

Peter Greim

Banach-Stone theorems for non-separably valued Bochner L^∞ – spaces

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Proceedings of the 10th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1982. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 2. pp. [123]–129.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701266>

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

BANACH-STONE THEOREMS FOR NON-SEPARABLY VALUED BOCHNER L^∞ -SPACES

Peter Greim

1. INTRODUCTION

In the author's talk at the conference an example has been given for the fact that a plausible seeming description of the extremal points in a Bochner space $L^p(\mu, V)$, in terms of their values, that is valid for separable V , cannot be generalized to *non-separable* spaces. An essential tool for this construction was the Stonean space of μ 's measure algebra. Meanwhile this example has been published elsewhere [4].

One of the goals of this article is to give a *positive* result for non-separable spaces in a similar problem (relating geometric properties of $L^\infty(\mu, V)$ to those of V). In [2] Cambern has shown a Banach-Stone theorem for Hilbert space-valued $L^\infty(\mu, V)$: let μ be a σ -finite measure and V a separable Hilbert space, then each isometry T of $L^\infty(\mu, V)$ onto itself has the form

$$Tx(s) = U(s)(\phi x)(s),$$

where ϕ extends a suitable Boolean isomorphism of μ 's measure algebra and the $U(s)$ are isometries of V onto itself. Although Cambern used Hilbert space methods, it turned out that his result holds for the rather large class of all separable spaces with trivial centralizers [5]. (For the notion and properties of the centralizer $Z(X)$ of a Banach space X we refer the reader to [1].) As in the problem mentioned in the beginning, the separability of V was essential for the proof. In this article we give a generalization of Cambern's theorem into the other direction, namely, concerning the density character of V . We shall prove a Banach-Stone theorem for all Hilbert spaces, with arbitrary dimension. In fact we show more:

Theorem 2: Let $(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)$ be σ -finite non-zero measure spaces and $V_i \neq \{0\}$ Banach duals with trivial centralizers ($i=1,2$). Then each surjective linear isometry $T: L^\infty(\mu_1, V_1) \longleftrightarrow L^\infty(\mu_2, V_2)$ has the form

$$Tx(s) = U(s)(\phi x)(s),$$

where Φ extends a Boolean isomorphism of the measure algebra Σ_1/μ_1 onto Σ_2/μ_2 and U is a strongly measurable operator-valued function such that all $U(s)$ are norm one operators from V_1 into V_2 .

As in [5], we shall derive Theorem 2 from a description of $Z(L^\infty(\mu, V))$ (see Theorem 1 below). We have not been able to show that the $U(s)$ can be chosen to be surjective isometries.

A second goal of this article is the following. Apart from separability, the Banach-Stone theorem in [5] requires a trivial centralizer of V , which in particular rules out all non-trivial CK-spaces V (K compact), since $Z(CK) \simeq CK$. In the situation of vector-valued continuous function spaces $C(L, V)$ this seems to be an adequate restriction (see [1, Theorem 11.16(ii)]). In general, CK-spaces do not even have the Banach-Stone property. (We say that V has the Banach-Stone property if for each pair of compact spaces L_1 the spaces $C(L_1, V)$ are isometrically isomorphic if and only if the L_1 are homeomorphic.) However, for measurable function spaces we can show the following.

Theorem 4: *Let $(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)$ be as above and $K \neq \emptyset$ connected and compact. Then the spaces $L^\infty(\mu_i, CK)$ are isometrically isomorphic if and only if the measure algebras Σ_i/μ_i are isomorphic.*

Although we require connectedness, this is still better than what we get in the context of vector-valued continuous function spaces. For example, $C[0,1]$ does not have the Banach-Stone property [1, p. 143].

We mention some notations. $[X]$ denotes the Banach space of all bounded linear operators of a Banach space X into itself. The constant function with value v is denoted by \underline{v} , and the characteristic function of a subset A by χ_A (where the domain of the functions is understood). If x and h are V - and $[V]$ -valued functions resp. with the same domain, then $|x|$ and $\langle x, h \rangle$ denote the functions $t \mapsto \|x(t)\|$ and $h(t)x(t)$, resp.. Strong measurability of h means that for all v in V the function $\langle \underline{v}, h \rangle$ is measurable. Sometimes we distinguish between functions x on Ω and their equivalence classes modulo equality almost everywhere, $[x]$. The definition of $L^\infty(\mu, V)$ and the elementary properties that we need can be found in [3]. Since the completion of a measure does not affect the notion of (Bochner)

measurability, we assume throughout that all measures are complete.

2. DUAL SPACES

The main tool in this section is a vector-valued lifting. Let $M^\infty(\mu, V)$ denote the Banach space of all bounded Bochner-measurable V -valued functions, endowed with the supremum norm $\| \cdot \|_\infty$. If instead we supply $M^\infty(\mu, V)$ with the essential supremum $\| \cdot \|_{\text{ess}}$ as seminorm, the corresponding normed space is $L^\infty(\mu, V)$. A linear $\| \cdot \|_{\text{ess}} - \| \cdot \|_\infty$ -isometry $\sigma: L^\infty(\mu, V) \longrightarrow M^\infty(\mu, V)$ is called a *lifting*, if for each equivalence class x in $L^\infty(\mu, V)$ σx is an element of x .

Proposition 1: *Let V be a Banach dual. Then there is a multiplicative lifting $\rho: L^\infty(\mu, \mathbb{K}) \longrightarrow M^\infty(\mu, \mathbb{K})$ satisfying $\rho \underline{1} = \underline{1}$. For each such ρ there is a lifting $\sigma: L^\infty(\mu, V) \longrightarrow M^\infty(\mu, V)$ such that*

- (1) $\sigma \underline{v} = \underline{v}$ for all v in V and
- (2) $|\sigma x| \leq \rho |x|$ for all x in $L^\infty(\mu, V)$.

Note that for arbitrary Banach spaces V it is easy to find a lifting with respect to $\| \cdot \|_{\text{ess}}$ on $M^\infty(\mu, V)$ (use a Hamel basis of $L^\infty(\mu, V)$). The point is that we require $\|\sigma x\|_{\text{ess}} = \|\sigma x\|_\infty$ for all x , which is not possible in general. The author is grateful to D. Fremlin for pointing out to him that c_0 may serve as a counterexample.

The proof of the above proposition can be found in [6, Theorem IV.3, Propositions VI.1 and VI.2], when the scalars are real. The fact that σ selects all constant functions from their equivalence classes is not explicitly stated but immediate from the construction. Similarly, the inequality (2) is a consequence of

$$|\langle \sigma x, \underline{z} \rangle| = |\rho \langle x, \underline{z} \rangle| = \rho |\langle x, \underline{z} \rangle| \leq \rho |x|$$

(\underline{z} in the predual of V , $\|\underline{z}\| \leq 1$; see [6, p. 76 (3), p. 35 (2'), and p. 34 (IV)]. In the complex case it is easy to see that the same proof works if we replace ρ by $\tilde{\rho}(f + ig) := \rho f + i \rho g$ and observe that the multiplicativity of $\tilde{\rho}$, inherited from ρ , implies $\rho |h| = |\tilde{\rho} h|$. □

The first step in order to determine $Z(L^\infty(\mu, V))$ is the following lemma.

Lemma 1: *For h in $L^\infty(\mu, [V])$ and x in $L^\infty(\mu, V)$ define*

$$M_h x := \langle x, h \rangle$$

Then $h \longmapsto M_h$ is an isometric embedding of $L^\infty(\mu, [V])$ into $[L^\infty(\mu, V)]$, mapping $L^\infty(\mu, Z(V))$ into $Z(L^\infty(\mu, V))$.

Proof. Obviously M_h is well-defined and satisfies $\|M_h\| \leq$

$\|h\|_{\text{ess}}$. For the reverse inequality it suffices to show that the (linear) mapping $h \mapsto M_h$ is isometric on the dense subspace of countably valued functions. This however is clear - for $h = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i \chi_{A_i}$ look at $x := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_i \chi_{A_i}$ with $\|v_i\| = 1$, $\|R_i v_i\| \geq \|R_i\| - \epsilon$ (w.l.o.g. $v \neq \{0\}$). The proof of the inclusion $L^{\infty}(\mu, Z(V)) \subset Z(L^{\infty}(\mu, V))$ is essentially contained in [5, Proposition 1] (replace "strongly measurable" by "measurable"). \square

Theorem 1: *Let V be a dual space. Then $L^{\infty}(\mu, Z(V)) \simeq Z(L^{\infty}(\mu, V))$ under the embedding of Lemma 1.*

The proof is a simplified version of [5, Theorem 1]. We have to show " \supset ". First we restrict ourselves to the case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. Namely, if for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ we denote by $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ the underlying real space of a Banach space X , then $L^{\infty}(\mu, Z(V)) = L^{\infty}(\mu, Z(V_{\mathbb{R}})) + i L^{\infty}(\mu, Z(V_{\mathbb{R}}))$ and $Z(L^{\infty}(\mu, V)) = Z(L^{\infty}(\mu, V_{\mathbb{R}})) + i Z(L^{\infty}(\mu, V_{\mathbb{R}}))$ [1, Theorem 3.13(i)]. For the rest of this proof we distinguish between measurable functions $x: \Omega \rightarrow V$ and their equivalence classes $[x]$. Let $R \in Z(L^{\infty}(\mu, V))$, w.l.o.g. $\|R\|=1$. Choose a lifting σ as in Proposition 1 and define an operator R_t on V ($t \in \Omega$) by

$$R_t v := \sigma(R[\underline{v}])(t)$$

Evidently R_t is linear, $\|R_t\| \leq 1$, and the mapping $t \mapsto R_t$ is strongly measurable. In order to verify $R_t \in Z(V)$ it suffices to show that

$$\|u \pm v\| \leq \alpha \text{ implies } \|u \pm R_t v\| \leq \alpha \quad (u, v \in V, \alpha > 0)$$

[1, Theorem 3.12]. Now $\|[u] \pm [v]\| = \|u \pm v\| \leq \alpha$ implies

$$\|[u] \pm R[\underline{v}]\| \leq \alpha \quad [1, \text{loc. cit.}], \text{ hence}$$

$$\|u \pm R_t v\| = \|\rho[u](t) \pm \rho(R[\underline{v}])(t)\| \leq \|\rho([u] \pm R[\underline{v}])\| \leq \alpha.$$

Thus $t \mapsto h(t) := R_t$ is a strongly measurable bounded mapping with values in $Z(V)$. Since V is a dual, the norm and strong topologies on $Z(V)$ coincide [1, p.155, Example 5]. Lemma 3 in [5] then shows that h is Bochner measurable, hence an element of $L^{\infty}(\mu, Z(V))$. It remains to show $M_h = R$. M_h and R coincide on the constant functions. Since both operators commute with the characteristic projections $x \mapsto \chi_A x$, $A \in \mathcal{E}$, they coincide on all countably valued functions, hence everywhere in $L^{\infty}(\mu, V)$. \square

Now we shall prove Theorem 2. Since the centralizers of V_i are trivial, i.e. $Z(V_i) \simeq \mathbb{K}$, the conclusion of Theorem 1 is $Z(L^{\infty}(\mu_i, V_i)) \simeq L^{\infty}(\mu_i)$. Thus the isometry $T: L^{\infty}(\mu_1, V_1) \longleftrightarrow L^{\infty}(\mu_2, V_2)$ induces an isometry between $L^{\infty}(\mu_1)$ and $L^{\infty}(\mu_2)$ that can be exten-

ded to an isometry ϕ of $L^\infty(\mu_1, V_1)$ onto $L^\infty(\mu_2, V_1)$ in such a way that the isometry $S := T \circ \phi^{-1} : L^\infty(\mu_2, V_1) \longleftrightarrow L^\infty(\mu_2, V_2)$ satisfies

$$(3) \quad S\chi_A Y = \chi_A S Y \quad (Y \in L^\infty(\mu_2, V_2), A \in \Sigma_2)$$

(see [5] for details).

It remains to show that S has the form

$$(4) \quad S y(s) = U(s) y(s)$$

with U as in the statement of the theorem. Let ρ and σ_i be liftings of $L^\infty(\mu_2)$ and $L^\infty(\mu_2, V_i)$ resp. as in Proposition 1 ($i=1,2$).

(3) implies that $|Sy| = |y|$ a.e.. This together with (2) gives

$$(5) \quad |\sigma_2(Sy)| \leq \rho|Sy| = \rho|y|$$

Now define

$$U(s)v := \sigma_2(Sv)(s).$$

Trivially $U(s)$ is linear and U is strongly measurable. From (5) it follows that $\|U(s)v\| \leq \|v\|$, and, since $|U(\cdot)v| = \|v\|$ a.e. for any $v \neq 0$, we have $\|U(s)\| = 1$ for all s outside a null set N . For $s \in N$ replace $U(s)$ by any norm one operator from V_1 into V_2 . In order to verify (4) we note that the strong measurability of U implies that also $U(\cdot)y(\cdot)$ is measurable, and evidently $\tilde{S}y(s) := U(s)y(s)$ defines a bounded operator \tilde{S} of $L^\infty(\mu_2, V_1)$ into $L^\infty(\mu_2, V_2)$ that coincides with S on all countably valued functions, hence $S = \tilde{S}$. \square

3. CK-SPACES

In order to argue as in the proof of Theorem 2 we have to replace $Z(L^\infty(\mu, V))$ by a subspace isomorphic to $L^\infty(\mu)$. The *Cunningham* ∞ -algebra $C_\infty(X)$ of a Banach space X is the closed subspace of $Z(X)$ generated by the idempotents of $Z(X)$. These idempotents are exactly the *M-projections*, i.e. projections P satisfying $\|x\| = \max\{\|Px\|, \|x - Px\|\}$ ($x \in X$) [1, pp. 31 and 72].

Proposition 2: *Assume the conclusion of Theorem 1 holds. Then the M-projections of $L^\infty(\mu, V)$ are exactly those elements of $L^\infty(\mu, Z(V))$ whose values are M-projections of V almost everywhere.*

Proof. $L^\infty(\mu, Z(V))$ is a Banach algebra with the pointwise multiplication, and the mapping M_\cdot is obviously multiplicative. Since the M-projections are the idempotents, the statement of the proposition is just the trivial fact that $h^2 = h$ if and only if $h(t)^2 = h(t)$ a.e.. \square

Theorem 3: *Let K be compact. Then under the embedding of Lemma 1,*

$$\begin{aligned} L^\infty(\mu, CK) &\simeq Z(L^\infty(\mu, CK)) \\ L^\infty(\mu) &\simeq C_\infty(L^\infty(\mu, CK)) \quad \text{if } K \text{ is connected.} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. As an abstract M-space with unit, $L^\infty(\mu, CK)$ is isometrically isomorphic to its centralizer. However, we can see more directly that the embedding M_\cdot maps $L^\infty(\mu, Z(CK)) = L^\infty(\mu, CK)$ onto $Z(L^\infty(\mu, CK))$, if for R in the latter space we look at $h := R(\underline{1})$, where $\underline{1}$ is the constant function on Ω taking the constant function $\underline{1}$ on K as value: Since for all $g \in L^\infty(\mu, CK)$ M_g is in the centralizer, it commutes with R , and so we have

$$Rg = R(M_g(\underline{1})) = M_g(R(\underline{1})) = \langle h, g \rangle = M_h g,$$

hence $R = M_h$. (Observe that the action of $g(t) \in CK$ as an element of $Z(CK)$ is just the multiplication in CK .)

As to $b)$, the above proposition shows that M_\cdot maps $C_\infty(L^\infty(\mu, CK))$, the space generated by the M-projections, into $L^\infty(\mu, C_\infty(CK))$, which is isomorphic to $L^\infty(\mu)$, since CK has only trivial idempotents. Since $L^\infty(\mu)$ is generated by the simple functions and these correspond to finite linear combinations of characteristic projections in $L^\infty(\mu, CK)$, which are clearly M-projections, the reverse inclusion is also shown. \square

Now we can easily prove Theorem 4. The "if" part is straightforward (see [5]). Conversely, if $T: L^\infty(\mu_1, CK) \longleftrightarrow L^\infty(\mu_2, CK)$ is an isometry, the corresponding isometry between the operator spaces, $\Phi R := T \circ R \circ T^{-1}$, sends M-projections into M-projections and consequently maps $C_\infty(L^\infty(\mu_1, CK)) \simeq L^\infty(\mu_1)$ onto $C_\infty(L^\infty(\mu_2, CK)) \simeq L^\infty(\mu_2)$. The classical Banach-Stone theorem for $L^\infty(\mu)$ then says that the Boolean algebras Σ_1/μ_1 are isomorphic. More directly, if we restrict Φ to the Boolean algebra of all M-projections of $L^\infty(\mu_1, CK)$ which in view of Proposition 2 is isomorphic to Σ_1/μ_1 , we have the desired isomorphism. \square

REFERENCES

1. Behrends E. "M-Structure and the Banach-Stone Theorem", Lecture Notes in Math. 736, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1979
2. Cambern M. "Isometries of measurable functions", Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 24 (1981), 13-26
3. Diestel J. and Uhl J.J., jr. "Vector Measures", Providence: Amer. Math. Soc. 1977
4. Greim P. "An extremal vector-valued L^p -function taking no extremal vectors as values, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 84 (1982), 65-68
5. Greim P. "The centralizer of Bochner L^∞ -spaces", Math. Ann., to

appear

6. Ionescu-Tulcea A. and Ionescu-Tulcea C. "Topics in the Theory of Liftings", Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1969

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, FREIE UNIVERSITÄT, ARNIMALLEE 2-6,
D 1000 BERLIN 33