

Edward Grzegorek

Always of the first category sets (II)

In: Zdeněk Frolík and Vladimír Souček and Jiří Vinárek (eds.): Proceedings of the 13th Winter School on Abstract Analysis, Section of Analysis. Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1985. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 10. pp. [43]–48.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701860>

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1985

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ALWAYS OF THE FIRST CATEGORY SETS (II)

E. Grzegorek

Results of this note were presented during 13th Winter School on Abstract Analysis in Czechoslovakia. We investigated in [5] and [6] a useful sub- \mathcal{G} -ideal, denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{K}}^*$, of the \mathcal{G} -ideal of subsets of the real line \mathbb{R} which are always of the first category, denoted by \mathcal{K}^* . Now we prove that each λ -set in the sense of [8] belongs to $\overline{\mathcal{K}}^*$. We also obtain as a corollary of a result of [6] elimination of the assumption CH in the theorem of Sierpiński [16] that there is a continuous $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that there exists $A \in \mathcal{K}^*$ for which $f(A)$ does not have Baire property in the restricted sense (it also shows that Proposition C_{46} in [14] is simply a theorem of ZFC). We also strengthen the theorem of Sierpiński [15] that there is an uncountable subset X of \mathbb{R} such that all its Borel isomorphic images into \mathbb{R} are in \mathcal{K}^* and have Lebesgue measure zero. Moreover we remove a mistake in our proof of Theorem 1 in [6].

Let X be a separable metric space. If every dense in itself subset of X is of the first category relative to itself, then X is said to be always of the first category. We denote by $\mathcal{K}(X)$ or simply \mathcal{K} if $X=\mathbb{R}$, the \mathcal{G} -ideal of subsets of X which are of the first category in X and by $\mathcal{K}^*(X)$, or \mathcal{K}^* if $X=\mathbb{R}$, the \mathcal{G} -ideal of subsets of X which are always of the first category. A subset A of X has the Baire property ($A \in \mathcal{B}_w(X)$) if there exists an open subset Q of X such that $A \setminus Q \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ and $Q \setminus X \in \mathcal{K}(X)$. A subset A of X has the Baire property in the restricted sense ($A \in \mathcal{B}_r(X)$) if for every subset B of X we have $B \cap A \in \mathcal{B}_w(B)$. If X is a separable complete metric space then for every $A \subseteq X$ we have $A \in \mathcal{K}^*(X)$ iff $\mathcal{P}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_r(X)$ [8]. We denote by λ the family of subsets X of \mathbb{R} such that every countable subset of X is a \mathfrak{S}_δ set in X [8]. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(X)$ the \mathcal{G} -field of Borel subsets of X . A space X is called a universal null set if there is no continuous probability measure on $\mathcal{B}(X)$. We denote by \mathcal{L}_0 the

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

\mathcal{G} -ideal of Lebesgue measure zero subsets of R . There are survey articles [2] and [10] concerning the above notions. A family \mathcal{J} of subsets of the real line R is called \mathcal{G} -ideal on R if $A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{J}$ implies $\bigcup \{A_n : n=0, 1, 2, \dots\} \in \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{P}(A_0) \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, $\mathcal{J} \not\subseteq \mathcal{P}(R)$ and for every $x \in R$ we have $\{x\} \in \mathcal{J}$. If \mathcal{J} is a \mathcal{G} -ideal on R then we define (see [6])

$$\bar{\mathcal{J}} = \{A \subseteq R : \text{for every } B \subseteq R \text{ such that there exists a 1-1 Borel measurable function } f: B \rightarrow A \text{ we have } B \in \mathcal{J}\}.$$

It is clear that $\bar{\mathcal{J}}$ is a \mathcal{G} -ideal on R such that $\bar{\mathcal{J}} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$. We will need the following theorem concerning \mathcal{K}^* .

Theorem 1 ([6]). Let $m_1 = \min \{ |Y| : Y \subseteq R \text{ and } Y \notin \mathcal{K} \}$. There is $X \subseteq R$ such that $|X| = m_1$ and $X \in \mathcal{K}^*$.

Remark. We would like to remove a mistake in our proof of Theorem 1 in [6]. A reader who is interested in the proof of Theorem 1 in [6] should replace lines 18-24 on page 142 in [6] by the following "Let $F_\alpha = \bigcup \{F_n^\alpha : n < \omega\}$ where F_n^α are closed in Y . Setting

$E_1^n = \{\alpha < m_1 : 0_1 \subseteq Y \setminus F_n^\alpha\}$ for every $i < \omega$ and every $n < \omega$ we get

$$Z = (m_1 \times Y) \setminus \bigcap_{n < \omega} \left(\bigcup_{i < \omega} E_1^n \times 0_1 \right) \quad (\text{compare [1]}).$$

Let \mathcal{A} be a countably generated and separating points \mathcal{G} -field on m_1 . Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathcal{G} -field on m_1 generated by \mathcal{A} and the family $\{E_1^n : i, n < \omega\}$. It is clear that Z belongs to the product \mathcal{G} -field"

Sierpiński proved (see [16]), assuming CH, that there exists a continuous function $f: R \rightarrow R$ such that there exists $X \in \mathcal{K}^*$ with $f(X) \notin \mathcal{B}_R$ (and such that the restriction of f to X is 1-1). This theorem is true in ZFC. Namely we have the following

Theorem 2. There is $X \in \mathcal{K}^*$ such that there is a continuous function $f: R \rightarrow R$ with $f(X) \notin \mathcal{B}_w$. We can additionally have that f restricted to X is 1-1.

Indeed, since for every $A \subseteq R$ we have $A \in \mathcal{K}$ iff $\mathcal{P}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_w$ [8] it easily follows from Proposition 4 in [6] that there is $Y \in \mathcal{K}^*$ and there is a continuous 1-1 function $f: Y \rightarrow R$ with $f(Y) \notin \mathcal{B}_w$.

Now Theorem 2 follows from the following theorem of Sierpiński (Corollary 2 in [17]).

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of subsets of R such that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ we have:

- $g(F) \in \mathcal{F}$ for every homeomorphism g from F into R ,
- $(F \cup A) \setminus B \in \mathcal{F}$ for every countable $A, B \subseteq R$.

Then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} g(F) : F \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } g: F \rightarrow R \text{ is a 1-1 continuous function} \\ g(F) : F \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } g: R \rightarrow R \text{ is a continuous function such that} \\ f \text{ restricted to } F \text{ is 1-1} \end{array} \right\} =$$

A similar theorem for universal null sets can be found in [4]. Add that Theorem 2 also shows that Proposition C_{46} in [14] is simply a theorem of ZFC.

It is clear that $\overline{\mathcal{H}^*} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^*$ and it is known (compare Remark 1 in [6]) that assuming CH (or Martin's Axiom) $\overline{\mathcal{H}^*} \subsetneq \mathcal{H}^*$. We have the following

Theorem 3. $\lambda \subsetneq \overline{\mathcal{H}^*}$

Proof. We need the following

Lemma 1. Let $(\mathcal{H})_c = \{A \subseteq R : \text{for every } B \subseteq R \text{ such that there exists a 1-1 continuous function } f: B \rightarrow A \text{ we have } B \in \mathcal{H}\}$. Then $(\mathcal{H})_c = \overline{\mathcal{H}^*}$.

Proof. Since $\overline{\mathcal{H}^*} = \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ (see Proposition 3 in [6]) in order to prove Lemma 1 it is enough to prove $(\mathcal{H})_c = \overline{\mathcal{H}}$. It is clear that $\overline{\mathcal{H}} \subseteq (\mathcal{H})_c$. Let $A \in (\mathcal{H})_c$. In order to prove $A \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ consider $B \subseteq R$ such that there is a 1-1 Borel measurable function $f: B \rightarrow A$. There are B_1, B_2 such that $B = B_1 \cup B_2$, $B_1 \in \mathcal{H}(B)$ and the restriction g of f to B_2 is continuous [8]. We have $g: B_2 \rightarrow A$ is a 1-1 continuous function and $A \in (\mathcal{H})_c$. Hence $B_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ and $B \in \mathcal{H}$, so $A \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$.

Lemma 2 (see [8] or [10]).

a) $\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{H}^*$.

b) Let $X, Y \subseteq R$ be such that there is a 1-1 continuous function on X into Y . Then if $Y \in \lambda$ then $X \in \lambda$.

Now let $X \in \lambda$. By Lemma 2, $X \in (\mathcal{H})_c$. Hence by Lemma 1, $X \in \overline{\mathcal{H}^*}$. We have proved $\lambda \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{H}^*}$. The fact that $\lambda \subsetneq \overline{\mathcal{H}^*}$ follows e.g. from $\lambda \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{H}^*}$

and the fact that $\overline{\mathcal{K}^*}$ is a σ -ideal on R whereas λ is known not to be even finite additive (Rothberger [12], compare [8] and [10]).

We strengthen the following

Theorem (Sierpiński, Theorem 5 in [15]). There exists uncountable subset $A \subseteq R$ such that each set $B \subseteq R$ which is Borel isomorphic with A satisfies $B \in \mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{K}^*$.

Recall that Sierpiński proved that each selector from nonempty constituents of a coanalytic non-Borel set has the property as in the above Theorem. Hence A in the proof of Sierpiński necessary has cardinality \aleph_1 . We have the following (compare Theorem 3 in [5]).

Theorem 4. Let $m_1 = \min \{|X| : X \notin \mathcal{K}\}$, let $m_2 = \min \{|X| : X \notin \mathcal{L}_0\}$ and let $m = \min \{m_1, m_2\}$. There is $A \subseteq R$ with $|A| = m$ and for every Borel isomorphism $f: A \rightarrow R$ we have $f(A) \in \mathcal{L}_0 \cap \mathcal{K}^*$. Moreover instead of that f is Borel isomorphism we can assume that $f^{-1}: f(A) \rightarrow A$ is Borel measurable (and f is 1-1).

Instead of Theorem 4 we prove more general

Theorem 4*. Let $\{\mathcal{I}_t : t \in T\}$ be a family of σ -ideals on R and let n be such that for every $t \in T$ there is $A_t \in \mathcal{I}_t$ with $|A_t| = n$. Then there is $A \in \bigcap \{\mathcal{I}_t : t \in T\}$ such that:

- a) if $|T| \leq \aleph_0$, then we can have $|A| = n$,
- b) if $|T| \leq \aleph_1$, then we can have $|A| = \min \{\aleph_1, n\}$,
- c) if Martin's Axiom holds and $|T| \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$, then we can have $|A| = n$.

Proof. a) Choose for every $t \in T$ an $A_t \in \mathcal{I}_t$ such that $|A_t| = n$. Let X be an abstract set such that $|X| = n$ and let for every $t \in T$ $f_t: A_t \rightarrow X$ be a 1-1 onto function. Let \mathcal{A} be a countably generated σ -field on X containing $f_t(\mathcal{B}(A_t))$ for every $t \in T$. In case a) we can take simply $\mathcal{A} =$ the σ -field generated by the family $\bigcup \{f_t(\mathcal{B}(A_t)) : t \in T\}$. Let $g: X \rightarrow R$ be a characteristic function of a countable sequence of sets generating \mathcal{A} [18]. Define $A = g(X)$. We claim that $A \in \bigcap \{\mathcal{I}_t : t \in T\}$. Let $t \in T$ and let $B \subseteq R$ be such that there is a Borel measurable 1-1 function $f: B \rightarrow A$. Observe that $(f_t^{-1} g^{-1} f): B \rightarrow A_t$ is a 1-1 Borel measurable function. Hence we have $B \in \mathcal{I}_t$ because $A_t \in \mathcal{I}_t$.

- b) Choose for every $t \in T$ an $A_t \in \mathcal{I}_t$ such that $|A_t| = \min \{n, \aleph_1\}$.

Let f_t and X be such as in the case a). Choose for each $t \in T$ a countable family \mathcal{C}_t generating the σ -field $f_t(\mathcal{B}(A_t))$. We have $|\bigcup \{\mathcal{C}_t: t \in T\}| \leq \aleph_1$. Hence by a theorem of Rao [11] there exists a countably generated σ -field \mathcal{A} on X such that $\mathcal{C}_t \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ for every $t \in T$. Hence $f(\mathcal{B}(A_t)) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ for every $t \in T$. The rest of the proof is as in case a).

c) The proof is similar to a) and b) but to have a countably generated σ -field \mathcal{A} we use the following facts. It is known [9] that if Martin's Axiom holds and $|X| < 2^{\aleph_0}$ then $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is a countably generated σ -field on X . Rao [11] and Bing, Bledsoe and Mauldin [1] proved that for every set X such that $\mathcal{P}(X) \otimes \mathcal{P}(X) = \mathcal{P}(X \times X)$ we have that if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $|\mathcal{F}| \leq |X|$ then there is a countably generated σ -field \mathcal{A} on X with $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. Kunen (see [7] or [13]) proved that if we assume Martin's Axiom then $\mathcal{P}(X) \otimes \mathcal{P}(X) = \mathcal{P}(X \times X)$ for every X with $|X| \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$. (For X such that $|X| \leq \aleph_1$ the last statement is a theorem of ZFC, [11] or [7].)

Theorem 4 follows from Theorem 4* a) because it is known that there is $A_1 \in \mathcal{H}^*$ such that $|A_1| = m_1$ [6] and there is $A_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{L}}_0$ such that $|A_2| = m_2$ ([3], compare [6]).

Remark. If $X \subseteq R$ and all Borel isomorphic images of X into R are in $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_0 \cap \mathcal{H}$ then all Borel isomorphic images of X have to be in $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{H}^*$, where \mathcal{N} denotes the σ -ideal of universal null subsets of R [6]. Recall that it is well known that $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_0 = \mathcal{N}$ (compare e.g. [2]).

REFERENCES

- [1] BING R.H., BLEDSOE W.W., MAULDIN R.D. "Sets generated by rectangles", Pacific J. Math., 51 (1974), 27-36.
- [2] BROWN J.B., COX G.V. "Classical theory of totally imperfect spaces", Real Analysis Exchange 7 (1981-2), 185-232.
- [3] GRZEGOREK E. "Solution of a problem of Banach on σ -fields without continuous measures", Bull. Ac. Pol.: Math. 28 (1980), 7-10.
- [4] — "On some results of Darst and Sierpiński concerning universal null and universally measurable sets", Bull. Ac. Pol.: Math. 29 (1981), 1-5.
- [5] — "On sets always of the first category", Abstracta Seventh Winter School on Abstract Analysis, Math. Institute of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha 1979, 20-24.

- [6] -- "Always of the first category sets", Proceedings of the 12th Winter School on Abstract Analysis, Srní(Bohemian Weald), 15-29 January, 1984, Section of Topology, Supplemento ai Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Serie II-numero6-1984, 139-147.
- [7] KUNEN K. "Inaccessibility Properties of Cardinals", Ph. D. Thesis, Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, August, 1968.
- [8] KURATOWSKI C. "Topology, vol. I", Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [9] MARTIN D.A. and SOLOVAY R.M. "Internal Cohen extensions", Ann. Math. Logic 2 1970, 143-178.
- [10] MILLER A.W. "Special subsets of the real line" Handbook of Set Theoretic Topology", North Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [11] RAO B.V. "On discrete Borel spaces and projective sets", Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 75 (1969), 614-617.
- [12] ROTHBERGER F. "Sur un ensemble toujours de premiere categorie qui est depourvu de la propriete \wedge ", Fund. Math., 32 (1939), 294-300.
- [13] SHOENFIELD J.R. "Martin's axiom", Amer. Math. Monthly 82 (1975) 610-617.
- [14] SIERPINSKI W. "Hypothese du Continu", Monografie Matematyczne, Warszawa-Lwów 1934.
- [15] -- "Sur une extension de la notion de l'homeomorphie", Fund. Math. 22 1934, 270-275.
- [16] -- "Les fonctions continues et la propriete de Baire", Fund. Math. 28 1937, 120-123.
- [17] -- "Les transformations continues et les transformations par fonctions continues", C. R. Soc. Sci. Varsovie, Cl. III 30 (1937), 10-12.
- [18] SZPILRAJN(MARCZEWSKI) E. "The characteristic function of a sequence of sets and some of its applications", Fund. Math. 31 (1938), 207-223.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, GDAŃSK UNIVERSITY
 (INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI UNIWERSYTETU GDANSKIEGO)
 ul. WITA STWOSZA 57, PL-80-952, Gdańsk, POLAND