

Miroslav Hušek

Decompositions of adjoint situations

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Abstracta. 4th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1976. pp. 11–13.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701036>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library <http://dml.cz>

FOURTH WINTER SCHOOL (1976)

DECOMPOSITIONS OF ADJOINT SITUATIONS

by

M. HUŠEK

As it is known, reflections and coreflections are special cases of adjunctions. The (adjoint) composition of reflections is again a reflection and similarly for coreflections. Clearly, the composition of a reflection and a coreflection (or in the converse order) need not be either reflection or coreflection. What are the adjunctions which can be expressed as such compositions?

Theorem 1. Any adjunction $(\eta, \varepsilon): F \dashv G: (A, B)$ is a composition of a full monoreflection $(l_B, \psi): J_2 \dashv C: (C, B)$ and a full epireflection $(\varphi, l_A): R \dashv J_1: (A, C)$. The ψ is epi iff ε is epi (i.e., iff G is faithful) and φ is mono iff η is mono (i.e., iff F is faithful).

An adjunction $(\eta, \varepsilon): F \dashv G$ is called normal, if ηG is iso (i.e., if $GF\eta = \eta GF$ or if $F\eta$ is iso or if $G\varepsilon F$ is iso, etc.).

Moreover, we can prove that (η, ε) is not normal iff no prolongation of $l_B \xrightarrow{\eta} GF$ contains an iso (by a prolongation of the η we mean any left-continuous functor $\mathcal{H}$ on ordinal numbers into functors $B \rightarrow B$ with $\mathcal{H} \langle \alpha, \alpha + 1 \rangle = (GF)^n \eta \mathcal{H}(\beta)$ for an $n < \omega_0$, $\beta = \alpha - n$; the same for ε).

Theorem 2. An adjunction is isomorphic to a composition of a full coreflection, an equivalence and a full reflection iff it is normal. The coreflection is mono iff the counit is mono, and the reflection is epi iff the unit is epi.

— As in the case of epireflective hulls one may now prove the existence of adjoint hulls if we restrict ourselves to adjunctions with the unit being epi (thus the adjunctions are normal).

Denote by **FUNC** the metacategory the objects of which are functors into categories with cointersections and terminal objects, and morphisms $G \rightarrow G'$ are pairs $\langle K, H \rangle$ with: $HG = G'K$, if G is full and faithful in A from the left, then G' is full and faithful in KA from the left, and $H[\text{epirefl } G[A]] \subseteq \text{epirefl } G'[A']$. (For the existence of epireflective hulls it suffices that the category has cointersections and is cowell-powered.) Denote by **ADJ** the full sub-metacategory of **FUNC** generated by those functors which are right adjoints (if $G_i: A_i \rightarrow B_i$ are objects of **ADJ**, $\mathcal{C}_i$ are the corresponding categories epireflective in B_i and coreflective in A_i , then $\langle K, H \rangle: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ iff $HG_1 = G_2K$ and $H/\mathcal{C}_1 = K/\mathcal{C}_1: \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$).

Theorem 3. **ADJ** is reflective in **FUNC**.

The condition on preserving epireflective hulls cannot be omitted (in that case the "reflection" has not the extension property); it is fulfilled if H is right continuous on complete categories. If we omit the condition on preserving "full and faithful left objects", then the "reflection" has the extension property but not unique. We may change the equality $HG = G'K$ to a transformation $\tau : HG \rightarrow G'K$ (then morphisms are triples $\langle K, H, \tau \rangle$); in this case we also lose unicity.