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# Polya's theorem for non-entire functions 

by Kunio Yoshino (*)

## Abstract

Using transforms of analytic functionals with non-compact carrier, Polya's theorem concerning arithmetic entire functions is generalized to arithmetic non-entire functions.

1. Introduction

In 1920 Polya (see [7])) proved the following

Theorem. Suppose that the function $f(z)$ satisfies the following conditions :

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \leqq C^{\alpha|z|} \quad(z \in C) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) $\quad \mathrm{f}(N) \subset Z$.

If $\alpha<\log 2$, then $f(z)$ is a polynomial with rational coefficients.

Recently, this theorem has been generalized by several authors to the case of entire functions of several complex variables (see 「2.1, [3] , [4]).
In this paper, we investigate Polya's theorem for non-entire functions of several complex variables.
The following theorem is our main result.

Theorem 1. Let $f(z)$ be holomorphic in $\Gamma=\left\{z^{\in} \in C^{n}: \operatorname{Re} \quad z_{i}>0,1 \leqq i \leqq n\right\}$ and satisfy the following conditions :
(1) For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
|f(z)| \leqq C e^{a(z)}
$$

( $\mathrm{P} \mathrm{e} \quad z_{i} \geqslant \varepsilon, 1<\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{n}$ )
where $a(z)$ is a convex function of homogeneous degree 1.
(2) $\mathrm{f}\left(\dot{N}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \subset Z$.

Furthermore let $L \subset C^{n}$ be defined by
$\mathrm{L}=\left\{\zeta \in C^{\mathrm{n}}: \operatorname{Re}\langle\zeta, z><\mathrm{a}(z), \forall z \in \Gamma\}\right.$
and suppose that the $i-t h$ projection $L_{i}=p_{r_{i}}(L)$ of $L$ is contained in $\left\{\zeta_{i} \in C:\left|e^{\zeta_{i}}-1\right|<1\right\}$ for all $i(1 \leqq i \leqq n)$.
(*) This work is partly supported by the "Commissariaat-Generaal voor de Internationale Culturele Samenwerking" (Belgium)

Then $f(z)$ is a polynomial with rational coefficients.

To prove Theorem 1 we use the Fourier-Borel and Avanissian -Gay transforms of analytic functionals with unbounded carrier and in the sections 2 and 3 we define the Fourier-Borel and Avanissian - Gay transforms of such functionals. In section 4 we recall the definition of the transfinite diameter and its properties while in section 5, we give the proof of Theorem 1.
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2. The Fourier-Borel transform of analytic functionals with unbounded carrier
In this section we first recall the definition of the Fourier-Borel transform of analytic functionals with unbounded carriers and also mention the Ehrenpreis-Martineau type theorem due to J.W. DE. Roever. Let $L$ be a closed convex set which is bounded in the imaginary direction in $C^{n}$ and put
$H_{b}(L: \varphi)=\left\{f(z) \in O(\mathrm{~L}) \cap C(L): \sup _{z \in L}\left|f(z) e^{-\varphi(z)}\right|<+\infty\right\}$,
where $\varphi(z)$ is a real valued function and $O(\stackrel{\circ}{L})$ and $C(L)$ denote respectively the spaces of holomorphic functions defined in the interior of $L$, and the space of continuous functions in $L$.
Put
$Q\left(L: K^{\prime}\right)=\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \operatorname{ind}_{\varepsilon^{\prime} \downarrow 0} H_{b}\left(L_{\varepsilon}:-h K^{\prime}(z)-\varepsilon^{\prime}|z|\right)$
where $L_{\varepsilon}$ stands for the $\varepsilon$-neighbourhood of $L$ and $h_{K^{\prime}}(z)$ is the supporting function of the compact convex subset $K^{\prime}$ of $C^{n}$. An element of the dual space $Q^{\prime}\left(L: K^{\prime}\right)$ of $Q\left(L: K^{\prime}\right)$ is called an analytic functional with carrier $L$ and of type $h_{K}(z)$. Let us recall that if $L$ is a compact convex subset of $C^{n}$ then $Q^{\prime}\left(L: K^{\prime}\right)$ concides with the space of analytic functionals $0^{\prime}(\mathrm{L})$ in the sense of A. Martineau, and that if $L=R^{n}$ and $K^{\prime}=\{0\}$ then $Q^{\prime}(L: K ')$ coincides with the space of Fourier-hyperfunctions studied by M.Sato and $T$. Kawai.
When the $\operatorname{exponential~function~} \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \zeta_{i}{ }_{i}\right)=\exp \left(\zeta^{z}\right)$ belongs to
Q(L:K'), the Fourier-Borel transform $\widetilde{T}(z)$ of $T \in Q^{\prime}\left(L: K^{\prime}\right)$ is defined as follows :

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{T}}(z)=\left\langle\mathrm{T}_{\zeta}, \exp (\zeta \mathrm{z})>.\right.
$$

Now let $\Gamma$ be an open convex cone in $C^{n}$, let $a(z)$ be a convex function on $\Gamma$ of homogeneous degree one and put

$$
\Omega(\mathrm{a} ; \Gamma)=\left\{\zeta \in C^{\mathrm{n}}: \operatorname{Re}\langle\zeta, z>\leqq \mathrm{a}(z) . \forall z \in \Gamma\} .\right.
$$

Then the following generalized Ehrenpreis-Martineau type theorem is valid :

Theorem 2 (J.W. DE Roever [9])
The Fourier-Borel transform is a linear topological isomorphism from $Q^{\prime}\left(\Omega(\mathrm{a}: \Gamma):\{0\}\right.$ onto $\operatorname{Exp}(\Gamma: a)$, where $\operatorname{Exp}(\Gamma: a)=1$ im proj $H_{b}\left(\Gamma+\varepsilon\left(z_{0}\right)\right.$; $a(z)+\varepsilon|z|)$ and $z_{0}$ is a fixed complex vector contained in $\Gamma$ with $\left|z_{0}\right|=1$.

Note that the space $H_{b}\left(\Gamma+\varepsilon\left(z_{0}\right): a(z)+\varepsilon|z|\right)$ may be defined in $a$ similar as $H_{b}(L: \dot{\varphi})$.
We close this section by giving two examples of $\Omega(a: \Gamma)$ in the case of $n=1$.
Take

$$
\Gamma=\left\{z \in C: \operatorname{Re}_{z}>0\right\}
$$

Example 1. If $a(z)=\alpha|z|$ with $\alpha>0$, then $\Omega(a: \Gamma)=$ $\{\zeta \in C:|\zeta|=\alpha\} \cup\{\zeta \in C:|\operatorname{Im} \zeta| \leqq \alpha, \operatorname{Re} \zeta \leqq 0\} \quad$ (see Figure 1).


Figure 1.

Example 2 (see [8]) If $a(z)=|z|\{\cos \varphi 1 \log (2 \cos \varphi)+\varphi \sin \varphi\}$ where $z=|z| \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}(-\pi / 2<\varphi<\pi / 2)$, then $\Omega(a: \Gamma)=\left\{\zeta \in C:\left|\mathrm{e}^{\zeta}-1\right|<1\right\}$. (see Figure 2)


Figure 2
3. The Avanssian-Gay transform of analytic functionals with unbounded carrier
In [3], the Avanissian-Gay transform is introduced for analytic functionals with compact carrier, while in [6] and [10] it has been generalized to the case of analytic functionals with unbounded carrier. According to [3], [6] and [10]. Let us first recall the definition of the Avanissian-Gay transform of analytic functionals with unbounded carrier.
Assume that the closed convex set $L$ is bounded in the imaginary direction and also bcunded below in the real direction. More precisely, we assume there exist $a_{i} \in R(1 \leqq i \leqq n)$ and compact sets $K_{i}$ ( $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ ) having a width less than $2 \pi$ such that

$$
\mathrm{LC}{\underset{\mathrm{i}=1}{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}+R_{+}+\sqrt{-1} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}
$$

where $R_{+}=[0, \infty)$
Furthermore let $T \in Q^{\prime}(L:\{0\})$; then the Avanissian-Gay transform $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{w})$ of T is given by

Some properties of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{w})$ are listed in Proposition 1. (see [3], [6], [ 10])

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{T}(w) \in O\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{d \operatorname{lexp}\left(-L_{i}\right)\right\}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{i}$ is i-th projection of $L(1 \leqq i \leqq n)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{w})=(-1)^{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{\mathrm{m} \in N^{n}} \mathrm{~T}(-\mathrm{m}) \mathrm{w}_{1}^{-\mathrm{m}_{1}} \cdots \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}^{-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}}} \quad\left(\left|\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|>\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{m}=\left(\mathrm{m}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \in N^{\mathrm{n}}$.
(3) Let $K_{i}=\left[k_{1}^{(i)}, k_{2}^{(i)}\right]$ with $k_{2}^{(i)}-k_{1}^{(i)}<2 \pi, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.

Then for all $\varepsilon>0$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$, there exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}>0 \text {, such }}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|G_{T}(w)\right| \leqq C \\
\varepsilon, \varepsilon,\left|w_{1}^{\prime}\right|-\varepsilon^{\prime} \ldots\left|w_{n}\right|^{-\varepsilon} \\
\left(\varepsilon-k_{1}^{(i)} \leqq \arg \omega_{i} \leqq 2 \pi+\varepsilon-k_{2}^{(i)} ; 1 \leqslant i \leqq n\right)
\end{align*}
$$

(4)

$$
<\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{~h}>=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \int_{1 \times \ldots} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\zeta}{ }^{-\zeta}, \ldots, \mathrm{e}^{-\zeta \mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{h}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta_{\mathrm{n}}, \ldots \mathrm{~d} \zeta_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

for all $\mathrm{h} \in \mathrm{Q}\left(\underset{\mathrm{i}=1}{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}+R_{+}+\sqrt{-1} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}\right):\{0\}\right)$, hereby $\Gamma_{\mathrm{i}}=\partial\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}+R_{+}+\sqrt{-1} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$
Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(z)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\right)^{n} \int_{\Gamma_{1 x} \ldots \Gamma_{n}} G_{T}\left(e^{-\zeta 1}, \ldots e^{-\zeta_{n}}\right) e^{\zeta z_{d}} d \zeta_{1} \ldots d \zeta_{n} \\
&=\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right)^{n} \int_{G_{T}}\left(w_{1}, \ldots w_{n}\right) w_{1}^{-z} \cdots-1_{w_{n}}^{-z_{n}-1} d w_{1} \ldots d w_{n} \\
& \partial \exp \left(-\Gamma_{1}\right) x \ldots x \exp \left(-\Gamma_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Transfinite diameter and the Martineau-Šeinov theorem about Laurent series of several complex variables
In this section we recall the definition of the transfinite diameter of a compact set $K$ in the complex plane and the Martineau-Šeinov theorem about Laurent series for functions of several complex variables.
Let $K$ be a compact set in the complex plane and put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{n}=\max _{z_{i} \in K} \underset{\substack{\pi \\
1 \leqq i \leq j \leqq n}}{\substack{i-z_{j}}} \\
& z_{j} \in K
\end{aligned}
$$

Then it is well knownthat $\tau(K)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{\frac{2}{n(n-1)}}$ exists for any compact KСC and it is called the transfinite diameter of $K$ (see [ 1] and [ 12]).
Some properties of the transfinite diameter of a compact set K are listed in

Proposition 2. Let $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ be compact subsets of $C$.
(1) $K_{1} \subseteq K_{2} \Rightarrow \tau\left(K_{1}\right) \leqq \tau\left(K_{2}\right)$
(2) $\tau\left(K_{1}\right) \leqq \frac{1}{2 \pi}$ (1ength of $\partial K_{1}$ )

Some examples of transfinite diameters are now given (see [1] and [12]).

Example 3. If $K=\{\mathbf{z} \in C:|z|=r\}$, then $\tau(K)=r$.
Example 4. If $K=\{z \in C:|z|=r,|\arg z| \leqq \alpha\}$, then $\tau(K)=r \sin \frac{\alpha}{4}$.
Example 5. If $K=[a, b], a, b \in R$, then $\tau(K)=\frac{b-a}{4}$
Theorem 3. (Martineau [5] and Šeinov [11]). Suppose that $G(w)$ is holomorphic in $\underset{j=1}{n}\left(C \backslash F_{j}\right)$, where $F_{j}$ is a polynomially convex compact set and $\tau\left(F_{j}\right)<1$ for all $j(1 \leqq j \leqq n)$. Suppose furthermore that $G(w)$ has the following Laurent expansion at infinity

$$
\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{w})=\sum_{\mathrm{v} \in N} \frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{v}}} \frac{\mathrm{w}}{\mathrm{v}} \quad\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{v}} \in Z\right) .
$$

Then

$$
G(w)=\frac{A\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)}{B_{1}\left(w_{1}\right) \cdots B_{n}\left(w_{n}\right)}
$$

where $A\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) \in Z\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right], B_{i}\left(w_{i}\right) \in Z\left[w_{i}\right]$ and $B_{i}\left(w_{i}\right)$ are monic polynomial.

Remark 2. In theorem 3 , the assumption $\tau\left(F_{j}\right)<1,1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ is crucial.
For instance, if $n=1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(w) & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\binom{2 k}{k} w^{-k}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2 k)!}{(k!)^{2}} w^{-k} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{w}{w-4}}-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

then $G(w)$ is holomorphic in the outside of the interval [0.4] In view of Example 5, $\tau([0,4])=1$ and obviously $G(w)$ is not a rational function.
5. Proof of Theorem 1.

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1, it is inspired by Avanissian and Gay [3].

Proof of Theorem 1.
By means of Theorem 2, there exists an analytic functional $T$, which is carried by $L$ and of type $\{0\}$, such that $f\left(z^{\prime}\right)=<T_{\zeta}, \exp (\zeta z)>=\widetilde{T}(Z)$. From the assumption, $L$ is contained in $\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\zeta_{i}:\left|e^{\zeta}-1\right|<1\right\}$. Now consider the analytic functional $\nVdash$ defined as follows

$$
\stackrel{\vee}{\langle T}, \mathrm{h}>=\left\langle\mathrm{T}_{\zeta}, \mathrm{h}(-\zeta)\right\rangle, \quad \mathrm{h} \in \mathrm{Q}(-\mathrm{L}:\{0\}) .
$$

Obviously, $T$ is carried by ( -L ) and of type $\{0\}$.
From Proposition 1-(2), we get :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Gy}(w) & =(-1)^{n} \sum_{m \in N} n^{\stackrel{v}{T}(-m) w_{1}^{-m_{1}} \ldots w_{n}^{-m_{n}}} \\
& =(-1)^{n} \sum_{m \in N^{n}} T(m) w_{1}^{-m_{1}} \ldots w_{n}^{-m_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=(-1)^{n} \sum_{m \in N^{n}} f(m) w_{1}^{-m_{1}}, \ldots w_{n}^{-m_{n}}
$$

Remark that by means of the second assumption in Theorem 1, all $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{m} \in \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{n}}$, belong to Z .
In virtue of Proposition $1-(1), G_{T}^{V}$ is holomorphic in
$\underset{i=1}{n}\left\{C \backslash \exp \left(L_{i}\right)\right\}$. From the assumption upon $L, \exp \left(L_{i}\right)$ is contained
in $\left\{w_{i} \in C:\left|w_{i}-1\right|<1\right\} \cup\{0\}$.
So there exist $a_{i}>0(1 \leqq i \leqq n)$ such that $\exp \left(L_{i}\right)$
$\subset\left\{w_{i} \in \mathcal{C}:\left|w_{i}-1\right| \leqq 1\right\} \cap\left\{w_{i} \in \mathcal{C}: R e w_{i} \leqq a_{i}\right\}$. (see Figure 3 ).


Ca11 $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left\{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}:\left|\mathrm{w}_{\mathbf{i}}-1\right|<1\right\} \cap\left\{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}: \operatorname{Rew}_{\mathrm{i}} \leqq \mathrm{a}_{\mathbf{i}}\right\}, 1 \leqq \mathrm{i} \leqq n$.

By virtue of Proposition 2-(2) $\tau\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)<1$. Therefore $\tau\left(\exp \left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right)<1$. Accordingly we can conclude that

$$
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{w})=\frac{\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{w}_{1} \ldots, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}{\mathrm{B}_{1}\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right) \cdot \ldots \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}
$$

where $A\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) \in Z\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right]$ and $B_{i}\left(w_{i}\right)$ are monic polynomials with integral coefficients.
The roots of $B_{i}\left(w_{i}\right)$ are algebraic integers which are contained in $\left\{w: \in C: \mid w_{i}+\mathbb{1}<1\right\} \cup\{0\}$ together with all their conjugate algebraic integers. But, in virtue of Proposition 1-(3), zero is not a root of $B_{i}\left(w_{i}\right)$ so that by means of C.R. Buck's lemma (See 3.2.5) in [3]), we can conclude that

$$
B_{i}\left(w_{i}\right)=\left(w_{i}-1\right)^{m_{i}} \quad(1 \leqq i \leqq n)
$$

Now, using the inversion formula of Proposition 1-(4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(-z)=(T)(Z)=\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right)^{n} \int G_{T}^{v}\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) w_{1}^{-Z_{1}-1}, \ldots w_{n}^{-Z_{n}^{-1}} d w_{1} \ldots d w_{n} \\
& \partial\left(\exp \left(L_{1}\right)\right) \times \ldots \partial\left(\exp \left(L_{n}\right)\right) \\
&=\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right)^{n} \int \frac{A\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)}{\left(w_{1}-1\right)^{m_{i}} \ldots\left(w_{n}-1\right)^{m_{i}}} w_{1}^{-Z_{1}-1} \ldots, w_{n}^{-z_{n}^{-1}} \\
& \partial\left(\exp \left(L_{1}\right)\right) \times \ldots \partial\left(\exp \left(L_{n}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

whence, by means of the residue theorem

$$
f(-z)=P\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \text {, a polynomial in } z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}
$$

But as $A\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$ belongs to $Z\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right]$, the coefficients of $P\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ are rational numbers.
Hence $f(z)$ is a polynomial with rational coefficients.
Finally, we give two examples.

Example 6. Suppose that $f(z$, is holomorphic in the right half plane $\{\mathbb{Z} \in C: R e z>0\}$ and satisfies the following conditions :

$$
\begin{align*}
& |f(z)| \leqq e^{\alpha\lfloor z\rfloor} \quad(\operatorname{Re} z>0)  \tag{1}\\
& f(n) \in Z, n \in N . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $a(z)=\alpha|z|$ and $\Gamma=\{z \in C: \operatorname{Re} z>0\}, L=\Omega(a: \Gamma)$ is the same as in Example 1.
Therefore, if $\alpha<\log 2, f(z)$ is a polynomial with rational coefficients.

Example 7. Put $f(z)=\frac{1}{B(z, z)}=\frac{\Gamma(2 z)}{\Gamma(z)^{2}}$,
where $B$ and $\Gamma$ are respectively the Bêta and Gamma functions.
This function has the following properties :
(1) $f(z)$ is holomorphic in $\left\{z: \operatorname{Re}>-\frac{1}{2}\right\}$, and hence also in $\{z: \operatorname{Re} z>0\}$.
(2) By virtue of Stirling's formula, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon}>0$, such that

$$
L f(z)\left|\leqq C_{\varepsilon} e(2 \log 2) x+\varepsilon\right| y \mid
$$

and this for all $\dot{z}=\dot{x}+\dot{j} y \in\{z: \operatorname{Rez}>0\}$.
(3) $f(n)=\binom{2 n}{n} \in Z$.

In this case $\Gamma=\{\underset{\sim}{z} \in C: R e \dot{z}>0\}$ and $A\left(z_{i}\right)=2(\log 2) \dot{x}$.
So $\Omega(a: \Gamma)=\{\xi \in R: \xi \leqq 2 \log 2\}$.
Since $L=\Omega(a: \Gamma)$ is not contained in $\left\{\zeta \subseteq C: l e^{\zeta}-1 \mid<1\right\}$ this function $f(z)$ is not a polynomial.
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