Miloš Zahradník Inversion-closed space has Daniell property

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Seminar Uniform Spaces., 1975. pp. 233–234.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/703132

## Terms of use:

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

-233 -Inversion closed uniform spaces have the Daniell property

## M. Zahradník

Definition 1. A uniform space X is inversion closed iff for each positive uniformly continuous function f the function  $\frac{1}{4}$  is uniformly continuous.

Definition 2. A uniform space X has the Daniell property iff each family  $\{f_n\}$  of uniformly continuous functions such that  $l \ge f_n > 0$  is uniformly equicontinuous. It can be shown (see [1]) that the Daniell property of X implies that X is inversion closed. It is the aim of this note to prove the converse statement.

Proposition. Any inversion closed space X has the Daniell property.

Proof: Let  $\{f_{n,n=1,2,...}\}$  be a family of uniformly continuous functions such that  $1 \ge f_n \ge 0$ . We have to show that  $\{f_n\}$  is uniformly equicontinuous. It is possible to assume that  $1 > f_n(x) > f_{n+1}(x)$  for each  $x \in X$  and n = 1, 2, .... Define a function  $f_t$  for each  $t \in \langle 0, \omega \rangle$  as follows:  $f_t(x) = s \cdot f_{n+1}(x) + (1 - s) f_n(x)$  where s = t - [t], n = [t] (we put  $f_0 = 1$ ). Obviously  $f_t$  are uniformly continuous functions.

We shall show that the family  $if_t$  is uniformly equicontinuous. For each o' positive,  $o' \leq 1$ , consider the function

$$\Phi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) = \inf_{\mathbf{f}_{t}(\mathbf{x}) \neq \sigma} \{\mathbf{t}\}.$$

Lemma. Each  $\Phi_{\sigma'}$  is a cozero function. Then the inversion closed property of X will imply that  $\Phi_{\sigma'}$  is uniformly continuous (see [1]).

Proof of Lemma. We have -234i)  $\Phi_{-}^{-1}(c, \infty) = fx, f_{-}(x) > \sigma^2$ , ii)  $\Phi_{a}^{-1}(0,c) = \{x, f_{a}(x) < \sigma'\}$ .  $\Phi_{\sigma}^{-1}(\Omega)$  is a cozero set for each open  $\Omega \subset \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ , Thus q.e.d. Proof of uniform equicontinuity of {f<sub>t</sub>}: Notice that for  $n \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq n+1$  $|f_{t_1}(x) - f_{t_2}(x)| \le |t_2 - t_1| f_n(x) + |t_2 - t_1| f_{n+1}(x)$ . Hence (1)  $\|f_{t_1} - f_{t_2}\| \le 2 |t_2 - t_1|$  for each  $t_1, t_2 \in (0, \infty)$ . This implies (2)  $\inf_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}} | \Phi_{\mathcal{S}_1}(\mathbf{x}) - \Phi_{\mathcal{S}_2}(\mathbf{x}) | \ge \frac{|\delta_2 - \delta_1|}{2}$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose a uniformly continuous pseudometric  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ on X such that (3)  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) < 1 \implies |\Phi_{m\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{x}) - \Phi_{m\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{y})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}$ for each  $n = 1, 2, ..., [\frac{1}{2}]$ . If  $f_t(x) - f_t(y) \ge 2\varepsilon$ , then  $f_t(x) \le m\varepsilon$  and  $f_t(y) \ge (n+1)\varepsilon$ holds for some integer n. This means that  $\Phi_{m, \beta}(x) \leq t$ ,  $\Phi_{(m+1)e}(y) \ge t$ . Using (2) and (3) we get  $\Phi_{ns}(y) \ge t + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon}(x,y) \ge 1$ .  $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon}(x,y) < 1$  implies  $|f_t(x) - f_t(y)| < 2\varepsilon$  for each Thus t , q.e.d.

Reference:

[1] Frolik Z.: Three uniformities associated with uniformly continuous functions. Proc. Conf. Algebras: Continuous Functions, Rome, 1973. To appear.