## Aleksander V. Arhangel'skii A characterization of very *k*-spaces

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 18 (1968), No. 3, 392-395

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100841

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1968

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF VERY *k*-SPACES

A. ARHANGELSKIJ, MOSCOW (Received October 4, 1966)

We shall be concerned here only with Hausdorff spaces. In this case the definition of a k-space runs as follows:

**Definition 1.** (See [1], [2].) A topological space X is said to be a k-space if and only if all subsets of X having bicompact intersection with an arbitrary bicompact subspace of the space X are closed in X.

Thus the topology of a k-space is completely determined by the array of all bicompact subsets of this space. The class of k-spaces is very wide. Not only metric spaces and locally bicompact spaces belong to this class, but also all  $G_{\delta}$ -spaces (i.e. spaces complete in the sense of E. ČECH) do.

Unfortunately, a subspace of a k-space need not be a k-space: each completely regular  $T_1$ -space can be embedded into a bicompact Hausdorff space, and the latter is surely a k-space. The purpose of this note is to investigate which spaces are "very k-spaces".

**Definition 2.** A topological space X is said to be a very k-space if and only if each subspace of the space X is a k-space.

Remark 1. Obviously, each very k-space X must satisfy the following condition:

 $(k_1)$  If M is a subset of X and x is a point such that  $x \in [M]$ , then there exists a bicompact subspace  $\Phi$  of the space X such that

$$x \in [\Phi \cap M]$$
.

It seems quite natural to expect that this condition characterizes the k-spaces, but this is not true. There are k-spaces which do not satisfy this condition (an example can be found in [3]). For the full treatment of the subject see [4]; a classification of k-spaces, based on condition  $k_1$ , is given there.

Remark 2. Here is an obvious reformulation of definition 2.

.392

**Proposition 1.** A topological space X is a very k-space if and only if for each subset  $M \subset X$  and for each point  $x \in [M] \setminus M$  there exists a bicompact subset  $\Phi \subset M \cup \{x\}$  such that  $x \in [\Phi \setminus \{x\}]$ .

Now we shall state the main theorem.

**Theorem 1.** A space X is a very k-space if and only if for each subset  $M \subset X$  and for each point  $x \in [M]$  there exists a sequence  $\{x_n : n = 1, 2, ...\}$  of points in M such that  $\lim x_n = x$ .

Proof. Let  $M \subset X$  and let x be any point of the set  $[M] \setminus M$ . Evidently we can find a set  $L \subseteq M$  such that the two following conditions are fulfilled: 1)  $x \in [L]$ ; 2) if  $L' \subset M$  and  $x \in [L']$ , then the cardinality of L is less or equal to the cardinality of L. Proposition 1 enables us to find a bicompactum  $\Phi \subset L \cup \{x\}$  with the property  $x \in [\Phi \setminus \{x\}]$ . It follows from the choice of the set L that the cardinality of  $\Phi$  and the cardinality of L are equal. We denote it by  $\tau$ . Let us show that  $\tau = \aleph_0$ . Then the theorem will follow. The point x is not isolated in  $\Phi$ ; moreover, the character of the point x in the space  $\Phi$  is equal to  $\tau$ . Consider some base  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$  of x in  $\Phi$ , such that card  $A = \tau$ . We can suppose that the index set A is well ordered as the smallest ordinal corresponding to the cardinal number  $\tau$ . Now we are in need of some transfinite construction.

Let  $O_1 x$  be some neighbourhood of the point x such that  $[O_1 x] \subset U_1$  and let  $x_1$  be some point from  $O_1 x \setminus \{x\}$ . Suppose that we have defined, for all  $\alpha < \beta, \beta \in A$ , neighbourhoods  $O_{\alpha} x$  of the point x as well as points  $x_{\alpha} \in \Phi \setminus \{x\}$ . The cardinality of the set  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \{x_{\alpha}\}$  is less than  $\tau$ , hence  $[\bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \{x_{\alpha}\}] \notin x$ . Take for  $O_{\beta} x$  any neighbourhood of x such that  $[\bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \{x_{\alpha}\}] \cap [O_{\beta} x] = \Lambda$  and  $[O_{\beta} x] \subset U_{\beta}$ .

Now,  $\bigcap_{\alpha \leq \beta} O_{\alpha} x \setminus \{x\} \neq \Lambda$ . For the proof we need only to mention that the cardinality of the family  $\{O_{\alpha} x : \alpha \leq \beta\}$  is less than  $\tau$  if the character of x in  $\Phi$  is equal  $\tau$ . For  $x_{\beta}$ we choose any point from the set  $\bigcap_{\alpha \leq \beta} O_{\alpha} x \setminus \{x\}$ . In such a way we can define  $x_{\alpha}$  and  $O_{\alpha} x$ for all  $\alpha \in A$ . Consider the subspace  $X^* = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \{x_{\alpha}\} \cup \{x\}$  of the space X. Clearly, x is not isolated in  $X^*$ . On the other hand, the set  $X \setminus ([\bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \{x_{\alpha}\}] \cup [O_{\beta+1}x])$  is a neighbourhood of  $x_{\beta}$  which does not intersect the set  $X^* \setminus \{x_{\beta}\}$ . Hence all points of the set  $X^* \setminus \{x\}$  are isolated in  $X^*$ . By Proposition 1 we can find a bicompactum F in  $X^*$ such that x is a non-isolated point of this bicompactum. Now,  $F \setminus \{x\} \subset M$ . By the definition of the cardinal number  $\tau$ , the cardinality of F is equal to  $\tau$ . Let P be an infinite countable subset of the set  $F \setminus \{x\}$ . No point of the set  $F \setminus \{x\}$  is an accumulation point of this subset. It follows from the bicompactness of F that  $[P] \ni x$ . Now,  $P \subseteq M$ . Hence,  $\tau = \aleph_0$ . The theorem is proved.

Remark 3. In fact, the following general lemma is established by the argument:

**Lemma.** Let X be a bicompact space and let x be any point of X. Denote the character of x in X by  $\tau$ . We shall call the point  $x \, (\lambda$ -achievable", for some cardinal number  $\lambda$ , iff there exists a set  $P \subseteq X \setminus \{x\}$  of the power<sup>1</sup>)  $\lambda$  such that  $x \in [P]$ . If x is not  $\lambda$ -achievable for any  $\lambda < \tau$ , we can find the standard subspace  $X^* \subset X$  of the power  $\tau$ , only one point of which is not isolated in  $X^*$ , such that the neighbourhoods of the point in X are complements to arbitrary subsets of cardinality less than  $\tau$ .

Remark 4. The topological spaces in which the sequential closure of a set coincides with the closure of this set are called Frechet-Urysohn spaces (FU-spaces). So the theorem established may be formulated as follows: The class of all very k-spaces coincides with the class of all FU-spaces (among Hausdorff spaces!).

Now we will show how very k-spaces are related to metric spaces.

**Definition 3.** A map  $f: X \to Y$  is called *pseudoopen* if for each point  $y \in Y$  and for each open neighbourhood U of the set  $f^{-1}y$  the interior of the set fU contains y.

In [4] FU-spaces we characterized as pseudoopen continuous images of metric spaces. So we have

**Theorem 2.** A topological space X is a very k-space if and only if it is a pseudoopen continuous image of some (locally bicompact) metric space.

Remark 5. The  $k_2$ -spaces [4] have an obvious characterization as pseudoopen continuous images of locally bicompact spaces (see [4]).

From the main result of this paper, together with the main result of  $[7, \S 7]$ , the following theorem can be deduced.

**Theorem 3.** Let X be a topological group such that the space of this group is a p-space<sup>2</sup>). Then either of the two following conditions is fulfilled:

- 1) X is metrizable;
- 2) X contains a subspace, which is not a k-space.

Remark 6. This result is new and non-trivial even in the case when the space of the group under consideration is bicompact. In fact, a more general result holds: each dyadic bicompactum in which every subspace is a k-space must be metrizable.

In conclusion we will discuss another phenomena which can occur when dealing with k-spaces. The fact is that the product of two k-spaces need not be a k-space. This may happen even with very k-spaces. Theorem 2 enables us to give an indirect description of a wide class of FU-spaces, which is closed with respect to the product.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) "The power" means the same as "the cardinality".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>) For the definition of a *p*-space see [5] or [7]. In particular, any space which is  $G_{\delta}$  in its bicompactification, as well as any metric space, is a *p*-space.

The elements of the class are pseudoopen bicompact continuous images of metric spaces. It would be fine to know more about the topological structure of these spaces. I conjecture that all paracompact spaces, belonging to the class, are metrizable. If so, it would be a considerable generalization of the theorem on metrizability of all paracompact spaces which are open continuous bicompact images of metric spaces (see [6]).

## References

- [1] R. Arens: A topology of spaces of transformations, Ann. of Math. 47 (1946), 480-495.
- [2] J. L. Kelley: General Topology, Van Nostrand, New York, 1955.
- [3] Z. Frolik: Locally  $G_{\delta}$ -spaces. Czech. Math. J. 12 (87) 1962, 346 355.
- [4] А. В. Архангельский: Бикомпактные множества и топология пространств, Труды Моск. матем. о-ва 13 (1965), 3—55.
- [5] А. В. Архангельский: Об одном классе пространств, содержащем все метрические и все локально-бикомпактные пространства, ДАН 151 (1963), 751—754.
- [6] А. В. Архангельский: Об отображениях метрических пространств, ДАН 145 (1962), 245—247.
- [7] А. В. Архангельский: Об одном классе пространств, содержащем все метрические и все локально-бикомпактные пространства, Матем. сб. 67 (109) (1965), 55—85.

Author's address: Москевский государственный университет, кафедра геометрии и топологии Москва В — 234, СССР.