

Sándor Bácsó

On geodesic mappings of special Finsler spaces

In: Jan Slovák and Martin Čadek (eds.): Proceedings of the 18th Winter School "Geometry and Physics". Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1999. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 59. pp. 83--87.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701627>

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON GEODESIC MAPPINGS OF SPECIAL FINSLER SPACES

SÁNDOR BÁCSÓ

ABSTRACT. In an earlier paper [2] there arose an interesting problem: Determine all the Finsler spaces which have common geodesics with some Riemannian space, that is, determine all the Finsler spaces which admit a geodesic mapping onto a Riemannian space. Such Finsler spaces have vanishing Douglas tensor, and are called Douglas spaces [3]. In the present paper we shall give some special examples of geodesic mappings between a Finsler space and a Riemannian space.

The author is very grateful to Professor Makoto Matsumoto for his continuous encouragement and for his valuable cooperation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $F^n(M^n, L)$ be an n -dimensional Finsler space, where M^n is a connected differentiable manifold of dimension n and $L(x, y)$, where $y^i = \dot{x}^{i1}$, is the fundamental function defined on the manifold $TM \setminus O$ of nonzero tangent vectors. (Throughout the present lecture we shall use the terminology and definitions described in Matsumoto's monograph [8].)

The system of differential equations for geodesic curves of F^n with respect to the canonical parameter t is given by $\ddot{x}^i + 2G^i(x, y) = 0$, where

$$G^i = \frac{1}{4} g^{ir} (y^m \partial L_{(r)}^2 / \partial x^m - \partial L^2 / \partial x^r),$$

and $g^{ij} = (g_{ij})^{-1}$, $g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} L_{(i)(j)}^2$, $L_{(i)} = \partial L / \partial y^i$. The Berwald connection coefficients $G^i(x, y)$, $G_{jk}^i(x, y)$ can be derived from the functions G^i , namely $G_j^i = G_{(j)}^i$; $G_{jk}^i = G_{j(k)}^i$.

Let us consider two Finsler spaces $F^n(M^n, L)$ and $\bar{F}^n(M^n, \bar{L})$ and a common underlying manifold. A diffeomorphism $F^n \rightarrow \bar{F}^n$ is called *geodesic* if it maps an arbitrary geodesic of F^n to a geodesic of \bar{F}^n . In this case the change $L - \bar{L}$ of the metrics is called *projective*. As it is well known, the mapping $F^n \rightarrow \bar{F}^n$ is geodesic if and only if there exists a scalar field $p(x, y)$ satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad \bar{G}^i = G^i + p y^i; \quad p \neq 0.$$

This work was supported by the Ministry of Culture and Education of Hungary under Grant no. FKFP 0457. This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted elsewhere.

¹The Roman indices run over the range $1, \dots, n$.

The projective factor $p(x, y)$ is a positively homogeneous function of degree one in y . From (1.1) we have

$$(1.2) \quad \bar{G}_j^i = G_j^i + p\delta_j^i + p_j y^i,$$

$$(1.3) \quad \bar{G}_{jk}^i = G_{jk}^i + p_j \delta_k^i + p_k \delta_j^i + p_{jk} y^i,$$

where $p_j = p_{(j)}$ and $p_{jk} = p_{j(k)}$.

Using the Rapcsák paper [10] M. Matsumoto obtained the following result [9]:

“If a Finsler space $F^n = (M^n, L)$ is projective to a Finsler space $\bar{F}_n = (M^n, \bar{L})$ then

$$(1.4) \quad \bar{l}_{ij;r} y^r = 0,$$

where $\bar{l}_{ij} = \frac{1}{L} \bar{h}_{ij} = \frac{1}{L} (\bar{g}_{ij} - \bar{l}_i \bar{l}_j)$ and $\bar{l}_i = \bar{L}_{(i)}$.”

The symbol “; ” denotes the h -covariant derivative with respect to the Berwald connection $B\Gamma = (G_{jk}^i, G_j^i)$ in F^n . The purpose of the present paper is to study equation (1.4) in some special cases, and to investigate the geodesic maps between Finsler and Riemannian spaces.

2. ON THE EQUATION $\bar{l}_{ij;r} y^r = 0$

Differentiating (1.4) by y^k we have

$$(2.1) \quad \bar{l}_{ij;r(k)} y^r + \bar{l}_{ij;k} = 0.$$

Using the Ricci identities

$$\bar{l}_{ij;r(k)} - \bar{l}_{ij(k);r} = -\bar{l}_{mj} G_{ir}^m - \bar{l}_{im} G_{jr}^m,$$

after transvecting by y^r we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \bar{l}_{ij;r(k)} y^r - \bar{l}_{ij(k);r} y^r = 0.$$

From (2.1) and (2.2) follows that

$$\bar{l}_{ij(k);r} y^r + \bar{l}_{ij;k} = 0.$$

This equation may be written in the form

$$(2.3) \quad \left[-\frac{1}{L^2} \bar{l}_k \bar{h}_{ij} + \frac{2}{L} \bar{C}_{ijk} - \frac{1}{L^2} (\bar{h}_{ik} \bar{l}_j + \bar{h}_{jk} \bar{l}_i) \right]_{,r} y^r = -\bar{l}_{ij;k}.$$

Applying (1.2) and (1.3) we get

$$\left(\frac{2}{L} \bar{C}_{ijk} \right)_{,r} y^r = -\frac{2}{L} p \bar{C}_{ijk} + \frac{2}{L} \bar{P}_{ijk},$$

where $\bar{C}_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2} g_{ij(k)}$ and $\bar{C}_{ijk;r} y^r = \bar{P}_{ijk}$. Thus (2.3) may be written in the form

$$(2.4) \quad \bar{l}_{ij} \bar{N}_k + \bar{l}_{ik} \bar{N}_j + \bar{l}_{jk} \bar{N}_i + \frac{2}{L} p \bar{C}_{ijk} - \frac{2}{L} \bar{P}_{ijk} = \bar{l}_{ij;k}$$

where $\bar{N}_i = \bar{M}_{i;r} y^r$ and $\bar{M}_i = \frac{1}{L} \bar{l}_i$, which gives

Proposition 1. *In the case of a geodesic mapping of Finsler spaces F^n and \bar{F}^n the tensor $\bar{l}_{ij;k}$ is symmetric in all indices.*

Example 1. We consider the Randers change $\bar{L}(x, y) = L(x, y) + \beta(x, y)$, where $\beta(x, y)$ is a closed one-form, then this change $L \rightarrow \bar{L}$ is projective. Thus we get $\frac{1}{L}h_{ij} = \frac{1}{\bar{L}}\bar{h}_{ij}$, that is $\bar{l}_{ij} = l_{ij}$.

Differentiating this equation covariantly with respect to $B\Gamma$ in F_n we obtain

$$\bar{l}_{ij;k} = l_{ij;k} = -\frac{2}{L}P_{ijk}.$$

Thus in the case of Randers change the equation (2.4) can be rewritten in the form

$$\bar{l}_{ij}\bar{N}_k + \bar{l}_{ik}\bar{N}_j + \bar{l}_{jk}\bar{N}_i + \frac{2}{L}p\bar{C}_{ijk} - \frac{2}{L}\bar{P}_{ijk} = -\frac{2}{L}P_{ijk}.$$

We assume that F^n is a Landsberg space ($P_{ijk} = 0$) then we get

$$\bar{N}_i = \frac{2}{(n+1)L}(\bar{P}_i - p\bar{C}_i),$$

where $\bar{P}_i = \bar{P}_{ijk}\bar{g}^{jk}$; $\bar{C}_i = \bar{C}_{ijk}\bar{g}^{jk}$.

At first M. Matsumoto [6], [7] studied the special Finsler space satisfying the condition $P_{ijk} = \lambda(x, y)C_{ijk}$, and after him M. Hashiguchi [4] and H. Izumi [5]. It is well-known that this condition is satisfied in all two-dimensional Finsler spaces. If we consider the Finsler space \bar{F}_n fulfilling the condition $\bar{P}_{ijk} = p\bar{C}_{ijk}$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}_i = \bar{M}_{i;r}y^r = 0 \quad \text{that is} \\ (2.5) \quad \left(\frac{1}{L}\bar{l}_i\right)_{;r}y^r = \left[\frac{1}{L}\bar{l}_{i;r} - \frac{1}{L^2}\bar{l}_i\bar{L}_{;r}\right]y^r = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the equations (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{L}_{;r}y^r = 2p\bar{L}, \\ \bar{l}_{i;r}y^r = \bar{l}_ip + \bar{L}p_i. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\frac{1}{L}(\bar{l}_ip + \bar{L}p_i) - \frac{1}{L^2}\bar{l}_i2p\bar{L} = 0,$$

from which it follows that

$$\frac{p_i}{p} - \frac{\bar{l}_i}{L} = 0,$$

which yields

$$(2.6) \quad p(x, y) = e^{\varphi(x)}\bar{L}(x, y).$$

Thus we have proved

Proposition 2. *If we suppose that there exists a geodesic map (Randers change with respect to projective scalar $p(x, y)$) between a Landsberg and a Finsler space fulfilling the condition $\bar{P}_{ijk} = p(x, y)\bar{C}_{ijk}$, then $p(x, y)$ is given by the equation (2.6).*

Question. In which Finsler spaces \bar{F}^n does the condition $\bar{P}_{ijk} = \lambda(x, y)\bar{C}_{ijk}$ hold where $\lambda(x, y) = \sigma(x)\bar{L}$ and $\sigma(x)$ depend on the position only?

Remark [5]. For $n > 3$, in a C -reducible Finsler space with the condition $\bar{P}_{ij;k} = \lambda(x, y)\bar{C}_{ij;k}$ we have $\lambda(x, y) = \sigma(x)\bar{L}$, where $\sigma(x)$ depends on the position only.

Example 2. From (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) we can easily obtain the following well-known relation between the $(v)h$ -torsion tensors of F^n on \bar{F}^n :

$$\bar{P}_{ij}^h = R_{ij}^h + y^h Q_{ij} + \delta_i^h Q_j + \delta_j^h Q_i,$$

where $Q_i = p_{;i} - pp_i$ and $Q_{ij} = p_{i;j} - p_{j;i}$.

Now we assume that $\bar{P}_{ij;k} = p(x, y)\bar{C}_{ij;k}$ in \bar{F}^n , and F^n is a Finsler space of constant curvature. Using the integrability condition of the equation (2.4) we get the following equation

$$(2.7) \quad K \left(Ll_k \frac{\bar{L}}{L} - Ll_l \frac{\bar{L}}{L} \right) = \frac{\bar{L}}{L} Q_k - \frac{\bar{L}}{L} Q_l = (\bar{N}_{k;l} - \bar{N}_{l;k})$$

from which we get

$$(2.8) \quad (K Ll_k - Q_k) \frac{\bar{L}}{L} = (K Ll_l - Q_l) \frac{\bar{L}}{L}$$

where K is the curvature constant in F^n .

3. ON THE STRONGLY GEODESIC MAPPING

Definition. If a geodesic mapping satisfies the condition $\bar{l}_{ij;k} = 0$, the mapping is called a *strongly geodesic mapping*.

Now we consider a geodesic mapping between a Finsler (F^n) and a Riemannian (R^n) space. Then from (2.4) we get

$$(3.1) \quad \bar{l}_{ij}\bar{N}_k + \bar{l}_{ik}\bar{N}_j + \bar{l}_{jk}\bar{N}_i = \bar{l}_{ij;k}$$

This equation is satisfied in the case of a geodesic mapping of a Berwald space on a Riemannian space. We can easily show

Proposition 3. $\bar{l}_{ij;k} = 0$ holds good if and only if $\bar{N}_i = 0$.

From (2.5) we obtain

Proposition 4. In the case of a strongly geodesic mapping $F^n \rightarrow R^n$ the projective scalar function $p(x, y) = e^{\varphi(x)}\bar{L}(x, y)$.

The equation (2.7) yields

$$K \left(Ll_k \frac{\bar{L}}{L} - Ll_l \frac{\bar{L}}{L} \right) = 0.$$

Contracting this by y^l we obtain

$$K \left(\frac{l_k}{L} - \frac{\bar{L}}{L} \right) = 0.$$

For a Finsler space of constant curvature we have the following

Theorem. If a change $F^n(M^n, L) \rightarrow R^n(M^n, \bar{L})$ is strongly projective and F^n is a Finsler space of constant curvature, then we have two cases

- (a) $K = 0$
- (b) $K \neq 0$ and $\bar{L} = e^{\varphi(x)}L$.

From Rund's [11] and Aikou's [1] result follows that in the case (b) we get a homothetic mapping.

REFERENCES

1. T. Aikou, *Some remarks on conformal changes of generalized Finsler metrics*, Rep. Fac. Sci. Kagoshima Univ., (Math. Phys. and Chem.) **20** (1987), 57–61.
2. S. Bácsó, F. Ilosvay & B. Kis, *Landsberg spaces with common geodesics*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **42** (1993), 139–144.
3. S. Bácsó & M. Matsumoto, *On Finsler spaces of Douglas type. A generalization of the notion of Berwald space*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **51** (1997), 385–406.
4. M. Hashiguchi, *On the HV-curvature tensors of Finsler spaces*, Rep. Fac. Sci. Kagoshima Univ., (Math. Phys. and Chem.) **4** (1971), 1–5.
5. H. Izumi, *On $*P$ -Finsler space of scalar curvature*, Tensor, N.S. **38** (1982), 220–222.
6. M. Matsumoto, *On h -isotropic and C^h -recurrent Finsler spaces*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **11** (1971), 1–9.
7. M. Matsumoto, *On Finsler spaces with curvature tensors of some special forms*, Tensor, N.S. **22** (1971), 201–204.
8. M. Matsumoto, *Fundations of Finsler geometry and special Finsler spaces*, Kaiseisha Press, Saikawa, Otsu, Japan, 1986.
9. M. Matsumoto, *The Tavakol – van der Bergh conditions in the theories of gravity and projective changes of Finsler metrics*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **42** (1993), 155–168.
10. A. Rapcsák, *Über die bahntreuen Abbildungen metrischer Räume*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **8** (1961), 285–290.
11. H. Rund, *The differential geometry of Finsler spaces*, vol. 284, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1959.

SÁNDOR BÁCSÓ
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS
LAJOS KOSSUTH UNIVERSITY
H-4010 DEBRECEN, P.O.BOX 12
HUNGARY
bacsos@klte.math.hu