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# A CHARACTERIZATION OF POLARITIES WHOSE LATTICE OF POLARS IS BOOLEAN 

František Šik, Brno

(Received June 15, 1979)

By a polarity in a set $X$ we shall mean a symmetric binary relation $\delta$ in $X$. Sets closed under the polarity, the so called polars, are topics of particular interest. The set of polars $\Gamma_{\delta}(X)$ is a complete lattice in which infima are set meets [1] IV §5. In some particular cases the lattice $\Gamma_{\delta}(X)$ is a Boolean algebra; let us recall - as an example for many others - a polarity (disjointness) in an l-group defined as follows: $x \delta y \equiv|x| \wedge|y|=0$. In the paper [3] properties of a polarity $\delta$ are described, which are sufficient for $\Gamma_{\delta}(X)$ to be a Boolean algebra (see below properties (Da) to (Dd)).

In the present note we shall prove that the above mentioned conditions are necessary as well (cf. Theorem 4 below). An alternative proof of Theorem 4 could be established by using Theorem 2.3 of Bondarev's paper [2], which also deals with the problem of characterizing a polarity $\delta$ possessing the property that the lattice of its polars $\Gamma_{\delta}$ is a Boolean algebra. Note that Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 [2] are essentially known (see Theorem 3 below).

Throughout this paper $X$ denotes a nonempty set.

Definition 1. A symmetric binary relation in a set $X$ is called a polarity in $X$.
Definition 2. ([2] Definition 1.0.) Let $\delta$ be a polarity in a set $X$. Let $\prec$ be a binary relation in $X$ defined as follows $(x, y, u \in X)$ :

$$
x \prec y \Leftrightarrow(u \delta y \Rightarrow u \delta x) .
$$

We say that $\prec$ is induced (in $X$ ) by $\delta$. Obviously, $\prec$ is a quasi-order in $X$, i.e. a reflexive and transitive binary relation.

Definition 3. (Cf. [2] Definition 1.1, [3] 1.3, [4] Sec. C, p. 85, [5] § 1.) Let $\delta$ be a polarity in a set $X$ and let $\prec$ be induced by $\delta$. Denote $(x, y, \in X)$ :
$(\mathrm{D} \alpha)$ antireflexivity of $\delta$ (i.e., $x \delta x \Rightarrow x \delta y$ for every $y \in X$ ),
(D $\beta$ ) $x \bar{\delta} y \Rightarrow$ there exists $z \in X$ such that $z \bar{\delta} z, z \prec x, z \prec y$.

A couple $(X, \delta)$ fulfilling $(\mathrm{D} \alpha)$ is called a $D$-set. If it fulfils both $(\mathrm{D} \alpha)$ and $(\mathrm{D} \beta)$ it is called a $D^{*}$-set (an n. v. D-set in [2]).

Lemma 1. Let $\delta$ be a polarity in a set $X$. Then

$$
(X, \delta) \text { is a } D \text {-set } \Leftrightarrow\{x \in X: x \delta x\}=\{x \in X: x \delta y \text { for every } y \in X\} .
$$

Proof is evident.
Remark 1. In Bondarev's definition of a $D$-set the following identity is supposed: $\{x \in X: x \delta x\}=\{x \in X: x \delta y$ for every $y \in X\}=$ a singleton or $=\emptyset$ ([2] p. 16).

Lemma 2. Let $(X, \delta)$ be a D-set. If $\delta \neq \emptyset$ then the set $N$ of all least elements of $X$ with respect to the quasi-order $\prec$ induced by $\delta$ is equal to $\Lambda=\{x \in X: x \delta x\}$. If $\delta=\emptyset$ then $\Lambda=\emptyset$ and $N=X$.

Proof. If $\delta=\emptyset$ then obviously $\Lambda=\emptyset$ and $N=X$. Let $\delta \neq \emptyset$. The inclusion $\Lambda \subseteq N$ holds by $(\mathrm{D} \alpha)$. To prove $\Lambda \supseteq N$ fix $n \in N$. There exist $x, y \in X$ with $x \delta y$. Now, $x \delta y, n \prec y$ implies $x \delta n$ and this together with $n \prec x$ gives $n \delta n$, hence $n \in \Lambda$. Thus $\Lambda=N$ is proved.

Definition 4. (Cf. [4] Sec. C, p. 85, [3] 1,3.) Let $\triangleleft$ be a quasi-order in a set $X$, $N$ the set of all least elements of $X$ with respect to $\triangleleft$ and let $\delta$ be a polarity in $X$ such that the following implications are satisfied $(x, y \in X)$ :
(Da) $x \delta x \Rightarrow x \delta y$ for every $y \in X$ (antireflexivity of $\delta$ ),
(Db) $x \delta y, x \triangleleft y \Rightarrow x \in N$,
(Dc) $x \delta y, z \triangleleft y \Rightarrow x \delta z$,
(Dd) $x \delta y \Rightarrow$ there exists $z \in X$ such that $z \bar{\in} N, z \triangleleft x, z \triangleleft y$.
Then the triple $(X, \triangleleft, \delta)$ is called a $P$-set.
Remark 2. In [3] and [4], $N$ is supposed to be non empty. Also, the name of a "P-set" is not used there.

We shall prove that, if $\delta \neq \emptyset$, the notions of a $D^{*}$-set and a $P$-set are equivalent in the sense that the structure of one type can be transferred in a uniquely defined way onto the structure of the other type. A more detailed account is given in the following Theorems 1 and 2.

Theorem 1. Let $(X, \delta)$ be a $D^{*}$ set and $\delta \neq \emptyset$. Then the relation $\prec$ induced by $\delta$ is a quasiorder and $(X, \prec, \delta)$ is a $P$-set.

Proof. Denote by $N$ the set of all least elements in $X$ with respect to $\prec$ and $\Lambda=$ $=\{x \in X: x \delta x\}$. By Lemma 2, $\Lambda=N$. We shall prove that (Da) to (Dd) hold.
$(\mathrm{Da}) \equiv(\mathrm{D} \alpha)$.
$(\mathrm{Db})$ : Suppose $x \delta y, x \prec y$. The second relation means that $u \delta y \Rightarrow u \delta x$. Since $x \delta y$, then $x \delta x$, hence $x \in \Lambda=N$.
(Dc): Suppose $x \delta y, z \prec y$. The second relation means that $u \delta y \Rightarrow u \delta z$. Since $x \delta y$, then $x \delta z$.
(Dd) and (D $\beta$ ) are identical conditions because $\Lambda=N$.
Theorem 2. Let $(X, \triangleleft, \delta)$ be a $P$-set. Then $(X, \delta)$ is a $D^{*}$-set.
Proof. $(\mathrm{D} \alpha) \equiv(\mathrm{Da})$, hence $(X, \delta)$ is a $D$-set.
(Dß): Denote by $N$ the set of all least elements of $X$ with respect to $\triangleleft$. Suppose $x \triangleleft y, u \delta y$. Then $u \delta x$ by (Dc). So we have $x \triangleleft y \Rightarrow x \prec y$, where $\prec$ means the relation induced by $\delta$. Next, by ( Db ), $\Lambda \subseteq N$ because $x \delta x, x \triangleleft x \Rightarrow x \in N$. Now evidently (Dd) implies (D $\beta$ ).

Definition 5. Let $\delta$ be a polarity in a set $X,(\emptyset \subseteq) A \subseteq X$. If there exists $(\emptyset \subseteq) B \subseteq X$ such that $A=B^{\delta}$, where $B^{\delta}=\{x \in X: x \delta b$ for every $b \in B\}$, then $A$ is called a polar. The set of all polars in $(X, \delta)$ will be denoted by $\Gamma_{\delta}(X)$ (or briefly by $\Gamma(X)$ or $\Gamma$ ).

Several names have been used for the notion of a polar: komponenta in Df. 1.2 [2], $\delta$-Komponente in [4], p. 85, or Komponente in 1,4,1 [3]. Below, we shall use the term of a polar which is currently used at present, e.g. in the theory of $l$-groups.

The following Theorem 3 is known.
Theorem 3. A) Let $\delta$ be a polarity in a set $X$. Then $\Gamma_{\delta}(X)$ is a complete lattice, infima in $\Gamma$ are set meets, $X$ and $\{x \in X: x \delta y$ for every $y \in X\}$ are the greatest and least elements of $\Gamma$, respectively, and the map $A \in \Gamma \rightarrow A^{\delta}$ is an involution, i.e. $A^{\delta \delta}=A,\left(\bigvee A_{\alpha}\right)^{\delta}=\Lambda A_{\alpha}^{\delta},\left(\bigwedge A_{\alpha}\right)^{\delta}=\bigvee A_{\alpha}^{\delta}$ for all $A, A_{\alpha} \in \Gamma$.
B) Let $(X, \delta)$ be a $D$-set. Then the lattice $\Gamma_{\delta}(X)$ is complemented and $A^{\delta}$ is a complement of $A \in \Gamma_{\delta}(X)$.
C) Let $(X, \delta)$ be a $D^{*}$-set. Then $\Gamma_{\delta}(X)$ is a complete Boolean algebra.

For A) and B) see Corollary to Theorem 9 [1] IV § 5 (see also [4] Sec. A and B, p. 85 or $1,3,3$ [3]). The statement C) is clear if $\delta=\emptyset$. If $\delta \neq \emptyset$, then C) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 and [3] Hauptsatz 1,4,4, which states that $\Gamma_{\delta}(X)$ is a complete Boolean algebra if $(X, \prec, \delta)$ is a $P$-set.

The converse of Theorem 3 is also true. We have the following result.
Theorem 4. A) Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a complete lattice of subsets of a set $Y(\neq \emptyset)$, let infima in $\mathfrak{B}$ be set meets and let $A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ be a map of $\mathfrak{B}$ into $\mathfrak{B}$ fulfilling $A^{\prime \prime}=A,\left(\vee A_{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}=$ $=\Lambda A_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ for all $A, A_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{B}$. Denote by $X$ the greatest element of $\mathfrak{B}$. Then there exists a polarity $\delta$ in $X$ such that $\Gamma_{\delta}(X)=\mathfrak{B}$.
B) Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be as in A) and in addition, let $A^{\prime}$ be a complement of $A$ for any $A$ in $\mathfrak{B}$. Then $(X, \delta)$ is a $D$-set.
C) Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a complete Boolean algebra of subsets of a set $Y$, let infima in $\mathfrak{B}$ be set meets. Denote by $X$ the greatest element of $\mathfrak{B}$. Then there exists a polarity $\delta$ in $X$ such that $\Gamma_{\delta}(X)=\mathfrak{B}$. Furthermore, $(X, \delta)$ is a $D^{*}$-set.

Proof. A) First, $\mathfrak{B}$ is ordered by set inclusion, because of $A \geqq B \Leftrightarrow B=A \wedge$ $\wedge B=A \cap B \Leftrightarrow A \supseteq B$. Next, for $x \in X$ put $\bar{x}=\bigcap\{A \in \mathfrak{B}: x \in A\}$. Obviously, $\bar{x} \in \mathfrak{B}$. Further, define for any $x, y \in X$

$$
x \delta y \equiv y \in \bar{x}^{\prime} .
$$

$\delta$ is a polarity in $X$. In fact, $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}, A \supseteq B \Rightarrow B^{\prime}=(A \cap B)^{\prime}=A^{\prime} \vee B^{\prime} \Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow B^{\prime} \supseteq A^{\prime}$ and so $y \in \bar{x}^{\prime} \Rightarrow \bar{y} \subseteq \bar{x}^{\prime} \Rightarrow \bar{y}^{\prime} \supseteq \bar{x}^{\prime \prime}=\bar{x} \ni x$.

It follows that $\bar{x}^{\prime}=x^{\delta} \in \Gamma_{\delta}(X)$ for every $x \in X$. Let $A \in \mathfrak{B}$ with $A^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. Then $A^{\prime}=\bigvee\left\{\bar{x}: x \in A^{\prime}\right\}$ and therefore $A=A^{\prime \prime}=\bigcap\left\{\bar{x}^{\prime}: x \in A^{\prime}\right\}=\bigcap\left\{x^{\delta}: x \in A^{\prime}\right\} \in \Gamma_{\delta}(X)$, thus $A \in \Gamma_{\delta}(X)$. If $A^{\prime}=\emptyset$ then $X=A$, since $X \supseteq A \Rightarrow X^{\prime} \subseteq A^{\prime}=\emptyset \Rightarrow X^{\prime}=\emptyset \Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow X=X^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset^{\prime}=A^{\prime \prime}=A$. Thus $X=\bigvee\{\bar{x}: x \in X\} \Rightarrow \emptyset=X^{\prime}=\bigcap\left\{x^{\delta}: x \in X\right\} \in$ $\in \Gamma_{\delta}(X)$, hence $A=X=\emptyset^{\delta} \in \Gamma_{\delta}(X)$. Conversely for $C \in \Gamma_{\delta}(X), C^{\delta} \neq \emptyset$, we have $C^{\delta}=\mathrm{V}_{\Gamma}\left\{x^{\delta \delta}: x \in C^{\delta}\right\}$, hence by Theorem 3(A) $C=C^{\delta \delta}=\bigcap\left\{x^{\delta}: x \in C^{\delta}\right\}=$ $=\bigcap\left\{\bar{x}^{\prime}: x \in C^{\delta}\right\} \in \mathfrak{B}$ (since $x^{\delta \delta \delta}=x^{\delta}$ ). If $C^{\delta}=\emptyset$ then $X=C$ as above and $C=$ $=X \in \mathfrak{B}$. We have proved that both $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\Gamma_{\delta}(X)$ are identical as sets and also as lattices, since their orders are the same.
B) $X^{\delta}=\{x \in X: x \delta y$ for $y \in X\}$ is the least element of $\Gamma_{\delta}(X)$, since by Theorem $3, \delta$ is an involution and $X$ the greatest element of $\mathfrak{B}$. Now evidently $\Lambda=\{x \in X$ : $x \delta x\} \supseteq X^{\delta}$. To show $\subseteq$ suppose $x \delta x$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in x^{\delta \delta} \cap x^{\delta}=\bar{x} \cap \bar{x}^{\prime}=X^{\delta}, \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $\Lambda \subseteq X$. (The assertions of (a) can be proved as follows: 1. $x \in x^{\delta \delta}$ by Def. 5, 2. $x^{\delta}=\bar{x}^{\prime}$ by (A), 3. $\bar{x}=\bigcap\{A \in \mathfrak{B}: x \in A\}=\bigcap\left\{A \in \mathfrak{B}: x^{\delta \delta} \subseteq A\right\}=x^{\delta \delta}$, since $\mathfrak{B}=$ $=\Gamma_{\delta}(X)$ and for $A \in \mathfrak{B}$ we have $x \in A \equiv x^{\delta \delta} \subseteq\left(A^{\delta \delta}=\right) \subseteq A, 4 . \bar{x} \cap \bar{x}^{\prime}=X^{\delta}$, since ' is the symbol of a complement in $\mathfrak{B}$.) Hence $\{x \in X: x \delta x\}=\Lambda=X^{\delta}=\{x \in X$ : $x \delta y$ for every $y \in X\}$. By Lemma $1,(X, \delta)$ is a $D$-set.
C) Suppose (by way of contradiction) that $x, y \in X$ exist not fulfilling (D $\beta$ ), i.e. $x \bar{\delta} y$ and $(z \prec x, z \prec y \Rightarrow z \delta z)$, where $\prec$ is induced by $\delta$. Since $z \in x^{\delta \delta} \Leftrightarrow(x \delta b \Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow z \delta b) \Leftrightarrow z \prec x$, we obtain $x^{\delta \delta} \cap y^{\delta \delta} \subseteq\{z \in X: z \delta z\}=\Lambda=$ the least element of $\Gamma_{\delta}(X)$ (by (B)), thus $x^{\delta \delta} \cap y^{\delta \delta}=\Lambda$. Because $x^{\delta}$ is a complement of $x^{\delta \delta}$ in $\Gamma_{\delta}(X)=\mathfrak{B}$ (by the proof of (B)), then $y^{\delta \delta} \subseteq x^{\delta}$, hence $x \delta y$, a contradiction. This comleptes the proof.

Remark 3. Theorem 4 implies Bondarev's Theorem 2.3 [2]. Theorem 2.3 [2]: If $\mathfrak{B}$ is a complete Boolean algebra and $(X, \delta)$ (defined in (C)) a $D$-set (in the stronger sense given in Remark 1 ), then $(X, \delta)$ is a $D^{*}$-set.

Corollary. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\delta$ be as in Theorem $4(\mathrm{C})$, iet $\prec$ be induced by $\delta$ and $\delta \neq \emptyset$. Then $(X, \prec, \delta)$ is a P-set.

Note that $\delta=\emptyset \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{B}=\{X, \emptyset\}$.
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