Jan Chrastina A note on the criteria of uniqueness of the solution of equation y' = f(x, y)

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 5 (1969), No. 2, 75--77

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/104682

## Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1969

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## A NOTE ON THE CRITERIA OF UNIQUENESS OF THE SOLUTION OF EQUATION y' = f(x, y)

JAN CHRASTINA, Brno

## To Professor OTAKAR BORŮVKA for his 70th birthday

(Received December 2, 1968)

1. Say that the function Q(x, z)  $(0 < x \le a, 0 \le z)$  has the property (U), if it is continuous, if Q(x, 0) = 0  $(0 < x \le a)$ , and if the only solution z = z(x)  $(0 < x \le h)$  of the equation z' = Q(x, z), fulfilling the condition  $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{z(x)}{x} = 0$  is the function  $z(x) \equiv 0$ . In further considerations we suppose that f(x, y)  $(0 \le x \le a, -\infty < y < \infty)$  is the given real function.

This criterion of uniqueness of the solution of equation y' = F(x, y) is known ([1]):

Theorem 1. Let  $|f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)| \leq Q(x, |y_1 - y_2|)$   $(0 < x \leq a, -\infty < y_1 < \infty, -\infty < y_2 < \infty)$ , where the function Q(x, z) has the property (U). Then the equation y' = f(x, y) has at most one solution y = y(x)  $(0 \leq x \leq h)$ , for which y(0) = 0.

There exist functions  $Q_1(x, z)$ ,  $Q_2(x, z)$   $(0 < x \le a, 0 \le z)$  which have not the property (U), but such that the function  $Q(x, z) = \min (Q_1(x, z), Q_2(x, z)))$  has the property (U). An example of such a couple is  $Q_1(x, z) = Az^{\alpha}$ ,  $Q_2(x, z) = \frac{k}{x}z$ , where 0 < A,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , 1 < k,  $k(1 - \alpha) < 1$ . It may be easily proved in such a way:

The solution of equation  $z' = Q_1(x, z)$  is  $z = ((1 - \alpha) Ax + \text{const.})^{1/(1-\alpha)}$ , the solution of equation  $z' = Q_2(x, z)$  is  $z = \text{const.} x^k$ . Furthermore there is  $Q(x, z) \equiv Q_1(x, z) (Ax < kz^{1-\alpha}), Q(x, z) \equiv Q_2(x, z) (Ax \ge k z^{1-\alpha})$  and from the inequality  $k < \frac{1}{1 - \alpha}$  easily follows that every solution z = z(x)of the equation z' = Q(x, z) has the form  $z(x) = ((1 - \alpha) Ax + h_1))^{1/(1-\alpha)}$ ,  $(0 < x \le h), z(x) = h_2 \cdot x^k (h \le x \le a)$ , where  $h, h_1, h_2$  are the suitable constants. And then, for  $h_2 > 0$  there is also h > 0. Consequently the function Q(x, z) has the property (U) and the following criterion ([2]) holds:

Theorem 2. Let 
$$|f(x, y) - f(x, y_2)| \le A |y_1 - y_2|^{\alpha}$$
,  $|f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)| \le \frac{k}{x} |y_1 - y_2|$ , where  $0 < A$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $1 < k$ ,  $k(1 - \alpha) < k$ 

< 1. Then the equation y' = f(x, y) has at most one solution y = y(x)( $0 \le x \le h$ ), for which y(0) = 0.

It is known ([1]) that if the function f(x, y) is continuous and fulfils the supposition of the theorem 1, then the sequence  $y_0(x)$ ,  $y_1(x)$ , ..., where  $y_0(x) = 0$ ,  $y_{n+1}(x) = \int_0^x f(x, y_n(x)) dx$  uniformly converges to the solution of the equation y' = f(x, y). From our considerations there follows the theorem ([2]):

**Theorem 3.** If the continuous function f(x, y) fulfils the suppositions of theorem 2, then the mentioned sequence  $y_0(x)$ ,  $y_1(x)$ , ... uniformly converges to the solution of the equation y' = f(x, y).

2. It is interesting that the theorem 2 may be likewise deduced from the following criterion:\*)

**Theorem 4.** Let  $p(x, y_1, y_2)$ , q(x, y, z)  $(0 < x \le a, -\infty < y_2 \le y_1 < \infty, -\infty < y < \infty, -\infty < z < \infty)$  be continuous real functions such that:

a)  $p(x, y_1, y_2) > 0$   $(y_1 > y_2)$ , p(x, y, y) = 0,

b) inside of its definition domain the function  $p(x, y_1, y_2)$  the differential dp exists and there hold  $p_x(x, y_1, y_2) + py_1(x, y_1, y_2) f(x, y_1) + py_2(x, y_1, y_2) f(x, y_2) \leq q(x, y_1, p(x, y_1, y_2))$ ,

c) for every two solutions  $y = y_1(x)$ ,  $y = y_2(x)$   $(0 \le x \le h)$  of the equation y' = f(x, y) fulfilling the relations  $y_1(0) = y_2(0) = 0$ ,  $y_1(x) \ge y_2(x)$   $(0 \le x \le h)$  there is  $\lim_{x \to 0} p(x, y_1(x), y_2(x)) = 0$ .

d) for every solution y = y(x)  $(0 \le x \le h)$  of the equation y' = -f(x, y) fulfilling the relation y(0) = 0, the function  $z(x) \equiv 0$  is the only solution of the equation z' = q(x, y(x), z) defined in the interval of the type 0 < x < h, for which  $\lim z(x) = 0$ .

 $x \rightarrow 0$ 

Then the equation y' = f(x, y) has at most one solution y = y(x) $(0 \le x \le h)$ , for which y(0) = 0.

The theorem is proved in [3], but in a somewhat modified form. Therefore we outline its proof:

Suppose that the assertion of the theorem does not hold and that, consequently, there exist the solutions  $y = y_1(x)$ ,  $y = y_2(x)$   $(0 \le x \le h)$  of the equation y' = f(x, y) such that  $y_1(h) \ne y_2(h)$ . It may be supposed that  $y_1(x) \ge y_2(x)$   $(0 \le x \le h)$ , thus  $p(x, y_1(x), y_2(x)) \ge 0$ ,  $p(h, y_1(h), y_1(h))$ .

<sup>\*)</sup> This was also noted by prof. M. Zlámal.

 $y_2(h) > 0$ . Consider that according to b) there is  $[p(x, y_1(x), y_2(x))]' \leq \leq q(x, y_1(x), p(x, y_1(x), y_2(x)))$ . Therefore there exists the solution z = z(x) ( $0 < x \leq h$ ) of the equation  $z' = q(x, y_1(x), z)$  such that  $z(h) = p(h, y_1(h), y_2(h))$ ,  $z(x) \leq p(x, y_1(x), y_2(x))$ . There is  $\lim_{x \to 0} z(x) \leq \lim_{x \to 0} p(x, y_1(x), y_2(x)) = 0$ , which is a contradiction with d). By this the theorem is proved.

Show the way of following the theorem 2 from the theorem 4: Choose  $p(x, y_1, y_2) = \frac{y_1 - y_2}{x^k}$ . The claim of a) from theorem 4 then evidently holds. Furthermore choose q(x, y, z) = 0. The claim of b) is fulfilled if the function f(x, y) fulfils the inequality  $-k \frac{y_1 - y_2}{x^{k+1}} + \frac{f(x, y_1)}{x^k} - \frac{f(x, y_2)}{x^k} \leq 0$ , thus also then if there is  $|f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)| \leq \frac{k}{x}$ .  $(y_1 - y_2) (y_1 > y_2)$ . This is one of inequalities of theorem 2. If there hold the inequalities  $|f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)| \leq A |y_1 - y_2|^{\alpha}$ ,  $k(1 - \alpha) < 1$ , then the claim of c) is fulfilled as well. Really, in this case it may be easily stated that for every two solutions  $y = y_1(x)$ ,  $y = y_2(x)$  of the equation y' = f(x, y) fulfilling the relations  $y_1(0) = y_2(0) = 0$  there is  $|y_1(x) - y_2(x)| \leq ((1 - \alpha) Ax)^{1/(1-\alpha)}$  and therefore  $\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{|y_1(x) - y_2(x)|}{x^k} = 0$ . The relation d) is fulfilled trivially.

More generally, we could choose  $q(x, y, z) \equiv Cz$ . Hence it follows that the theorem 2 remains to hold if there, instead of inequality  $|f(x, y_1)|$ —

$$egin{aligned} &--f(x,\,y_2)| &\leq rac{k}{x} \mid y_1 - y_2 \mid , ext{ we take the inequality } \mid f(x,\,y_1) - f(x,\,y_2) \mid \leq \ &\leq rac{k}{x} \mid y_1 - y_2 \mid + C. \end{aligned}$$

## REFERENCES

- E. A. Coddington, U. Levinson, Theory of ordinary differential equations, 1955.
- [2] F. Brauner, A note on uniqueness and convergence of successive approximations, Can. Math. Bull., vol. 2, 1959, (5-8).
- [3] O. Borůvka, Über eine Verallgemeinerung der Eindeutigkeitssätze für Integrale der Differentialgleichung y' = f(x, y), Acta Fac. Rerum Nat. Univ. Comenianae, Tom. 1, 1956, (155–167).

Department of Mathematics J. E. Purkyně University, Brno Czechoslovakia