

D. G. Kesel'man

Choquet simplices and Harnack inequalities

In: Zdeněk Frolík and Vladimír Souček and Marián J. Fabián (eds.): Proceedings of the 14th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1987. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 14. pp. [339]–343.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701906>

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

CHOQUET SIMPLICES AND HARNACK INEQUALITIES

D. G. Kesel'man

Let S be a metrizable Choquet simplex, $E = E(S)$ the set of all extreme points of S and $C(\bar{E})$ the vector space of all continuous functions on $\bar{E}$. Given a point $x \in S$, we introduce a further notation: $\mathcal{M}_x$ - the set of all lower semicontinuous affine functions $s: S \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$ with $s(x) < +\infty$,

μ_x - the (unique) maximal measure representing the point x ,
 $\text{face}(x)$ - the smallest face of S containing x ,
 $\text{cl.face}(x)$ - the smallest closed face of S containing x .

By the solution of the Dirichlet problem for a boundary function f on E we understand the affine function u_f defined on

$$D_f = \{x \in S: f \in L^1(\mu_x)\}$$

by

$$u_f(x) = \mu_x(f).$$

Notice that D_f is always a face.

Consider the heat equation on a relatively compact region $Q = \Omega \times T$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. As noticed in [1], if we consider a compact set K and a point $x \in Q$ such that the time co-ordinate of any point of K is less than the time co-ordinate of x , then for each positive solution f of the heat equation on Q we have the Harnack inequality

$$\sup_{y \in K} f(y) \leq \alpha_K f(x).$$

The aim of this paper is to describe the points $x \in S$ for which an analogue of the Harnack inequality is satisfied on $\text{face}(x)$: i.e. for any compact set $K \subset \text{face}(x)$ there is a number $\alpha_K \geq 1$ such that for every (continuous) affine function $f: \text{face}(x) \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ we have

$$\sup_{y \in K} f(y) \leq \alpha_K f(x).$$

We prove that this is the case if and only if the restriction to $\text{face}(x)$ of the solution of the Dirichlet problem is continuous for f . This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

every boundary function from $L^1(\mu_x)$. Moreover, we show that if the considered $\text{face}(x)$ possesses the additional property $\overline{\text{face}(x)} = \text{cl. face}(x)$, then the solution of the Dirichlet problem is continuous at all points of $\text{face}(x)$ for every boundary function from $C(\overline{E})$. Notice that the property $\overline{\text{face}(x)} = \text{cl. face}(x)$ is natural for elliptic and parabolic equations.

Theorem 1. Let x be a point of S , $K \subset \text{face}(x)$ be a compact set and α be a number from $[1, +\infty[$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) for every continuous affine function $a: S \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ we have $\sup_K a \leq \alpha a(x)$,
- (ii) for every $\beta > \alpha$ we have $K \subset \beta x - (\beta - 1) S$,
- (iii) for every concave function $s: \text{face}(x) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ we have $\sup_K s \leq \alpha s(x)$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) cf. Theorem II.5.24 of [2].

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Let $y \in K$ and $\beta > \alpha$. Then there is $z \in S$ with $y = \beta x - (\beta - 1)z$.

Obviously $z \in \text{face}(x)$. Hence

$$\beta^{-1}s(y) \leq \beta^{-1}(\beta - 1)s(z) + \beta^{-1}s(y) \leq s(x).$$

Since $\beta > \alpha$ was arbitrary, the assertion follows.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is obvious.

Theorem 2. Let x be a point of S and $K \subset \text{face}(x)$ be a compact set. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) (a Harnack type inequality) there is a constant $\alpha \in [1, +\infty[$ such that for every continuous affine function $a: S \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ we have $\sup_K a \leq \alpha a(x)$,
- (i*) there is a constant $\alpha \in [1, +\infty[$ such that for every concave function $s: \text{face}(x) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ we have $\sup_K s \leq \alpha s(x)$,
- (ii) (a Harnack type monotone convergence theorem) every increasing sequence $\{a_n\}$ of real affine functions on $\text{face}(x)$ with $\sup a_n(x) < +\infty$ converges uniformly on K ,
- (iii) every increasing sequence $\{a_n\}$ of continuous real affine functions on S with $\sup_n a_n(x) < +\infty$ converges uniformly on K ,

- (iv) for every function $s \in \mathcal{M}_X$, the restriction $s|_K$ is continuous,
- (v) for every function $s \in \mathcal{M}_X$, the restriction $s|_K$ is bounded,
- (vi) for every function $f \in L^1(\mu_X)$, the restriction $u_f|_K$ is continuous.

Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (i*) by Theorem 1.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). We have

$$0 \leq a_{n+p} - a_n \leq \alpha(a_{n+p}(x) - a_n(x))$$

on K for every $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence the assertion follows.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is obvious.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). According to Corollary I.1.4 of [2] and the separability of the space of all real continuous functions on S , there is an increasing sequence $\{a_n\}$ of real continuous affine functions on S with $\sup_n a_n = s$. By (iii), $\{a_n\}$ converges uniformly to s on K and hence $s|_K$ is continuous.

(v) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $x_n \in K$ and a continuous affine function $f_n : S \rightarrow]0, +\infty[$ such that

$$f_n(x_n) \geq n^3 f_n(x).$$

Consider the function

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_k}{k^2 f_k(x)}.$$

Obviously $f \in \mathcal{M}_X$. We have

$$f(x_n) \geq \frac{f_n(x_n)}{n^2 f_n(x)} \geq n,$$

which contradicts (v).

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (vi). We already know (iv) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii). Let g be a real continuous concave function on S . According to Theorem II.3.7 of [2], $u_g \in \mathcal{M}_X$ and hence $u_g|_K$ is continuous by (iv). Since the set of all restrictions to $\bar{E}$ of differences of real continuous concave functions on S is dense in $C(\bar{E})$, we conclude $u_h|_K$ is continuous for every $h \in C(\bar{E})$. Using (ii) we deduce that $u_s|_K$ is continuous for every lower semicontinuous function $s : S \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$ with $\mu_X(s) < +\infty$. Hence the functions

$$x \mapsto \int^* f d\mu_X, \quad x \mapsto \int^* (-f) d\mu_X, \quad x \in K$$

are upper semicontinuous, which yields the assertion.

(vi) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) is obvious.

Corollary. Let $x \in S$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) for every compact set $K \subset \text{face}(x)$ there is a constant $\alpha_K \in [1, +\infty[$ such that

$$\sup_K a \leq \alpha_K a(x)$$

for any continuous affine function $a: \text{face}(x) \rightarrow [0, \infty[$,

(ii) for every function $f \in L^1(\mu_x)$, the restriction

$u_f|_{\text{face}(x)}$ is continuous.

Proof. Since $u_f|_{\text{face}(x)}$ is continuous if and only if $u_f|_K$ is continuous for every compact set $K \subset \text{face}(x)$, the assertion follows from the preceding theorem.

Theorem 3. Let $x \in S$. Assume that one condition of the preceding corollary is satisfied and, moreover, $\text{face}(x) = \text{cl. face}(x)$. Then u_f is continuous at all points of $\text{face}(x)$ for every $f \in C(\bar{E})$.

Proof. According to [3] it remains to verify that for every $y \in \text{face}(x)$ the measure μ_y is the only probability measure representing y supported by $\bar{E}$. Assume that there is $y \in \text{face}(x)$ and two different probability measures μ_y and ν_y on $\bar{E}$ representing y . Since $\text{cl. face}(x)$ is a simplex and $\overline{\text{face}(x)} = \text{cl. face}(x)$, it follows from [4] that there are sequences $\{y_n\}$ and $\{x_n\}$ of points of $\text{face}(x)$ with

$$\lim_n y_n = y, \quad \lim_n x_n = y$$

and

$$w - \lim \mu_{y_n} = \nu_y, \quad w - \lim \mu_{x_n} = \mu_y.$$

Consider a function $f \in C(\bar{E})$ such that

$$\mu_y(f) \neq \nu_y(f).$$

Then

$$\lim_n u_f(y_n) \neq \lim_n u_f(x_n)$$

which contradicts the continuity of $u_f|_{\text{face}(x)}$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author is indebted to Prof. J. Malý for his valuable improvements of the paper and its translation.

REFERENCES

- [1] BEAR H.S. "Ordered Gleason parts", Pacific journal of mathematics, 1976, V. 62, N 2, p. 337 - 349.
- [2] ALFSEN E.M. "Compact convex sets and boundary integrals", Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1971, 212 p.
- [3] KESEL'MAN D.G. "On some problems of Choquet theory connected with potential theory", Supplemento di Rendiconti del circolo matematico di Palermo, 1984, serio 11, N 5, p. 73 - 81.
- [4] KESEL'MAN D.G. "O granice Šilova v simplekse Šoke", Teorija funkcij, funkcional'nyj analiz i ich priloženija, 1984, vyp.42, s. 62 - 67.

AUTHOR'S ADDRESS: 414056 ASTRACHAN,
TATICEVA 16,
USSR