Q_1(s) ds > 1.$$
(11)

Then every nonoscillatory solution of Eq.(1) tends to ∞ as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. It follows from (11) and Theorem 1 of [2] that the differential inequality (7) has no solution of degree 0. Our assertion follows from Theorem 3.

Let us consider the n-th order differential equation with an advanced argument of the form

$$(x(t) - px(t - \tau))^{(n)} - q_2(t)x(\sigma_2(t)) = 0,$$
(12)

where (i), (ii) hold and moreover

(iv) $q_2(t), \sigma_2(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+), q_2(t)$ is positive, $\lim_{y \to \infty} \sigma_2(t) = \infty$.

We introduce the notation

$$A_{\ell}(t) = \int_{t}^{\infty} q_2(s) \frac{(s-t)^{n-\ell-1}}{(n-\ell-1)!} \times \left[\int_{t}^{\sigma_2(s)} \frac{(t-u)^{\ell-2}}{(\ell-2)!} du \right] ds$$
(13)

for $\ell = 2, 4, \ldots, n-2$.

Theorem 5. Assume that m is a positive integer and

$$\sigma_2(t) - m\tau > t, \ \sigma_2(t) \in C^1, \ \sigma'_2(t) \ge 0, \ 0
(14)$$

Further assume that

$$A_{\ell}(t)(t-t_1) > 1 \quad for \quad \ell = 2, 4, \dots, n-2$$
 (15)

and the differential inequality

$$z^{(n)}(t) - Q_2(t)z(\sigma_2(t) - m\tau) \ge 0$$
(16)

has no solution of degree n. Then every nonoscillatory solution of Eg.(12) is bounded.

Proof. Without loss of generality let x(t) be an eventually positive solution of Eq.(12) and define

$$z(t) = x(t) - px(t - \tau).$$
(17)

From Eq.(12) we have $z^{(n)}(t) > 0$ for all large t, say $t \ge t_0$. Thus $z^{(i)}(t)$ are monotonous, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. If z(t) < 0 eventually, then

$$x(t) < px(t-\tau) < p^2 x(t-2\tau) < \dots < p^k x(t-k\tau)$$

for all large t, which implies $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$.

If z(t) > 0, then according to a Lemma 1 we have two possibilities for z'(t):

(a) z'(t) > 0, for $t \ge t_1 \ge t_0$, (b) z'(t) < 0, for $t \ge t_1$.

For case (a) we have two possibilities:

(i) $\exists \ell \in 2, 4, \dots, n-2$, such that $z(t) \in \mathcal{N}_{\ell}$, (ii) $\ell = n$, i.e. $z(t) \in \mathcal{N}_n$.

For case (i) Eq.(12) can be written in the form

$$z^{(n)}(t) = q_2(t)x(\sigma_2(t)).$$

Integrating this equation from t to $\infty - \ell$ times and taking Lemma 2 into account, one gets

$$z^{(\ell)}(t) \ge \int_{t}^{\infty} q_{2}(s)x(\sigma_{2}(s))\frac{(s-t)^{n-\ell-1}}{(n-\ell-1)!} ds \ge \int_{t}^{\infty} q_{2}(s)z(\sigma_{2}(s))\frac{(s-t)^{n-\ell-1}}{(n-\ell-1)!} ds$$
$$\ge \int_{t}^{\infty} q_{2}(s)\frac{(s-t)^{n-\ell-1}}{(n-\ell-1)!} \times \left[\int_{t_{1}}^{\sigma_{2}(s)} z^{(\ell-1)}(u)\frac{(t-u)^{\ell-2}}{(\ell-2)!} du\right] ds$$

Taking into account that $\sigma_2(t)$ is nondecreasing, $t \ge t_1$ and $z^{(\ell-1)}(t)$ is increasing, the above inequalities led to

$$z^{(\ell)}(t) \ge z^{(\ell-1)}(t)A_{\ell}(t).$$
(18)

Integration of the identity $z^{(\ell)}(t) = z^{(\ell)}(t)$ from t_1 to t provides

$$z^{(\ell-1)}(t) \ge \int_{t_1}^t z^{(\ell)}(s) ds \ge z^{(\ell)}(t)(t-t_1), \qquad t \ge t_1,$$

which in the view of (18) implies

$$1 \ge (t - t_1)A_\ell(t).$$

This contradicts (15).

For case (ii) Eq.(12) can be written in the form

$$z^{(n)}(t) - q_2(t)x(\sigma_2(t)) = 0.$$

Using (17) we have

$$z^{(n)}(t) - q_2(t)z(\sigma_2(t)) - pq_2(t)x(\sigma_2(t) - \tau) = 0.$$

Repeating this procedure m-times we arrive at

$$z^{(n)}(t) - q_2(t) \sum_{i=1}^m p^i z(\sigma_2(t) - i\tau) - p^{m+1} q_2(t) x(\sigma_2(t) - (m+1)\tau) = 0.$$

Since z(t) is increasing, we get

$$z^{(n)}(t) - q_2(t)z(\sigma_2(t) - m\tau)\sum_{i=1}^m p^i \ge 0$$

In the view of (2) we have

$$z^{(n)}(t) - Q_2(t)z(\sigma_2(t) - m\tau) \ge 0.$$
(19)

Hence z(t) is a solution of degree n of the inequality (19). This is a contradiction. For case (b) we have z(t) > 0, z'(t) < 0. Hence there exists

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) = c > 0.$$
⁽²⁰⁾

If x(t) is unbounded eventually, then we can define the sequence $\{t_n\}$ where $t_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ as follows. Let us choose t_m for every $m \in \mathcal{N}$ such that

$$x(t_m) = \max\{x(s), t_0 \le s \le t_m\}.$$

Since

$$x(t_m - \tau) = \max\{x(s), t_0 \le s \le t_m - \tau\} \le \max\{x(s), t_0 \le s \le t_m\} = x(t_m),$$

we have

$$z(t_m) = x(t_m) - px(t_m - \tau) \ge x(t_m) - px(t_m) = (1 - p)x(t_m).$$

This implies $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t) = \infty$. This contradicts (20).

Corollary 6. Let m be a positive integer. Further assume that (14) and (15) hold and there exists $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ such that

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{k!(n-k-1)!} \int_{t}^{\sigma_2(t)} [\sigma_2(s) - \sigma_2(t)]^k [\sigma_2(t) - s]^{n-k-1} Q_2(s) ds > 1.$$
(21)

Then every nonoscillatory solution of Eq.(12) is bounded.

272

Proof. It follows from (21) and Theorem 4 of [2] that the differential inequality (16) has no solution of degree n. Our assertion follows from Theorem 5.

Now we want to extend our previous results to more general differential equation. So let us consider the n-th order differential equation with both arguments (advanced and delayed) of the form

$$(x(t) - px(t - \tau))^{(n)} - q_1(t)x(\sigma_1(t)) - q_2(t)x(\sigma_2(t)) = 0,$$
(22)

where (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) hold.

Theorem 7. Let m be a positive integer. Further assume that (5), (14) and (15) hold, differential equality (6) is oscillatory, differential inequality (7) has no solution of degree 0 and differential inequality (16) has no solution of degree n.

Then every solution of Eg.(22) is oscillatory.

Proof. Without loss of generality let x(t) be an eventually positive solution of Eq.(22). Then x(t) is solution of the inequality

$$(x(t) - px(t - \tau))^{(n)} - q_1(t)x(\sigma_1(t) \ge 0.$$

Using the same arguments as in Theorem 3 we can prove that x(t) tends to ∞ as $t \to \infty$.

On the other hand, x(t) is also solution of the inequality

$$(x(t) - px(t - \tau))^{(n)} - q_2(t)x(\sigma_2(t) \ge 0.$$

Now arguing exactly as in the proof of Theorem 5 we get that x(t) is bounded. This is a contradiction.

In Theorem 7 of [2] Kusano has presented conditions when the functional differential equation

$$y^{(n)}(t) - q_1(t)y(\sigma_1(t)) - q_2(t)y(\sigma_2(t)) = 0$$

is oscillatory. We have extended these conditions also for the neutral differential equation of the form (22). In a paper [6] Džurina and Mihalíková have presented sufficient conditions for all bounded solutions of the second order neutral differential equation with a delayed argument to be oscillatory. We have extended these conditions also for the *n*-th order neutral differential equation involving both delayed and advanced arguments.

References

- Kusano T., Naito M., Comparison theorems for functional differential equations with deviating argument, J. Math. Soc. Japan 33 1981.
- Kusano T., On even functional differential equations with advanced and retarded arguments, Differential Equations 45 1985, 75–84.
- Džurina J., Mihalíková B., Oscillation criteria for second order neutral differential equations, Mathematica Bohemica 12 2000, 145–153.
- Džurina J., Mihalíková B., Oscillations of advanced differential equations, Fasciculi Math. 25 1995, 95–103.
- 5. Kiguradze T. I., On the oscillation of sollutions of the equation $d^m u/dt^m + a(t)|u|^n sign u = 0$, Mat. Sb. **65** 1964, (in Russian).
- Džurina J., Mihalíková B., A note of unstable neutral differential equations of the second order, Fasciculi Math. 29 1999, 17–22.