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# TOURNAMENTS WITH THE SAME NEIGHBOURHOODS 

Pavel Tomasta, Bratislava

(Received November 26, 1984)

A tournament $T$ is a directed graph in which every pair of vertices is joined by exactly one arc. If there is an arc from the vertex $u$ to the vertex $v$ in $T$, we write $(u, v) \in T$ and we say that $u$ dominates $v$. The set of vertices dominated by $u$ is denoted by $N_{T}(u)$ and the tournament induced on $N_{T}(u)$ is called the neighbourhood of $u$. The score of the vertex $u$ is $\left|N_{T}(u)\right|$, the cardinality of $N_{T}(u)$. The score sequence of $T$ is the sequence $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{|T|}\right)$ of scores of the vertices of $T$ in non-decreasing order.

In 1963, A. A. Zykov [2] suggested a problem concerning the characterization of graphs with a constant neighbourhood. B. Zelinka [1] studied the tournament variant of this problem, namely:

Characterize the tournaments $T$ with the property that there exists a tournament $\bar{T}$ such that $N_{\bar{T}}(u) \cong T$ for each vertex of $\bar{T}$, i.e. a tournament $\bar{T}$ with a constant neighbourhood $T$.

He obtained a partial solution of this problem. Denote by $T(n)$ the class of all tournaments with the following structure. For any tournament $T \in T(n)$ there exists an $n$-subset $S(T)$ of the set $\{1,2, \ldots, 2 n\}$ with the properties:
(i) $a+b \neq 2 n+1$ for any two elements $a, b$ of $S(T)$,
(ii) the vertices of $T$ can be labelled by the elements of $S(T)$ in such a way that for each $\operatorname{arc}(u, v) \in T$ the labelling of $v$ minus the labelling of $u$ is congruent with an element of $S(T)$ modulo $2 n+1$.
B. Zelinka [1] proved that if $T \in T(n)$ then there exists a tournament $\bar{T}$ with the constant neighbourhood $T$.

Further, he expressed a conjecture that the converse assertion is true. This note disproves his conjecture.

A tournament $T$ is said to be point-symmetric if the automorphism group of $T$ acts transitively on $T$. It is obvious that every point-symmetric tournament has a constant neighbourhood. We give an example of a point-symmetric tournament $\bar{T}$ on 21 vertices with a constant neighbourhood on 10 vertices.

The incidence matrix of $\bar{T}$ is given by the following matrix of order 21 :

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}
$$

The vertex-set of $\bar{T}$ is the set $\{1,2, \ldots, 21\}$. It is easy to verify that two permutations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=(1815)(2916)(71421), \\
& \beta=(1765432)(813119141210)\left(\begin{array}{l}
15182117201619
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

are automorphisms of $\bar{T}$ and generate a group which acts transitively on $\bar{T}$. Thus $\bar{T}$ has a constant neighbourhood $T$ on 10 vertices with the score sequence ( $3,3,4,4$, $4,4,5,5,6,7$ ). The incidence matrix of $T$ is given by the following matrix of order 10 :

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}
$$

By straightforward and tedious computation one can verify that $T$ is not in $T(10)$,
i.e. there is no labelling of $T$ by numbers $1,2, \ldots, 20$ with the properties (i) and (ii). By aid of computer even the following surprising result was obtained: there is no tournament in $T(10)$ with the score sequence ( $3,3,4,4,4,4,5,5,6,7$ ), that is, the score sequence of $T$.

Since all tournaments with a constant neighbourhood known until now are pointsymmetric, the following problem seems to be very interesting:

Problem. Does there exist a non-point-symmetric tournament with a constant neighborhood?
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