Imre Bihari Oscillation of Bôcher's pairs with respect to halflinear second order diff. equ.-s

In: Michal Greguš (ed.): Equadiff 5, Proceedings of the Fifth Czechoslovak Conference on Differential Equations and Their Applications held in Bratislava, August 24-28, 1981. BSB B.G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1982. Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik, Bd. 47. pp. 45--46.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/702256

Terms of use:

© BSB B.G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

OSCILLATION OF BOCHER'S PAIRS WITH RESPECT TO HALFLINEAR SECOND ORDER DIFF. EQU.-S Imre Bihari Budapest, Hungary

The equation in question is

(1)
$$(py')' + qf(y,py') = 0$$
 $('=\frac{d}{dx}), x \in I=(-\infty,\infty)$
 $p,q \in C(I), p>0, f(u,v) \in C(RxR), uf \geq 0$ $(f(o,v)=0)$
 $f(\lambda u, \lambda v) = \lambda f(u,v), \forall \lambda, u, v$
(uniqueness assumed, too).

In a former paper of mine the pair of functions

 $\Phi = \phi_1 y - \phi_2 p y^*, \quad \Psi = \phi_1 y - \phi_2 p y^*$

was investigated under the conditions

a) y is a solution of (1)

b) $\varphi_i, \psi_i \in C_1(I)$ and $\begin{vmatrix} \varphi_1 & \varphi_2 \\ \psi_1 & \psi_2 \end{vmatrix} \neq 0 \quad x \in I$ (i=1,2)

c)
$$\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\} \neq 0, \{\psi_1, \psi_2\} \neq 0, x \in I$$

where the symbol $\{g,h\}$ $(g,h\in C_1(I))$ is defined by

 $\{g,h\} = p(g'h-h'q)+g^2+pqhf(h,g),$

The results stated there were the following:

- 1° ϕ and Ψ have no common zeros,
- 2° they have no multiple zeros,
- 3° their zeros do not accumulate at a finite point,
- 4° the zeros of ϕ and Ψ if any separate each other,
- 5° if $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\} \cdot \{\psi_1, \psi_2\} < 0$, then only one of φ and Ψ can vanish, moreover once at most,
- 6° assuming simple conditions not detailed here y and \$ are oscillatory or not oscillatory simultaneously (i.e. in the same time), expressed otherwise: (1) and \$ (or \$) are oscillatory or not oscillatory simultaneously.

These statements involve a lot of theorems (old and new) concerning oscillations and non-oscillations.

In a recent paper - to appear - these results (except 5°) have been extended to the pair of functions

$$\mathbf{U} = \phi \mathbf{y}_1 - \phi \mathbf{p} \mathbf{y}_1^*$$
, $\mathbf{V} = \phi \mathbf{y}_2 - \phi \mathbf{p} \mathbf{y}_2^*$

where y; (i=1,2) are linear independent solutions of (1), i.e.

$$y_1'y_2 - y_2'y_1 \neq 0 x \in 1$$

and

Let be formulated here only the corresponding of 6°.

<u>Theorem I</u> (of the simultaneous oscillations): Under the above conditions y_i (i.e.(1)) and U (or V) oscillate or do not oscillate in the same time provided φ and ϕ are chosen in a suitable way, namely in such a manner that

(2)
$$2\phi_1 - \phi_{p_1}^* \neq 0, \quad x \in I \quad n = y_1^2 + y_2^2.$$

Since $\frac{n^2}{n}$ remains finite <u>inside</u> I, this choice is possible very easily, however it is connected to the given pair (y_1, y_2) of solutions of (1).

In the <u>linear</u> case - when $f(u,v)\equiv u$ - this choice is of universal validity, that means: once the pair (φ, ψ) is suitable chosen in the above sense to <u>a</u> pair (y_1, y_2) , the same pair (φ, ψ) is appropriate to any other pair $(\breve{y}_1, \breve{y}_2)$ of linear independent solutions of (1), i.e. if y_i and U are simultaneously oscillatory or non-oscillatory, then so are \breve{y}_i and \breve{U} , too.

In the oscillatory case $\frac{pn^2}{n}$ can be unbounded as $x + \pm \infty$, then - according to (2) - $\frac{\phi}{\psi}$ must behave in the same way. However in the non-oscillatory case the situation is more advantageous, namely the inequality

$$z < z_{o} - 2 \int_{x_{o}}^{x} q dx + 2c^{2} \int_{x_{o}}^{x} \frac{dx}{p\eta}, z = \frac{p\eta}{\eta}, z_{o} = z(x_{o})$$

 $c = p(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{2}, y_{1}) = const$

holds, where the second integral is convergent by a theorem of Hartman and Wintner and the first one cannot converge to $+\infty$ (by a theorem of Wintner), consequently if this integral does not converge to $-\infty$, then z is bounded and thus also $\frac{\varphi}{d_1}$ can be chosen to be bounded.

All the above results may be extended to half-linear systems, too.