Ivan Dobrakov Representation of multilinear operators on  $\times C_0(T_i)$ 

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 39 (1989), No. 2, 288-302

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102303

# Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1989

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

## REPRESENTATION OF MULTILINEAR OPERATORS ON $XC_0(T_i)$

#### IVAN DOBRAKOV, Bratislava

(Received May 5, 1987)

#### INTRODUCTION

Let  $T_i$ , i = 1, ..., d, be locally compact Hausdorff spaces, and let  $XC_0(T_i)$  denote the Cartesian product  $C_0(T_1) \times ... \times C_0(T_d)$ , where  $C_0(T_i)$ , i = 1, ..., d, is the Banach space of all scalar = K-valued continuous functions on  $T_i$  tending to zero at infinity with the sup norm. In this paper we prove the Riesz (also the Bartle-Dunford-Schwartz) Representation Theorem type results for bounded *d*-linear operators  $U: XC_0(T_i) \to Y - a$  Banach space.

In the papers [12], [13] and [14] we already started developing an extension of the Lebesgue type integration to integration with respect to set functions of several variables – polymeasures. The bounded *d*-linear operators are represented, via this integration, either by separately countably additive Y-valued Baire *d*-polymeasures, see Theorems 2, 9 and 11, or by weak\*-separately countably additive  $Y = Z^*$ , or  $Y^{**}$ -valued Baire *d*-polymeasures, see Theorems 4 and 5, respectively.

The representation theorems are easily derived from a deep result of A. Pelczyński from [32]. Not so easy was it to prove the Lebesgue bounded convergence result of Theorem 3, and the double limit characterization of Y-valuedness of the representing d-polymeasure given by Theorem 9.

The special case d = 2 was investigated in the papers [25] - [29], [35], [36] and [22]. The case of the Banach spaces of vector valued continuous functions  $C_0(T_i, X_i)$  will be treated in [18]. We will freely use the notation from [12], [13] and [14], particularly the abbreviated notation.

## 1. OPERATOR VALUED BAIRE AND BOREL POLYMEASURES

Let T be a locally compact Hausdorff topological space. In accordance with our notation in [3], by  $\mathscr{B}_0 = \delta(\mathscr{C}_0)$  we denote the  $\delta$ -ring of all relatively compact Baire subsets of T. Similarly  $\mathscr{B} = \delta(\mathscr{C})$  will denote the  $\delta$ -ring of all relatively compact Borel subsets if T. The symbols  $\sigma(\mathscr{B}_0)$  and  $\sigma(\mathscr{B})$  stand for the  $\sigma$ -rings of Baire and Borel subsets of T, respectively.

We denote by K(T) the linear space of all scalar valued continuous functions on T with compact support. Q will denote the set of all X valued continuous functions

on T which are of the form  $f = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \varphi_j x_j$ , where  $\varphi_j \in K(T)$  and  $x_j \in X$ , j = 1, ..., r. According to Proposition 1 in § 19 in [2] Q is dense in  $C_0(T, X)$ .

Let  $m: \mathscr{B}_0 \to L(X, Y)$  be an operator valued Baire measure countably additive in the strong operator topology. By Theorem 1 in [3],  $\hat{m}(E) = \sup \{|\int_E f dm|, f \in Q, ||f||_E \leq 1\}$  for each set  $E \in \sigma(\mathscr{B}_0)$ . Nonetheless, the proof given there needs a correction, since it may happen that  $||f||_E > 1$  for the function f in that proof. We have  $C_i \subset E_i \subset U_i$ , i = 1, ..., r at the top of page 16 in [3]. Since  $C_i$ , i = 1, ..., r are pairwise disjoint compacts, there are pairwise disjoint open sets  $U'_i \in \mathscr{B}_0$ , i = 1, ..., r such that  $C_i \subset U'_i \subset U_i$  for each i. By virtue of Theorem B in § 51 in [20] there are functions  $\varphi'_i \in K(T)$ ,  $0 \leq \varphi'_i \leq 1$ , i = 1, ..., r such that  $\varphi'_i(t) = 1$  for  $t \in C_i$ , and  $\varphi'_i(t) = 0$  for  $t \in T - U'_i$ . Now  $f' = \sum_{i=1}^r \varphi'_i x_i \in Q$  is such that  $||f'||_E \leq 1$ and  $|\sum_{i=1}^r m(E_i) x_i| \leq |\int_E f' dm| + \varepsilon$ . This inequality implies that the proved equality holds also if  $\hat{m}(E) = +\infty$ .

Further let us note that if  $m': \sigma(\mathscr{B}_0) \to L(X, Y)$  is countably additive in the strong operator topology and  $m = m': \mathscr{B}_0 \to L(X, Y)$ , then  $\hat{m}'(E) = \hat{m}(E)$  for each  $E \in \sigma(\mathscr{B}_0)$  by Theorem 14 in [4]. It is easy to verify that the above mentioned facts remain valid if  $m: \mathscr{B} \to L(X, Y)$  and  $m': \sigma(\mathscr{B}) \to L(X, Y)$  are additive Borel measures regular in the strong operator topology, hence also countably additive in this topology.

Now let  $T_i$ , i = 1, ..., d be locally compact Hausdorff spaces with Baire (Borel)  $\delta$ -ring  $\mathscr{B}_{0,i}(\mathscr{B}_i)$ , i = 1, ..., d. Let further  $X_1, ..., X_d$  and Y be Banach spaces over the same scalar field. By  $L^{(d)}(X_i; Y) = L^{(d)}(X_1, ..., X_d; Y)$  we denote the Banach space of all bounded d-linear operators  $V: X_1 \times ... \times X_d \to Y$ . There is a natural isometric isomorphism between the spaces  $L^{(d)}(X_i; Y)$  and  $L(X_1 \otimes^{\wedge} ... \otimes^{\wedge} X_d, Y)$ , where  $X_1 \otimes^{\wedge} ... \otimes^{\wedge} X_d$  is the completed projective tensor product, given by the equality  $V(x_1, ..., x_d) = \dot{V}(x_1 \otimes^{\wedge} ... \otimes^{\wedge} x_d)$ . We say that  $V \in L^{(d)}(X_i; Y)$  is weakly compact, unconditionally converging, compact, etc., if  $\dot{V}$  has the corresponding property, see [31].

Let  $Q_i$ , i = 1, ..., d be the analog of Q for  $T_i$  and  $X_i$ , and let  $\Gamma: X\mathscr{B}_{0,i} \to L^{(d)}(X_i; Y)$  be an operator valued *d*-polymeasure separately countably additive in the strong operator topology, see [12]. From Theorem 8 in [5] and Theorem 2 in [13] we immediately obtain that  $(f_i) \in I_1(\Gamma)$  if  $(f_i) \in XQ_i$ . We now prove a generalization of Theorem 1 from [3], and Theorem 6 from [6].

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\Gamma: X\mathscr{B}_{0,i} \to L^{(d)}(X_i; Y)$  be an operator valued Baire d-polymeasure separately countably additive in the strong operator topology. Then

 $\hat{T}(A_i) = \sup \{ \left| \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma \right|; \ (f_i) \in \mathsf{X}Q_i, \ \|f_i\|_{A_i} \leq 1, \ i = 1, ..., d \}$ 

for each  $(A_i) \in X\sigma(\mathcal{B}_{0,i})$ , and

$$\hat{\Gamma}[(g_i), (A_i)] = \sup \{ | \int_{(A_i)} (f_i) \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma | ; (f_i) \in \mathsf{X}Q_i, \text{ and } |f_i| \leq |g_i|, i = 1, ..., d \}$$

for each  $\mathscr{B}_{0,i}$ -measurable  $g_i: T_i \to X_i$  (or  $g_i: T_i \to [0, +\infty])$ , i = 1, ..., d, and each  $(A_i) \in X\sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,i})$ . By Theorem 4 in [13] the same equalities hold if  $X\mathscr{B}_{0,i}$  is replaced by  $X\sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,i})$ . These assertions remain valid if  $\mathscr{B}_{0,i}$  is replaced by  $\mathscr{B}_i$ , i = 1, ..., d, and  $\Gamma$  is separately additive and regular in the strong operator topology.

Proof. Let  $(A_i) \in X\sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,i})$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By Definition 3 in [12]  $\widehat{\Gamma}(A_i) = \sup \{ |\int_{(A_i)} (g_i) d\Gamma|; (g_i) \in XS(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}, X_i), ||g_i||_{A_i} \leq 1, i = 1, ..., d \}$ , where  $S(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}, X_i)$  denotes the linear space of all  $\mathscr{B}_{0,i}$ -simple  $X_i$  valued functions on  $T_i$ . Take  $(g_i) \in \in XS(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}, X_i)$  with  $||g_i||_{A_i} \leq 1$  for each i = 1, ..., d.

For  $E_1 \in \mathcal{B}_{0,1}$  and  $x_1 \in X_1$  put  $m_1(E_1) x_1 = \int_{(E_1, A_2, ..., A_d)} (x_1 \cdot \chi_{E_1}, g_2, ..., g_d) d\Gamma$ . Then  $m_i: \mathcal{B}_{0,1} \to L(X_1, Y)$  is countably additive in the strong operator topology, and  $\int_{(A_i)} (g_i) d\Gamma = \int_{A_1} g_1 dm_1$ . According to the proof of Theorem 1 in [3], see also the beginning of our proof above, there is an  $f_1 \in Q_1$  with  $||f_1||_{A_1}$  such that  $|\int_{A_1} g_1 dm_1| \leq |\int_{A_1} f_1 dm_1| + \varepsilon/d$ . It is easy to verify that  $\int_{A_1} f_1 dm_1 = \int_{(A_1)} (f_1, g_2, ..., g_d) d\Gamma$ .

For  $E_2 \in \mathscr{B}_{0,2}$  and  $x_2 \in X_2$  put  $m_2(E_2) x_2 = \int_{(A_1, E_2, A_3, \dots, A_d)} (f_1, x_2, \chi_{E_2}, g_3, \dots, g_d)$ . d $\Gamma$ . Then there is again an  $f_2 \in Q_2$  with  $||f_2||_{A_2} \leq 1$  such that  $|\int_{A_2} g_2 dm_2| \leq |\int_{A_2} f_2 dm_2| + \varepsilon/d$ . Continuing in this way we obtain a *d*-tuple  $(f_i) \in XQ_i$  such that  $||f_i||_{A_i} \leq 1$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and

$$\left|\int_{(A_i)} (g_i) \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma\right| \leq \left|\int_{(A_i)} (f_i) \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma\right| + \varepsilon \,.$$

From this inequality the equation with the semivariation  $\hat{\Gamma}(A_i)$  is evident for both cases  $\hat{\Gamma}(A_i) < +\infty$  and  $\hat{\Gamma}(A_i) = \infty$ . Since  $(A_i) \in X\sigma(\mathcal{B}_{0,i})$  was arbitrary, the first assertion of the theorem is proved. The other assertions may be proved similarly. As we mentioned above, Theorem 1 from [3] is valid if  $\mathcal{B}_0$  is replaced by  $\mathcal{B}$ , hence the last assertion of the theorem is evident. The theorem is proved.

### 2. REPRESENTATION THEOREMS

In accordance with [32] let  $B^{(\Omega)}(T_i)$ , i = 1, ..., d denote the Banach space of all bounded scalar valued Baire measurable functions on  $T_i$  with the sup-norm. As this notation suggests,  $B^{(\Omega)}(T_i)$  is the smallest class of bounded functions on  $T_i$  which contains  $K(T_i)$  and is closed with respect to the pointwise convergence of bounded sequences of functions, see § 51 in [20] and Theorem 15 in [17]. In accordance with Definition on page 381 in [32] a sequence  $f_{i,n} \in B^{(\Omega)}(T_i)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, ..., i \in \{1, ..., d\}$ fixed, is said to be  $\omega^*$ -convergent to a function  $f_i \in B^{(\Omega)}(T_i)$  provided sup  $||f_{i,n}||_{T_i} < < +\infty$  and  $\lim_{i \to \infty} f_{i,n}(t_i) = f_i(t_i)$  for any  $t_i \in T_i$ .

Our representation theorems are derived from the following basic result of A. Pelczyński, see Theorem 2 in [32], which obviously holds also for locally compact Hausdorff spaces  $T_i$ , i = 1, ..., d.

**Theorem of A. Pelczyński.** Let  $U: XC_0(T_i) \rightarrow Y$  be a bounded d-linear operator and let us suppose that one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(A) no subspace of Y is isomorphic to the space  $c_0$ ;

(B) U is weakly compact.

Then there is a unique d-linear bounded operator  $U^{**}$ :  $XB^{(\Omega)}(T_i) \rightarrow Y$  such that

1)  $U^{**}$  is an extension of U, i.e.,  $U^{**}(f_i) = U(f_i)$  for  $(f_i) \in XC_0(T_i)$ , and

2) if  $g_{i,n}$ , n = 1, 2, ..., d, i = 1, ..., d, are  $\omega^*$ -convergent to  $g_i$  sequences of elements of  $B^{(\Omega)}(T_i)$ , then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} U^{**}(g_{i,n}) = U^{**}(g_i).$$

Moreover, in the case (B) the operator  $U^{**}$  is weakly compact.

As a consequence we easily obtain

**Theorem 2.** Let  $U: XC_0(T_i) \to Y$  be a bounded d-linear operator and suppose either  $c_0 \notin Y$ , or U is weakly compact. For  $(A_i) \in X\sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,i})$  put  $\gamma(A_i) = U^{**}(\chi_{A_i})$ . Then  $\gamma: X\sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}) \to Y$  is a separately countably additive vector Baire d-polymeasure. Further  $(g_i) \in I_1(\gamma) = I(\gamma)$ , and

$$U^{**}(g_i) = \int_{(T_i)} (g_i) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma$$

for each  $(g_i) \in XB^{(\Omega)}(T_i)$ , in particular

$$U(f_i) = \int_{(T_i)} (f_i) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma$$

for each  $(f_i) \in XC_0(T_i)$ . At the same time

$$\left|U\right| = \left|U^{**}\right| = \left\|\gamma\right\|\left(T_{i}\right) = \sup_{|y^{*}| \leq 1} \left\|y^{*}\gamma\right\|\left(T_{i}\right).$$

Moreover, the range of  $\gamma$  is relatively weakly compact if and only if U is weakly compact.

Proof. The separate countably additivity of  $\gamma$  is an easy consequence of assertion 2) of Theorem of A. Pelczyński.

Now let  $(g_i) \in XB^{(\Omega)}(T_i) = X\overline{S}(\sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}), K)$ , and for each i = 1, ..., d take a sequence  $g_{i,n} \in S(\sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}), K)$ , n = 1, 2, ... such that  $||g_{i,n} - g_i||_{T_i} \to 0$ . According to the Nikodym uniform boundedness theorem for polymeasures, see [12], we have  $||\gamma||(T_i) < +\infty$ . Hence by Theorem 1 and Definition 1 in [13], and assertion 2) of Theorem of A. Pelczyński we obtain

$$\int_{(T_i)} (g_i) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{(T_i)} (g_{i,n}) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma = \lim_{n \to \infty} U^{**}(g_{i,n}) = U^{**}(g_i)$$

By Corollary of Theorem 5 in [14] we conclude that  $I(\gamma) = I_1(\gamma)$ .

The equality with norms follows from Theorem 1.

If U is weakly compact, then  $U^{**}: XB^{(\Omega)}(T_i) \to Y$  is weakly compact by Theorem

of A. Pelczyński, hence the range of  $\gamma$  is relatively weakly compact. Conversely, if the range of  $\gamma$  is relatively weakly compact, then using Krein-Šmuljan Theorem, see Theorem II.2.11 in [1], similarly as in the proof of Theorem VI.1.1 in [1] we obtain that  $U^{**}: XB^{(\Omega)}(T_i) \to Y$  is weakly compact. The theorem is proved.

From Theorem 2 and from the elementary properties of the integral with respect to a polymeasure, see [13] and [14], we immediately obtain

**Corollary.** There is an isometric isomorphism between the Banach space of all bounded d-linear functionals  $L^{(d)}(C_0(T_i); K)$  and the Banach space of all separately countably additive d-polymeasures pm  $(X\sigma(\mathcal{B}_{0,i}), K)$  with the norm  $\gamma \to ||\gamma||$   $(T_i)$ , given by the equalities

$$V(f_i) = \int_{(T_i)} (f_i) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma \,, \quad (f_i) \in \mathsf{X}C_0(T_i) \,, \quad and \quad |V| = \|\gamma\| (T_i) \,.$$

If  $U: XC_0(T_i) \to Y$  is a bounded d-linear operator and either  $c_0 \notin Y$  or U is weakly compact, then assertion 2) of Theorem of A. Pelczyński implies via Theorem 2 a Lebesgue Bounded Convergence Theorem type result for the integral with respect to the representing d-polymeasure  $\gamma$  of U. We prove in Theorem 3 below that for the integral of d-tuples of scalar valued functions with respect to arbitrary separately countably additive vector d-polymeasure this Lebesgue Bounded Convergence Theorem holds. Hence for any bounded d-linear operator  $U: XC_0(T_i) \to Y$  which can be represented by a separately countably additive  $\gamma: X\sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}) \to Y$ , the assertions of Theorem of A. Pelcyński hold.

First we introduce a useful notion.

**Definition 1.** Let  $T_i \neq \emptyset$ , i = 1, ..., d be arbitrary sets, let  $\mathscr{S}_i \subset 2^{T_i}$  be  $\sigma$ -rings, and let  $\gamma: X\mathscr{S}_i \to Y$  be separately additive. Let further  $g_i, g_{i,n}: T_i \to K, n = 1, 2, ...$ be  $\mathscr{S}_i$ -measurable for each i = 1, ..., d. We say that the d-tuples  $(g_{i,n}), n = 1, 2, ..., X\omega^*$ -converge to the d-tuple  $(g_i) \gamma$ -almost everywhere if there are sets  $N_i \in \mathscr{S}_i$ , i = 1, ..., d such that  $\overline{\gamma}(..., T_{i-1}, N_i, T_{i+1}, ...) = 0$  and the sequence  $g_{i,n} \cdot \chi_{T_i - N_i}$  $n = 1, 2, ..., \omega^*$ -converges to the function  $g_i \cdot \chi_{T_i - N_i}$  for each i = 1, ..., d.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $T_i \neq \emptyset$ , i = 1, ..., d be arbitrary sets, let  $\mathscr{S}_i \subset 2^{T_i}$ , i = 1, ..., dbe  $\sigma$ -rings and let  $\gamma: X \mathscr{S}_i \to Y$  be a separately countably additive vector d-polymeasure. Let further  $g_i, g_{i,n}: T_i \to K$ , n = 1, 2, ... be bounded  $\mathscr{S}_i$ -measurable functions for each i = 1, ..., d, and let the sequence of d-tuples  $(g_{i,n}), n = 1, 2, ..., X\omega^*$ -converge to the d-tuple  $(g_i) \gamma$ -almost everywhere. Then  $(g_i), (g_{i,n}) \in I_1(\gamma) =$  $= I(\gamma)$ , and

(1) 
$$\lim_{n_1,\ldots,n_d\to\infty}\int_{(A_i)} (g_{i,n_i}) \,\mathrm{d}\gamma = \int_{(A_i)} (g_i) \,\mathrm{d}\gamma$$

for each  $(A_i) \in X \mathscr{S}_i$ . If in each of (d-1) coordinates either  $\gamma$  is uniformly countably additive or the sequence  $g_{i,n}$ , n = 1, 2, ... converges uniformly to the function  $g_i$ , then the limit in (1) is uniform with respect to  $(A_i) \in X \mathscr{S}_i$ .

Proof. First note that  $\|\gamma\|(T_i) < +\infty$  by the Nikodým uniform boundedness theorem for polymeasures, see (N) in [12]. Since  $(g_i), (g_{i,n}) \in X\overline{S}(\mathscr{S}_i, K)$ , we have  $(g_i), (g_{i,n}) \in I_1(\gamma)$  by Theorem 2 in [13]. Further,  $I_1(\gamma) = I(\gamma)$  by Corollary of Theorem 5 in [14]. According to Theorems 2 and 3 in [12],

(2) 
$$\lim_{n_1,\ldots,n_d\to\infty} \|\gamma\| (A_{i,n_i}) = \lim_{n_1,\ldots,n_d\to\infty} \overline{\gamma}(A_{i,n_i}) = 0$$

whenever  $A_{i,n} \in \mathcal{G}_i$ , n = 1, 2, ... and  $A_{i,n} \to \emptyset$  for each i = 1, ..., d. Without loss of generality we may suppose that  $(g_{i,n})$ , n = 1, ... is X $\omega^*$ -convergent to  $(g_i)$  everywhere. However, then by the definition of  $\omega^*$ -convergence there is a constant C > 0such that  $|g_{i,n}(t_i)| \leq C$  for each i = 1, ..., d, each n = 1, 2, ..., and each  $t_i \in T_i$ .

If now in each of (d-1) coordinates either  $\gamma$  is uniformly countably additive of the sequence  $g_{i,n}$ , n = 1, 2, ... converges uniformly to the function  $g_i$ , then from the proof of Theorem 7 in [13] it is easy to see that the limit in (1) is uniform with respect to  $(A_i) \in X \mathscr{S}_i$ .

For a general  $\gamma$  we prove (1) by induction with respect to the dimension d. For d = 1 the theorem is already proved, since then  $\gamma$  is a uniform polymeasure. Suppose the theorem is proved for dimensions 1, ..., (d - 1).

Let  $(A_i) \in X \mathscr{S}_i$ . For each i = 1, ..., d take a countably generated  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathscr{S}'_i \subset \mathscr{S}_i$ such that  $\chi_{A_i}, g_{i,n}, n = 1, 2, ...$  are  $\mathscr{S}'_i$ -measurable. Let  $\gamma'$  be the restriction  $\gamma' =$  $= \gamma: X(G_i \cap \mathscr{S}'_i) \to Y$ , where  $G_i = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{t_i \in T_i, g_{i,n}(t_i) \neq 0\} \in \mathscr{S}'_i$ . Since  $(g_i), (g_{i,n_i}) \in$  $\in X\overline{S}((G_i \cap \mathscr{S}'_i), K) \subset I_1(\gamma') \cap I(\gamma)$  for any  $n_1, ..., n_d = 1, 2, ...,$  obviously  $\int_{(E_i)} (g_{i,n_i)} d\gamma' = \int_{(E_i)} (g_{i,n_i)} d\gamma$  and  $\int_{(E_i)} (g_i) d\gamma' = \int_{(E_i)} (g_i) d\gamma$  for each  $n_1, ..., n_d =$ = 1, 2, ... and each  $(E_i) \in X \mathscr{S}'_i$ , in particular for  $(E_i) = (A_i)$ . Hence it is enough to prove (1) when  $\gamma$  is replaced by  $\gamma'$ .

According to Theorem 11 in [12] there is a control *d*-polymeasure, say  $\lambda_1 \times \ldots \times \lambda_d$ :  $X(G_i \cap \mathscr{S}'_i) \to [0, +\infty)$ , for the vector *d*-polymeasure  $\gamma'$ . Obviously

$$\begin{split} \int_{(A_i)} \left(g_{i,n_i} - g_i + g_i\right) d\gamma' &- \int_{(A_i)} \left(g_i\right) d\gamma' = \int_{(A_i)} \left(g_{i,n_i} - g_i\right) d\gamma' + \\ &+ \int_{(A_i)} \left(g_1, \left(g_{2,n_2} - g_2\right), \dots, \left(g_{d,n_d} - g_d\right)\right) d\gamma' + \dots \\ \dots &+ \int_{(A_i)} \left(\left(g_{1,n_1} - g_1\right), \left(g_{2,n_2} - g_2\right), \dots, \left(g_{d-1,n_{d-1}} - g_{d-1}\right), g_d\right) d\gamma' + \\ &+ \int_{(A_i)} \left(g_1, g_2, \left(g_{3,n_3} - g_3\right), \dots, \left(g_{d,n_d} - g_d\right)\right) d\gamma' + \dots \\ \dots &+ \int_{(A_i)} \left(g_1, \dots, g_{d-1}, \left(g_{d,n_d} - g_d\right)\right) d\gamma' + \dots + \int_{(A_i)} \left(\left(g_{1,n_1} - g_1\right), g_2, \dots, g_d\right) d\gamma' \end{split}$$

for any  $n_1, \ldots, n_d = 1, 2, \ldots$ . Clearly the set functions:

$$\begin{split} & (E_2, \dots, E_d) \to \int_{(A_1, E_2, \dots, E_d)} (g_1, \chi_{E_2}, \dots, \chi_{E_d}) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma', (E_2, \dots, E_d) \in \\ & \in \mathscr{S}'_2 \times \dots \times \mathscr{S}'_d, \dots, (E_1, \dots, E_{d-1}) \to \int_{(E_1, \dots, E_{d-1}, A_d)} (\chi_{E_1}, \dots, \chi_{E_{d-1}}, g_d) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma', \\ & (E_1, \dots, E_{d-1}) \in \mathscr{S}'_d \times \dots \times \mathscr{S}'_{d-1}, (E_3, \dots, E_d) \to \\ & \to \int_{(A_1, A_2, E_3, \dots, E_d)} (g_1, g_2, \chi_{E_3}, \dots, \chi_{E_d}) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma', (E_3, \dots, E_d) \in \mathscr{S}'_3 \times \dots \times \mathscr{S}'_d, \dots, E_d \to \\ & \to \int_{(A_1, \dots, A_{d-1}, E_d)} (g_1, \dots, g_{d-1}, \chi_{E_d}) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma', E_d \in \mathscr{S}'_d, \dots, E_1 \to \\ & \to \int_{(E_1, A_2, \dots, A_d)} (\chi_{E_1}, g_2, \dots, g_d) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma', E_1 \in \mathscr{S}'_1 \end{split}$$

are (d-1)-,...,(d-1)-, (d-2)-,..., 1-,..., 1-polymeasures, respectively. It is easy to see that the integrals of  $((g_{2,n_2} - g_2), ..., (g_{d,n_d} - g_d)), ..., ((g_{1,n_1} - g_1), ..., (g_{d-1,n_{d-1}} - g_{d-1})), ((g_{3,n_3} - g_3), ..., (g_{d,n_d} - g_d)), ..., (g_{d,n_d} - g_d), ...$ 

...,  $(g_{1,n_1} - g_1)$  with respect to them are equal to the corresponding integrals with respect to  $\gamma'$  written above. Now, let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then by the induction hypothesis there is a positive integer  $n_0$  such that

(3) 
$$\left|\int_{(A_i)} \left(g_{i,n_i}\right) \mathrm{d}\gamma' - \int_{(A_i)} \left(g_i\right) \mathrm{d}\gamma'\right| \leq \left|\int_{(A_i)} \left(g_{i,n_i} - g_i\right) \mathrm{d}\gamma'\right| + \varepsilon/2$$

whenever  $n_1, \ldots, n_d \ge n_0$ .

According to the Egoroff-Lusin theorem, see Section 1.4 in [5], for each i = 1, ..., d there are sets  $N_i$ ,  $G_{i,k} \in G_i \cap \mathscr{S}'_i$ , k = 1, 2, ... such that  $\lambda_i(N_i) = 0$ ,  $G_{i,k} \nearrow G_i - N_i$ , and on each  $G_{i,k}$ , k = 1, 2, ... the sequence  $g_{i,n}$ , n = 1, 2, ... converges uniformly to the function  $g_i$ . Evidently

(4) 
$$\int_{(A_i)} (g_{i,n_i} - g_i) d\gamma' = \int_{(A_i - N_i)} (g_{i,n_i} - g_i) d\gamma' = \int_{((A_i - N_i - G_{i,k}) \cup G_{i,k})} (g_{i,n_i} - g_i) d\gamma' = \int_{(A_i - N_i - G_{i,k}) \cup G_{i,k}} (g_{i,n_i} - g_i) d\gamma' + \\ + \int_{(G_{1,k}, (A_2 - N_2 - G_{2,k}), \dots, (A_d - N_d - G_{d,k}))} (g_{i,n_i} - g_i) d\gamma' + \dots \\ \dots + \int_{(G_{i,k})} (g_{i,n_i} - g_i) d\gamma' .$$

By (2) there is an integer  $k_0$  such that

$$\left|\int_{(A_{i}-N_{i}-G_{i,k_{0}})} (g_{i,n_{i}}-g_{i}) \,\mathrm{d}\gamma'\right| \leq (2C)^{d} \left\|\gamma'\right\| (A_{i}-N_{i}-G_{i,k_{0}}) < \varepsilon/4 \,.$$

In the second, third, ...,  $(2^d - 1)$ -summand = the last summand on the right hand of (4) we have uniform convergence in at least one coordinate. Hence there is an  $n'_0 > n_0$  such that

Thus

 $\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{(A_i)} \left( g_{i,n_i} - g_i \right) \mathrm{d}\gamma' \right| &\leq \varepsilon / 4 + \varepsilon / 4 \quad \text{for} \quad n_1, \dots, n_d \geq n'_0 \ . \\ \left| \int_{(A_i)} \left( g_{i,n_i} \right) \mathrm{d}\gamma' - \int_{(A_i)} \left( g_i \right) \mathrm{d}\gamma' \right| &\leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$ 

for  $n_1, ..., n_d \ge n'_0$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, (1) is proved for the *d*-tuple  $(A_i)$ . Since  $(A_i) \in X \mathscr{S}_i$  was arbitrary, the theorem is proved.

Let us note that Theorem 1 in [8], i.e., the Diagonal Convergence Theorem, is a generalization of Proposition 1 in [32].

**Theorem 4.** Let Z be a Banach space. Then there is an isometric isomorphism between the Banach space  $L^{(d)}(C_0(T_i); Z^*)$  of all bounded d-linear operators  $U: XC_0(T_i) \to Z^*$  and the Banach space of all separately weak\*-countably additive vector d-polymeasures  $\gamma: X\sigma(\mathcal{B}_{0,i}) \to Z^*$ , equipped with the norm  $\gamma \to$  $\to ||\gamma|| (T_i)$ . This isometric isomorphism is given by the equations

$$U(f_i) = \int_{(T_i)} (f_i) \,\mathrm{d}\gamma$$

and

$$|U| = \|\gamma\|(T_i) = \sup_{|z| \leq 1} \|\gamma(\cdot) z\|(T_i).$$

Proof. Let  $\gamma: X\sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}) \to Z^*$  be a separately weak\*-countably additive vector *d*-polymeasure. Then  $\|\gamma\|(T_i) = \sup_{|z| \leq 1} \|\gamma(\cdot) z\|(T_i) < +\infty$  by Nikodým's uniform boundedness theorem for polymeasures, see (N) in [12], and by the uniform boundedness principle. Since  $XC_0(T_i) \subset X\overline{S}(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}, K)$ , we have  $XC_0(T_i) \subset I_1(\gamma(\cdot) z)$ for each  $z \in Z$  by Theorem 2 in [13]. Since  $\gamma(A_i)(\cdot): Z \to K$  is a linear mapping for each  $(A_i) \in X\sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,i})$ , the mapping  $U(f_i)(\cdot): Z \to K$  defined by the equality

$$U(f_i) z = \int_{(T_i)} (f_i) d(\gamma(\cdot) z)$$

is also linear by the elementary properties of the integral, for each  $(f_i) \in XC_0(T_i)$ . Clearly  $U(\cdot) z: XC_0(T_i) \to K$  is *d*-linear for each  $z \in Z$ . By elementary properties of the integral, see assertion 6) of Theorem 3 in [13], we obtain the inequalities

$$|U(f_i) z| \leq \prod_{i=1}^{d} ||f_i||_{T_i} ||\gamma(\cdot) z|| (T_i) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{d} ||f_i||_{T_i} ||\gamma|| (T_i), \quad |z| < +\infty$$

for each  $z \in Z$ , hence  $U(f_i) \in Z^*$  for each  $(f_i) \in XC_0(T_i)$ . Now using Theorem 1 we obtain the equalities

$$U| = \sup_{|z| \leq 1} |U(\cdot) z| = \sup_{|z| \leq 1} ||\gamma(\cdot) z|| (T_i) = ||\gamma|| (T_i).$$

Conversely, let  $U: XC_0(T_i) \to Z^*$  be a bounded *d*-linear operator. By Corollary of Theorem 2 for each  $z \in Z$  there is a unique separately countably additive scalar *d*-polymeasure  $\gamma_z: X\sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}) \to K$  such that

and

$$U(f_i) z = \int_{(T_i)} (f_i) d\gamma_z, \quad (f_i) \in \mathsf{X}C_0(T_i),$$
$$\|\gamma_z\| (T_i) = |U| \cdot |z| \le |U| \cdot |z| < +\infty.$$

Since  $B^{(\Omega)}(T_i)$  for each i = 1, ..., d is the smallest class of functions  $g_i: T_i \to K$ which is closed under the  $\omega^*$ -convergence of sequences and which contains  $C_0(T_i)$ , by transfinite induction, using assertion 2) of Theorem of A. Pelczyński and the uniform boundedness principle, we obtain a  $X\omega^*$ -weak\*-continuous extension  $U^{**}: XB^{(\Omega)}(T_i) \to Z^*$ . By Corollary of Theorem 2 this extension is of the form

$$U^{**}(g_i) z = \int_{(T_i)} (g_i) d\gamma_z, \quad (g_i) \in \mathsf{X}B^{(\Omega)}(T_i)$$

for each  $z \in Z$ . Taking  $(g_i) = (\chi_{A_i}), (A_i) \in X\sigma(\mathcal{B}_{0,i})$ , we obtain that  $U^{**}(\chi_{A_i}) z = \gamma_z(A_i)$  for each  $z \in Z$ . Hence  $\gamma(A_i) = U^{**}(\chi_{A_i}) \in Z^*$  for each  $(A_i) \in X\sigma(\mathcal{B}_{0,i})$ . The equality with the norms of U and  $\gamma$  was established in the first part of the proof. Hence the theorem is proved.

We immediately obtain

**Corollary.** Let Z be a Banach space. Then every bounded d-linear operator  $U: XC_0(T_i) \to Z^*$  has a unique d-linear  $X\omega^*$ -weak\*-continuous extension  $U^{**}: XB^{(\Omega)}(T_i) \to Z^*$  given by the equality

$$U^{**}(g_i) = \int_{(T_i)} (g_i) \,\mathrm{d}\gamma \,, \quad (g_i) \in \mathsf{X}B^{(\Omega)}(T_i) \,,$$

where  $\gamma: X_{\sigma}(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}) \to Z^*$  is its representing *d*-polymeasure. Moreover,  $|U^{**}| = \|\gamma\|(T_i) = |U|$ .

Identifying Y with its canonical image in  $Y^{**}$ , from the preceding theorem we easily obtain

**Theorem 5.** There is an isometric isomorphism between the Banach space of all bounded d-linear operators  $L^{(d)}(C_0(T_i); Y)$  and the Banach space of all separately weak\*-countably additive d-polymeasures  $\gamma: X\sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}) \to Y^{**}$ , with the norm  $\gamma \to ||\gamma||$  ( $T_i$ ). This isometric isomorphism is given by the equations

$$U(f_i) = \int_{(T_i)} (f_i) \, d\gamma, \quad (f_i) \in \mathsf{XC}_0(T_i),$$
  
$$y^* U(f_i) = \int_{(T_i)} (f_i) \, d(\gamma(\cdot) \, y^*), \quad (f_i) \in \mathsf{XC}_0(T_i), \quad y^* \in Y^*, \quad and$$
  
$$|U| = \|\gamma\| \, (T_i) = \sup_{|y^*| \le 1} \|\gamma(\cdot) \, y^*\| \, (T_i).$$

From Corollary of Theorem 4 we obtain another

**Corollary.** Every bounded d-linear operator  $U: XC_0(T_i) \to Y$  has a unique  $X\omega^*$ -weak\*-continuous extension  $U^{**}: XB^{(\Omega)}(T_i) \to Y^{**}$  given by the equality

$$U^{**}(g_i) = \int_{(T_i)} (g_i) \,\mathrm{d}\gamma \,, \quad (g_i) \in \mathsf{X}B^{(\Omega)}(T_i) \,,$$

where  $\gamma: X_{\sigma}(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}) \to Y^{**}$  is the representing d-polymeasure of U. Moreover,  $|U^{**}| = ||\gamma|| (T_i) = |U|.$ 

Keeping the identification of Y with its canonical image in  $Y^{**}$ , we now prove

**Theorem 6.** Let  $U: XC_0(T_i) \to Y$  be a bounded d-linear operator with the representing d-polymeasure  $\gamma: X\sigma(\mathcal{B}_{0,i}) \to Y^{**}$  and extension  $U^{**}: XB^{(\Omega)}(T_i) \to Y^{**}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

a)  $\gamma$  is Y-valued,

b)  $\gamma$  is Y-valued and is separately countably additive in the norm topology of Y,

c)  $\gamma$  is separately countably additive in the norm topology of  $Y^{**}$ ,

d) U<sup>\*\*</sup>-  $XB^{(\Omega)}(T_i) \rightarrow Y^{**}$  is  $X\omega^{*-norm-continuous}$ , and

e)  $U^{**}$ :  $XB^{(\Omega)}(T_i) \to Y$ , and it is  $X\omega^{*-norm-continuous}$ .

Proof. a)  $\Rightarrow$  b) by Theorem 5 and the Orlicz-Pettis theorem, since on  $Y \subset Y^{**}$  the weak\* and the weak topology coincide.

Evidently b)  $\Rightarrow$  c).

c)  $\Rightarrow$  d) by Theorem 3.

Trivially d)  $\Rightarrow$  e).

e)  $\Rightarrow$  a) since  $\gamma(A_i) = U^{**}(\chi_{A_i})$ . The theorem is proved.

Another generalization of the l-dimensional case is given in

**Theorem 7.** For a bounded d-linear operator  $U: XC_0(T_i) \to Y$  with the representing d-polymeasure  $\gamma: X\sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}) \to Y^{**}$  and the extension  $U^{**}: XB^{(\Omega)}(T_i) \to Y^{**}$  the following conditions are equivalent:

a) U is (weakly) compact,

b) U\*\* is Y valued and (weakly) compact, and

c)  $\gamma$  is Y valued and its range is (weakly) compact.

Proof. a)  $\Rightarrow$  b) by Theorem of A. Pelczyński, using assertion e) of Theorem 6 and the fact that the X $\omega^*$ -sequential closure of XC<sub>0</sub>( $T_i$ ) is XB<sup>( $\Omega$ )</sup>( $T_i$ ).

Evidently b)  $\Rightarrow$  c).

The implication  $c) \Rightarrow a$  in the case of a weakly compact range was already proved in Theorem 2. In the case of a compact range it can be proved similarly as Theorem VI.7.7 in [19].

Let  $\gamma: X\sigma(\mathcal{B}_{0,i}) \to Y$  be separately countably additive. We say that  $\gamma$  is uniformly countably additive in the coordinate *i* if the vector measures  $\gamma(\ldots, A_{i-1}, \cdot, A_{i+1}, \ldots)$ :  $\sigma(\mathcal{B}_{0,i}) \to Y, \ldots, A_{i-1} \in \sigma(\mathcal{B}_{0,i-1}), A_{i+1} \in \sigma(\mathcal{B}_{0,i+1}), \ldots$  are uniformly countably additive.

**Theorem 8.** Let  $\gamma: X\sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}) \to Y$  be separately countably additive and let  $U(f_i) = \int_{(T_i)} (f_i) d\gamma$ ,  $(f_i) \in XC_0(T_i)$ . Put  $S_i = \{f_i \in C_{0,i}(T_i), \|f_i\|_{T_i} \leq 1\}$ , i = 1, ..., d. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

a)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\substack{f_j \in S_j \\ i \neq i}} |U(\dots, f_{i-1}, f_{i,n}, f_{i+1}, \dots)| = 0$  whenever  $f_{i,n} \in S_i, n = 1, 2, \dots$  and

 $f_{i,n_1} \cdot f_{i,n_2} = 0$  for  $n_1 \neq n_2, n_1, n_2 = 1, 2, \dots;$ 

b)  $\gamma$  is uniformly countably additive in the coordinate i; and

c) for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a positive integer  $N_{i,\varepsilon}$  such that  $|U(..., f_{i-1}, f_{i,n}, f_{i+1}, ...)| < \varepsilon$  for at least one  $n \in \{1, ..., N_{i,\varepsilon}\}$  whenever  $f_{i,n} \in S_i$ ,  $n = 1, ..., N_{i,\varepsilon}$ ,  $f_{i,n_1} \cdot f_{i,n_2} = 0$  for  $n_1 \neq n_2$ ,  $n_1$ ,  $n_2 = 1$ , ...,  $N_{i,\varepsilon}$ , and  $f_j \in S_j$  for  $j \neq i$ .

Proof. a)/ $\Rightarrow$  b) by Lemma 1 in [30], which coincides with Lemma 8.3 on p. 267 in [33].

b)  $\Rightarrow$  c), since a uniformly countably additive family of vector measures is uniformly absolutely continuous with respect to a finite non negative countably additive measure, see Theorem I.2.4 in [1] and Theorem 1 in [4].

Evidently  $c) \Rightarrow a$ .

We now characterize those bounded *d*-linear operators  $U: XC_0(T_i) \to Y$  whose representing *d*-polymeasure is *Y* valued in terms of *U* itself. For d = 1 the condition seems to be also new.

**Theorem 9.** Let  $U: XC_0(T_i) \to Y$  be a bounded d-linear operator and let  $\gamma: X\sigma(\mathcal{B}_{0,i}) \to Y^{**}$  be its representing d-polymeasure. Then  $\gamma: X\sigma(\mathcal{B}_{0,i}) \to Y$  if and only if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} U(\varphi_{i,n,k}) \in Y$  exists for any double sequence  $\varphi_{i,n,k} \in XC_0(T_i)$  such that  $0 \leq \varphi_{i,n,k} \leq 1$  for each i = 1, ..., d and each  $k, n = 1, 2, ..., \varphi_{i,n,k} \nearrow (\searrow) g_{i,n}$  as  $k \to \infty$  for each i = 1, ..., d and each  $n = 1, 2, ..., and g_{i,n} \searrow (\nearrow)$  as  $n \to \infty$  for each i = 1, ..., d.

Proof. The necessity is a consequence of Theorem 3. First we show the suf-

ficiency for the case d = 1. According to Theorem VI.7.3 in [19],  $\gamma: \sigma(\mathscr{B}_0) \to Y$  $(T = T_1, \mathscr{B}_0 = \mathscr{B}_{0,1}, \text{ etc.})$  if and only if the family of scalar measures  $\{\gamma(\cdot) \ y^*: \sigma(\mathscr{B}_0) \to K, \ y^* \in Y^*, \ |y^*| \leq 1\}$  is uniformly countably additive. By Grothendieck's result, see Lemma VI.2.13 in [1], this occurs if and only if  $\gamma(O_j) \to 0$  whenever  $O_j \in \sigma(\mathscr{B}_0), \ j = 1, 2, \ldots$  is a sequence of pairwise disjoint open sets. Let  $O_j, \ j = 1, 2, \ldots$  be such a sequence. Since  $V_n = \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} O_j, \ n = 1, 2, \ldots$  are open  $F_{\sigma}$  sets, by Theorem B in § 50, [20], for each  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  there is a sequence  $\varphi_{n,k} \in C_0(T), 0 \leq \varphi_{n,k} \leq 1, \ k = 1, 2, \ldots$  such that  $\varphi_{n,k} \nearrow \chi_{V_n}$ . By assumption  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma(V_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \bigcup_{j \to \infty} \gamma(V_j - V_{j+1}) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \gamma(V_j) - \gamma(V_{j+1}) = 0.$ 

In the case  $\nearrow$ ,  $\searrow$  given in the bracket, let  $C_j$ , j = 1, 2, ... be a sequence of pairwise disjoint compact  $G_{\delta}$  sets. For n = 1, 2, ... put  $D_n = \bigcup_{j=1}^n C_j$ . By Theorem B in § 50, [20], for each  $D_n$ , n = 1, 2, ... take a sequence  $\varphi_{n,k} \in C_0(T)$ ,  $0 \leq \varphi_{n,k} \leq 1$ , k = 1, 2, ... such that  $\varphi_{n,k} \searrow \chi_{D_n}$ . By assumption  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma(D_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \bigcup^{*}(\chi_{D_n}) \in Y$  exists, hence  $\lim_{j \to \infty} \gamma(C_j) = 0$ . Consequently, since  $C_j$ , j = 1, 2, ... was an arbitrary sequence of pairwise disjoint compact  $G_{\delta}$  sets, using the regularity of the scalar Baire measures  $\gamma(\cdot) y^* : \sigma(\mathscr{B}_0) \to K$ ,  $y^* \in Y^*$  we immediately obtain that  $\lim_{j \to \infty} \gamma(O_j) = 0$  whenever  $O_j \in \sigma(\mathscr{B}_0), j = 1, 2, ...$  is a sequence of pairwise disjoint open sets. Hence by Lemma VI.2.13 in [1]  $\gamma$  is Y valued. Hence for d = 1 the sufficiency is also proved.

Let d > 1 and let  $(A_i) \in X\sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,i})$ . Then  $(\chi_{A_i}) \in XB^{(\Omega)}(T_i)$ . Since  $B^{(\Omega)}(T_i) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \Omega} B^{(\alpha)}(T_i)$ , i = 1, ..., d, where  $B^{(\alpha)}(T_i)$  stands for the  $\alpha$ -th Baire class, using transfinite induction we immediately see that for each i = 1, ..., d there is a countable family of functions  $f_{i,n} \in C_0(T_i)$ , n = 1, 2, ... such that  $\chi_{A_i} \in B(\{f_{i,n}\})$  = the smallest class of functions  $f_i: T_i \to K$  which contains the family  $\{f_{i,n}\}$  and which is closed under the formation of pointwise limits of sequences. Using the sequences  $\{f_{i,n}\}$ , i = 1, ..., d, similarly as in the proof of Theorem VI.7.6 in [19], concerning  $B(\{f_{i,n}\})$  we may and will suppose that each  $T_i$ , i = 1, ..., d is a  $\sigma$ -compact metric space. In particular,  $T_i$ , i = 1, ..., d are separable metric spaces now. According to Lemma VI.8.4 in [19] each  $C_0(T_i)$ , i = 1, ..., d is a separable Banach space. Let  $h_{i,n}$ , n = i, 2, ... be a countable dense set in  $C_0(T_i)$ , i = 1, ..., d.

By the case d = 1 proved above, for each  $(f_2, ..., f_d) \in C_0(T_2) \times ... \times C_0(T_d)$ there is a unique countably additive vector measure  $\gamma_{(f_2,...,f_d)}: \sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,1}) \to Y$  which represents the bounded linear operator  $U_{(f_2,...,f_d)}: C_0(T_1) \to Y, U_{(f_2,...,f_d)}(f_1) =$  $= U(f_1, f_2, ..., f_d), f_1 \in C_0(T_1)$ . Let  $\lambda_1: \sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,1}) \to [0, 1]$  be a common countably additive  $(0 \to 0)$  control measure for the countable family of countably additive vector measures  $\gamma_{(h_{2,n_2,...,h_{d,n_d}})}: \sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,1}) \to Y, n_2, ..., n_d = 1, 2, ..., see$  Lemma IV.10.5 in [19], or Corollary I.2.6 in [1]. Let  $N_1 \in \sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,1})$ , and let  $\lambda_1(N_1) = 0$ .

We assert that  $\gamma(N_1, E_2, \dots, E_d) = 0$  for each  $E_i \in \sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}), i = 2, \dots, d$ . First we show that  $\gamma_{(f_2,\dots,f_d)}(N_1) = 0$  for each  $(f_2,\dots,f_d) \in C_0(T_2) \times \dots \times C_0(T_d)$ .

Let  $(f_2, ..., f_d) \in C_0(T_2) \times ... \times C_0(T_d)$ , and take subsequences  $\{n_{i,k}\} \subset \{n\}$ , i = 2, ..., d such that  $||f_i - h_{i,n_{i,k}}||_{T_i} \to 0$  for each i = 2, ..., d. Evidently  $||f_i||_{T_i} \leq$   $\leq \sup_k ||h_{i,n_{i,k}}||_{T_i} = b_i < +\infty$  for each i = 2, ..., d. Put  $b = \max_{2 \leq i \leq d} b_i$ . Clearly  $\gamma_{(f_2,...,f_d)}(N_1) = \gamma_{(f_2,...,f_d)}(N_1) - \gamma(h_{2,n_{2,k}}, ..., h_{d,n_d,k})(N_1)$ , and  $(f_2, ..., f_d) - (h_{2,n_{2,k}}, ..., h_{d,n_d,k}) =$ 

$$= ((f_2 - h_{2,n_{2,k}}), f_3, \dots, f_d) + \dots + (h_{2,n_{2,k}}, \dots, h_{d-1,n_{d-1,k}}, (f_d - h_{d,n_{d,k}}))$$

for each  $n_{2,k}, ..., n_{d,k} = 1, 2, ...$  Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \gamma((f_2 - h_{2,n_{2,k}}), f_3, \dots, f_d)(N_1) \right| &\leq \left\| \gamma_{(\dots)} \right\| (T_1) = (\text{by Theorem 1}) = \\ &= \sup_{\|f_1\|_{T_1} \leq 1} \left| U(f_1, (f_2 - h_{2,n_{2,k}}), f_3, \dots, f_d) \right| \leq |U| \cdot \|f_2 - h_{2,n_{2,k}}\|_{T_2} b^{d-2}, \\ &\cdots \\ & \left| \gamma(h_{2,n_{2,k}}, \dots, h_{d-1,n_{d-1,k}}, (f_d - h_{d,n_{d,k}}))(N_1) \right| \leq \\ &\leq \| \gamma_{(\dots)} \| (T_1) \leq |U| b^{d-2} \|f_d - h_{d,n_{d,k}}\|_{T_d} \end{aligned}$$

for each  $n_{2,k}, ..., n_{d,k} = 1, 2, ...$ , we have  $\gamma_{(f_2,...,f_d)}(N_1) = 0$ 

Let  $(E_2, ..., E_d) \in \sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,2}) \times ... \times \sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,d})$ . According to Theorem 5 and its Corollary we have  $\gamma(N_1, E_2, ..., E_d) = U^{**}(\chi_{N_1}, \chi_{E_2}, ..., \chi_{Ed})$ . Since  $U^{**}(\chi_{N_1}, f_2, ..., f_d) = U^{**}_{(f_2,...,f_d)}(\chi_{N_1}) = \gamma_{(f_2,...,f_d)}(N_1) = 0$  for each  $(f_2, ..., f_d) \in C_0(T_2) \times ...$  $\ldots \times C_0(T_d)$ , we have  $\gamma(N_1, E_2, ..., E_d) y^* = 0$  for each  $y^* \in Y^*$  by Corollary of Theorem 5. Hence  $\gamma(N_1, E_2, ..., E_d) = 0$ , which we wanted to show.

By symmetry in coordinates there are countably additive measures  $\lambda_i: \sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}) \rightarrow [0, 1], i = 2, ..., d$  with analogous properties as  $\lambda_1$ . Hence  $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \times ... \times \lambda_d$  is a control *d*-polymeasure for the *d*-polymeasure  $\gamma: X\sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}) \rightarrow Y^{**}$ .

By regularity of the Baire measures  $\lambda_i: \sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}) \to [0,1], i = 1, ..., d$ , see Theorem G in § 52, [20], for each *i* there is a non decreasing sequence of compact  $G_{\delta}$  subsets  $C_{i,n} \subset T_i, n = 1, 2, ...,$  and a non increasing sequence of open subsets  $O_{i,n} \subset T_i,$ n = 1, 2, ... such that  $C_{i,n} \subset A_i \subset O_{i,n}$  and  $\lambda_i(O_{i,n} - C_{i,n}) < 1/n$  for each n == 1, 2, ... Hence  $\lambda_i (\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_{i,n} - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{i,n}) = 0$  for each i = 1, ..., d. Now by Urysohn's Lemma for each i = 1, ..., d and each n = 1, 2, ... there is a non decreasing (non increasing) sequence  $\varphi_{i,n,k} \in C_0(T_i), 0 \leq \varphi_{i,n,k} \leq 1, k = 1, 2, ...$  such that  $\varphi_{i,n,k} \nearrow$  $\nearrow \chi_{O_{i,n}}(\varphi_{i,n,k} \searrow \chi_{C_{i,n}})$ . By assumption and Theorem 5,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{k \to \infty} U(\varphi_{i,n,k}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{(T_i)} (\varphi_{i,n,k}) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma = y \in Y$$

exists. Hence, using Corollary of Theorem 5 and the fact that  $\lambda_1 \times \ldots \times \lambda_d$  is a control *d*-polymeasure for the *d*-polymeasure  $\gamma: X\sigma(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}) \to Y^{**}$ , we immediately

obtain the equalities

.

$$y^* y = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{(T_i)} (\varphi_{i,n,k}) d(\gamma(\cdot) y^*) = \gamma(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_{i,n}) y^* =$$
$$= \gamma(A_i) y^* \quad (= \gamma(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{i,n}) y^*)$$

for each  $y^* \in Y^*$ . Thus  $\gamma(A_i) = y \in Y$  by the Hahn-Banach theorem, which we wanted to show.

Since  $(A_i) \in X\sigma(\mathcal{B}_{0,i})$  was arbitrary, the theorem is proved.

Since our spaces  $T_i$ , i = 1, ..., d are locally compact, the following "localization" of our integral representation is of importance. Its proof is obvious.

**Theorem 10.** For a bounded d-linear operator  $U: XC_0(T_i) \rightarrow Y$  the following conditions are equivalent:

a) the representing d-polymeasure  $\gamma$  of U is Y valued on  $X\mathscr{B}_{0,i}$ ;

b) the representing d-polymeasure  $\gamma$  of U is Y valued on  $X\mathcal{B}_{0,i}$  and separately countably additive on  $X\mathcal{B}_{0,i}$ ;

c) for any relatively compact open sets  $D_i \in \mathcal{B}_{0,i}$ , i = 1, ..., d the restriction  $U_{(D_i)} = U: XC_0(D_i) \to Y$  is representable by a unique Y valued d-polymeasure  $\gamma_{(D_i)}: X(D_i \cap \mathcal{B}_{0,i}) \to Y$ .

If these conditions are fulfilled, then

$$U(f_i) = \int_{(T_i)} (f_i) \,\mathrm{d}\gamma \,, \quad (f_i) \in \mathsf{X}C_0(T_i) \,,$$

where  $\gamma: X \mathscr{B}_{0,i} \to Y$ ,  $|U| = ||\gamma|| (T_i)$ , and  $\gamma_{(D_i)} = \gamma: X(D_i \cap \mathscr{B}_{0,i}) \to Y$  for any open  $D_i \in \mathscr{B}_{0,i}$ , i = 1, ..., d.

The bilinear operator  $U: c_0 \times c_0 \to c_0$  of pointwise multiplication  $U(x, z) = (x(t), z(t)) \in C_0$  is a simple example of a separately compact operator, obviously bounded. However, its representing bimeasure is not Y valued on  $2^N \times 2^N$ , non-etheless, it is  $Y = c_0$  valued on  $\mathscr{B}_{0,1} \times \mathscr{B}_{0,2}$ , where  $\mathscr{B}_{0,1} = \mathscr{B}_{0,2}$  is the  $\delta$ -ring of all finite subsets of N.

If Y contains no copy of  $l_{\infty}$  and T is a Stonean compact, then every bounded linear operator  $U: C(T) \to Y$  is weakly compact by the important theorem of H. P. Rosenthal, see [34] and Theorem VI.2.10 in [1]. Now it is easy to check that the proof of Theorem of A. Pelczyński in [32], hence also the theorem itself remain valid if Y contains no isomorphic copy of  $l_{\infty}$  and each  $T_i$ , i = 1, ..., d is a Stonean compact. Hence, similarly as Theorem 2, we have our concluding.

**Theorem 11.** Let Y contain no copy of  $l_{\infty}$ , in particular let Y be separable, and let  $T_i$ , i = 1, ..., d be Stonean compacts. Then every bounded d-linear operator  $U: XC(T_i) \rightarrow Y$  has a unique representation in the form

$$U(f_i) = \int_{(T_i)} (f_i) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma \,, \quad (f_i) \in \mathsf{X}C(T_i) \,,$$

where the representing d-polymeasure  $\gamma: X_{\sigma}(\mathscr{B}_{0,i}) \to Y$  is separately countably

additive. Moreover, U has a unique  $X\omega^*$ -norm-continuous extension  $U^{**}$ :  $XB^{(\Omega)}(T_i) \rightarrow Y$  given by the equality

$$\bigcup^{**}(g_i) = \int_{(T_i)} (g_i) \,\mathrm{d}\gamma \,, \quad (g_i) \in \mathsf{X}B^{(\Omega)}(T_i) \,.$$

At the same time,

$$|U| = ||\gamma|| (T_i) = \sup_{|y^*| \le 1} ||y^* \gamma(\cdot)|| (T_i) = |U^{**}|.$$

#### References

- [1] Diestel, J., Uhl, J. J.: Vector measures, Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys, No 15, Providence, 1977.
- [2] Dinculeanu, N.: Vector measures, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1966.
- [3] Dobrakov, I.: On representation of linear operators on  $C_0(T, X)$ , Czech. Math. J. 21 (96), 1971, 13-30.
- [4] Dobrakov, I.: On Lebesgue pseudonorms on  $C_0(T)$ , Math. Slovaca 32, 1982, 327-3335.
- [5] Dobrakov, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, I, Czech. Math. J. 20 (95), 1970, 511-536.
- [6] Dobrakov, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, II, Czech. Math. J. 20 (95), 1970, 680-695.
- [7] Dobrakov, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, III, Czech. Math. J. 29 (104), 1979, 4-78--499.
- [8] Dobrakov, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, IV, Czech. Math. J. 30 (105), 1980, 259-279.
- [9] Dobrakov, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, V, Czech. Math. J. 30 (105), 1980, 610-628.
- [10] Dobrakov, I.; Morales, P.: On integration in Banach spaces, VI, Czech. Math. J. 35 (110) 1985, 173-187.
- [11] Dobrakov, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, VII, Czech. Math. J. 38 (113), 1988, 434-449.
- [12] Dobrakov, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, VIII, (Polymeasures), Czéch. Math. J. 37 (112), 1987, 487-506.
- [13] Dobrakov, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, IX, (Integration with respect to polymeasures), Czech. Math. J. 38 (113), 1988, 589-601.
- [14] Dobrakov, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, X, (Integration with respect to polymeasures), Czech. Math. J. 38 (113), 1988, 713-725.
- [15] Dobrakov, I.: Remarks on the integrability in Banach spaces, Math. Slovaca 36, 1986, 323-327.
- [16] Dobrakov, I.: On extension of vector polymeasures, Czech. Math. J. 38 (113), 1988, 88-94.
- [17] Dobrakov, I.: A concept of measurability for the Daniell integral, Math. Slovaca 28, 1978, 361-378.
- [18] Dobrakov, I.: Representation of multilinear operators on  $XC_0(T_i, X_i)$ , Czech. Math. J.
- [19] Dunford, N., Schwartz, J.: Linear operators, part I, Interscience Publishers, New York 1958.
- [20] Halmos, P. R.: Measure theory, D. Van Nostrand, New York 1950.
- [21] Jefferies, B.: Radon polymeasures, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 32, 1985, 207-215.
- [22] Katsaras, A. K.: Bimeasures on topological spaces, Glasnik Matematički 20 (40), 1985, 35-49.
- [23] Merzbach, E., Zakai, M.: Bimeasures and measures induced by planar stochastic integrators, J. Multivar. Anal. 19, 1986, 67-87.
- [24] Mitter, S. K., Young, S. K.: Integration with respect to operator-valued measures with applications to quantum estimation theory, Annali Mat. Pura Appl. (IV), 137, 1984, 1-39.
- [25] Morse, M.: Bimeasures and their integral extensions, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 39, 1955, 345-356.
- [26] Morse, M., Transue, W.: Integral representations of bilinear functionals, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 35, 1949, 136-143.

- [27] Morse, M., Transue, W.: C-bimeasures △ and their superior integrals △\*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, (2) 4, 1955, 270-300.
- [28] Morse, M., Transue, W.: C-bimeasures △ and their integral extensions, Ann. of Math. (2) 64, 1956, 480-504.
- [29] Morse, M., Transue, W.: The representation of a bimeasure on a rectangle, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 42, 1956, 89-95.
- [30] Pelczyński, A.: Banach spaces on which every unconditionally converging operator is weakly compact, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. sci. math. astr. et phys. 10, 1962, 641-648.
- [32] Pelczyński, A.: On weakly compact polynomial operators on B-spaces with Dunford-Pettis property, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. sci. math. astr. et phys. 11, 1963, 371-378.
- [32] Pelczyński, A.: A theorem of Dunford-Pettis type for polynomial operators, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. sci. math. astr. et phys. 11, 1963, 379-386.
- [33] Przeworska-Rolewicz, D., Rolewicz, S.: Equations in linear spaces, Monografie Mat. Vol. 47, PWN Warszawa 1968.
- [34] Rosenthal, H. P.: On relatively disjoint families of measures, with some applications to Banach space theory, Studia Math. 37, 1970, 13-36.
- [35] Thomas, E.: L'intégration par rapport a une mesure de Radon vectorielle, Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble, 20, 1970, 55-191.
- [36] Ylinen, K.: On vector bimeasures, Annali Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 117, 1978, 115-138.
- [37] Ylinen, K.: Fourier transforms of noncommutative analogues of vector measures and bimeasures with applications to stochastic processes, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae, Ser. A I, 1, 1975, 355-385.

Author's address: Obrancov mieru 49, 814 73 Bratislava, Czechoslovakia (Matematický ústav SAV).