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# NUMERICAL IDENTIFICATION OF A COEFFICIENT IN A PARABOLIC QUASILINEAR EQUATION 

Jan Neumann

(Received April 28, 1984)

## INTRODUCTION

Let $T, b$ and $l \in \mathbb{R}^{+} ; \varphi, \xi \in L_{\infty}(0, T)$ be given. Our aim is to solve the following optimal control problem: to determine the function $\psi$ in some reasonable class of functions in such a way that the generalised solution $u=u_{\psi}(t, x)$ of the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \psi(u)}{\partial x}=b \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} \quad \text { on }(0, T) \times(0, \infty), \tag{I.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, 0)=\varphi(t) \quad \text { on } \quad\langle 0, T\rangle \tag{I.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0, x)=0 \quad \text { on } \quad\langle 0, \infty\rangle \tag{I.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{t \in\langle 0, T\rangle}|u(t, x)|=0 \tag{I.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

may minimise the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left[u_{\psi}(t, l)-\xi(t)\right]^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{I.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exact definition of the generalised solution is given in Appendix.
In Section 1 we specify among other the class in which we shall look for the function $\psi$, keeping in view the requirement of its numerical realization. The methods of numerical solution of the problem are described in Section 2. Finally, in Section 3, a numerical example is given. Some auxiliary theoretical results are summarized in Appendix.

This problem appeared in connection with mathematical modelling of gas chromatography. I should like to dedicate my article to the memory of its inspirer and my adviser, Dr. Karel Boček, from the Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology in Prague. My thanks are due also to Dr. Oldřich John, from the Faculty of Mathematics and Physics of Charles University in Prague, for very valuable suggestions and remarks.

Let $K$ be a compact subset of $\mathbb{P}^{p}$ and for all $a \in K$ let a function $\psi_{a} \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ be defined so that $\psi_{a}^{\prime}$ and $\psi_{a}^{\prime \prime}$ are bounded functions and $\psi_{a}^{\prime \prime}$ is Lipschitz continuous. Let $T, b, l$ be positive numbers; let $\varphi$ and $\xi$ be fixed elements of the space $L_{\infty}(0, T)$. Let us write $u_{a}$ for the generalised solution of the boundary value problem (I.1), (I.2), (I.3) and (I.4) for $\psi=\psi_{a}$. We want to determine $\alpha \in K$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{\alpha}(t, l)-\xi(t)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leqq \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{a}(t, l)-\xi(t)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a \in K$.
Let us remark that $\varphi \in L_{\infty}(0, T)$ implies $u_{a}(\cdot, x) \in L_{\infty}(0, T)$ for all $x \in\langle 0, \infty)$. Conditions for the existence of a solution of this problem are formulated in Appendix.

The coefficient $\psi_{a}$ in the equation (I.1) is closely connected with the distribution coefficient of two substances in the chromatographics column, which is to be established from the chromatogram $\xi(t)(\xi(t)$ describes the time distribution of the concentration of the investigated matter in the gas substance at the end of the column).

## 2. METHOD OF NUMERICAL SOLUTION

To obtain the numerical solution of the problem, the following steps are to be executed:

1. To find an adequate method for the numerical solution of the "direct" problem (I.1), (I.2), (I.3) and (I.4). Let us write $\tilde{u}_{a}$ for the approximate solution of this problem for $a \in K$ and $\psi=\psi_{a}$.
2. To define an adequate approximation of the functional

$$
\Phi(u)=\int_{0}^{T}(u(t, l)-\xi(t))^{2} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

(by means of a quadrature formula). Let us write $\widetilde{\Phi}$ for this approximative functional.
3. To give a method for the minimisation of the function $\tilde{I}(a)=\tilde{\Phi}\left(\tilde{u}_{a}\right)$ on the set $K$.

Ad 1. Since the problem is defined on a rectangular domain, the finite difference method will do the job.

Let $N, M$ be positive integers and let $L>0, L \gtrdot>l$. Put $\tau=T N^{-1}, h=L M^{-1}$. Denote by $u_{m}^{n}$ the value of a numerical solution at the point $(n \tau, m h)$. The boundary conditions (I.2), (I.3) and (I.4) are approximated in a natural way:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{0}^{n}=\varphi(n \tau) & \text { for } \quad n=0,1, \ldots, N, \\
u_{m}^{o}=0 & \text { for } \\
u_{M}^{n}=0 & \text { for } \quad n=0,1, \ldots, M-1,  \tag{2.3}\\
n, N .
\end{array}
$$

The discrete approximation of the equation (I.1) is of the form
for

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(1+2 b \eta \tau h^{-2}\right) u_{m}^{n+1}-b \eta \tau h^{-2} u_{m+1}^{n+1}-b \eta \tau h^{-2} u_{m-1}^{n+1}=  \tag{2.4}\\
=\left[1-2 b(1-\eta) \tau h^{-2}\right] u_{m}^{n}+\left[b(1-\eta) \tau h^{-2}-\frac{1}{2} \psi^{\prime}\left(u_{m}^{n}\right) \tau h^{-1}\right] u_{m+1}^{n}+ \\
+\left[b(1-\eta) \tau h^{-2}+\frac{1}{2} \psi^{\prime}\left(u_{m}^{n}\right) \tau h^{-1}\right] u_{m-1}^{n} \\
n=0,1, \ldots, N-1, \quad m=1,2, \ldots, M-1
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\eta \in\langle 0,1\rangle$. Changing the parameter $\eta$ we get the spectrum of different schemes ( $\eta=0$ gives the explicit method, $\eta=\frac{1}{2}$ the Crank-Nicholson method, $\eta=1$ the implicit method). Passing from the $n$-th to the $(n+1)$-st time step we solve the system of linear equations. The matrix of this system does not change.

The situation when the practical calculations are performed, is characterized by an additional condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \left\{\psi^{\prime}(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^{1}\right\} \geqq \delta>0 . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case it is easy to see that the explicit finite difference scheme (which is the one exclusively used) is stable if $\tau h^{-2} \leqq 1 /(2 b)$ and $h \leqq 2 b \delta^{-1}$. The methods introduced are modifications of standard methods used for the numerical solution of linear parabolic problems (see for example [1]).

Ad. 2. In order to approximate our functional $\Phi$ we use the simplest formula:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{\Phi}(w)=\tau \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(w_{R}^{n}-\xi(n \tau)\right)^{2}, \quad w=\left\{w_{m}^{n}\right\}_{m=1,2, \ldots M-1}^{n=1,2, \ldots, N}  \tag{2.6}\\
\Phi(u) \approx \tilde{\Phi}(\{u(n \tau, m h)\}) \approx \Phi\left(\left\{u_{m}^{n}\right\}\right) \\
R \tau=l, \quad R \in \mathbb{N} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Ad 3. To minimise the function $\tilde{I}$ we use a certain modification of the conjugate gradient method. In every iteration of this method we must know the values of the mappings $\tilde{I}$ and $\operatorname{grad} \tilde{I}$. We shall study possible methods of calculation of the value $\operatorname{grad} I$.

1. The direct method

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{m}^{n}(a)=\operatorname{grad} u_{m}^{n}(a) \text { for } n=0,1, \ldots, N, \quad m=0,1, \ldots, M . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can use the following recursive formulae for the calculation of these values. Let $\psi_{a}$ be sufficiently smooth.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
v_{0}^{n}=v_{M}^{n}=0 & \text { for } \quad n=0,1, \ldots, N, \\
v_{m}^{0}=0 & \text { for } \quad m=1,2, \ldots, M-1, \tag{2.9}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{m}^{n+1}=\left(1-2 b \tau h^{-2}\right) v_{m}^{n}+\left(b \tau h^{-2}-\frac{1}{2} \psi_{a}^{\prime}\left(u_{m}^{n}\right) \tau h^{-1}\right) v_{m+1}^{n}+  \tag{2.10}\\
+\left(b \tau h^{-2}+\frac{1}{2} \psi_{a}^{\prime}\left(u_{m}^{n}\right) \tau h^{-1}\right) v_{m-1}^{n}-\frac{1}{2} \tau h^{-1}\left(\operatorname{grad}_{a} \psi_{a}^{\prime}\left(u_{m}^{n}\right)+\right. \\
\left.+\psi_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{m}^{n}\right) v_{m}^{n}\right)\left(u_{m+1}^{n}-u_{m-1}^{n}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

for

$$
n=0,1, \ldots, N-1, \quad m=1,2, \ldots, M-1
$$

Further, it is evident that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{grad} \tilde{I}(a)=2 \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} v_{R}^{n}\left(u_{R}^{n}-\xi(n \tau)\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R \tau=1, \quad R \in \mathbb{N}$.
2. The dual method

Let us denote

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{p}\right)^{\top} \quad \text { for all } \quad a \in K,  \tag{2.12}\\
& u^{n}=\left(u_{1}^{n}, u_{2}^{n}, \ldots, u_{M-1}^{n}\right)^{\top} \quad \text { for } \quad n=0,1, \ldots, N, \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial u^{n}}=\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial u_{1}^{n}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial u_{M-1}^{n}}\right) \text { for } n=0,1, \ldots, N, \quad \tilde{\Phi}=\tilde{\Phi}\left(\left\{u_{m}^{n}\right\}\right)  \tag{2.14}\\
\frac{\mathrm{d} u^{k}}{\mathrm{~d} a}=\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}^{k}}{\partial a_{j}}\right)_{\substack{i=1,2, \ldots, M-1 \\
j=1,2, \ldots, p}} \text { for } k=0,1, \ldots, N \tag{2.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us remark that all calculations are performed with an arbitrary sufficiently smooth function $\widetilde{\Phi}$ of $(M-1) \cdot(N+1)$ variables. In our particular case

$$
\frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial u_{i}^{n}}=2 \tau\left(u_{R}^{n}-\xi(n \tau)\right) \delta_{R i}
$$

Let us define the mapping:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f: \mathbb{R}^{M-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{p} \times \mathbb{R}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{M-1}, \quad j=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{M-1}\right)^{\top} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{1}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{M-1}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}, c\right)=b h^{-2}\left(c-2 v_{1}+v_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \psi_{a}^{\prime}\left(v_{1}\right)\left(v_{2}-c\right) h^{-1} \\
f_{j}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{M-1}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}, c\right)=b h^{-2}\left(v_{j-1}-2 v_{j}+v_{j+1}\right)- \\
-\frac{1}{2} \psi_{a}^{\prime}\left(v_{j}\right)\left(v_{j+1}-v_{j-1}\right) h^{-1}, \quad j=2,3, \ldots, M-2 \\
f_{M-1}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{M-1}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}, c\right)=b h^{-2}\left(v_{M-2}-2 v_{M-1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \psi_{a}^{\prime}\left(v_{M-1}\right) v_{M-2} h^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then the explicit finite difference method for our problem can be written in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{n+1}=u^{n}+\tau f\left(u^{n}, a, \varphi(n \tau)\right) \text { for } n=0,1, \ldots, N-1  \tag{2.17}\\
u^{0}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} u^{n+1}}{\mathrm{~d} a}=\frac{\mathrm{d} u^{n}}{\mathrm{~d} a}+\tau\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{n}} f\left(u^{n}, a, \varphi(n \tau)\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} u^{n}}{\mathrm{~d} a}+\frac{\partial}{\partial a} f\left(u^{n}, a, \varphi(n \tau)\right)\right] \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n=0,1, \ldots, N-1 ; \quad \mathrm{d} u^{0} / \mathrm{d} a=0$.
In this formula the standard notation is used. Further, it is evident that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{grad} \tilde{I}(a)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial \widetilde{\Phi}}{\partial u^{i}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u^{i}}{\mathrm{~d} a}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M-1}\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial u_{j}^{i}} \frac{\partial u_{j}^{i}}{\partial a_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial \widetilde{\Phi}}{\partial u_{j}^{i}} \frac{\partial u_{j}^{i}}{\partial a_{p}}\right) . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define vectors $g_{i}^{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{M-1}$ for $n=0,1, \ldots, N+1 ; i=0,1, \ldots, N$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}^{n}=0, \quad n=i+1, \ldots, N, N+1, \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
g_{i}^{i}=\left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{\Phi}}{\partial u^{i}}\right)^{\top},  \tag{2.21}\\
g_{i}^{n}=g_{i}^{n+1}+\tau\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{n}} f\left(u^{n}, a, \varphi(n \tau)\right)\right)^{\top} g_{i}^{n+1}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots, i-1 . \tag{2.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then
(2.23) $\frac{\partial \widetilde{\Phi}}{\partial u^{i}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u^{i}}{\mathrm{~d} a}=\left(g_{i}^{i}\right)^{\top} \frac{\mathrm{d} u^{i}}{\mathrm{~d} a}-\left(g_{i}^{0}\right)^{\top} \frac{\mathrm{d} u^{0}}{\mathrm{~d} a}=\sum_{j=0}^{i-1}\left[\left(g_{i}^{j+1}\right)^{\top} \frac{\mathrm{d} u^{j+1}}{\mathrm{~d} a}-\left(g_{i}^{j}\right)^{\top} \frac{\mathrm{d} u^{j}}{\mathrm{~d} a}\right]=$

$$
=\sum_{j=0}^{i-1}\left[\left(g_{i}^{j+1}\right)^{\top}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} u^{j+1}}{\mathrm{~d} a}-\frac{\mathrm{d} u^{j}}{\mathrm{~d} a}\right)+\left(g_{i}^{j+1}-g_{i}^{j}\right)^{\top} \frac{\mathrm{d} u^{j}}{\mathrm{~d} a}\right] .
$$

Using (2.18), (2.20), (2.22) and the last formula we obtain the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \widetilde{\Phi}}{\partial u^{i}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~d} u^{i}}{\mathrm{~d} a}=\tau \sum_{j=0}^{N}\left(g_{i}^{j+1}\right)^{\top} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} f\left(u^{J}, a, \varphi(j \tau)\right) . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{N} g_{i}^{n}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots, N+1 \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.19) we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{grad} \tilde{I}(a)=\tau \sum_{j=0}^{N}\left(g^{j+1}\right)^{\top} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} f\left(u^{j}, a, \varphi(j \tau)\right) . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sequence of the vectors $\left\{g^{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{N+1}$ solves the difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{n}=g^{n+1}+\tau\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{n}} f\left(u^{n}, a, \varphi(n \tau)\right)\right)^{\top} g^{n+1}+\left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{\Phi}}{\partial u^{n}}\right)^{\top} \text { for } n=N, N-1, \ldots 0 \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{N+1}=0, \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

because

$$
\begin{gathered}
g^{n+1}+\tau\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{n}} f\left(u^{n}, a, \varphi(n \tau)\right)\right)^{\top} g^{n+1}+\left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{\Phi}}{\partial u^{n}}\right)^{\top}= \\
=\sum_{i=n+1}^{N}\left[g_{i}^{n+1}+\tau\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{n}} f\left(u^{n}, a, \varphi(n \tau)\right)\right)^{\top} g_{i}^{n+1}\right]+g_{n}^{n}=\sum_{i=n+1}^{N} g_{i}^{n}+g_{n}^{n}=g^{n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{n}=\left(p_{1}^{n}, \ldots, p_{M-1}^{n}\right)^{\top} \text { for } n=0,1, \ldots, N+1 \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and put $p_{0}^{n}=p_{M}^{n}=0, n=0,1, \ldots, N+1$. Using (2.16), (2.27) and (2.28), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{i}^{n}=\left(b \tau h^{-2}-\psi_{a}^{\prime}\left(u_{i-1}^{n}\right) \frac{1}{2} \tau h^{-1}\right) p_{i-1}^{n+1}+  \tag{2.30}\\
+\left(1-2 b \tau h^{-2}-\psi_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{i}^{n}\right) \frac{1}{2} \tau h^{-1}\left(u_{i+1}^{n}-u_{i-1}^{n}\right)\right) p_{i}^{n+1}+ \\
+\left(b \tau h^{-2}+\psi_{a}^{\prime}\left(u_{i+1}^{n}\right) \frac{1}{2} \tau h^{-1}\right) p_{i+1}^{n+1}+\frac{\partial \widetilde{\Phi}}{\partial u_{i}^{n}} \\
n=N, N-1, \ldots, 0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, M-1 ; \\
p_{i}^{N+1}=0 \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, M-1 .
\end{gather*}
$$

for

Further, it is evident that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial a} f\left(u^{n}, a, \varphi(n \tau)\right)=-\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{a}^{\prime}\left(u_{i}^{n}\right)}{\partial a_{j}} \frac{u_{i+1}^{n}-u_{i-1}^{n}}{2 h}\right)_{\substack{i=1, \ldots, M-1 \\ j=1, \ldots, p}} . \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial a_{k}} \tilde{I}\left(\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right)=-\tau \sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} p_{i}^{j+1} \frac{\partial \psi_{( }^{\prime}\left(u_{i}^{n}\right)}{\partial a_{k}} \frac{u_{i+1}^{n}-u_{i-1}^{n}}{2 h}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, p .\right. \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The algorithm for the calculation of $\operatorname{grad} \tilde{I}(a)$ dy means of the dual method is now quite clear. It is apparent that the dual method is more advantagenous than the direct method, if $p$ is sufficiently large. Evidently, the method introduced is closely connected with the known principle of Lagrarge multipliers. Its vatious generalizations are often used for the solution of optimal control problems of mathematical physics (see [2]).

Let us now give a sketch of our modification of the conjugate gradient method. Denote
$a_{0} \quad \ldots$ the initial approximation of the solution,
$l_{1} \ldots$ the maximal number of iterations,
$k_{1} \ldots$ the number of iterations executed,
$a_{\text {opt }} \ldots$ the approximation of the optimal solution obtained by our method.
Let $K$ be a $p$-dimensional bounded interval $\left\langle d_{1}, h_{1}\right\rangle \times \ldots \times\left\langle d_{p}, h_{p}\right\rangle$. The algorithm of the method can be described as follows:

1. We choose $a_{0} \in K$ and put $k_{1}=0$.
2. $k_{1}+1 \rightarrow k_{1}$.
3. If $k_{1}>l_{1}$ or $\operatorname{grad} \tilde{I}\left(a_{0}\right)=0$, we put $a_{o p t}=a_{0}$. The calculation is finished.
4. We put $s_{0}=-\left[\operatorname{grad} \tilde{I}\left(a_{0}\right)\right]^{\top}$.
5. We put $\left(s_{0}\right)_{j}=0$ for all $j=1,2, \ldots, p$ satisfying $\left[\left(a_{0}\right)_{j}=h_{j} \&\left(s_{0}\right)_{j}>0\right]$ or $\left[\left(a_{0}\right)_{j}=d_{j} \&\left(s_{0}\right)_{j}<0\right]$.
6. If $s_{0}=0$, we put $a_{\text {opt }}=a_{0}$ and the calculation is finished.
7. We calculate $\alpha_{0} \in M_{0}$ so that $\tilde{I}\left(a_{0}+\alpha_{0} s_{0}\right) \leqq \tilde{I}\left(a_{0}+\alpha s_{0}\right)$ for all $\alpha \in M_{0}$, where $M_{0}=\left\{\alpha \geqq 0 ; a_{0}+\alpha s_{0} \in K\right\}$.
8. We put $a_{1}=a_{0}+\alpha_{0} s_{0}$.
9. If there exists $j$ such that $\left(s_{0}\right)_{j}$ was replaced by zero in step 5 we put $a_{0}=a_{1}$ and go back to step 2 of the algorithm.
10. For all $i=1,2, \ldots, p$ we execute
a. $k_{1}+1 \rightarrow k_{1}$.
b. If $k_{1}>l_{1}$ or $\operatorname{grad} \tilde{I}\left(a_{i}\right)=0$, we put $a_{\text {opt }}=a_{i}$ and the calculation is finished.
c. We put $m_{i}=\frac{\operatorname{grad} \tilde{I}\left(a_{i}\right) \cdot\left[\operatorname{grad} \tilde{I}\left(a_{i}\right)\right]^{\top}}{\operatorname{grad} \tilde{I}\left(a_{i-1}\right)\left[\operatorname{grad} \tilde{I}\left(a_{i-1}\right)\right]^{\top}}$,

$$
s_{i}=-\left[\operatorname{grad} \tilde{I}\left(a_{i}\right)\right]^{\top}+m_{i} s_{i-1} .
$$

d. We calculate $\alpha_{i} \in M_{i}$ such that $\tilde{I}\left(a_{i}+\alpha_{i} s_{i}\right) \leqq \tilde{I}\left(a_{i}+\alpha s_{i}\right)$ for all $\alpha \in M_{i}$, where $M_{i}=\left\{\alpha \geqq 0, a_{i}+\alpha s_{i} \in K\right\}$.
e. We put $a_{i+1}=a_{i}+\alpha_{i} s_{i}$.
11. We put $a_{0}=a_{p+1}$ and return to step 2 .

The just described minimisation method is based on the Fletcher-Reeves algorithm, which is included in the standard user's library of the computers of series EC (subroutine FMCG). This algorithm serves to the determination of the local minimum
of a nonconvex nonlinear function of many variables defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. By a simple modification we obtain our method, which is suitable for the minimisation on multidimensional bounded closed interval. A survey of the methods, which are often used for numerical solution of optimal control problems, is given in [3].

If for example $K=\left\langle d_{1}, h_{1}\right\rangle \times\left\langle d_{2}, h_{2}\right\rangle \times \ldots \times\left\langle d_{p}, h_{p}\right\rangle \cap\{x ; f(x) \geqq \chi\}, f$ is a nonlinear continuous function, we may combine the method introduced above with the method of penal function (see Section 3).

The one-dimensional minimisation needed in steps 7 and 10 d of the algorithm was taken over from subroutine FMCG. We shall not describe its algorithm here.

## 3. EXAMPLE

In this section we describe one numerical experiment, which can serve to the practical identification of the distribution coefficient. For a large concentration of the investigated matter in the gas substance the distribution coefficient $k_{1}$ is given by a known constant ( $K_{1}$ ), for a small concentration by an unknown constant $\left(a_{1}+K_{1}\right)$, which is greater than $K_{1}\left(a_{1}>0\right)$. Our aim is to identify the value $a_{1}$ and the values $a_{2}, a_{3}$ that determine the interval in which the value of the distribution coefficient "passes" from $a_{1}+K_{1}$ to $K_{1}$.

Putting

$$
k_{1}\left(y, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{1}+K_{1} \quad \text { for } y \in\left(-\infty, a_{2}\right\rangle,  \tag{3.1}\\
\int_{1}^{a_{3}}\left(t-a_{2}\right)^{2}\left(a_{3}-t\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \\
\int_{a^{a}}^{a_{3}}\left(t-a_{2}\right)^{2}\left(a_{3}-t\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t
\end{array}+K_{1}\right.
$$

we obtain a reasonable expression for the distribution coefficient. $k_{1}\left(\cdot, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$ is a piecewise polynomial nonincreasing function from $C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right), \tilde{k}_{1}=k_{1} \mid\left\langle a_{2}, a_{3}\right\rangle$ is the unique polynomial of the fifth order which satisfies $\tilde{k}_{1}\left(a_{2}\right)=a_{1}+K_{1}, \tilde{k}_{1}\left(a_{3}\right)=$ $=K_{1}, \tilde{k}_{1}^{\prime}\left(a_{i}\right)=\tilde{k}_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(a_{i}\right)=0, i=2,3$. In every real situation the physicists are able to determine positive constants $K_{i}, i=2, \ldots, 7$ so that $a_{1} \in\left\langle K_{2}, K_{3}\right\rangle, a_{2} \in\left\langle K_{4}, K_{5}\right\rangle$, $a_{3} \in\left\langle K_{6}, K_{7}\right\rangle$. Further $(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} y)\left(y . k_{1}(y, a)\right) \geqq 0$. Hence we choose

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{1}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} ; K_{2} \leqq a_{1} \leqq K_{3}, K_{4} \leqq a_{2} \leqq K_{5}<K_{6} \leqq a_{3} \leqq K_{7}\right\}  \tag{3.2}\\
& R_{2}=\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} ; h_{1}(y, a)=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} y}\left(y \cdot k_{1}(y, a)\right) \geqq 0\right\}, \\
& K=R_{1} \cap R_{2} ; a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) ; \quad p=3 .
\end{align*}
$$

The function $\psi_{a}^{\prime}(y)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{a}^{\prime}(y)=\frac{K_{8}}{1+\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} y}\left(y \cdot k_{1}(y, a)\right)} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{8}$ is a known positive constant. The problem of minimisation of the function $\tilde{I}$ on $K$ can be replaced by the problem of minimisation of the function $\tilde{I}+K_{9} \cdot \hat{p}$ on the cartesian product of the intervals $R_{1}$, where $K_{9}$ is a sufficiently large positive real number and $\hat{p}$ a suitable penal function corresponding to the condition which defines the set $R_{2}$. We choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}(a)=0 \quad \text { for all } a \in R_{1} \quad \text { for which } \min \left\{h_{1}(y, a), y \geqq 0\right\} \geqq 0, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\hat{p}(a)=\left[\min \left\{h_{1}(y, a), y \geqq 0\right\}\right]^{2}=h_{1}^{2}\left(\left(a_{2}+a_{3}+\left(a_{2}^{2}+a_{3}^{2}-a_{2} a_{3}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) / 3, a\right)
$$

in the other cases.
Possible numerical difficulties connected with the case when $1+h_{1}(\cdot, a)$ is not a positive function can be removed with the help of the following adjustment of the function $\psi_{a}^{\prime}$ (which does not change the value of this function on $K$ ):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi_{a}^{\prime}(y)=h_{2}\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} y}\left(y \cdot k_{1}(y, a)\right),\right.  \tag{3.5}\\
h_{2}(\eta)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{K_{8}}{\eta}, \quad \eta \in\left\langle K_{10}, \infty\right), \\
-\frac{K_{8}}{K_{10}^{2}} \eta+\frac{2 K_{8}}{K_{10}}, \quad \eta \in\left(-\infty, K_{10}\right),
\end{array}\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

where $K_{10}$ is a small positive real constant.
In our numerical experiment $\xi=v(\cdot, l)$ was used, where $v$ is the solution of the problem (I.1), (I.2), (I.3) and (I.4) with $\psi(v)=a v, a$ is a fixed positive real number and $\varphi(t)=1$ on $\left\langle 0, t_{0}\right\rangle, \varphi(t)=0$ on $\left\langle t_{0}, T\right\rangle$. Using the Laplace transform, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t, x)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{ } \pi} \cdot e^{(a / 2 b) x} \cdot x \cdot \int_{\max \left(0, t-t_{0}\right)}^{t} \frac{1}{4 \sqrt{ }\left(b \tau^{3}\right)} \cdot e^{-(1 / 4 b)\left[a^{2} \tau+\left(x^{2} / \tau\right)\right]} \mathrm{d} \tau \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case we can check the correctness of the numerical result by an immediate comparison.

Finally, let us look at the result of a particular example. Put: $\tau=0 \cdot 01, h=0 \cdot 1$, $b=0 \cdot 5, l_{1}=15, T=6, l=4, L=12, t_{0}=0 \cdot 7, K_{1}=0 \cdot 9, K_{2}=0 \cdot 01, K_{3}=0 \cdot 9$, $K_{4}=0 \cdot 1, K_{5}=0 \cdot 4, K_{6}=0 \cdot 6, K_{7}=0 \cdot 9, K_{8}=2, K_{9}=1$ and $K_{10}=0 \cdot 1$. In this example the values of the function $\xi$ are the approximative values of the function $v(\cdot, 4)$ with $a=1$, calculated from (3.6) by means of simple Simpson's quadrature

Table 1. Iterations of the modified conjugate gradient method for the particular example described in the text

| Item | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $\tau^{-1} \check{I}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 6000$ | $0 \cdot 1200$ | $0 \cdot 7500$ | $0 \cdot 001879$ |
| 2 | $0 \cdot 5694$ | $0 \cdot 1000$ | $0 \cdot 7435$ | $0 \cdot 001642$ |
| 3 | $0 \cdot 4484$ | $0 \cdot 1000$ | $0 \cdot 7144$ | $0 \cdot 001038$ |
| 4 | $0 \cdot 3275$ | $0 \cdot 1000$ | $0 \cdot 6854$ | $0 \cdot 000549$ |
| 5 | $0 \cdot 1288$ | $0 \cdot 1000$ | $0 \cdot 6377$ | $0 \cdot 000175$ |
| 6 | $0 \cdot 1047$ | $0 \cdot 1583$ | $0 \cdot 7054$ | $0 \cdot 000150$ |
| 7 | $0 \cdot 0919$ | $0 \cdot 1894$ | $0 \cdot 7416$ | 0.000146 |
| 8 | $0 \cdot 1036$ | $0 \cdot 2539$ | $0 \cdot 8153$ | $0 \cdot 000118$ |
| 9 | $0 \cdot 1153$ | $0 \cdot 3185$ | $0 \cdot 8891$ | $0 \cdot 000098$ |
| 10 | $0 \cdot 1170$ | $0 \cdot 3280$ | $0 \cdot 9000$ | $0 \cdot 000095$ |
| 11 | $0 \cdot 1163$ | $0 \cdot 4000$ | $0 \cdot 9000$ | $0 \cdot 000090$ |
| 12 | $0 \cdot 1157$ | $0 \cdot 4000$ | $0 \cdot 9000$ | $0 \cdot 000090$ |

formula with the step 0.005 . As we can see from Table 1, the computation is finished after the twelfth iteration. The vector $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=(0 \cdot 1157,0 \cdot 4000,0 \cdot 9000)$ gives a good approximation of the exact value $\psi^{\prime}=1\left(k_{1}(x, a)=1.0157\right.$ on $\langle-\infty, 0 \cdot 4\rangle$, $k_{1}(x, a)=0.9$ on $\langle 0 \cdot 9, \infty), k_{1}(x, a) \in\langle 0 \cdot 9,1 \cdot 0157\rangle$ ), the "best uniform" approximation gives the vector $(0 \cdot 1,0 \cdot 4,0 \cdot 9)$. Let us remark that the calculation of $\operatorname{grad} \tilde{I}(a)$ was executed with help of the direct method.

Remark: For the convergence of the minimisation iterative methods the choice of the initial iteration is important. When it is convenient to have an initial iteration in our method in the form of a linear function $(\psi(u)=\hat{a} u, \hat{a}>0)$ we can use the fact that for all $x>0$ and $a>0$ there exists a unique point $T_{\text {max }} \in\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$ at which the function $v(\cdot, x)$ given by formula (3.6) has its maximum. The function $a \rightarrow T_{\max }$ with the fixed $x>0$ is an injective mapping of the interval $(0, \infty)$ into the interval $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$. The inverse mapping is defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\left\{x^{2} / t_{0} \cdot\left[-1 / T_{\max }+1 /\left(T_{\max }-t_{0}\right)\right]+6 b \log \left[\left(T_{\max }-t_{0}\right) / T_{\max }\right]\right\}^{1 / 2} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let there exist precisely one point at which the function $\xi$ has its maximum. Let us write $\hat{T}$ for this point. Then we can put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{a}=\left\{l^{2} / t_{0}\left[-1 / \hat{T}+1 /\left(\hat{T}-t_{0}\right)\right]+6 b \log \left[\left(\hat{T}-t_{0}\right) / \hat{T}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the expression on the right hand side is defined.

## APPENDIX

The appendix contains some theoretical results concerning our problem. Lemma 1 proves the existence of a solution of a certain integral equation which is, as Lemma 2
shows, the classical solution of the problem (I.1)-(I.4). The principle of monotonicity for parabolic operators contained in Lemma 3 is used to prove the uniqueness of the solution of (I.1)-(I.4) in Lemma 4. In Theorem 1 the results of Lemmas 2 and 4 are summarized and the correctness of the definition of the generalised solution is shown. Theorem 2 establishes conditions of existence of the solution of our optimal control problem.

Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1} \varphi(t, x)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{ } \pi} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{x}{4 \sqrt{ }\left(b \tau^{3}\right)} e^{-x^{2} /(4 b \tau)} \varphi(t-\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau, \quad(t, x) \in\langle 0, T\rangle \times\langle 0, \infty), \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{2} v(t, x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{ } \pi} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} v(t-\tau, x-z) \frac{z}{4 \sqrt{ }\left(b^{3} \tau^{3}\right)} \cdot e^{-z^{2} /(4 b \tau)} \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{~d} \tau,(t, x) \in\langle 0, T\rangle \times  \tag{A.2}\\
\times\langle 0, \infty),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\varphi$ and $v$ are arbitrary functions such that the integrals on the right hand side of these relations exist. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& h:\langle 0, T\rangle \times(-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow\langle 0, T\rangle \times\langle 0, \infty),  \tag{A.3}\\
& h=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right), \quad h_{1}(t, x)=t, \quad h_{2}(t, x)=|x| .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 1. Let $\psi \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ and let $\psi^{\prime}$ be a bounded function on $\mathbb{R}^{1}$. Let $\varphi \in C(\langle 0, T\rangle)$ and $\varphi(0)=0$. Then there exists a unique continuous and bounded solution $u=$ $=u(t, x)$ of the integral equation
(A.4) $u(t, x)=T_{1} \varphi(t, x)+T_{2}(\psi \circ u \circ h)(t, x),(t, x) \in\langle 0, T\rangle \times\langle 0, \infty\rangle$.

Let $u_{0}$ be an arbitrary function, continuous and bounded on $\langle 0, T\rangle \times\langle 0, \infty\rangle$. Then the sequence of functions $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ defined by the recursive formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(t, x)=T_{1} \varphi(t, x)+T_{2}\left(\psi \circ u_{n-1} \circ h\right)(t, x),(t, x) \in\langle 0, T\rangle \times\langle 0, \infty\rangle \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges uniformly to the function $u$.
Proof. Let $p \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$. Let us write $C_{T, \infty}^{(p)}$ for the Banach space of all functions that are continuous and bounded on the set $\langle 0, T\rangle \times\langle 0, \infty$ ), with the norm $\|v\|=$ $=\sup \left\{|v(t, x)| e^{-p t} ;(t, x) \in\langle 0, T\rangle \times\langle 0, \infty)\right\}$. Let us define the operator $T: C_{T, \infty}^{(p)} \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow C_{T, \infty}^{(p)}, T u=T_{1} \varphi+T_{2}(\psi \circ u \circ h), p=4 b^{-1} \sup \left\{\psi^{\prime}(\xi)^{2} ; \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{1}\right\}$. Then for all $u, v \in C_{T, \infty}^{(p)}$ the inequality $\|T u-T v\| \leqq \frac{1}{2}\|u-v\|$ is valid. Using the Banach fixed point theorem, we obtain the assertion of the lemma.

Lemma 2. Let $\psi \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right), \psi^{\prime}$ and $\psi^{\prime \prime}$ be bounded functions and let $\psi^{\prime \prime}$ be Lipschitz continuous. Let $\varphi \in C^{1}(\langle 0, T\rangle)$ and $\varphi(0)=0$. Then the continuous and bounded
solution $u$ of the equation (A.4) is the classical solution of the boundary value problem (I.1), (I.2), (I.3) and (I.4); $\partial u \mid \partial x$ is a bounded function on $(0, T\rangle \times(0, \infty)$.

Proof. Let $u_{0}=0$ and $u_{n+1}=T_{1} \varphi+T_{2}\left(\psi \circ u_{n} \circ h\right)$. By elementary considerations and calculations we obtain the following results: the functions $\partial / \partial t\left[T_{1} \varphi\right]$, $\partial \mid \partial x\left[T_{1} \varphi\right], \partial^{2} / \partial x^{2}\left[T_{1} \varphi\right]$ are defined, continuous and bounded in $(0, T\rangle \times(0, \infty)$; moreover $\partial / \partial t\left[T_{1} \varphi\right]=b \partial^{2} / \partial x^{2}\left[T_{1} \varphi\right]=T_{1} \varphi^{\prime} ; \partial u_{n} / \partial x$ exists for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(t, x) \in(0, T\rangle \times(0, \infty)$; the function $\partial u_{n} / \partial x$ is continuous and bounded on its domain and satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial u_{n+1}}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[T_{1} \varphi\right]+T_{2}\left[\frac{\partial\left(\varphi \circ u_{n} \circ h\right)}{\partial x}\right] n=0,1,2, \ldots, \\
\text { Denote } L=\sup \left\{\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[T_{1} \varphi\right]\right| \cdot e^{-p t} ;(t, x) \in(0, T\rangle \times(0, \infty)\right\}, \\
X_{n}=\sup \left\{\left|\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right| \cdot e^{-p t} ;(t, x) \in(0, T\rangle \times(0, \infty)\right\} n=0,1, \ldots, \\
Y_{n}=\sup \left\{\left|\frac{\partial u_{n+1}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right| \cdot e^{-p t} ;(t, x) \in(0, T\rangle \times(0, \infty)\right\} n=0,1, \ldots, \\
Z_{n}=\sup \left\{\left|u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right| e^{-p t} ;(t, x) \in(0, T\rangle \times(0, \infty)\right\} \quad n=0,1, \ldots, \\
p=4 b^{-1} \sup \left\{\psi^{\prime}(\xi)^{2} ; \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{1}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then it is evident that

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z_{n+1} \leqq \frac{1}{2} Z_{n}, \quad Z_{n} \leqq\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n} Z_{0}, \quad X_{n+1} \leqq \frac{1}{2} X_{n}+L,  \tag{A.6}\\
X_{n} \leqq\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n} X_{0}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{i} L \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots, \\
\frac{\partial u_{n+2}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial u_{n+1}}{\partial x}=T_{2}\left[\left(\psi^{\prime}\left(u_{n+1}\right)\left(\frac{\partial u_{n+1}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)+\left(\psi^{\prime}\left(u_{n+1}\right)-\right.\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.\left.-\psi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right) \circ h h_{2}^{\prime}\right] n=0,1, \ldots
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\psi^{\prime \prime}$ is a bounded function and the sequence $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is bounded (see (A.6)), there exists a positive constant $R$ so that $Y_{n+1} \leqq \frac{1}{2} Y_{n}+R Z_{n}$. Hence we have $Y_{n+1} \leqq \frac{1}{2} Y_{n}+$ $+R Z_{0}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n}, Y_{n} \leqq\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n} Y_{0}+n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} R Z_{0}, n=0,1, \ldots$ This fact evidently implies the uniform convergence of the sequence $\left\{\partial u_{n} \mid \partial x\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ on $(0, T\rangle \times(0, \infty)$. Further, it is clear that $\partial u / \partial x$ exists on $(0, T\rangle \times(0, \infty), \partial u / \partial x$ is continuous and bounded on its domain, $\partial u_{n}|\partial x \rightrightarrows \partial u| \partial x$,

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=T_{2} \frac{\partial(\psi \circ u \circ h)}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[T_{1} \varphi\right] .
$$

Analogously we can prove the existence of continuous and bounded functions $\partial^{2} u_{n}\left|\partial x^{2}, \partial u_{n}\right| \partial t$ (for all $\left.n \in \mathbb{N}\right), \partial^{2} u / \partial x^{2}$ and $\partial u \mid \partial t$ defined on $(0, T\rangle \times(0, \infty)$ and the uniform convergence of the sequences $\left\{\partial^{2} u_{n} \mid \partial x^{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{\partial u_{n} \mid \partial t\right\}$ to $\partial^{2} u / \partial x^{2}$ and $\partial u / \partial t$, respectively. In addition, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}(t, x)=T_{2}\left[\frac{\partial^{2}(\psi \circ u \circ h)}{\partial x^{2}}\right]+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left[T_{1} \varphi\right]+\frac{2}{\sqrt{ }(b \pi)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial \psi(u(t-\tau, 0))}{\partial x} . \\
\cdot \frac{x}{4 b \sqrt{ } \tau^{3}} e^{-x^{2} /(4 b \tau)} \mathrm{d} \tau, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x)=T_{2}\left[\frac{\partial(\psi \circ u \circ h)}{\partial t}\right]+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[T_{1} \varphi\right],
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\frac{\partial u(t, 0)}{\partial x} \stackrel{\text { def. }}{=} \lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial x} .
$$

By repeated use of integration by parts and other simple adjustments we obtain from the above formulae that $u$ solves the equation (I.1). It is easy to see that $u$ satisfies the conditions (I.2), (I.3) and (I.4).

Lemma 3. Let functions $a$ and $c$ be defined on $(0, T\rangle \times(0, l)$. Let functions $u$ and $v$ be continuous on $\langle 0, T\rangle \times\langle 0, l\rangle$ and let $\partial u\left|\partial t, \partial v / \partial t, \partial^{2} u\right| \partial x^{2}$ and $\partial^{2} v \mid \partial x^{2}$ be continuous on $(0, T\rangle \times(0, l)$. Let $b$ be a positive constant. Let

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-b \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}-a(t, x) u-c(t, x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}<\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}-b \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}-  \tag{A.7}\\
-a(t, x) v-c(t, x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \quad \text { on } \quad(0, T>\times(0, l)
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, 0)<v(t, 0) \quad t \in\langle 0, T\rangle \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, l)<v(t, l) \quad t \in\langle 0, T\rangle \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0, x)<v(0, x) \quad x \in\langle 0, l\rangle . \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $u(t, x)<v(t, x)$ for all $(t, x) \in\langle 0, T\rangle \times\langle 0, l\rangle$.
Proof. Let us put $w=v-u, M=\{t \in(0, T\rangle ; \exists x \in(0, l), w(t, x) \leqq 0\}$. We assume that $M$ is a nonempty set. Let us denote $t_{0}=\inf \{t, t \in M\}$. Then there exist sequences $\left\{t_{n}\right\} \subset M, t_{n} \rightarrow t_{0}$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset(0, l)$ so that $w\left(t_{n}, x_{n}\right) \leqq 0$. We may suppose that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges. Let us denote $x_{0}=\lim x_{n}$. Evidently, $\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right) \in(0, T\rangle \times(0, l), w\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)=0$, $\partial w / \partial t\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right) \leqq 0, \partial w / \partial x\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)=0, \partial^{2} w / \partial x^{2}\left(t_{0}, x_{\theta}\right) \geqq 0$. Hence we obtain a contradiction with our assumptions.

Lemma 4. Let $\psi \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right), \varphi \in C(\langle 0, T\rangle)$ and $\varphi(0)=0$. Let $u=u(t, x), v=v(t, x)$ be classical solutions of the problem (I.1), (I.2), (I.3) and (I.4). Let $\partial u / \partial x$ be a bounded function. Then $u=v$.

Proof. Let us put $w=u-v$. Then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathscr{L} w=\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}-b \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}-a(t, x) w(t, x)-c(t, x) \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}(t, x)=0,(t, x) \in(0, T\rangle \times  \tag{A.11}\\
\quad \times(0, \infty), \\
w(0, x)=0, \quad w(t, 0)=0, \quad x \in\langle 0, \infty), \quad t \in\langle 0, T\rangle \\
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup \{|w(t, x)| ; t \in\langle 0, T\rangle\}=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
a(t, x)=-\psi^{\prime \prime}(\xi(t, x)) \cdot \partial u / \partial x(t, x), \\
c(t, x)=-\psi^{\prime}(v(t, x)), \\
\min (u, v) \leqq \xi \leqq \max (u, v) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us assume that $a(t, x) \leqq 0$ on $(0, T\rangle \times(0, \infty)$. Let $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ be some solutions of the linear problem (A.11). Let us put $w_{1}^{(n)}=w_{1}+t / n+1 / n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have $\mathscr{L} w_{1}^{(n)} \geqq(1 / n)>0=\mathscr{L}_{w_{2}}, w_{1}^{(n)}(0, x)=(1 / n)>0=w_{2}(0, x)$, $w_{1}^{(n)}(t, 0)=(1 / n) t+(1 / n)>0=w_{2}(t, 0)$. There exists a sequence $\left\{\xi_{n}\right\}, \xi_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ such that $w_{1}^{(n)}(t, x)>w_{2}(t, x),(t, x) \in\langle 0, T\rangle \times\left\langle\xi_{n}, \infty\right)$. Using Lemma 3 we obtain $w_{1}^{(n)}>w_{2}$ on $\langle 0, T\rangle \times\langle 0, \infty)$. Hence it is evident that $w_{1}=w_{2}$.

If $a(t, x)$ is not a nonpositive function, then there exists a positive constant $\hat{c}$ such that $a(t, x) \leqq \hat{c}$ for all $(t, x) \in(0, T\rangle \times(0, \infty)$. Using the substitution $w(t, x)=$ $\hat{w}(t, x) \cdot e^{\hat{c} t}$, we return to the first case again.

Theorem 1. Let $\psi \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right), \psi^{\prime}$ and $\psi^{\prime \prime}$ be bounded functions and let $\psi^{\prime \prime}$ be Lipschitz continuous.

1. Let $\varphi \in C^{1}(\langle 0, T\rangle), \varphi(0)=0$. Then there exists a unique classical solution of the boundary value problem (I.1), (I.2), (I.3) and (I.4).
2. Let $\varphi \in L_{1}(0, T)$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset C^{1}(\langle 0, T\rangle), \varphi_{n}(0)=0$ such that $\varphi_{n} \rightarrow \varphi$ in the space $L_{1}(0, T)$. Let us write $u_{n}$ for the classical solution of the problem (I.1), (I.2), (I.3) and (I.4) for $\varphi=\varphi_{n}$. Let $L_{T, \infty}$ be the Banach space of all functions $f$ such that $f(\cdot, x) \in L_{1}(0, T)$ for all $x \in\langle 0, \infty)$ and $\sup \left\{\int_{0}^{T}|f(t, x)| \mathrm{d} t\right.$; $x \in\langle 0, \infty)\}<\infty$, with the norm $\|f\|=\sup \left\{\int_{0}^{T}|f(t, x)| \mathrm{d} t ; x \in\langle 0, \infty)\right\}$. Then the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges in the space $L_{T, \infty}$. Let us denote $u=\lim u_{n}$. The function $u$ is independent of the particular choice of the sequence $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ with the above introduced properties.

Proof. 1. This result is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 2 and 4.
2. Let us remark only that the integral representation of our problem makes it possible to derive the estimate.

$$
\left\|u_{n}-u_{m}\right\|_{p, 2} \leqq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{n}-u_{m}\right\|_{p, 2}+\left\|\varphi_{n}-\varphi_{m}\right\|_{p, 1},
$$

where $\|\tilde{u}\|_{p, 2} \stackrel{\text { def. }}{=} \sup \left\{\int_{0}^{T}|\tilde{u}(t, x)| \cdot e^{-p t} \mathrm{~d} t, x \in\langle 0, \infty)\right\}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{p, 1} \stackrel{\text { def. }}{=} \cdot \int_{0}^{T}|\tilde{\varphi}(t)| \cdot e^{-p t} \mathrm{~d} t, \\
p=4 b^{-1} \sup \left\{\psi^{\prime}(\xi)^{2}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{1}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Definition. The function $u \in L_{T, \infty}$ defined in part 2 of Theorem 1 will be called the generalised solution of the problem (I.1), (I.2), (I.3) and (I.4).

Lemma 5. Let $\psi$ fulfil the condition of Theorem 1.

1. Let $\varphi \in C(\langle 0, T\rangle), \varphi(0)=0$. Let $u$ be the classical solution of the problem (I.1)-(I.4). Then for all $(t, x) \in\langle 0, T\rangle \times\langle 0, \infty)$, $\inf \{\varphi(\tau) ; \tau \in\langle 0, T\rangle\} \leqq u(t, x) \leqq$ $\leqq \sup \{\varphi(\tau) ; \tau \in\langle 0, T\rangle\}$.
2. Let $\varphi \in L_{\infty}(0, T)$. Let $u$ be the generalised solution of the problem (I.1)-(I.4). Then for all $x \in\langle 0, \infty), u(\cdot, x) \in L_{\infty}(0, T), \min \{0, \operatorname{vraiinf}\{\varphi(\tau) ; \tau \in\langle 0, T\rangle\}\} \leqq$ $\leqq u(t, x) \leqq \max \{0, \operatorname{vraisup}\{\varphi(\tau) ; \tau \in\langle 0, T\rangle\}\}$ and $|u(t, x)| \leqq \operatorname{vraisup}\{|\varphi(\tau)| ; \tau \in$ $\in\langle 0, T\rangle\}$ almost everywhere in $\langle 0, T\rangle$.

Proof. 1. Let $\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right) \in(0, T\rangle \times(0, \infty)$ and $u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)=\sup \{u(t, x),(t, x) \in$ $\epsilon\langle 0, T\rangle \times\langle 0, \infty)\}$.

Put $\varepsilon=u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)-\sup \varphi, v(t, x)=u(t, x)+\varepsilon(T-t) \mid(2 T)$. Then $v\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)=$ $=u\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)+\varepsilon\left(T-t_{0}\right) /(2 T)>\sup \varphi+\varepsilon / 2 \geqq \sup \{v(t, x) ; t=0$ or $x=0\}$. Thus, if $v\left(t_{1}, x_{1}\right)=\sup \{v(t, x) ;(t, x) \in\langle 0, T\rangle \times\langle 0, \infty)\}$ then $\left(t_{1}, x_{1}\right) \in(0, T\rangle \times(0, \infty)$, $\partial u\left|\partial t\left(t_{1}, x_{1}\right)=\partial v / \partial t\left(t_{1}, x_{1}\right)+\varepsilon /(2 T) \geqq \varepsilon\right|(2 T)>0, \partial u / \partial x\left(t_{1} x_{1}\right)=\partial v / \partial x\left(t_{1}, x_{1}\right)=0$ $\partial^{2} u / \partial x^{2}\left(t_{1}, x_{1}\right)=\partial^{2} v / \partial x^{2}\left(t_{1}, x_{1}\right) \leqq 0 \quad$ and $\quad\left[\partial u / \partial t+\psi^{\prime}(u) \partial u / \partial x-b \partial^{2} u / \partial x^{2}\right]$ $\left(t_{1}, x_{1}\right) \geqq \varepsilon /(2 T)$ which contradicts our assumption.
2. Let $\varphi_{n} \in C^{1}(\langle 0, T\rangle), \varphi_{n}(0)=0, \varphi_{n} \rightarrow \varphi$ in $L_{1}(0, T)$. Denote $M=\max \{0$, $\operatorname{vraisup}\{\varphi(\tau) ; \tau \in\langle 0, T\rangle\}\}, \psi_{n}=\inf \left(M, \varphi_{n}\right) \in C(\langle 0, T\rangle), \psi_{n}(0)=0$.

Let $\xi_{n} \in C^{1}(\langle 0, T\rangle), \xi_{n}(0)=0$, sup $\left|\psi_{n}-\xi_{n}\right| \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\xi_{n} \rightarrow \varphi$ in $L_{1}(0, T)$. We can suppose that the sequence $\left\{\sup \xi_{n}\right\}$ is convergent and for all $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $n \geqq n_{0} \Rightarrow u_{n} \leqq \sup \xi_{n} \leqq M+\varepsilon$ where $u_{n}$ is the classical solution of (I.1)-(I.4) with $\varphi=\xi_{n}$. If $x \in\langle 0, \infty), \mu(t, u(t, x)>M+2 \varepsilon)=\delta>0$ then $\int_{0}^{T}\left|u(t, x)-u_{n}(t, x)\right| \mathrm{d} t \geqq \varepsilon \delta$ for all $n \geqq n_{0}$.

Theorem 2. Let $\psi: \mathbb{R}^{1} \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ and let $K$ be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For all $a \in K$ let $\psi_{a}=\psi(\cdot, a) \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right), \psi_{a}^{\prime}$ and $\psi_{a}^{\prime \prime}$ be bounded functions and let $\psi_{a}^{\prime \prime}$ be Lipschitz continuous. Let $\varphi \in L_{\infty}(0, T)$. Let us write $u_{a}$ for the generalised solution of the boundary value problem (I.1), (I.2), (I.3) and (I.4) for $\psi=\psi_{a}$. For all $a_{0} \in K$ and $\varepsilon>0$ let there exist $\delta>0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$ and $a \in K$ the following implication holds: $\left\|a-a_{0}\right\|<\delta \Rightarrow\left|\psi(x, a)-\psi\left(x, a_{0}\right)\right|<\varepsilon$. Let $\quad \xi \in L_{\infty}(0, T)$ and let $l$ be a positive real number. Then there exists $\alpha \in K$ so that for all $a \in K$ the inequality $\int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{\alpha}(t, l)-\xi(t)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leqq \int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{a}(t, l)-\xi(t)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t$ is valid.

Proof. From the integral expression of the problem (I.1)-(I.4) we obtain by simple estimations that the mapping $a \rightarrow u_{a}$ of the set $K$ into $L_{T, \infty}$ is continuous. Therefore, the mapping $a \rightarrow u_{a}(\cdot, l)$ of the set $K$ into $L_{1}(0, T)$ is continuous as well. Further, for all $x \in\langle 0, \infty)$ the inequality vraisup $\left\{\left|u_{a}(t, x)\right| ; t \in\langle 0, T\rangle\right\} \leqq$ vraisup $\{|\varphi(t)| ; t \in\langle 0, T\rangle\} \quad$ holds. Thus $\quad\left|\Phi\left(u_{\alpha}\right)-\Phi\left(u_{\beta}\right)\right| \leqq\left\|u_{\alpha}(\cdot, l)-u_{\beta}(\cdot, l)\right\|_{L_{1}(0, T)}$. . [2 vraisup $|\varphi|+2$ vraisup $|\xi|]$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in K$. The just introduced facts imply the continuity of the functional $I: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}, I(a)=\int_{0}^{T}\left(u_{a}(t, l)-\xi(t)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t$.

## References

[1] D. R. Richtmyer, K. W. Morton: Difference methods for initial value problem; Interscience Publishers, a division of John Wiley \& Sons, 1967.
[2] J. L. Lions: Controle optimal de systèmes gouvernés par des équations aux dérivées part.elles; Paris, Dunod 1968.
[3] J. H. Mufti: Computational methods in optimal control problems (Lecture Notes in Operations Research and Mathematical Systems, n. 27); Berlin-Heidelberg - New York, Springer Verlag 1979.

## Souhrn <br> NUMERICKÁ IDENTIFIKACE KOEFICIENTU V PARABOLICKÉ KVASILINEÁRNÍ ROVNICI

## Jan Neumann

V článku je studován následující problém optimálního řízení: určit v kvasilineární parciální diferenciální rovnici parabolického typu jisí́ koeficient tak, aby řešení určité okrajové úlohy pro tuto rovnici minimalizovalo daný integrální funkcionál. Kromě návrhu a rozboru numerické metody obsahuje práce i řešení základních problémů spojených s formulací úlohy (existence a jednoznačnost řešení okrajové úlohy, existence řešení úlohy optimální regulace).
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