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THE EULER CHARACTERISTIC AND SIGNATURE FOR OPEN MANIPOLDS

## Jürgen Eichhorn

1. Introduction

In [5] we studied the following situation. Given an open complete Riemannian manifold ( $M^{n}, g$ ), a principal fibre bundle $P(M, G) \rightarrow M^{n}$ and a connection $\omega$ on $P$. Chern-Weil construction and taking characteristic $n$-forms $c(P, \omega)$ defines characteristic numbers

$$
c(P, \omega, M)=c(P, \omega)[M]=\int_{M} c(P, \omega)
$$

if the latter integral converges. Thus one has at first to assure the existence of the integral and at second to clarify how $c(P, \omega, M)$ depends on the connection $\omega$.To do this we introduced the completed space $l \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{d}}$ of connections $\omega$ with bounded curvature $R^{\omega}$ and finite i-action $\mathcal{A}(\omega)=\int_{M}\left|{ }_{R} \omega\right|$ dvol and proved the
Theorem. Characteristic numbers exist for and are constant at the components of ${ }^{l} e_{P, f, b}^{d}$
The metric of $M^{n}$ did not enter into the characteristic numbers but was used to define and topologize the space ${ }^{p} e_{p, f, b}^{d}$. Here we consider the case $\mathbb{M}^{n}$ open, oriented, $g$ allowed'to vary, $\omega=\omega_{g}$ the Levi-Civita connection. We denote by $E(g)$ the Euler form corresponding to $g$ and set

$$
X\left(M^{n}, g\right)=\int_{M} E(g) .
$$

In an analogous manner $S(g)$ shall denote the signature form and

$$
\sigma\left(M^{n}, g\right)=\int_{M} S(g) .
$$

This paper is in fincl form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsev iere.

Then there arise the following natural questions.

1. Under which conditions on $g$ is $X\left(M^{n}, g\right)$ defined?
2. How does it depend on $g$ ?
3. What is the topological meaning of $\chi\left(M^{n}, g\right)$ ?
4. Under which conditions does there hold $\chi\left(M^{n}, g\right)=\chi\left(M^{n}\right)$, i.e. the Gauß-Bonnet formula?
5. The questions 1. - 4. for $G\left(M^{n}, g\right), \sigma\left(M^{n}\right)$.

These questions are attacked successful by fundamental work of Cheeger and Gromov ([3] , [4]) and Rosenberg ( [9]). Cheeger and Gromov made the general assumption $\operatorname{vol}(M, g)<\infty,|K| \leq 1$ for the sectional curvature and $r_{i n j}(\widetilde{M}) \geq 1$ for some normal or profinite covering $\tilde{M}$ of $M$. This altogether they denote by geo( $\tilde{M}) \leq 1$. We here exhibit that the condition $g e o(M) \leq 1$ is not necessary for answering the above questions and study in particular the dependence on $g$. To do this we topologize the space of Riemannian metrics in an appropriate manner as described in section 3. In the 4 th section we present the invariance theorems which come out by our approach (theorem 4.1, 4.3). The proofs essential use $I_{p}$ cohomology. The 5 th section is devoted to dimension 4 where some nice results immediately come out from our approach.
2. The attack of the problem and first results

Starting with the Euler characteristic, we remark that for $\mathrm{n}=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{M}$ odd the Euler form $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{g})$ vanishes identically. Therefore the answers to questions l.,2. are trivial. 4. is affirmatively answered if and only if $X\left(M^{n}\right)=0$. For $M^{n}$ with a finite number of ends, each of them smoothly collared, i.e. compactificable to $i: M \longrightarrow \bar{M}, \bar{M}$ compact, this holds if and only if $\chi(\partial M)=0: 0=$ $=\chi(\bar{M} \cup \bar{M})=2 \chi(\bar{M})-X(\partial \bar{M})=2 X(M)-\chi(\partial \bar{M})$. The only interesting case for the Euler characteristic $\chi\left(M^{n}, g\right)$ is the case $n$ even. A simple and in a certain sense complete answer to the above questions can be given in the case $\operatorname{vol}\left(M^{n}, g\right)<\infty$, $-b^{2} \leq K \leq-a^{2}<0$.
Theorem 2.1. Suppose $\left(M^{n}, g\right)$ complete, $\operatorname{vol}\left(M^{n}, g\right)<\infty,-b^{2} \leq K \leq-a^{2}$ $<0$. Then there holds $X\left(M^{n}, g\right)=\chi\left(M^{n}\right)$.
Proof. From the assumption follows that ( $M^{n}, g$ ) posses a finite number of ends $\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k}$, each of them with a Riemannian collar, i.e. each end has a collared neighbourhood $U \cong \partial U \times[0, \infty \hbar$ such
 in $\partial U,\left.d s^{2}\right|_{U \times} \times\left\{0, \infty \zeta=d r^{2\{r\}} \sum_{i, j=1} h_{i j}(u, r) d u^{i} d u^{j}\right.$ with

$$
h_{i j}(u, 0) e^{-2 b r} \leq h_{i j}(u, r) \leq h_{i j}(u, 0) e^{-2 a r}
$$

This implies $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(\partial U_{k} \times\{r\}, d s^{2} \mid \partial U_{k} \times\{r\}\right)=0, k=1, \ldots, k$.
Further the second fundamental form of $\partial U_{K} \times\{r\}$ is bounded. For $M^{n}$ we can write $M^{n}=M^{\prime}{ }^{n} \underbrace{k}_{K=1} \partial U_{K} \times\left\{0, \infty\left\{\right.\right.$, $M^{n}$ compact with boundary $\partial M^{n}=U \partial U_{K}$.
For any compact manifold $M_{i}^{n} \subset M^{n}$ with boundary $\partial M_{i}^{n}$ there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(M_{i}^{n}, g \mid M_{i}^{n}\right)+I I_{X}\left(\partial M_{i}^{n}, g \mid \partial M_{i}^{n}\right)=\chi\left(M_{i}^{n}\right), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I I_{X}\left(\partial M_{i}^{n},\left.g\right|_{M_{i}^{n}} ^{n}\right)=\int_{\partial M_{i}^{n}} I I_{E}$ and $I I_{E}$ is an (n-1)-form directed by the second fundamental form. If one has an exhaustion $M_{1}^{n} \mathrm{CM}_{2}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{C}$... of $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol}\left(\partial M_{i}^{n}\right) \underset{i \rightarrow \infty}{ } 0, \operatorname{II}_{E}\left(\partial M_{i}^{n}\right) \text { bounded } \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, taking in (2.1) the limit $i \longrightarrow \infty$, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(\mathbb{M}^{n}, g\right)=\chi\left(\mathbb{M}^{n}\right), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left|I I_{\chi}\left(\partial M_{i}^{n}\right)\right|=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left|\int_{\partial M_{i}^{n}} I I_{E}\left(\partial M_{i}^{n}\right)\right| \leq$
$\leq \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mid \operatorname{lI} I_{E}\left(\partial M_{i}^{m}\right) \operatorname{l} \operatorname{vol}\left(\partial M_{i}^{n}\right)=0, \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} X\left(M_{i}^{n}\right)=\chi\left(M^{n}\right)$.
In our case we set $M_{i}^{n}=M^{n} \cup \bigcup_{K} \partial U_{k} \times\lceil 0, i\rceil$ and (2.2) is satiffied. $\square$
Examples are certain cusp manifolds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathbb{M}^{n}, g\right)=\left(M^{n} \cup N_{1} \times\left\lceil 0, \infty\left\lceil\cup \cdots N_{k} \times\lceil 0, \infty \zeta, g),\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.d s^{2}\right|_{N_{k} \times[0, \infty \Gamma}=d r^{2}+\left(e^{-r}\right)^{2} d G_{N_{k}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The curveture formulas at $N \times[0, \infty[$ are well known. These cusp manifolds arise as Riemannian manifolds which are locally symmetric at infinity (generated ba rank 1 lattices $\Gamma$ C G). A-modified situation is settled by Theorem 2.2. Suppose ( $M^{n}, g$ ) open, complete with a finite number of Riemannian collared ends. Assume for each end $\varepsilon$ there exists a neighbourhood $U(\varepsilon) \approx N_{1} \times \cdots \times N_{k} \times\lceil 0, \infty \zeta$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.d s^{2}\right|_{U(E)}=f_{1}(r)^{2} d \sigma_{N_{1}}^{2}+\cdots+f_{k}(r)^{2} d \sigma_{N_{k}}^{2}+d r^{2} . \\
& \text { If } \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} f_{K}(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\infty}} f_{K}^{\prime}(r)=0, K=1, \ldots, k \text {, then } \\
& r \rightarrow \infty \quad r \vec{X}_{\left(M^{n}, g\right)}^{\infty}=\chi\left(M^{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. [9].
As a special case we consider surfaces and start with a famous theorem of Cohn-Vossen.
Theorem 2.3. (Gauß-Bonnet inequality) Suppose ( $M^{2}, g$ ) open, complete, oriented, $\Pi_{1}\left(M^{2}\right)$ finitely generated. It the GauBian curvature $K$ is absolutely integrable, then

$$
X(M) \geq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{M} K \text { dvol. } 0
$$

Theorem 2.4. Suppose $M^{2}$ open, complete, oriented, $\boldsymbol{T}_{1}\left(M^{2}\right)$ finitely generated, $\operatorname{vol}\left(M^{2}\right)<\infty, K$ absolutely integrable. Then

$$
\chi(M)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{M} K \text { dvol. }
$$

Proóf. [7] . -
Remark 2.5. The curvature $K$ is allowed to be unbounded. Remark 2.6. The condition $\operatorname{vol}(M)<\infty$ is far of being necessary. Example. Let ( $M^{2}, g$ ) be the surface of revolution $z=f\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$ for $f \in C^{\infty}\left(\left[0, \infty[5), f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)=0\right.\right.$, the metric induced from $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Then $X(M)=(2 \pi)^{-1} \int K$ dvol if and only if $t^{1 / 2} f^{\prime}(t) t \rightarrow+\infty$. Taking $f(t)=t^{2 n}, n \geqslant 1$, supplies examples with $\operatorname{vol}(M, g)=\infty$ and positive curvature.
As a conclusion we see that one has to give up the assumption $|K| \leqslant l$, $\operatorname{vol}(M)<\infty \quad$ and to. consider the more general case. This does not contradict to the matter of fact that in the case $\operatorname{vol}(\mathbb{M})<\infty \quad,|K| \leq 1$ Gromov and Cheeger were very successful in attacking the problem. Their methods work at the first instance only under their restrictive conditions.
In a similar manner one treats the signature $\sigma(M)$. The starting point is the analogous equation to (2.1) for ( $M^{n}, \partial M^{n}$ ) compact with boundary,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(M)=\sigma(M, g)+\eta(\partial M, g)+I I_{G}(\partial M, g), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta(\partial M, g)$ is the $\eta$-invariant of [I] and II $G(\partial M, g)$ is the integral of an ( $n-1$ )-form directed by the second fundamental form. The natural way to attack the problem is seeking for an exhaustion $M_{1}^{n} \subset M_{2}^{n} \subset \cdots$ of $M^{n}$ and to assure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta\left(\partial M_{i}, g\right) \underset{i \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0, I_{G}\left(\partial M_{i}, g\right) \underset{i \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second limit condition is satisfied if the second fundamental form of $\partial M_{i}$ is bounded (independent of $i$ ) and $\operatorname{vol}\left(\partial M_{i}\right) \longrightarrow 0_{i}$ or the second fundamental form tends to 0 and $\operatorname{vol}\left(\partial M_{i}\right)$ is bounded. Then the equation $\sigma(M)=\zeta(M, g)$ holds if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta\left(\partial M_{i}, g\right) \underset{i \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 . \text { For this it would be sufficient } \\
& \left|\eta\left(\partial M_{i}\right)\right| \leq C \cdot \operatorname{vol}\left(\partial M_{i}\right) \text { and } \operatorname{vol}\left(\partial M_{i}\right) \underset{i \rightarrow \infty}{ } 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Along this line Cheeger and Gromov attacked the problem under the assumption geo $(\tilde{M}) \leq 1$. We return to their solution in the 4 th section.
Remark 2.7. $\mathcal{G}(M, g)$ can be (if it exists) an arbitrary irrational number. But $\sigma(M)$ defined as the signature of a certain intersection form is an integer (if it exists in the open case). Therefore the equation $\sigma(M)=G(M, g)$ holds "very rarely". One has to give $\sigma(M, g)$ a new topological meaning as done in [3] ..
3. The space of Riemannian metrics on a noncompact manifold For the preparation of the invariance theorem we have to introduce an appropriate and natural topology into the set of Riemannian metrics on a noncompact manifold. This shall be done now. Suppose $M^{n}$ being open, connected, oriented, $T M$ the tangent bundle, $g a$ completemetric on $M$. The pointwise norm of a tensor $t \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S N}_{1} \otimes T^{*} M\right)$ of type $(r, s)$ with respect to $g$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|t|_{g, x}^{2}=\frac{1}{r!s!} t_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}^{j_{1} \ldots j_{s}} t_{j_{1} \ldots j_{s}}^{i_{1}}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we apply the Einstein summation convention. If
$e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} T M$ is an orthonormal base and $e^{l}, \ldots, e^{n}$ the dual base, then we can (3.1) write as

$$
|t|_{g, x}^{2}=\frac{1}{r!s!} \sum_{\substack{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r} \\ j_{1}, \ldots, j_{s}}} t\left(e^{i_{1}}, \ldots, e^{i_{r}}, e_{j_{1}}, \ldots, e_{j_{s}}\right) .
$$

As uniform structure ${ }^{b_{U}}(g)$ of $g$ we define the set of all Riemannian metrics $g^{\prime}$ such that $\left.\left.\right|_{g-g}\right|_{g, x}$ and $\mid g-g^{\prime} I_{g} g_{x}$ are bounded on M.
Lemma 3.1. $b_{U(g)}$ coincides with the quasi isometry class of $g$, in particular are the conditions $g^{\prime} \in^{b_{U}}(g)$ and $g \in^{b_{U}}\left(g^{\prime}\right)$ equivalent. Proof. Assume $\quad C_{1} g \leq g^{\prime} \leq C_{2} g, C_{i}=C_{i}\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)$
in the sense of positively definite forms. Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \in T_{x} M$ be an orthonormal base with respect to $g$. Then (3.2) implies $g^{\prime}\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right) \leq C_{2} g\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)=C_{2}$. Squaring and summing up gives $\left|g^{\prime}\right|_{g, x}^{2} \leq C_{2}^{2} \cdot n$, and we obtain together with $\left|g-g^{\prime}\right|_{g, x} \leqslant|g|_{g, x}+$ $+\left|g^{\prime}\right|_{g, x} \leq \sqrt{n}+C_{2} \sqrt{n}=\left(C_{2}+1\right) \sqrt{n}$, i.e. $\left|g-g^{\prime}\right|_{g, x}$ is bounded on $M$. In the same manner one shows $\left|g-g^{\prime}\right|_{g ; x}$ bounded on $M$. Suppose now $\left|g-g^{\prime}\right|_{g, x},\left|g-g^{\prime}\right|_{g}, x$ bounded on $M$. Then again $\left.\left.\right|_{g}\right|_{g, x} \leqslant\left.\left.\right|_{g-g^{\prime}}\right|_{g, x}$ $+|g|_{g, x} \notin C_{2}$. If $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n} \in T_{x} M$ is an orthonormal base with respect to $g$, then $\sum_{j} g^{\prime}\left(e_{j}, e_{j}\right)^{2} \leqslant C_{2}^{2}=C_{2}^{2} \cdot g\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)^{2}$, in particular $\quad g^{\prime}\left(e_{i}, e_{i}{ }^{j} \leqslant C_{2}^{\prime \prime} g\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, n\right.$, i.e. $g^{\prime} \leq C_{2}{ }^{4} g$. The second inequality follows in the same way. $\square$
Let $f: R_{+} \longrightarrow R_{+}$be a nonnegative function. As growth type of $f$ we define the equivalence class of $f$ with respect to the equivalence relation $f_{1} \sim f_{2}$ : There exist constants $a, b, c, d>0$ such that $f_{1}(t) \leq a f_{2}(b t), f_{2}(t) \leq c f_{1}(d t)$. The growth type of a Riemannian manifold ( $M^{n}, g$ ) shall be defined by the growth type of $f(t)=\operatorname{vol}\left(B_{t}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$, where $B_{t}\left(x_{0}\right)$ denotes the metric ball of radius $t$ centered at $x_{0} \in M$. The growth type is independent of $x_{0}$ and an invariant of $b_{U}(g)$. In particular each metric $g^{\prime} \in{ }^{b_{U}}(g)$ is complete, since every $g^{\prime}$-bounded set $N^{\prime}$ is contained in a g-bounded set $N$ (lemma 3.1). $N$ is relatively compact, thus $N$ too. ( $M^{n}, g$ ) has the growth type of a bounded function if and only if $\operatorname{vol}\left(M^{n}, g\right)<\infty$. The same then also holds for all $\operatorname{vol}\left(M^{n}, g^{\prime}\right), g^{\prime} \in \in^{b}(g)$. $\operatorname{vol}\left(M^{n}, g\right)=\infty$ if and only if $\left(M^{n}, g\right)$ has the growth type of an unbounded function. This is equivalent to $\operatorname{vol}\left(M^{n}, g^{\prime}\right)=\infty$ for all $g^{\prime} \in{ }^{b_{U}}(g)$.
If $\sup _{u^{\prime}}|t|_{g, x}$ exists we define the sup-norm ${ }^{b}\|t\|_{g}$ of $t$ with respect $t^{\in} M_{0} g_{b y}\left\|_{t}\right\|_{g}=\sup _{x \in M}|t| g$, $x^{\text {. From }} g^{\prime} \in \in_{U}(g)$ follows the existence of bounds $A_{k}\left(g_{,}^{x} g^{\prime} Y, B_{k}\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)>0\right.$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{k}|t|_{g, x} \leq|t|_{g^{\prime}, x} \leq B_{k}|t| g,\left.\right|_{g}  \tag{3.3}\\
& A_{k}\left\|_{t}\right\|_{g} \leq\|t\|_{g^{\prime}} \leq B_{k}\left\|_{t}\right\|_{g} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

for every ( $r, s$ ) tensor field $t$ with $r+s=k$ and $\|t\|=b\left\|_{t}\right\| \quad$. In what follows we still need norms of higher deriyatives. For metrics $g, g^{\prime}$ we set $B=g^{\prime}-g, D=\nabla^{\prime}-\nabla \equiv \nabla^{\prime}-\nabla^{g}$. Lemma 3.2. Suppose $g^{\prime} \in{ }^{b_{U}}(g)$. The boundness on $M$ of one of the the following terms implies the boundness on $M$ of all others,
 $\left|\nabla^{\prime} \mathcal{B}_{g \prime},|D|_{g},|D|_{g^{\prime}}\right.$, where $\nabla^{g^{\prime}}=\nabla^{g}, \nabla^{\prime},=\nabla^{g^{\prime}}$ and we omitted the index $x$.

Proof. We use

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla_{\mathrm{g}}\right|=|\nabla \mathrm{B}|,\left|\nabla^{\prime} \mathrm{g}\right|=\left|\nabla^{\prime} \mathrm{B}\right|, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

always taken with respect to the same metric,

$$
\begin{align*}
& g^{\prime}(D(X, Y), Z)=\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{X} B(Y, Z)+\nabla_{Y} B(X, Z)-\nabla_{Z} B(X, Y),(3.6) \\
& \nabla_{X}^{\prime} B(Y, Z)=g(D(X, Y), Z)+g(Y, D(X, Z) . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, omitting "bounded on $M^{\prime \prime}$, we have the following implicatione:
ans: $\left|\nabla g^{\prime}\right|_{g} \Longleftrightarrow\left|\nabla g^{\prime}\right|_{g^{\prime}} \Longleftrightarrow|\nabla B|_{g^{\prime}}$ by (3.3) and (3.5), $|\nabla B|_{g^{\prime}} \Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow|\nabla D|_{g}^{\prime}$ by $(3.6),\left.\left|D \|_{g} \Leftrightarrow\right| D\right|_{g}$ by (3.3), $|D|_{g} \Rightarrow\left|\nabla^{\prime} B\right|_{g}$ by (3.7), $\left|\nabla^{\prime} \mathrm{B}\right|_{\mathrm{g}} \Leftrightarrow\left|\nabla^{\prime} \mathrm{g}\right|_{\mathrm{g}} \Leftrightarrow\left|\nabla^{\prime} \mathrm{g}\right|_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\prime}$ by (3.5) and (3.3), $\left|\nabla^{\prime} B\right|_{g} \Leftrightarrow|D|_{g}$ follows from (3.6), replacing $g^{\prime} \cdot$ by $g$, $\nabla$ by $\nabla^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime} \epsilon^{b}(g)\right.$ if and only if $\left.g \in^{b} U\left(g^{\prime}\right)!\right)$, by the same procedure for (3.7) we obtain $|D|_{g} A|\nabla B|_{g^{\prime}}$, by (3.5) $|\nabla B|_{g} \Leftrightarrow\left|\nabla g^{\prime}\right|_{g^{\prime}}$, and the circle is closed. $\square$
Now we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b, 1_{U(g)}=\left\{g^{\prime} \in^{b} U(g) \mid{ }^{b}\|D\|_{g}<\infty,\left\|\nabla^{g} D\right\|_{g}<\infty\right\} . \\
& g^{\prime} E^{b, 1_{U}(g)} \text { if and only if } g \in^{b, 1_{U\left(g^{\prime}\right)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.3. $g^{\prime} \epsilon^{b, 1} U(g)$ if and only if $g \in^{b, 1_{U}\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{g} \text {. }}$
Proof. According to lemma 3.2 it remains only to show ${ }^{b}\|D\|_{\mathrm{E}}<\infty$, ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}\|\nabla \mathrm{D}\|_{\mathrm{g}}<\infty$ imply ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}\|\nabla \cdot \mathrm{D}\|_{\mathrm{g}},<\infty \quad$ (the other direction one gets by changing $g, \nabla$ with $\left.g^{\prime}, \nabla^{\prime}\right)$. Now $\nabla^{\prime} D=\nabla^{\prime} D-\nabla D+\nabla D$, ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}\left\|\nabla^{\prime} D\right\|_{g^{\prime}} \leq{ }^{\mathrm{b}}\|D D\|_{g^{\prime}}+{ }^{\mathrm{b}}\|\nabla D\| \|_{g^{\prime}}=B_{3}\left({ }^{\mathrm{b}}\|D D\|_{g}+{ }_{\| V D} \|_{g}\right)<$ Remark 3.4. We now ${ }^{b}\|D\|_{g}<{ }_{\infty}^{g}$ is equivalent to ${ }^{b}\left\|\nabla_{g}^{g}\right\|_{g}<\infty$. Therefore ${ }^{b}\|\nabla D\|_{C}\langle\infty\rangle$ means a condition for the second derivatives of the metric, and it would be also reasonable to write ${ }^{b}, 2 \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{g})$ instead of $b, l_{U(g)}$. We decided to write $b, l_{U(g)}$ since we consder the conditions on the second derivatives of the metric as conditions on the first derivatives of $D$. Assume $p \geq 1$. We set

$$
\begin{gathered}
b_{U}^{p}(g)=\left\{g^{\prime} \in_{U}^{b}(g)\left|\int\right| g-\left.g^{\prime}\right|_{g, x} ^{p} \operatorname{dvol}(g)_{x}<\infty\right\}, \\
b_{U} p, 1(g)= \\
\left\{\left._{g^{\prime}} \in \in_{U}^{b}(g)\left|\int\right| D\right|_{g, x} ^{p} \operatorname{dvol}(g)_{x}<\infty,|\nabla D|_{g, x}^{p} d v o 1_{x}<\infty\right\}, \\
b, 1_{U} p, 1_{g}(g)=b, 1_{U(g)} \cap b_{U}^{p}, l_{(g)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 3.5. a. $g^{\prime} \in^{b_{U}}(g)$ if and only if $g \in^{b}{ }^{p}\left(g^{\prime}\right)$.
b. $g^{\prime} \in^{b_{U} p, 1}(g)$ if and only if $g \in^{b_{U} p, 1}\left(g^{\prime}\right)$.
c. $g^{\prime} \epsilon^{b, I_{U}^{p, 1}}(g)$ if and on $y$ is $g \epsilon^{t, l_{U}^{p, 1}\left(g^{\prime}\right) \text {. } . ~ . ~ . ~}$

Proof. This follows immediately from lemma 3.1, 3.2 and the devivation of (3.6), (3.7).
Now we consider
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathcal{M}=\left\{g \mid \mathrm{g}\right.$ complete metric with $\mid \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{x}$ bounded on $\left.\mathbb{M}\right\}$,
$\mathcal{M}_{\underline{p}}^{p}=\left\{g \mid g\right.$ complete metric with $\left.\int\left|R_{g}^{g}\right|_{g, x}^{p} d v o l(g)_{x}<\infty\right\}$,

$$
{ }^{b} \mathcal{M}_{p}^{p}={ }^{b} \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{M}_{p}^{p} .
$$

Lemma 3.6. If $g \in \in^{b} \mathcal{M}, g^{\prime} \epsilon^{b, 1_{U}(g)}$, then $g^{\prime} \in{ }^{b} \mathcal{M}$.
Proof. With $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{g}}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{g}}$ there holds

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{\prime}(U, V) W=R(U, V) W+D(U, D(V, W))-D(V, D(U, W))-  \tag{3.8}\\
& -D(D(U, V), W)+D(D(V, U), W)+\nabla_{U} D(\mathbb{V}, W)-\nabla_{V} D(U, W),
\end{align*}
$$

i.e.R, $D_{i} \nabla D$ bounded imply $R^{\prime}$ bounded. $\square$

Now we are able to introduce a natural topology for ${ }^{b} \mathcal{M}$. If $g \in{ }^{b} \mathcal{M}, \varepsilon>0$, then we set
${ }^{b, 1_{U}} \varepsilon_{\varepsilon}(g)=\left\{g^{\prime} \in \in^{b} 1_{U(g)} \mid{ }^{b}\left\|_{g-g^{\prime}}\right\|_{g}<\varepsilon,{ }^{b}\left\|\nabla^{i} D\right\|_{g}<\infty, i=0,1\right\}$. According to (3.4) and lemma 3.3 there exists a $\delta>0^{8}$ such that ${ }^{\mathrm{b}, 1_{U^{\prime}}}(\mathrm{g})$ is a neighbourhood for all $\mathrm{g}^{\prime} \epsilon^{\mathrm{b}, 1_{U_{\mathcal{F}}}(g) \text {. Altogether this }}$ means that the system of all ${ }^{b}, l_{U} \varepsilon(g), g \in{ }^{b} \mathcal{M}, \varepsilon>0$, defines a locally metrizable topology for ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{M}}$ with $\left\{{ }^{\mathrm{b}, 1_{\mathrm{U}}}(\mathrm{g})\right\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ as neighbourhood base for $g \in{ }^{b} \mathcal{M}$. Let ${ }^{b} \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ be the completion of ${ }^{b} \mathcal{M}$ with respect to this topology.
In similar manner we treat $\mathcal{N}_{p}^{p}$. This shall be prepared by Lemma 3.7. If $g \in \mathcal{M}_{p}^{p}$ and $g^{\prime} \in^{b, 1_{U}^{p}, 1}(g)$ then $g^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{P}_{f}^{p}$. Proof. This follows from (3.8) and by use of $|(s, t)|^{p} \leq\left.\left.|t|_{x}^{p}\right|^{p}\right|_{x} ^{p}$ and $|t|_{x}^{p}|s|_{x}^{p}$ is an element of $L_{1}$ if $|t|_{p x}^{p} L_{1}$ and $|s|_{\mathbb{x}}$ is bounded.



$$
\begin{aligned}
b, 1_{U}^{p, 1}(g)= & \left\{g^{\prime} \epsilon^{b, 1_{U} p, 1}(g) \mid p\left\|_{g-g}\right\|_{g}<\varepsilon, p\left\|\nabla^{i} D\right\|_{g}<\infty,\right. \\
& 1=0,1\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again according to (3.4) and lemma 3.3 the system of all
$b, \overline{1}_{U} \mathrm{p}, 1_{(g)}, g \in M_{f}^{p}, \varepsilon>0$, defines a locally metrizable topology for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{f}}^{p}$ whose completion we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{p}}$.
Lemma 3.8. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\bar{M}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{p}}$ are locally arcwise connected.
Proof. it is sufficient to show the locally arcwise connectness of ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{p}}^{p}$. We show the local contractability which implies the locally arcwise connectness. This is done if for $0<t<l$, $g^{\prime} \in^{b, 1_{U}} \varepsilon(g) \quad t g^{\prime}+(1-t)_{g} \epsilon^{b, 1_{U}} \varepsilon_{\varepsilon}(g)$. But $\|_{t g^{\prime}+(1-t) g-g \|_{g}=}$ $={ }^{b}\left\|_{t}\left(g^{\prime}-g\right)\right\|_{g}=t\left({ }^{b}\left\|_{g^{\prime}}-g\right\|_{g}\right)<\varepsilon$, the first condition is sarispied. Now $\left\|\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{D}}\right\|_{g}<\infty$ is equivalent to $\left\|\nabla g^{\prime}\right\|_{g}<\infty$, thus
b| $\| \nabla\left(t g^{\prime}+(1-t) g\left\|_{g}=t\right\| V g_{b}^{\prime \prime} \|<\infty\right.$. In analogous manner
${ }^{b}\left\|\nabla^{2}\left(t g^{\prime}+(1-t) g\right)_{g}=t \cdot b\right\| V^{2} g_{g} \|_{g}<\infty$, altogether we have pro-
 proof for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{p}}$ is completely parallel, replacing ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}\| \|_{g}$ by ${ }^{\mathrm{p}}\| \|_{g}$. Corollary 3.9. In ${ }^{b} \mathcal{M},{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{p}}^{p}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{p}}^{p}$ coincide components and arc components. $\square$
Now we are able to prove our first main theorem.
Theorem 3.10. a. Suppose $g \in^{b} \mathcal{M}$. Then the component of $g$ in ${ }^{b} \mathcal{M}$ resp. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \bar{M}$ coinsides with ${ }^{\mathrm{b}, 1_{U(g)}}$ resp. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{U}}(\mathrm{g})}$.
b. Suppose $g \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{P}_{p}^{p}$. Then the component of $g$ in $\mathcal{M}_{p}^{p}$ resp. $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{f}^{p}$ coincides with $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{i}_{(\mathrm{g})}$ resp. $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{I}^{(g)}}$.
Proof. We start with ${ }^{b} \mathcal{M}$. According to corollary 3egive have to consider arc components. Assume $g^{\prime}$ to be an element of the arc component of $g$ in ${ }^{b} \mathcal{M}$, and let $\left\{g_{t}\right\}_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1}$ be an arc between $g$ and $g$, $\mathrm{g}_{0}=\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{g}_{1}=\mathrm{g}$. The arc can be covered by a finite number of open
 ${ }^{b, 1_{U_{\varepsilon}}}\left(g_{t_{i-1}}\right) n^{b, 1_{U}} \varepsilon_{\varepsilon}\left(g_{t_{i}}\right)=\varnothing$.
If $g_{i-1, i} \epsilon^{b, 1_{U}}{ }_{\varepsilon}\left(g_{t_{i-1}}\right) n^{b, 1_{U}} \varepsilon_{\varepsilon}\left(g_{t_{i}}\right)$, then we have

$$
\left.\left.b, 1_{U\left(g_{t_{i-1}}\right)}\right)\right)^{b, 1_{U}}\left(g_{t_{i-1}}\right) \ni g_{i-1, i} \epsilon^{b, 1_{U}}\left(g_{t_{i}}\right) c^{\left.b, 1_{U\left(g_{t_{i}}\right.}\right), ~}
$$

i.e. according to lemma $\left.3.5^{b, 1_{U(~}}{ }_{t_{i-1}}\right)=\mathrm{b}, 1_{U}\left(g_{t_{i}}\right)$, which implies $\left.{ }^{b}, 1_{U(g)}={ }^{b, 1_{U( }} g^{\prime}\right), g^{\prime} \epsilon^{b, 1_{U(g)}}$. Suppose now $g^{\prime} \epsilon^{i_{b}, 1_{U}(g)}$. We wili show that $g^{\prime}$ is an element of the arc component of $g$. This is done if there exists an arc in ${ }^{b} \mathcal{M}$ lying in ${ }^{b, 1_{U}(g) \text { between } g ' \text { and } g . ~}$ Set $g_{t}=t g^{\prime}+(1-t) g$. This is in fact an arc in ${ }^{b} \mathcal{M}$ since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\right|_{R} g_{t}\right|_{g_{t}, x} \leqslant\left.\left.\right|_{R^{g}}\right|_{g, x}+\left|{ }_{R^{\prime}}\right|_{g^{\prime}, x}+\left(|D|_{g, x}+|D|_{g}, x^{\prime}\right)^{2} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

([3]). Purther we gonclude as in the proof of lemma 3.8 that
 $g, g^{\prime}$ ly in the same isometry class $g$ and $g_{t}$ ly in the same isometry class too. Thus we obtain ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}\| \|_{g_{t}}<\infty$ for the above expressions. For ${ }^{b} \mathcal{M}$ a. is proven, and the extension to ${ }^{b} \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is trivial. The proof for b. is performed completely parallel, replacing $\mathrm{b} \|| |$ by $^{\mathrm{p}} \|| |$, using $\left.\left.\right|_{R^{g}}\right|_{g, x},\left|R^{g^{\prime}}\right|_{g^{\prime}, x^{\prime}},|D|_{g, x},|D|_{g}, x_{x} \in I_{p}$, $|D|_{g, x},|D|_{g^{\prime}, x}$ bounded, $(3,9)$ and the translated arguments in the proof of iemma 3.8.0 Finally we consider ${ }^{b} \mathcal{M}_{p}^{p}={ }^{b} \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{M}_{p}^{p}$ with the weakest topology such that both inclusions ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{p}} \hookrightarrow^{\mathrm{b}} \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{p}}$ are continuous. This is just the topology generated by the ${ }^{b, 1_{U}^{p} p} 1(g), g \in^{b} \mathcal{M}_{p}^{p}, \varepsilon>0$. Then immediately follows

Theorem 3.11. Suppose $g \epsilon^{b} \mathcal{M}_{f}^{p}$. Then the component of $g$ in ${ }^{b} \mathcal{M}_{f}^{p}$ resp. $b_{\bar{M}}^{p}$ coinsides with $b, I_{U}^{p, l}(g)$ resp. $b, \bar{l}_{U}^{p, l}(g)$. D
4. The existence and invariance of the Euler characteristic and signature
For the proof of the invariance theorem we still need $I_{p}$-cohomology which we now shortly define. By $\Omega^{q}$ we denote the vector space of all smooth q-forms on $M$. Given some metric $g$ on $M$, then for $\mathcal{\rho} \in \Omega^{q}{ }^{\mathrm{p}}\|\mathcal{Y}\|=\left(\int|\mathcal{P}|^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{Vvol}\right)^{1 / \mathrm{p}}$ is defined, if the latter Integral converges. Denote

$$
p \Omega_{d}^{q}=p \Omega_{d}^{q}(g)=\left\{\rho \in \Omega q \mid p\|\rho\|<\infty, p_{\| d} \rho \|<\infty\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p \Omega^{q, d}=\text { completion of } p \Omega_{d}^{q} \text { with respect to } p\left\|\|_{d},\right. \\
& p\|q\|_{d}=p\|\rho\|+p_{\| d} \rho \| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The cohomology of the complex

$$
0 \rightarrow p \Omega^{0, d} \rightarrow p \Omega^{1 \mp d} \rightarrow \infty \rightarrow p \Omega^{q}, d \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow p \Omega^{n, d} \rightarrow 0
$$

is called the analytical $L_{p}$-cohomology $P_{H^{*}}(M, \bar{d})$ of $\left(M^{n}, g\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{H}^{q}(M, d):= & \operatorname{ker}(d: p \Omega q, d \rightarrow p \Omega q+1, d) / \operatorname{dm}\left(d:{ }^{p} \Omega^{q-1, d} \rightarrow^{p} \Omega^{q, d}\right)= \\
& =p_{Z}{ }^{q}(M, \bar{d}) / p_{B}^{q}(M, \bar{d}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The complex

$$
0 \rightarrow{ }^{p} \Omega_{\mathrm{d}}^{0} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{p}} \rightarrow^{\mathrm{l}} \rightarrow 06 \rightarrow^{\mathrm{p}} \Omega_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{q}} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow^{\mathrm{p}} \Omega_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow 0
$$

defines the cohomology ${ }^{P_{H}}{ }^{*}(M, d)$. According to a result of Cheeger ([2]) the inclusion ${ }^{p} \Omega_{d}^{*} c{ }^{p} \Omega^{*}, d$ induces an isomorphism $p_{H^{*}}(M, d) \rightarrow p_{H^{*}}(M, \bar{d})$. For this reason we identify these spaces and write simply ${ }^{p_{H}}{ }^{q}(M)$.
Now we are able to prove the invariance
Theorem 4.1. a. If $g \in \ell_{f}^{l}$ and $\chi(M, g)$ exists, then $\chi\left(M, g^{\prime}\right)$ exists for all $g^{\prime}$ of the component of $g$ and $\chi(M, g)=\chi\left(M, g^{\prime}\right)$. b. If $g \in M_{\rho}^{I}$ and $G(M, g)$ exists, then $\zeta\left(M, g^{\prime}\right)$ exists for all $g^{\prime}$ of the component of $g$ and $G(M, g)=\zeta\left(M, g^{\prime}\right)$. Proof. Suppose $X(M, g)=\left\{E(g)\right.$ exists. If $g^{\prime}$ is an element of the component of $g$ in $\mathcal{M}_{f}^{1}$, then $g^{\prime} \epsilon^{b, 1_{U}}{ }^{1,1}(g)$. Since $g, g^{\prime}$ are quasi isometric $\left(\frac{1}{1} \Omega_{i}^{*}, d(g), d\right),\left(\Omega^{\prime}, d\left(g^{\prime}\right), d\right)$ are equivalent $L_{1}$-complexes and $\left.I_{H^{*}}((M, g), d), I_{H^{*}}{ }^{*}\left(M, g^{\prime}\right), d\right)$ coincide. There
exists an arc between $g$ and $g '$ in ${ }^{b}, l_{U} l^{l} l^{l}(g) a M_{f}^{l}$ which generates an ( $n-1$ )- form $\varphi$, $\mathcal{Y}$ and $d \varphi$ absolutely integrable, such that $E\left(g^{\prime}\right)=E(g)+d \varphi$, i.e. $E(g)$ and $E\left(g^{\prime}\right)$ are cohomological cocycles in $1_{H^{n}}(M, d)([5])$. According to a fundamental theorem of Gaffney ([6]) $\int_{\frac{m}{x}}^{d} \varphi=0$, i.e.

$$
\frac{M^{\prime}}{X}\left(M^{n}, g^{\prime}\right)=\int_{M} E\left(g^{\prime}\right)=\int_{M} E(g)+\int_{M} d \rho=\int_{M} E(g)=X\left(M^{n}, g\right) .
$$

The proof of b. for $n=4 k$ is completely analogous using $\sigma\left(M^{n}, g\right)=$ $=\int_{M} L, L=L(p(g))$ the Hirzebruch polynomial. $\square$
Remark 4.2. The theorem extends immediately to the components in ${ }^{b} \bar{M}{ }_{p}^{1}$
Assame $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ a normal covering with $\operatorname{Deck}(\tilde{M})=\Gamma$, geo $(\tilde{M}) \leq 1$. Let $\Pi^{q}:{ }^{2} \Omega^{q}(M) \longrightarrow \tilde{y}^{q}{ }^{q}$ be the orthogonal projection onto the $L_{2}$-harmonic forms $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{q}, \Pi^{q}(\varphi)=\int \tilde{h}^{q}(x, y) \varphi(y)$ dvol $y$ with a $C^{\infty}{ }_{\text {symmetric }}$ kernel $\tilde{h}^{q}(x, y)$. The pointwise trace, $\left.\operatorname{tr}^{( } h^{q}(x, x)\right)$, is invariant under $\Gamma$ and thus can be considered as a function on $M$. We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{b}_{(2)}^{q}(M)=\int_{M} \operatorname{tr}\left(\tilde{h}^{q}(x, x)\right) d v o l_{x} \text { and } . \\
& \tilde{X}_{(2)}(M)=\sum_{q=0}^{n}(-1)^{q} \tilde{b}_{(2)}^{q}(M), \\
& \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{(2)}\left(M^{4 k}\right)=\int_{M} \operatorname{tr}\left(* \tilde{h}^{2 k}(x, x)\right) d v o l_{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 4.2. a. Suppose geo( $\tilde{M}, g) \leq 1$, $\tilde{M}$ a normal or profinite covering of $M$. If $M$ has finite topological type (i.e. $M$ has a finite number of ends, each of them smoothly collared), then

$$
\begin{align*}
& X\left(M^{n}\right)=X\left(M^{n}, g\right)=X\left(M^{n}, g^{\prime}\right),  \tag{4.1}\\
& \zeta\left(M^{n}\right)=\zeta\left(M^{n}, g\right)=\zeta\left(M^{n}, g^{\prime}\right), \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $g^{\prime}$ of the component of $g$ in $M_{f}^{l}$. b. Suppose $\operatorname{geo}\left(\tilde{M}_{2} g\right) \leq 1$ for some normal covering $\tilde{M}$ of $M$.

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{X}_{(2)}^{\left(M^{n}\right)}=\mathcal{X}\left(M^{n}, g\right)=X\left(M^{n}, g^{\prime}\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
& \mathcal{Z}_{(2)}\left(M^{n}\right)=G\left(M^{n}, g\right)=\sigma\left(M^{n}, g^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $g$ ' of the component of $g$ in $\mathcal{M}_{f}^{l}$.
Proof. The first equation in (4.1)-(4.4) is contained in [3], the second comes from theorem 4.1. $\square$ Theorem 4.3. If $g \in \mathcal{M}_{f}^{l}$, then $\chi\left(M^{n}, g\right)$ resp. $G\left(M^{n}, g\right)$ exists and $\chi\left(M^{n}, g\right)=\chi\left(M^{n}, g^{\prime}\right)$ resp. $\sigma\left(M^{n}, g\right)=\sigma\left(M^{n}, g^{\prime}\right)$ for all $g^{\prime}$ in the component of $g$.
Proof. The existence follows immediately from lemma 3.8, corollary 3.9 of [5], the invariance from 4.1 above. $\square$
5. Applications to 4-manifolds
$\mathrm{M}^{4}$ shall denote an open oriented 4-manifold. The special orthogonal group acts on the space $C_{b}^{2}$ of algebraic curvature tensors on M. Let $\varphi_{b}^{2}=U+\varphi+W$ the corresponding decomposition into irreduoible subspaces. Then this induces for the curvature tensor $R=R^{\mathcal{E}}$ a decomposition $R=U+S+W$. For $R=R^{E}=R_{+}+R_{-}$we denote by Ric $=$ Ric ${ }^{g}$ the Ricci tensor, by $\tau=\tau^{\delta}$ the scalar curvature, by $K$ the sectional curvature and by $W=W^{\mathcal{E}}=W_{+}+W_{-}$the Weyltensor. The sign +resp. _refers to the decomposition of
$\Lambda^{2}=\Lambda^{2}+\oplus \Lambda^{+}{ }^{2}$ into self dual and anti self dual components. Theorem 5.I. If $\bar{g} \in \mathcal{M}_{f}^{2}$, then $K(M, g) ;{ }_{2} \sigma(M, g)$ exist and are constant on the component of $g$ in $\mathcal{M}_{f}^{2}$.
Proof. For $|R|^{2}=|R|^{2}$ there holds
$|R|^{2}=\left|U^{2^{x}}+|s|^{2}+|w|^{2}\right.$,
$\mid$ Ric $\left.\right|^{2}=6|U|^{2}+2|S|^{2}$,
$\tau^{2}=24|U|^{2}$,
$\|\left. R\right|^{2}=4\left|W^{2}\right|^{2}+4\left|W_{-}\right|^{2}+2|R i c|^{2}-\frac{1}{3} \tau^{2}$.
Therefore $\int_{2}|R|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ dvol<co implies the integrability of $\mid$ Ric $\left.\right|^{2}, \tau^{2}$, $\left|W_{+}\right|^{2},\left|W_{-}\right|^{2}$. The equations

$$
\begin{align*}
E(g) & =\frac{1}{32 \pi^{2}} 2\left(|R|^{2}-4|R i c|^{2}+\tau^{2}\right) d v o l  \tag{5.5}\\
\frac{3}{2} S(g) & =\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} 2\left(\left|W_{+}\right|^{2}-\left|W_{-}\right|^{2}\right) \text { dvol }
\end{align*}
$$

Pinish the proof. $口$
Corollary 5.2. Suppose $g \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}$. If there exists an $A>0$ such that $-A \cdot g \leq R i c \leq-\frac{2}{3} A \cdot g$ or $-A \leq K \leq-\frac{1}{4} \%$, then

$$
|G(M, g)| \leq \frac{2}{3} X(M, g)
$$

and the inequality holds for all $g$ in the component of $g$. Proof. The pinching conditions imply the corresponding inequalities for the integrands ([8]). $\square$
We conclude with
Theorem 5.3. Suppose $g \in^{b} \mathcal{M}_{f}^{1}$. Then $X(M, g), \sigma(M, g)$ exist and $X\left(M, g^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{K}(M, g), \delta\left(M, g^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(M, g)$ for all $g^{\prime}$ in the component of $g$ in $\mathcal{M}_{f}^{1}$. This in particular holds if $\operatorname{vol}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g})<\infty, \mathrm{K}$ bounded.
Proof. $g \in \mathcal{M}_{f}^{1}$ implies $g \in M_{f}^{2}$. $\square$
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