Josef Novák On convergence groups

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 20 (1970), No. 3, 357-374

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100973

# Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1970

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

## ON CONVERGENCE GROUPS

JOSEF NOVÁK, Praha (Received December 20, 1967)

A convergence group L is a group and a convergence space such that the mapping  $xy^{-1}$  on  $L \times L$  onto L is sequentially continuous, i.e. if  $\lim x_n = x$  and  $\lim y_n = y$ , then there is a sequence of naturals  $n_1 < n_2 < \ldots$  such that  $\lim (x_{n_i}y_{n_i}) = xy^{-1}$  [see 5]. O. SCHREIER published a paper [6] where the definition of L-groups is given. According to this definition the group operation  $xy^{-1}$  need not be sequentially continuous. Consequently the notions of L-groups and convergence groups differ from each other. Nevertheless, there is a close connexion between both notions (see p. 365).

The present paper deals with convergence groups. In section 1 the necessary notations, definitions and lemmas are given. Section 2 deals with convergence product of two convergence spaces and some point-properties of convergence spaces are studied. In section 3 the theory of convergence groups is developed. In section 4 some relations between convergence topological groups and convergence groups are stated. A problem of E. ČECH is solved concerning the existence of a convergence topological group with uncountable point characters.

#### 1

A closure space (P, v) is a point set P and a map v on the system  $2^{P}$  of all subsets of P into  $2^{P}$  such that

 $v\emptyset = \emptyset$ ,  $A \subset vA$  and  $v(A \cup B) = vA \cup vB$  for each  $A \subset P$  and  $B \subset P$ .

The map v is called the closure and vA the v-closure<sup>1</sup>) of the set A in P.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) For the sake of simplicity the symbols of closures, topologies and convergences are sometimes suppressed and in the symbol (x) meaning a one-point set the parenthesis () will often be omitted.

If the axiom

$$(T_1) vx = x ext{ for each } x \in P$$

is fulfilled, then P is called a  $T_1$ -closure space and v a  $T_1$ -closure.

If the axiom

(F) 
$$vvA = vA$$
 for each  $A \subset P$ 

is true, then we speak of a topological space and the closure v is called a topology for P.

Let L be a nonvoid point set. A sequential convergence class (or simply a convergence)  $\mathfrak{L}$  on L is the set of elements  $(\{x_n\}, x)$  where  $\{x_n\}$  denotes a sequence of points of L and x a point of L, fulfilling the three axioms of convergence:

 $(\mathscr{L}_0)$  If  $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{L}$  and  $(\{x_n\}, y) \in \mathfrak{L}$ , then x = y.

 $(\mathscr{L}_1)$  If  $x_0 \in L$  and  $x_n = x_0$  for n = 1, 2, ..., then  $(\{x_n\}, x_0) \in \mathfrak{L}$ .

 $(\mathscr{L}_2)$  If  $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{L}$  and  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  is any subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$ , then  $(\{x_{n_i}\}, x) \in \mathfrak{L}$ .

If  $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \Omega$ , then we say that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to the limit x, in symbols  $\Omega$ -lim  $x_n = x$  or<sup>1</sup>) simply lim  $x_n = x$ .

The closure  $\lambda A$  of a set  $A \subset L$  is defined as

(D<sub>1</sub>) the set of all points  $\lim x_n \in L$  such<sup>1</sup>) that  $\bigcup x_n \subset A$ .

From this definition it follows that  $\lambda$  fulfils the axiom (T<sub>1</sub>). In such a way the convergence  $\mathfrak{L}$  induces a T<sub>1</sub>-closure for L which will be called a convergence closure. The T<sub>1</sub>-closure space (L,  $\mathfrak{L}, \lambda$ ) is called a convergence space.

A convergence space  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda)$  need not be a topological space; it is possible to form successive closures of a set A in L:

$$\lambda^0 A \subset \lambda^1 A \subset \lambda^2 A \subset \ldots \subset \lambda^{\xi} A \subset \ldots$$

where  $\lambda^0 A = A$ ,  $\lambda^1 A = \lambda A$ ,  $\lambda^{\xi} A = \lambda \lambda^{\xi-1} A$  or  $= \bigcup_{\substack{\eta < \xi \\ \xi}} \lambda^{\eta} A$  according to whether  $\xi - 1$  exists or not. It can be easily proved that  $\lambda^{\xi}$  is a  $T_1$ -closure for L and that  $\lambda^{\omega_1} A$  is the smallest  $\lambda$ -closed set in L containing A as a subset. Therefore  $\lambda^{\omega_1}$  is a topology for L (fulfilling (F)).

The notion of *neighbourhoods* in a  $T_1$ -closure space (L, v) is defined in such a way that the following statement is true:

(1) A point  $x \in L$  belongs to the v-closure of a set  $A \subset L$  if and only if each neighbourhood of x contains at least one point of A.

358

From this postulate the definition of neighbourhoods in a convergence space  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda)$  follows:

A set  $U(x) \subset L$  is a  $\lambda$ -neighbourhood<sup>1</sup>) of a point x if

(D<sub>2</sub>)  $\mathfrak{L}$ -lim  $x_n = x$  implies that  $x_n \in U(x)$  for nearly all n.

In view of  $(\mathcal{L}_1)$ , each neighbourhood of x contains the point x. From  $(\mathcal{L}_2)$  it follows that the intersection of two neighbourhoods of a point x is a neighbourhood of the same point x.

Let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be  $T_1$ -closures for the same point set P. We say that  $v_1$  is finer than  $v_2$ or  $v_2$  is coarser than  $v_1$  if  $v_1A \subset v_2A$  for each  $A \subset P$ . According to (1),  $v_1$  is finer than  $v_2$  if and only if for each  $x \in P$  and every  $v_2$ -neighbourhood  $V_2$  of x there is a  $v_1$ -neighbourhood  $V_1$  of x such that  $V_1 \subset V_2$ .

A convergence  $\mathfrak{L}$  on L induces on L a convergence closure  $\lambda$  in a unique way. The convergence closure  $\lambda$ , however, can be induced by more than one convergence on L. This is shown by the following example.

Example 1. Let R be the set of all rational numbers and  $\mathfrak{R}$  the usual convergence on R. Let  $\mathfrak{R}'$  be the set of all elements  $(\{x_n\}, x), x \in R, x_n \in R$ , where  $\{x_n\}$  is a monotone sequence of numbers  $\mathfrak{R}$ -converging to x. Then  $(R, \mathfrak{R}, \varrho)$  and  $(R, \mathfrak{R}', \varrho')$  are two convergence spaces such that  $\mathfrak{R} \neq \mathfrak{R}'$  and  $\varrho = \varrho'$ .

From this it follows that it is possible to classify convergences on the same point set L by means of the equivalence relation  $\sim$ :

$$\mathfrak{L} \sim \mathfrak{M}$$
 whenever  $\lambda = \mu$ .

It can be proved [3] that in every class  $[\mathfrak{L}]$  of convergences on L there is a largest<sup>2</sup>) convergence  $\mathfrak{L}^*$  viz.  $\mathfrak{L}^* = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{L}^3} \mathfrak{R}$ . There is a one-to-one map of the system of all largest convergences on a given point set L onto the system of all convergence closures for L such that

$$\mathfrak{L}^* \subset \mathfrak{M}^*$$
 if and only if  $\lambda A \subset \mu A$  for each  $A \subset L$ .

The largest convergence  $\mathfrak{L}^*$  in the class  $[\mathfrak{L}]$  is characterized by the axiom [3]:

 $(\mathcal{L}_3)$  If  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence of points in a convergence space  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda)$  and x a point of L such that in each subsequence there is a subsequence  $\mathfrak{L}$ -converging to x, then  $\mathfrak{L}^*$ -lim  $x_n = x$ .

From  $(D_1)$  it follows

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>) The largest convergence is usually denoted by an asterisk; the Greek letters  $\lambda, \mu, \ldots$ , are used to denote convergence closures induced by convergence  $\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{M}, \ldots$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $(L, \mathfrak{L}_i, \lambda_i)$ , i = 1, 2, be convergence spaces on the same point set L. Then  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_1$  is finer than  $\lambda_2$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{L}_1^* \subset \mathfrak{L}_2^*$  and there is a point  $x_0 \in L$  and an infinite countable subset  $C \subset L$  such that  $x_0 \in \lambda_2 C - \lambda_1 C$ .

Let  $\varphi$  be a map on a convergence space  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda)$  into a convergence space  $(M, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent [3]:

- (2)  $\varphi(\lambda A) \subset \mu \varphi(A)$  for each  $A \subset L$ .
- (3) If x is a point of L and  $V(\varphi(x))$  a  $\mu$ -neighbourhood of the point  $\varphi(x)$  in M, then there is a  $\lambda$ -neighbourhood U(x) of x such that  $\varphi(U(x)) \subset V(\varphi(x))$ .
- (4) If  $\mathfrak{L}$ -lim  $x_n = x$ , then  $\mathfrak{M}$ -lim  $\varphi(x_{n_i}) = \varphi(x)$  where  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  is a suitable subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$ .

The conditions (2) and (3) concern the continuity and the condition (4) the sequential continuity of the map  $\varphi$ .

**Lemma 2.** A map  $\varphi$  on a convergence space  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda)$  into a convergence space  $(M, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$  is continuous if and only if

(5) 
$$\mathscr{L}\text{-lim } x_n = x \text{ implies } \mathfrak{M}^*\text{-lim } \varphi(x_n) = \varphi(x).$$

Proof. Let  $\varphi$  be continuous on L. If  $\mathfrak{L}$ -lim  $x_n = x$  and if  $\{\varphi(x_{n_k})\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is any subsequence of  $\{\varphi(x_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  then, in view of (4),  $\mathfrak{M}$ -lim  $\varphi(x_{n_{k_i}}) = \varphi(x)$ ,  $\{\varphi(x_{n_{k_i}})\}$  being a suitable subsequence of  $\{\varphi(x_{n_k})\}$ . Consequently (5) is true, by  $(\mathscr{L}_3)$ . Now, suppose that the condition (5) is fulfilled. Since  $\mathfrak{M}^* \in [\mathfrak{M}]$ , we have  $\varphi(x) \in \mu \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(x_n)$ . From this it easily follows that  $\varphi(x) = \mathfrak{M}$ -lim  $\varphi(x_{n_i})$  for a suitable subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$ . Therefore (4) is true.

The map  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism if  $\varphi$  is a one-to-one continuous map on L onto M such that  $\varphi^{-1}$  is also continuous.

2

Let  $(L_1, \mathfrak{L}_1, \lambda_1)$  and  $(L_2, \mathfrak{L}_2, \lambda_2)$  be convergence spaces. Denote  $L_1 \times L_2$  the Cartesian product of the sets  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . We define a convergence  $\mathfrak{L}_{12}$  on  $L_1 \times L_2$  as follows:

$$(\mathbf{D}_3) \quad (\{(x_n, y_n)\}, (x, y)) \in \mathfrak{L}_{12} \quad \text{if} \quad (\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{L}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad (\{y_n\}, y) \in \mathfrak{L}_2.$$

In such a way we get a convergence space  $(L_1 \times L_2, \mathfrak{L}_{12}, \lambda_{12})$  which is called the convergence product,  $\lambda_{12}$  being a convergence closure for<sup>3</sup>)  $L_1 \times L_2$  induced by  $\mathfrak{L}_{12}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>) The notation  $\pounds_{12}$  and  $\lambda_{12}$  will be used also in the case when  $L_1 = L_2 = L$ .

Notice that there is another T<sub>1</sub>-closure  $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2$  for  $L_1 \times L_2$ ; it is defined by means of neighbourhoods as follows:

(D<sub>4</sub>) A set  $W \subset L_1 \times L_2$  is a  $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2$ -neighbourhood of a point  $(x_0, y_0)$  if there is a  $\lambda_1$ -neighbourhood U of  $x_0$  in  $L_1$  and a  $\lambda_2$ -neighbourhood V of  $y_0$  in  $L_2$  such that  $U \times V \subset W$ .

From (1) it follows that the  $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2$ -closure of a set  $A \subset L_1 \times L_2$  consists of all points  $z \in L_1 \times L_2$  such that  $A \cap W(z) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2$ -neighbourhood W(z) of z. It is easy to prove that the map  $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2$  fulfils the axiom (T<sub>1</sub>); it will be called a product closure and always denoted by  $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2$ . Evidently  $\lambda_{12}$  is finer than  $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2$ .

Let us notice that  $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2$  need not be a convergence closure even if both convergence closures  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are topologies (fulfilling the axiom (F)) [3].

Now we are going to find a condition under which the equality  $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 = \lambda_{12}$  holds. For this purpose we shall define some local properties in a convergence space by means of neighbourhoods, closures and double sequences.

Let N be the set of all naturals. Let L be a convergence space. A map  $\varphi$  on  $N \times N$  into L such that  $\varphi(m, n) = x_{mn}$  is called the double sequence and denoted  $\{x_{mn}\}_{m,n=1}^{\infty}$  or simply  $\{x_{mn}\}$ . A sequence  $\{z_m\}$  is a cross-sequence in  $\{x_{mn}\}$  provided that there is a function f on N into N such that  $z_m = x_{mf(m)}$ . Each subsequence  $\{z_m\}$  of  $\{z_m\}$  will be called a cross-subsequence in  $\{x_{mn}\}$ .

Let  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda)$  be a convergence space. Let  $x_0$  be a point in L. We say that  $x_0$  has property  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$  respectively if the condition is true:

- (a) There is a decreasing sequence  $\{V_m\}$  of neighbourhoods of the point  $x_0$  such that each one-to-one sequence of points  $\{x_m\}$ ,  $x_m \in V_m$ , contains a subsequence converging to  $x_0$ .
- (β) If  $\{x_{mn}\}$  is a double sequence of points in L such that  $\lim_{n} x_{mn} = x_0$  for each m, then there is a function f(m) on N into N such that each cross-sequence  $\{x_{mn_m}\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ where  $n_m > f(m)$  contains a subsequence converging to  $x_0$ .
- ( $\gamma$ ) If  $\{x_{mn}\}$  is a double sequence of points in L such that  $\lim_{n} x_{mn} = x_0$ , for each m, then there is a cross-subsequence in  $\{x_{mn}\}$  converging to  $x_0$ .
- ( $\delta$ ) If  $\{A_m\}$  is a decreasing sequence of subsets of L and  $x_0 \in \lambda A_m$  for each m, then there is a sequence of points  $\{x_m\}$ ,  $x_m \in A_m$ , converging to  $x_0$ .

We say that the convergence space has property  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$  respectively if each of its point has the property in question. The property  $\gamma$  will be called *the cross-sub-sequence property* and the point  $x_0$  in question a  $\gamma$ -point.

In [4] I defined the property  $\varrho$  of a point  $x_0 \in L$  by the condition:

(q) There is a double sequence of points in L such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{mn} = x_0$  for each m and such that no cross-subsequence of it convergences to  $x_0$ .

A point with the property q will be called a q-point.

**Lemma 3.**  $(\alpha) \Rightarrow (\beta) \Rightarrow (\gamma) \Leftrightarrow (\delta) \Leftrightarrow (\operatorname{non} \varrho).$ 

Proof. ( $\alpha$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\beta$ ). If { $x_{mn}$ } is a double sequence of points such that  $\lim_{n} x_{mn} = x_0$ for each *m* and if { $V_m$ } is a decreasing sequence of neighbourhoods of  $x_0$  satisfying ( $\alpha$ ), then, by ( $D_2$ ), there is a function  $f_1(m)$ ,  $m \in N$ , such that  $n > f_1(m)$  implies  $x_{mn} \in V_m$ .

If the point set  $\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{mn}$  is finite, then the proof is evident; if it is infinite, arrange all  $x_{mn}$  distinct from  $x_0$  into a sequence  $\{a_k\}_1^{\infty}$  and choose, for each  $m \in N$ , a natural  $f_2(m)$  such that

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} a_k \cap \bigcup_{n=f_2(m)}^{\infty} x_{mn} = \emptyset.$$

Then put

$$f(m) = \max \{f_1(m), f_2(m)\}.$$

Hence ( $\beta$ ) follows from ( $\alpha$ ).

The implications  $(\beta) \Rightarrow (\gamma) \Rightarrow (\delta)$  and the equivalence  $(\gamma) \Leftrightarrow \text{non}(\varrho)$  are clear.  $(\delta) \Rightarrow (\gamma)$  remains to be proved. Let  $\lim_{n} x_{mn} = x_0$  for each *m*. Denote  $A_k = \bigcup_{m=k}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{mn}$ . In view of  $(\delta)$  there is a sequence of points  $\{z_k\}$ ,  $z_k \in A_k$ , converging to  $x_0$ . Evidently it contains a subsequence which is a cross-subsequence in  $\{x_{mn}\}_{m,n=1}^{\infty}$ .

It is clear that the point  $x_0$  has the property  $\alpha$  if the character<sup>4</sup>) of  $x_0$  is countable; as a matter of fact, if  $\{V_m\}$  is a sequence of neighbourhoods of  $x_0$  which form a base at  $x_0$  and if we choose any points  $x_m \in V_m$ , then  $x_0 \in \lambda \bigcup x_m$  so that ( $\alpha$ ) is true. On the other hand, there are points which have the property  $\alpha$  but fail to have a countable character. This is shown by

Example 2. Let *L* be a point set having an uncountable power  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ . Choose a point  $z_0 \in L$  and define:  $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{L}$  if  $x_n = x$  for nearly all *n* or if  $x = z_0$  and there is no constant subsequence  $\{y\}$  of  $\{x_n\}, y \neq z_0$ . Evidently  $\mathfrak{L}$  is a largest convergence and the convergence space  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda)$  is a topological space each point of which – except  $z_0$  – is isolated and the character of the point  $z_0$  is  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ . From this it instantly follows that *L* has the property  $\alpha$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>) The character of a point x is the least cardinal m such that there is a base of neighbourhoods at x of power m.

The conditions ( $\alpha$ ) and ( $\beta$ ) need not be equivalent. This is shown by

Example 3. Let X be a point set of an uncountable power  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ . Denote **F** the system of all finite subsets of X including  $\emptyset$ . Then (**F**,  $\vartheta$ ,  $\lambda$ ) is a convergence space the convergence  $\vartheta$  being defined in the usual manner<sup>5</sup>).

The space (**F**,  $\mathfrak{L}$ ,  $\lambda$ ) has the property  $\beta$ : Let  $\{X_{mn}\}$  be a double sequence of elements  $X_{mn} \in \mathbf{F}$  and  $X_0$  an element of **F** such that  $\lim X_{mn} = X_0$  for each m. Since  $X_0$  and  $X_{mn}$  are finite, there exists a function  $f_1(m)$  on N into N such that  $X_0 \subset X_{mn}$  for all  $n > f_1(m)$ . Denote<sup>6</sup>)  $B = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{mn} - X_0$ . If  $B = \emptyset$ , the proof is evident. If it is not the case, arrange all points of B into a finite or infinite sequence  $\{b_k\}$  of points and choose, for each  $m \in N$ , a natural  $f_2(m)$  such that  $X_{mn} \cap \bigcup_{k \le m} b_k = \emptyset$  for all  $n > f_2(m)$ . Put

$$f(m) = \max \{f_1(m), f_2(m)\}$$

Let  $\{X_{mn_m}\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  be any cross-sequence in  $\{X_{mn}\}$  such that  $n_m > f(m)$  for each  $m \in N$ . Since  $X_0 \subset X_{mn_m}$  and because every point of B belongs to  $X_{mn_m}$  for at most a finite number of m, it follows that  $\lim \sup X_{mn_m} - X_0 = \emptyset$  and so  $\lim X_{mn_m} = X_0$ .

The space (**F**,  $\mathfrak{L}$ ,  $\lambda$ ) has not the property  $\alpha$ : Let {**V**<sub>m</sub>} be a decreasing sequence of neighbourhoods of the element  $\emptyset \in \mathbf{F}$ . There is a disjoint uncountable collection **C** of countable infinite subsets  $C_i$  of X. Choose a point  $c_0^i$  in  $C_i$  and denote  $c_m^i$ , n = -1, 2, ..., all remaining points of  $C_i$ . In view of (**D**<sub>2</sub>), in the complement of every neighbourhood **V**<sub>m</sub> there is at most a finite number of one-point elements  $(x) \in \mathbf{F}$  and at most a countable number of two-point elements  $(c_0^i, c_n^i) \in \mathbf{F}$ . Because **C** is uncountable,  $\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{V}_m$  contains an element  $(c_0^k) \in \mathbf{F}$  and all two-point elements  $(c_0^k, c_m^k) \in \mathbf{F}$ , m = 1, 2, ... Since  $\operatorname{Lim}(c_0^k, c_m^k) = (c_0^k), (\alpha)$  is not fulfilled.

Notice that the subspace of  $(\mathbf{F}, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda)$  consisting of  $\emptyset$  and all one-point elements of  $\mathbf{F}$  is homeomorph to the topological space above (Example 2). Consequently the character of the element  $\emptyset$  in  $\mathbf{F}$  is  $\geq \aleph_a$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(L_i, \mathcal{L}_i, \lambda_i)$ , i = 1, 2, be convergence spaces. If  $L_1$  has the property  $\delta$  and  $L_2$  the property  $\alpha$ , then  $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 = \lambda_{12}$ .

Proof. Let  $(x_0, y_0)$  be a point in  $L_1 \times L_2$ . Assume that, on the contrary, there is a  $\lambda_{12}$ -neighbourhood  $O(x_0, y_0)$  of  $(x_0, y_0)$  such that  $U \times V \neq O(x_0, y_0)$  for each

<sup>5</sup>) Lim 
$$A_n = A$$
 whenever  $A = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n$ .

6) If the set operations  $\bigcap$  and  $\bigcup$  are used, then the elements  $X_{mn}$  are considered as subsets of X.

 $\lambda_1$ -neighbourhood U of  $x_0$  in  $L_1$  and each  $\lambda_2$ -neighbourhood V of  $y_0$  in  $L_2$ . We shall construct in the complement of  $O(x_0, y_0)$  a sequence of points  $\mathfrak{L}_{12}$ -converging to  $(x_0, y_0)$ . Let  $\{V_m\}$  be a decreasing sequence of  $\lambda_2$ -neighbourhoods of  $y_0$  in  $L_2$  having the property  $\alpha$ . Let  $A_m$  be the set of all points  $x \in L_1$  such that  $(x, y) \notin O(x_0, y_0)$  for some  $y \in V_m$ . Then  $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \ldots$  and each  $\lambda_1$ -neighbourhood of  $x_0$  in  $L_1$  contains at least one point of each  $A_m$ ; otherwise there would be a  $\lambda_1$ -neighbourhood U' of  $x_0$  in  $L_1$  and a natural p such that  $U' \cap A_p = \emptyset$  so that  $U' \times V_p \subset O(x_0, y_0)$  and this would be a contradiction. Consequently,  $x_0 \in \lambda_1 A_m$  for each m and, by ( $\delta$ ), there is a sequence  $\{x_m\}$ ,  $x_m \in A_m$ , converging to  $x_0$  in  $L_1$ . Choose points  $y_m^{(1)} \in V_m$  such that  $(x_m, y_m^{(1)}) \notin O(x_0, y_0), m = 1, 2, \dots$  If there is a one-to-one subsequence or a constant subsequence  $\{y_0\}$  of  $\{y_m^{(1)}\}$  then, in view of  $(\alpha)$ , the proof is finished. If it is not the case, then – without loss of generality – we may suppose that the sequence  $\{y_m^{(1)}\}$ is constant, say  $y_m^{(1)} = y^{(1)}$ . Evidently  $y^{(1)} \neq y_0$ . It is easy to see that the sequence of neighbourhoods  $V_m - (y^{(1)})$  of the point  $y_0$  in  $L_2$  fulfils the condition ( $\alpha$ ) and  $x_0 \in \bigcap \lambda A_m^{(2)}$ ,  $A_m^{(2)}$  being the set of all  $x \in L_1$  such that  $(x, y) \notin O(x_0, y_0)$ ,  $y \in V_m - (y^{(1)})$ . Now, suppose we have just found sequences  $\{(x_m^{(i)}, y^{(i)})\}_{m=1}^{\infty}, i = 1, 2, ..., k$ , such that  $\mathfrak{L}_1$ -lim  $x_n^{(i)} = x_0$  and  $y^{(i)}$  are distinct points of  $\bigcap V_m$ . Consider the sequence  $\{V_m - \bigcup_{i=1}^k (y^{(i)})\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{ of neighbourhoods of } y_0 \text{ and choose a sequence } \{(x_m^{(k+1)}, y_m^{(k+1)})\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ such that  $\lim_{m} x_{m}^{(k+1)} = x_{0}$  and  $\{y_{m}^{(k+1)}\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  is either a one-to-one or a constant sequence of points  $y_{m}^{(k+1)} \in V_{m} - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} (y^{(i)})$ . If it is one-to-one, then the proof is finished. If it is not one-to-one, then continue in constructing sequences  $\{(x_m^{(n)}, y^{(n)})\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ n = 1, 2, ... If no  $\{y_m^{(n)}\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  is one-to-one, we have a double sequence  $\{x_m^{(n)}\}$  and a oneto-one sequence of points  $y^{(n)} \in \bigcap V_m$ . Since the point  $x_0$  has the cross-subsequence pro-

perty, there is, by ( $\alpha$ ), a cross-subsequence in  $\{x_m^{(n)}, y^{(n)}\}_{m,n=1}^{\infty}$  of points in the complement of  $O(x_0, y_0)$  which  $\mathfrak{L}_{12}$ -converges to the point  $(x_0, y_0)$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let  $(L_i, \mathfrak{L}_i, \lambda_i)$ , i = 1, 2, be convergence spaces fulfilling the first axiom of countability. Then  $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 = \lambda_{12}$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda)$  be a convergence space having the property  $\alpha$ . Let  $(L \times L, \mathfrak{L}_{12}, \lambda_{12})$  be the convergence product of the space L. Then  $\lambda \times \lambda = \lambda_{12}$ .

The proofs of both corollaries follow instantly from Theorem 1 and Lemma 3.

#### 3

**Definition.** Let  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda)$  be a convergence space. Let (L, .) be a group with a group operation . on L. We say that  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda, .)$  is a convergence closure group or simply a convergence group if the map  $\delta(x, y) = xy^{-1}$  on the convergence product  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda) \times$ 

×  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda)$  onto  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda)$  is sequentially continuous, i.e. if the condition (SG) is fulfilled [5]:

(SG) If  $\mathfrak{L}$ -lim  $x_n = x$  and  $\mathfrak{L}$ -lim  $y_n = y$ , then there is a subsequence  $\{n_i\}$  of  $\{n\}$  such that  $\mathfrak{L}$ -lim  $(x_{n_i}y_{n_i}^{-1}) = xy^{-1}$ .

According to Lemma 2 the condition (SG) is equivalent to the condition

(S'G) If  $\mathfrak{L}$ -lim  $x_n = x$  and  $\mathfrak{L}$ -lim  $y_n = y$ , then  $\mathfrak{L}^*$ -lim  $(x_n y_n^{-1}) = x y^{-1}$ .

If  $\mathfrak{L}$  is a largest convergence on L, then from (S'G) it follows that L is a convergence group if and only if

(S\*G)  $\mathfrak{L}$ -lim  $x_n = x$  and  $\mathfrak{L}$ -lim  $y_n = y$  implies  $\mathfrak{L}$ -lim  $(x_n y_n^{-1}) = x y^{-1}$ .

Example 4.  $(R, \mathfrak{N}', \varrho, +)$  is a convergence group by (SG) (see Example 1). Since the sequences  $\{2^{-n} + (-4)^{-n}\}_{n=3}^{\infty}$  and  $\{2^{-n}\}_{n=3}^{\infty}$   $\mathfrak{N}'$ -converge to 0 and because  $\{(-4)^{-n}\}_{n=3}^{\infty}$  does not  $\mathfrak{N}'$ -converge at all, it follows that the condition (SG) is and (S\*G) is not fulfilled; therefore they are not equivalent.

Example 5. Let M be a set the elements of which are classes [f] of B-measurable functions f of real argument. Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  denote the convergence almost everywhere. Define [f] + [g] = [f + g]. Then M is a commutative group fulfilling the condition (S\*G) and consequently also (SG). Therefore  $(M, \mathfrak{M}, \mu, +)$  is a convergence group. It is well known that the largest convergence  $\mathfrak{M}^*$  is the convergence in measure and that  $\mathfrak{M} \neq \mathfrak{M}^*$ . From this it follows that (S\*G) need not imply  $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{L}^*$ .

Remark. In the literature ([6] and [7]) we find the following definition of a convergence group (so called L-group):

Eine Gruppe heisst L-Gruppe, wenn in ihr ein Grenzbegriff definiert ist, der folgenden Forderungen genügt:

- 1) Ist  $\lim a_n = a$  und  $\lim a_n = b$ , so ist a = b.
- 2) Ist  $\lim a_n = a$  und  $\lim n_y = \infty$ , so ist  $\lim a_{n_y} = a$ .
- 3) Ist  $\lim a_{n+1} = a$ , so ist  $\lim a_n = a$ .
- 4) Ist  $a_n = a$  für alle *n*, so ist lim  $a_n = a$ .
- 5) Ist  $\lim a_n = a$  und  $\lim b_n = b$ , so ist  $\lim a_n \cdot b_n = a \cdot b$ .
- 6) Ist  $\lim a_n = a$ , so ist  $\lim a_n^{-1} = a^{-1}$ .

The operation  $xy^{-1}$  on an *L*-group defined by 1)-6) need not be sequentially continuous in the sense of the continuity defined by (4). For example, let  $(R, \mathfrak{R}, \varrho, +)$ be the topological group of rational numbers,  $\mathfrak{R}$  being the usual convergence of rational numbers. Define a convergence  $\mathfrak{S}$  on  $R : \mathfrak{S}$ -lim  $x_n = x$  if  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  can be arranged into a nearly monotone<sup>7</sup>) sequence  $\{x_{f(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\lim (x_n - x) = 0$ , f(n) being a map on N into N such that  $\lim f(n) = \infty$ . Denote  $\sigma$  the convergence closure induced by  $\mathfrak{S}$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{S} \in [\mathfrak{R}]$ . Hence  $\sigma = \varrho$ . The operation x - y is continuous on  $(R, \mathfrak{R}, \varrho, +)$ , however, it fails to be continuous on  $(R, \mathfrak{S}, \varrho, +)$ . As a matter of fact, if we put  $x_n = 2^{-n} + (-4)^{-n}$  and  $y_n = 2^{-n}$ , then  $\mathfrak{S}$ -lim  $x_n = 0 = \mathfrak{S}$ -lim  $y_n$ , but the sequence  $x_n - y_n$  does not  $\mathfrak{S}$ -converge at all. From this it follows that the notion of the *L*-group depends on the choice of the representative in the class of equivalent convergences. This undesirable result makes the above definition of an *L*-group unsuitable from the topological point of view. It can be avoided if the postulate 3) is replaced by the axiom ( $\mathscr{L}_3$ ) or if both 5) and 6) are replaced by (SG).

**Lemma 4.** Let  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda, .)$  be a convergence group. Let U(x) be a  $\lambda$ -neighbourhood of a point  $x \in L$ . Let y be a point of L. Then the set  $y(U(x))^{-1}$  is a  $\lambda$ -neighbourhood of the point  $yx^{-1}$  and  $(U(x))^{-1}y$  a  $\lambda$ -neighbourhood of the point  $x^{-1}y$ .

Proof. If  $\{z_n\}$  is a sequence converging to  $yx^{-1}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  any subsequence of  $\{z_n\}$  then, by (SG), there is a subsequence  $\{z_{n_{i_k}}\}$  of  $\{z_{n_i}\}$  such that  $\lim_k (z_{n_{i_k}}^{-1}y) = x$  and so  $z_{n_{i_k}}^{-1}y \in U(x)$  and  $z_{n_{i_k}} \in y(U(x))^{-1}$  for nearly all k. From this it follows that  $z_n \in y(U(x))^{-1}$  for nearly all n. Hence  $y(U(x))^{-1}$  is a neighbourhood of  $yx^{-1}$  by (D<sub>2</sub>). The proof of the second assertion is analogous. (Cf. [2]).

**Lemma 5.** Let  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda, .)$  be a convergence group. Let  $x_0$  be a point of L. Then the maps

$$h_1(x) = x_0 x$$
,  $h_2(x) = x x_0$ ,  $h_3(x) = x^{-1}$ ,  $x \in L$ 

are homeomorphisms on Lonto L.

Proof. From (SG) it instantly follows that the operations  $h_j$  and  $h_j^{-1}$ , j = 1, 2, 3, are continuous.

**Lemma 6.** Let  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda, .)$  be a convergence group, A a subset and  $x_0$  a point of L. Then

$$\lambda(x_0A^{-1}) = x_0(\lambda A)^{-1}$$
 and  $\lambda(A^{-1}x_0) = (\lambda A)^{-1} x_0$ .

Proof. The maps  $g_1(x) = x_0 x^{-1}$  and  $g_2(x) = x^{-1} x_0$  are homeomorphisms on L onto L. Therefore  $\lambda g_j(A) = g_j(\lambda A), j = 1, 2$ . (Cf. [2]).

According to Lemma 5 a convergence group is topologically homogeneous, i.e. for any two points a and b of L there is a homeomorphism h on L onto L such that h(a) = b. There is another notion of homogeneity in convergence groups viz. the homogeneity of a convergence, which is defined as follows:

<sup>7)</sup>  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , is nearly monotone if there is a natural p such that  $\{x_n\}_{n=p}^{\infty}$  is monotone (either increasing or decreasing).

Let  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda, .)$  be a convergence group. We say that the convergence  $\mathfrak{L}$  is left or right homogeneous if for each point  $a \in L$  the condition  $(h_1)$  or  $(h_2)$  is fulfilled:

(h<sub>1</sub>) 
$$\mathfrak{L}$$
-lim  $x_n = e$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{L}$ -lim  $(x_n a) = a$ 

(h<sub>r</sub>) 
$$\mathfrak{L}$$
-lim  $x_n = e$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{L}$ -lim  $(ax_n) = a$ 

The convergence  $\mathfrak{L}$  is called homogeneous if it is both left and right homogeneous. If it is so then we say that the convergence group L is convergence homogeneous.

**Lemma 7.** Let  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda, .)$  be a convergence group. If the convergence  $\mathfrak{L}$  fulfils the condition (S\*G), then  $\mathfrak{L}$  is homogeneous.

Proof. If  $(\{x_n\}, e) \in \mathfrak{L}$  and  $a \in L$ , then  $(\{x_na\}, a) \in \mathfrak{L}$ , and  $(\{ax_n\}, a) \in \mathfrak{L}$ , by  $(S^*G)$ . If  $(\{x_na\}, a) \in \mathfrak{L}$  or  $(\{ax_n\}, a) \in \mathfrak{L}$ , then from  $(S^*G)$  it easily follows that  $(\{x_n\}, e) \in \mathfrak{L}$ .

From Lemma 7 it instantly follows that every largest convergence defined on a convergence group is homogeneous.

**Lemma 8.** Let  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda, .)$  be a convergence group. Then there is the smallest homogeneous convergence  $\mathfrak{L}^h$  containing  $\mathfrak{L}$  as a subset.

Proof. Denote  $\mathfrak{L}' = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{H} \supset \mathfrak{H}} \mathfrak{H}$  where  $\mathfrak{H}$  are homogeneous convergences on L containing  $\mathfrak{L}$  as a subset (for example  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{L}^*$ ). Since each  $\mathfrak{H}$  fulfils axioms  $(\mathscr{L}_0), (\mathscr{L}_1)$  and  $(\mathscr{L}_2)$  and satisfies conditions  $(h_1)$  and  $(h_r)$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{L}'$  is a homogeneous convergence. Consequently we may put  $\mathfrak{L}^h = \mathfrak{L}'$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{L}^*$  is a homogeneous convergence, we have  $\mathfrak{L} \subset \mathfrak{L}^h \subset \mathfrak{L}^*$  so that  $\mathfrak{L}^h \in [\mathfrak{L}]$ . Hence  $\lambda^h = \lambda$ .

Let us notice that a homogeneous convergence need not fulfil the condition (S\*G). This is shown by the convergence group  $(R, \mathfrak{R}', \varrho', +)$  in Example 4.

If a convergence group  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda, .)$  is convergence homogeneous, then it is possible to investigate local convergence properties by studying convergence properties only at one point, for example at the neutral element e of L. In this case it suffices to study "neutral" sequences, i.e. the elements  $(\{x_n\}, e\} \in \mathfrak{L}$ .

If G is a commutative group, then a homogeneous convergence on G can be defined by means of zero sequences in the following way:

**Theorem 2.** Let (G, +) be a commutative group. Let  $\mathfrak{G}(0)$  be the set of elements  $(\{x_n\}, 0)$  where  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence of points of G, fulfilling the conditions:

$$1^{\circ} \mathfrak{G}(0) \neq \emptyset.$$

2° If  $(\{x_n\}, 0) \in \mathfrak{G}(0)$ , then  $(\{x_n\}, 0) \in \mathfrak{G}(0)$  for each subsequence  $\{x_n\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$ .

 $3^{\circ}$  If  $(\{x_n\}, 0) \in \mathfrak{G}(0)$  and  $a \neq 0$ , then  $(\{x_n + a\}, 0)$  does not belong to  $\mathfrak{G}(0)$ .

367

4° If  $(\{x_n\}, 0) \in \mathfrak{G}(0)$  and  $(\{y_n\}, 0) \in \mathfrak{G}(0)$ , then there is a subsequence  $\{n_i\}$  of  $\{n\}$  such that  $(\{x_{n_i} - y_{n_i}\}, 0) \in \mathfrak{G}(0)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be the set of elements  $(\{x_n\}, x)$  defined as follows:

(D<sub>5</sub>)  $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$  if and only if  $(\{x_n - x\}, 0) \in \mathfrak{G}(0)$ .

Then  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a homogeneous convergence on G and  $(G, \mathfrak{G}, \gamma, +)$  is a convergence commutative group.

Proof. From 1° and 4° it follows that  $(\{0\}, 0) \in \mathfrak{G}(0)$ . Therefore  $(\{x\}, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$  for each  $x \in G$ , by  $(\mathbf{D}_5)$ . If  $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$  and  $(\{x_n\}, y) \in \mathfrak{G}$ , then  $(\{x_n - x\}, 0) \in \mathfrak{G}$  and  $(\{x_n - x + a\}, 0) \in \mathfrak{G}$  where a = x - y. Therefore x = y, by 3°. If  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  and  $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$  then  $(\{x_{n_i}\}, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$ , by 2°. Therefore axioms  $(\mathscr{L}_0), (\mathscr{L}_1)$  and  $(\mathscr{L}_2)$  are fulfilled. The homogeneity of \mathfrak{G} immediately follows from  $(\mathbf{D}_5), (\mathbf{h}_l)$  and  $(\mathbf{h}_r)$ .

Now, let  $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$  and  $(\{y_n\}, y) \in \mathfrak{G}$ . Then  $(\{x_n - x\}, 0) \in \mathfrak{G}(0)$  and  $(\{y_n - y\}, 0) \in \mathfrak{G}(0)$ . According to  $4^\circ$  there is a subsequence  $\{n_i\}$  of  $\{n\}$  such that  $(\{x_{n_i} - x - (y_{n_i} - y)\}, 0) \in \mathfrak{G}(0)$  and since + is a commutative operation, we have  $(\{x_{n_i} - y_{n_i}\}, x - y) \in \mathfrak{G}$ . Hence  $(G, \mathfrak{G}, \gamma, +)$  is a convergence commutative group.

It is well known that each topological group is a completely regular space. The notion of complete regularity of topological spaces corresponds to the notion of sequential regularity<sup>8</sup>) of convergence spaces. Now we shall construct convergence groups which fail to be sequentially regular.

**Lemma 9.** Let  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda, .)$  be a convergence group and  $\{L_n\}_1^\infty$  an increasing sequence of subgroups of L such that  $\bigcup L_n = L$ . Let  $\mathfrak{L}_a$  be the set of all  $(\{x_n\}, x)$  with the property

(D<sub>6</sub>)  $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{L}$  and  $x_n \in L_p$  for nearly all n where p is a suitable natural (depending on  $\{x_n\}$ ).

Then  $(L, \mathfrak{L}_a, \lambda_a, .)$  is a convergence group and  $\lambda_a$  is finer than  $\lambda$ .

Proof. It can be easily proved that the axioms  $\mathscr{L}_0$ ,  $\mathscr{L}_1$  and  $\mathscr{L}_2$  are satisfied and the condition (SG) is fulfilled. Since  $\mathfrak{L}_a \subset \mathfrak{L}$ ,  $\lambda_a$  is finer than  $\lambda$ .

**Lemma 10.** Let  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda, .)$  be a convergence group. Let the convergence closure  $\lambda$  be a topology. Let  $\{L_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a strictly increasing sequence of subgroups such that  $\bigcup L_n = L = \lambda L_1$ . Then the convergence group  $(L, \mathfrak{L}_a, \lambda_a, .)$  fails to be either regular or sequentially regular.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>) A convergence space  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda)$  is sequentially regular if for each point  $x_0$  and each sequence of points  $x_n \in L$  such that no subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x_0$  there is a (sequentially) continuous function f on L such that  $\{f(x_n)\}$  does not converge to  $f(x_0)$ .

Proof. First prove that  $\lambda \neq \lambda_a$ : Choose a point  $y_m$  in  $L_{m+1} - L_m$  for each m. Since  $L = \lambda L_1$ , there is a one-to-one sequence  $\{y_{mn}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of points  $y_{mn} \in L_1$  which  $\mathfrak{L}$ -converges to  $y_m$ . By (SG) there is a subsequence  $\{y_m y_{mn}^{-1}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of  $\{y_m y_{mn}^{-1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  which  $\mathfrak{L}$ -converges to e. From  $(\mathbf{D}_6)$  it follows that  $\mathfrak{L}_a$ -lim  $y_m y_{mn_i}^{-1} = e$  for each m and that no cross-subsequence of the double sequence  $\{y_m y_{mn_i}^{-1}\} = \mathfrak{L}_a$ -converges to e. Consequently, e is a  $\varrho$ -point in  $(L, \mathfrak{L}_a, \lambda_a)$ . Hence, by the remark on the page 371,  $\lambda_a$  is not a topology. Therefore  $\lambda \neq \lambda_a$ .

 $(L, \mathfrak{L}_a, \lambda_a, .)$  is not sequentially regular: Since  $\lambda_a$  is finer than  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda \neq \lambda_a$ , it follows from Lemma 1 that there is a point  $x_0$  and an  $\mathfrak{L}$ -convergent sequence of points  $z_m$  in Lsuch that  $x_0 \in \lambda \bigcup z_m - \lambda_a \bigcup z_m$ . Then  $z_m \neq x_0$  for each m and no subsequence of  $\{z_m\}$  $\mathfrak{L}_a$ -converges to  $x_0$ . Let g be a real-valued function which is sequentially continuous on  $(L, \mathfrak{L}_a, \lambda_a, .)$ . We prove that  $\lim g(z_m) = g(x_0)$ . By  $(\mathscr{L}_3)$  it is sufficient to prove that for any subsequence  $\{x_m\}$  of  $\{z_m\}$  there is a subsequence  $\{x_{mi}\}$  of  $\{x_m\}$  such that  $\lim g(x_{mi}) = g(x_0)$ . Because  $L = \lambda L_1$ , there is a sequence  $\{x_{mn}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of points  $x_{mn} \in L_1$ ,  $x_{mn} \neq x_0$ , which  $\mathfrak{L}$ -converges and, by  $(\mathbf{D}_6)$ , also  $\mathfrak{L}_a$ -converges to  $x_m$  for each mBy (5) there is a natural  $k_m$  such that

(+) 
$$|g(x_m) - g(x_{mn})| < m^{-1}$$
 for all  $n \ge k_m$ 

Denote  $A = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\substack{n=k_m \ m=1}}^{\infty} x_{mn}$ . Since  $\Omega$ -lim  $x_m = x_0$ ,  $x_{mn} \neq x_0$  and because  $\lambda$  fulfils (F), we have  $x_0 \in \lambda A$ . Hence there is a cross-subsequence  $\{x_{m,n_{m_i}}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  in  $\{x_{mn}\}_{m=1,n=k_m}^{\infty}$  which  $\Omega$ -converges and consequently, by (D<sub>6</sub>), also  $\Omega_a$ -converges to  $x_0$ . Therefore  $\lim_{i} g(x_{m,n_{m_i}}) = g(x_0)$ , by Lemma 2. Because  $n_{m_i} \ge k_{m_i}$  and in view of (+) we have  $\lim_{i} g(x_{m_i}) = g(x_0)$ .

 $(L, \mathfrak{L}_a, \lambda_a, .)$  is not regular: Let  $\{z_m\}, \{x_m\}$  and  $\{x_{mn}\}$  have the same meaning as above. Since no subsequence of  $\{x_m\}$   $\mathfrak{L}_a$ -converges to  $x_0$ , from  $(D_2)$  it follows that  $L - \bigcup x_m$  is a  $\lambda_a$ -neighbourhood of  $x_0$  in L. If there were a  $\lambda_a$ -neighbourhood V of  $x_0$ such that  $\lambda_a V \subset L - \bigcup x_m$ , then  $\lambda_a V \cap \bigcup x_m = \emptyset$  and there would be, by  $(D_1)$ , a function f on N into N such that no point  $x_{mn}$ ,  $n \ge f(m)$  would belong to V. However  $x_0 \in \lambda \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=f(m)}^{\infty} x_{mn}$ . This is, by (1), a contradiction.

Example 6. Let  $(R, \mathfrak{R}, \varrho, +)$  be the topological group of rational numbers (see Example 1). Let  $R_k$  be the set of all rational numbers of the form  $rs^{-1}$  where r denotes an integer and  $s = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{m_i}$ ,  $p_i$  being the *i*-th prime number and  $m_i$  a nonnegative integer,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Then  $\{R_k\}_{k=1}$  is a strictly increasing sequence of subgroups of R such that  $R = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} R_k$  and  $R = \varrho R_1$ . Therefore, by Lemma 10,  $(R, \mathfrak{R}_a, \varrho_a, +)$  is a convergence group which is neither regular nor sequentially regular.

369

Let  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda)$  be a convergence space. Then  $(L, \lambda^{\omega_1})$  is a topological space [3] where  $\lambda^{\omega_1}$  is the finest topology coarser than  $\lambda$ . Let . be a group operation on L such that  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda, .)$  is a convergence group. There arises a question whether  $(L, \lambda^{\omega_1}, .)$ is always a topological group. The answer is negative. As a matter of fact,  $(R, \mathfrak{R}_a, \varrho_a, +)$  is a convergence group (see Example 6) in which the set  $P = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^{-1}$  is  $\varrho_a^{-1}$ closed; consequently it is  $\varrho_a^{\omega_1}$ -closed in  $(R, \varrho_a^{\omega_1})$ . Therefore R - P is a  $\varrho_a^{\omega_1}$ -neighbourhood of the zero-element 0 in  $(R, \varrho_a^{\omega_1})$ . Suppose that U(0) is a  $\varrho_a^{\omega_1}$ -neighbourhood contained in R - P. Then U(0) is a  $\varrho_a$ -neighbourhood as well,  $\varrho_a$  being finer than  $\varrho_a^{\omega_1}$ . Since  $P \cap \varrho_a U(0) \neq 0$  and  $\varrho_a U(0) \subset \varrho_a^{\omega_1} U(0)$ , we have  $\varrho_a^{\omega_1} U(0) \notin R - P$ . Therefore  $(R, \varrho_a^{\omega_1})$  fails to be a regular topological space. For this reason  $(R, \varrho_a^{\omega_1}, +)$ cannot be a topological group.

Let (G, u, .) be a topological group. Define a convergence  $\mathfrak{L}_u$  as follows:  $(\{x_n\}, x) \in \mathfrak{L}_u$  whenever each *u*-neighbourhood of the point *x* contains nearly all  $x_n$ . Then  $\mathfrak{L}_u$  induces a convergence closure  $\lambda_u$  which is the coarsest convergence closure for G finer than u [3].

**Lemma 11.** Let (G, u, .) be a topological group. Then  $(G, \mathfrak{L}_u, \lambda_u, .)$  is a convergence group.

Proof. Let  $\mathfrak{L}_u$ -lim  $x_n = x$  and  $\mathfrak{L}_u$ -lim  $y_n = y$ . Let W be a u-neighbourhood of the point  $xy^{-1}$ . Then there are u-neighbourhoods U and V of points x and y such that  $UV^{-1} \subset W$ . Hence  $x_n y_n^{-1} \in W$  for nearly all n. Consequently  $\mathfrak{L}_u$ -lim  $x_n \cdot y_n^{-1} = x \cdot y^{-1}$  and (SG) holds true.

Now we shall define the notion of a convergence subgroup. Let  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda, .)$  be a convergence group. Each  $\lambda$ -closed subgroup of L will be called a convergence subgroup of L. It can be easily proved that a non-empty subset H of a convergence group is a convergence subgroup if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

(D<sub>7</sub>) If  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are sequences of points of H such that  $\lim x_n = x$  and  $\lim y_n = y$ , then  $xy^{-1} \in H$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda, .)$  be a convergence group. Let H be a subgroup of L and  $\xi$  an ordinal. Then  $\lambda^{\xi}H$  is a subgroup of L and  $\lambda^{\omega_1}H$  is the smallest closed subgroup containing H as a subgroup.

Proof. Suppose, the assertion is true for all ordinals  $\xi$  such that  $\xi < \alpha$ . Let x, y be points of  $\lambda^{\alpha}H$ . If  $\alpha - 1$  exists, then  $\mathfrak{L}$ -lim  $x_n = x$  and  $\mathfrak{L}$ -lim  $y_n = y$  where  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are suitable sequences of points in  $\lambda^{\alpha-1}H$ . Then  $x_ny_n^{-1} \in \lambda^{\alpha-1}H$ ; consequently  $xy^{-1} \in \lambda^{\alpha}H$ . If  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\alpha - 1$  does not exist then  $\lambda^{\alpha}H = \bigcup_{\xi < \alpha} \lambda^{\xi}H$ ; therefore  $\lambda^{\alpha}H$  is a group. From this it follows that  $\lambda^{\omega_1}H$  is the smallest  $\lambda$ -closed group containing H as a subset.

Let  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda, .)$  be a convergence commutative group and H an invariant convergence subgroup of L. Define the convergence on the quotient group L/H as follows:

(D<sub>8</sub>) If  $T_n$  and T are cosets of H then  $\lim T_n = T$  whenever there is a sequence  $\{b_n\}$  of points  $b_n \in T_n$  and a point  $b \in T$  such that  $\mathfrak{L}$ -lim  $b_n = b$ .

The axioms  $(\mathscr{L}_1)$  and  $(\mathscr{L}_2)$  are evidently fulfilled. Now, prove that also the axiom  $(\mathscr{L}_0)$  is true. Let  $(D_8)$  hold. Let  $c_n \in T_n$  and  $c \in T_0$  and  $\mathfrak{L}$ -lim  $c_n = c$ . Since H is closed, we have  $bc^{-1} \in H$ , by (SG). It follows  $c \in T$  and  $T = T_0$ .

It is easy to see that the convergence on the quotient group L/H fulfils the condition (SG). Consequently L/H is a convergence group.

### 4

Now, consider the relation between convergence and topological groups. The topological group (G, u, .) is a group and a topological space such that the map  $xy^{-1}$  on the Cartesian product  $G \times G$  onto G is continuous. This condition is equivalent to the following well known condition:

(TG) If x and y are points of G and W a neighbourhood of the point  $xy^{-1}$ , then there are neighbourhoods U of x and V of y such that  $UV^{-1} \subset W$ .

In the definition of the topological group the topology u cannot be replaced by a T<sub>1</sub>-closure (especially by a convergence closure) v which does not fulfil the axiom (F). This is shown by the following

**Lemma 12.** Let  $(G, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda)$  be a convergence space and (G, .) a group fulfilling the condition (TG). Then  $\lambda$  is a topology.

Proof. Suppose that, on the contrary, there is a set  $A \subset G$  and a point  $a \in \lambda \lambda A - \lambda A$ . Choose a  $\lambda$ -neighbourhood V(a) of a such that  $A \cap V(a) = \emptyset$ . By (TG), there are  $\lambda$ -neighbourhoods U(e) of e and U(a) of a such that U(a).  $U(e) \subset V(a)$ . Since  $a \in \lambda \lambda A$ , there is a point  $b \in \lambda A \cap U(a)$ . Consequently, by Lemma 4, there is a point  $c \in A \cap (b \ U(e))$ . Hence,  $b \ U(e) \subset U(a) \ U(e) \subset V(a)$  implies  $c \in A \cap V(a)$ . This is a contradiction. (Cf. [2].)

Remark. L. MIŠÍK [4] has proved that a convergence group  $(L, \mathfrak{L}^*, \lambda, .)$  is a topological space if and only if there is no  $\varrho$ -point in L. Since each convergence belonging to the class  $[\mathfrak{L}]$  induces the same convergence closure on L and because, by Lemma 3, both conditions  $(\gamma)$  and (non  $\varrho$ ) are equivalent, it follows

**Corollary 3.** The convergence group  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda, .)$  is a topological space if and only if each point of L has a cross-subsequence property.

Let  $(L, \mathfrak{Q}, \lambda)$  be a convergence space in which a group operation . is defined. Denote<sup>3</sup>)  $\lambda_{12}$  the convergence product closure for  $L \times L$  and by  $\lambda \times \lambda$  the T<sub>1</sub>-closure for  $L \times L$  defined by the product of  $\lambda$ -neighbourhoods. From  $(D_2)$  and  $(D_1)$  it follows that if  $(L, \mathfrak{Q}, \lambda)$  fulfills (TG), then (SG) is fulfilled as well. If  $\lambda \times \lambda = \lambda_{12}$ , then both systems are equivalent: the system of all  $\lambda \times \lambda$ -neighbourhoods and the system of all  $\lambda_{12}$ -neighbourhoods; in this case both conditions (TG) and (SG) are equivalent<sup>9</sup>).

From this it can be deduced that the theory of convergence groups  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda, .)$  such that  $\lambda \times \lambda = \lambda_{12}$  is involved in the theory of topological groups. All such convergence groups fulfil the axiom of closed closure (F), by Lemma 12.

There are, however, convergence groups containing non-closed closures as subsets, for example the convergence group  $(R, \mathfrak{R}_a, \varrho_a, +)$ . The group operations  $xy^{-1}$  in such convergence groups are sequentially continuous in the Cartesian convergence product closure  $\lambda_{12}$  without being continuous in the Cartesian neighbourhood closure  $\lambda \times \lambda$ . This is possible only in the case when  $\lambda \times \lambda \neq \lambda_{12}$ . A convergence group  $(R, \mathfrak{R}_a, \varrho_a, +)$  mentioned above is the case like this.

The existence of some convergence nontopological groups of quite another kind follows from the fact that the set R of all rational numbers  $r_n$ , n = 1, 2, ..., is not  $G_{\delta}$  in the set of reals and consequently

$$R \in \lambda \lambda \mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{A}$$
 where  $\mathbf{A} = \bigcup_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} (r_k - n^{-1}, r_k + n^{-1}) \right)$ 

From this it can be deduced that the following groups are convergence nontopological groups: The system of all linear Borel sets, the system  $2^X$  of all subsets of a given set of power  $\ge 2^{\aleph_0}$  with the symmetric difference as a group operation and with the usual convergence of sequences of sets, the class of all Baire functions and the class of all real-valued functions on a given point set of power  $\ge 2^{\aleph_0}$  with the addition as a group-operation and with the convergence at each point.

From Corollary 1 and from what has been noticed above it instantly follows

**Corollary 4.** Let  $(L, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda, .)$  be a convergence group every point of which has a countable character. Then  $(L, \lambda, .)$  is a topological group.

Now we are going to construct a convergence group ( $\mathbf{F}, \mathfrak{L}, \lambda, +$ ) such that ( $\mathbf{F}, \lambda, +$ ) is a topological group every point of which has an uncountable character.

Let X be an infinite point set of power  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ . Denote by **F** the system of all finite subsets of X including the empty set  $\emptyset$ . Then **F** is a convergence group with the symmetric difference as a group operation. Denote it by (**F**,  $\mathfrak{L}$ ,  $\lambda$ , +).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>) I do not know whether the equivalence of both conditions (TG) and (SG) implies  $\lambda \times \lambda = \lambda_{12}$ .

Let  $X{H_x; x \in X}$  be the product of topological groups  $H_x$  where each  $H_x, x \in X$ , consists of two elements 0 and 1 with the addition modulo 2 as a group operation. It is well known that  $X{H_x; x \in X}$  is homeomorphic to the topological group  $(2^x, u, +)$ . Then  $(\mathbf{F}, v, +)$  is a subgroup and a subspace of  $2^x$  with the topology v such that  $\mathbf{G} \subset \mathbf{F}$  implies  $v\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{F} \cap u\mathbf{G}$ . Hence  $(\mathbf{F}, v, +)$  is a topological group.

It is easy to see that the topology v for **F** can be defined by means of Cartesian neighbourhoods  $U(A; B) \subset F$  as follows:

The v-neighbourhood U(A; B) of an element  $A \in F$  where B is any element of F,  $B \cap A = \emptyset$ , is the set of all elements  $Z \in F$  such that  $A \subset Z \subset X - B$ .

If U(A; B) is any v-neighbourhood of A and  $\{A_n\}$  is a sequence of elements  $A_n \in \mathbf{F}$  such that  $\lim A_n = A$  then, evidently,  $A \subset A_n \subset X - B$  for nearly all n. It follows, by  $(\mathbf{D}_2)$ , that  $\lambda$  is finer than v. Now we shall prove that

(i) 
$$\lambda = v$$

Proof<sup>10</sup>). Let  $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{F}$  and  $A \in v\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}$ . If we have chosen *v*-neighbourhoods  $\mathbf{U}(A; \bigcup_{j=1}^{i} B_j - A), i = 1, 2, ..., k$ , such that  $B_j - A$  are disjoint sets and  $B_j$  belong to  $\mathbf{A}$  then there is an element  $B_{k+1} \in \mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{U}(A; \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} B_j)$ . In such a way we have a sequence of elements  $B_n \in \mathbf{A}$  such that  $\lim_{k \to \infty} (B_n - A) = \emptyset$ , i.e.  $\lim_{k \to \infty} B_n = A$ . Consequently  $v\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{C} \lambda \mathbf{A}$  and v is finer than  $\lambda$ .

(ii) The character of the zero-element  $\emptyset$  in **F** is  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ .

Proof. First notice that the character of  $\emptyset$  in **F** cannot exceed  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ , the collection of all Cartesian neighbourhoods  $U(\emptyset; B)$  having the power  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ . On the other hand, from Example 3 it follows that  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  cannot exceed the character of  $\emptyset$  in **F**.

(iii) (**F**,  $\mathfrak{L}$ ,  $\lambda$ , +) is a  $\sigma$ -countably compact space.

Proof. Let p be a natural. It suffices to prove that the system of all sets of the power  $\leq p$  is countably compact in **F**. As a matter of fact, suppose that the assertion is true for all  $q \leq r$  where r < p. Let  $\{A_n\}$  be a sequence of sets  $A_n \subset X$  of powers  $\leq r + 1$ . If Lim sup  $A_n = \emptyset$  then Lim  $A_n \in \mathbf{F}$ . If there is a point  $x_0 \in \text{Lim sup } A_n$ , choose a subsequence  $\{A_{n_i}\}$  of all  $A_n$  which contain  $x_0$ . By our supposition there is a subsequence  $\{A_{n_i}, -(x_0)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of the sequence  $\{A_{n_i} - (x_0)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  and a set  $B \subset X$  of power  $\leq r$  such that  $\text{Lim } (A_{n_{i_k}} - (x_0)) = B$ . Consequently,  $\text{Lim } A_{n_{i_k}} = B \cup (x_0)$  and the power of  $B \cup (x_0)$  is  $\leq r + 1$ .

From (i), (ii) and (iii) it follows that the convergence group (**F**,  $\mathfrak{L}$ ,  $\lambda$ , +) is a topological group which is  $\sigma$ -countably compact and each element has the character  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>) For the shortening of the proof I am indebted to V. KOUTNÍK.

Remark. The notion of convergence ring is defined in an analogous way as the notion of convergence group. A convergence ring  $(L, \Omega, \lambda, +, .)$  is a convergence space and a ring such that both maps  $\varphi(x, y) = x - y$  and  $\psi(x, y) = x \cdot y$  are sequentially continuous on the convergence product  $L \times L$ , i.e. if  $\lim x_n = x$  and  $\lim y_n = y$  then there is an increasing sequence of naturals  $n_i$  such that  $\lim (x_{n_i} - y_{n_i}) = x - y$  and  $\lim (x_{n_i} - y_{n_i}) = x - y$  and  $\lim (x_{n_i} - y_{n_i}) = x - y$  and  $\lim (x_{n_i} - y_{n_i}) = x - y$ .

#### References

- P. Alexandroff et P. Urysohn: Une condition nécessaire et suffisante pour qu'une classe (\$) soit une classe (\$). C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 177 (1923), 1274.
- [2] E. Čech: Topological spaces, Prague 1966.
- [3] J. Novák: On convergence spaces and their sequential envelopes. Czech. Math. Journ. 15 (90) 1965, p. 74-100.
- [4] J. Novák and L. Mišík: O L-priestoroch spojitých funkcií. Matematicko-fyzikálný sborník 1 (1951), p. 1–17.
- [5] J. Novák: Eine Bemerkung zum Begriff der topologischen Konvergenzgruppen. Celebrazioni Archimedee del secolo XX, Simposio di topologia, 1964, p. 71–74.
- [6] O. Schreier: L-Gruppen. Abhandlungen aus dem math. Seminar 1926, p. 15.
- [7] O. Schreier: Verwandtschaft stetiger Gruppen im grossen. Ibidem 1927, p. 236.

Author's address: Praha 1, Žitná 25, ČSSR (Matematický ústav ČSAV v Praze).