

Jan van Mill

On the existence of weak P-points in compact F-spaces

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Abstracta. 7th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1979. pp. 44–47.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701146>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library <http://dml.cz>

SEVENTH WINTER SCHOOL (1979)

On the existence of weak P-points in compact F-spaces

Jan van Mill

(Amsterdam)

All spaces are completely regular and X^* denotes $\beta X - X$.

The point $x \in X$ is called a P-point whenever $x \notin \overline{F}$ for each F_σ F of X which does not contain x . It is known that ω^* contains P-points under CH (cf. RUDIN [1]); however, SHELAH (see [M] or [W]) showed that it is consistent with the usual axioms of set theory that there are no P-points in ω^* . The point $x \in X$ is called a weak P-point whenever $x \notin \overline{F}$ for each countable $F \subset X - \{x\}$. Clearly each P-point is a weak P-point. Recently KUNEN [K] showed that there are 2^{2^ω} points in ω^* which are weak P-points. We have a generalization of this result.

0. THEOREM: *Let X be a compact infinite F-space without isolated points of weight 2^ω in which each nonempty G_δ has nonempty interior. Then there are 2^{2^ω} points in X which are weak P-points but not P-points.*

The condition that each nonempty G_δ has nonempty interior is essential of course, since no separable space without isolated points can have weak P-points (we don't know whether the theorem is true for compact nowhere separable F-spaces). There are two ways of trying to generalize this result:

question (1): can we delete "of weight 2^{ω} " from the hypotheses?

question (2): can we delete "F-space" from the hypotheses?

In our opinion, question (1) is easier than question (2). Under CH both questions have a positive answer. For question (2) this is trivial (let us notice that question (2) and the observation that CH implies a positive answer are due to KUNEN). The proof that CH implies a positive answer to question (1) is not trivial. In fact it is quite surprising that CH is used to find special points in spaces of arbitrarily large weight. Usually CH is used to build by induction an ultrafilter in ω_1 steps, killing at each stage of the induction an undesired set. We use CH in a completely different form. Let (*) denote the innocent statement that there is a compactification $\gamma\omega$ of ω such that $\gamma\omega - \omega$ is ccc but not separable. We show that CH implies (*) and that (*) gives a positive answer to question (1):

1. THEOREM: *Assume (*) and let X be a compact infinite F-space in which each nonempty G_δ without isolated points has nonempty interior. Then there are $2^{2^{\omega}}$ weak P-points in X which are not P-points.*

The proof that CH implies (*) is easy. By TALL [T, Ex.7.5] the Stone space of the boolean algebra of Lebesgue measurable sets of $[0,1]$ modulo the nullsets is a compact extremally disconnected ccc nonseparable space of weight 2^{ω} . Under CH, each compact space of weight at most 2^{ω} is a continuous image of ω^* , or, equivalently, is the remainder of some compactification of ω (cf. PAROVICENKO [P]). Hence CH implies (*).

I conjecture that (*) is true in ZFC, but would not be too much surprised if (*) turned out to be consistently false. If $\neg(*)$ is consistent it would be an interesting new axiom, since it gives tremendous control on the subalgebras of $P(\omega)/\text{finite}$. The easiest way of answering the question whether (*) is true would be to construct a compact ccc nonseparable space of weight ω_1 , since each compact space of weight ω_1 is the remainder of some compactification of $\omega([P])$. However, under $\text{MA} + \neg\text{CH}$, each compact ccc space of weight less than 2^ω is separable ([T, Theorem 1.4(a)]), which blocks this attempt (this was brought to my attention by Eric van Douwen).

Let us finally notice that I have also shown that Theorem 1 is true if $2^\omega = 2^{\omega_1}$. Consequently, Theorem 1 is true in ZFC if $\neg(*)$ implies $2^\omega = 2^{\omega_1}$.

The proofs of our claims will appear in [vM].

References:

- [K] K. KUNEN, *Weak P-points in N^** (to appear).
- [vM] J. van MILL, *Weak P-points in compact F-spaces* (to appear).
- [M] C. F. MILLS, *An easier proof of the Shelah P-point independence theorem* (to appear).
- [P] I. I. PAROVICHENKO, *A universal bicomact of weight \aleph_1* ,
Sov. Math. 4(1969)592-595.

[R] W. RUDIN, *Homogeneity problems in the theory of Cech compactifications*,
Duke Math. J. 23(1959)409-420.

[T] F. D. TALL, *The countable chain condition versus separability--
applications of Martin's axiom*, Gen. Top. Appl. 4
(1974)315-340.

[W] E. WIMMERS, *The Shelah P-point independence theorem* (to appear).

Subfaculteit Wiskunde
Vrije Universiteit
De Boelelaan 1081
Amsterdam
The Netherlands.