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# OSCILLATION OF SOLUTIONS OF A NON-LINEAR DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION OF THE FOURTH ORDER 

JÁN FUTÅK, Žilina

(Received January 29, 1974)

In the paper [1] there is investigated a delay differential equation of the $4^{\text {th }}$ order. In the papers [2], [3], [5] there are introduced some properties of solutions found for ordinary differential equations of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ orders. This paper is a generalization of several results of [1], [2], [3] and [5].

In this paper we shall be concerned with the oscillation properties of solutions of a delay differential equation of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(4)}+p(t) y^{\prime \prime}+q(t) y^{\prime}+r(t) y+y(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_{i}(t) F_{i}\left(y\left[h_{i}(t)\right]\right)=g(t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall suppose that the functions $p(t), q(t), r(t), g(t), Q_{i}(t), h_{i}(t), i=1,2, \ldots, n$ belong to the class $C_{0}(J)$, where $J \equiv\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$ and $n$ is a positive integer. Moreover we suppose that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \inf _{t \in J}\left[t-h_{i}(t)\right] \geqq d>0, \quad h_{i}(t) \rightarrow+\infty, \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \\
& F_{i}(z) \in C_{0}(-\infty, \infty), \quad F_{i}(z) \geqq 0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

A fundamental initial problem (next only initial problem) is understood to be the following problem (see [4] pg. 14): Let $\Phi(t)$ be a function defined and continuous on the initial set

$$
E_{t_{0}}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_{t_{0}}^{i}, \quad E_{t_{0}}^{i}=\left\langle\inf h_{i}(t), t_{0}\right\rangle
$$

and let $y_{0}^{(k)}, k=1,2,3$ be arbitrary real numbers. We find such a solution $y(t)$ of (1) on $J$ that fulfils initial conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y\left(t_{0}\right)=\Phi\left(t_{0}\right)=y_{0}, \quad y^{(k)}\left(t_{0}+0\right)=y_{0}^{(k)}, \quad k=1,2,3,  \tag{2}\\
y(t) \equiv \Phi(t) \quad \text { for } t \in E_{t_{0}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Existence and uniqueness of the solution of the initial problem (1), (2) is proved in paper [1].

Suppose next that $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}|g(t)| d t<\infty$.

Lemma:L et $q(t) \in C_{1}(J), q(t) \geqq 0$ and let for $t \in J$ there hold:
$2-|p(t)| \geqq 0, \quad 2 r(t)-|p(t)|-q^{\prime}(t)-|g(t)| \geqq 0, \quad Q_{i}(t) \geqq 0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
If for the solution $y(t)$ of the initial problem (1), (2) there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left[y\left(t_{0}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}|g(t)| \mathrm{d} t \leqq K<0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H[y(t)]=y(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t)-y^{\prime}(t) y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+\frac{1}{2} q(t) y^{2}(t)$, then zero points of functions $y(t)$ and $y^{\prime \prime}(t)$ are interlaced.

Proof of this lemma is done analogously as in paper [1].
Theorem 1. Let assumptions of Lemma be fulfilled and let for $t \in J$ furthermore there hold:
$p(t) \in C_{1}(J), p(t) \geqq 0, p^{\prime}(t) \leqq 0, r(t) \geqq 0, Q_{i}(t) \geqq m>0, i=1,2, \ldots, n$ and let the functions $F_{i}(z), i=1,2, \ldots, n$ be increasing. Then every solution of the initial problem (1), (2) fulfilling (3) is oscillatory on J.

Proof: Let the solution $y(t)$ of the initial problem (1), (2) would be non-oscillatory. Then with regard to the functions $y(t), y^{\prime}(t)$ the following cases may occur:

1. $y(t)$ is non-oscillatory and $y^{\prime}(t)$ is oscillatory on $J$; 2. $y(t)>0, y^{\prime}(t) \geqq 0$; 3. $y(t)>0, y^{\prime}(t) \leqq 0$; 4. $y(t)<0, y^{\prime}(t) \leqq 0$; 5. $y(t)<0, y^{\prime}(t) \geqq 0$, for $t \in\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$ $t_{1} \in J$.

We shall prove that none of the above-mentioned cases may occur:

1. If $y(t)$ is non-oscillatory and $y^{\prime}(t)$ is oscillatory on $J$, then $y^{\prime \prime}(t)$ is oscillatory on $J$ as well. This is a contradiction with the fact that $y(t)$ is non-oscillatory.
2. With regard to assumptions of theorem from (1) there follows:

$$
y^{(4)}(t) \leqq|g(t)|-p(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t)-m y\left(t_{1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{i}\left(y\left[h_{i}\left(t_{1}\right)\right]\right)
$$

After integrating this inequality from $t_{1}$ to $t\left(\geqq t_{1}\right)$ and arranging, we obtain:

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \leqq y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{i_{1}}^{t}|g(s)| d s+p\left(t_{1}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-p(t) y^{\prime}(t)+\int_{i_{1}}^{t} p^{\prime}(s) y^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s- \\
-m y\left(t_{1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{i}\left(y\left[h_{i}\left(t_{1}\right)\right]\right)\left(t-t_{1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

from this inequality we have that $y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. This is in contradiction with the fact that $y(t)>0$.
3. If we multiply equation (1) by $y(t)$, use an inequality $\pm 2 a b \leqq|a|\left(1+b^{2}\right)$ and integrate from $t_{0}$ to $t\left(>t_{1} \geqq t_{0}\right)$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{gather*}
H[y(t)] \leqq H\left[y\left(t_{0}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}|g(s)| \mathrm{d} s-\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(1-\frac{1}{2}|p(s)|\right) y^{\prime \prime 2}(s) \mathrm{d} s-  \tag{4}\\
-\int_{i_{0}}^{t}\left[r(s)-\frac{1}{2}|p(s)|-\frac{1}{2} q^{\prime}(s)-\frac{1}{2}|g(s)|\right] y^{2}(s) \mathrm{d} s- \\
-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{i_{0}}^{t} y^{2}(s) Q_{i}(s) F_{i}\left(y\left[h_{i}(s)\right]\right) \mathrm{d} s
\end{gather*}
$$

. From this inequality it follows that the function $H[y(t)]$ is bounded from above with a decreasing function. Furthermore from (3) it holds that $H\left[y\left(t_{.0}\right)\right]<0$ and therefore $H\left[y\left(t_{1}\right)\right]<0$. It means that $H[y(t)]<0$ for $t \in\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$

Consider $y^{\prime \prime}(t)$ as follows:
a) Let $y^{\prime \prime}(t) \leqq 0$ for $t \in\left\langle t_{2}, \infty\right), t_{2} \geqq t_{1}$. But it means that $y(t)$ is concave and non-increasing. Therefore there exists such a point $t_{3} \in\left\langle t_{2}, \infty\right)$, that $y\left(t_{3}\right)=0$, which is a contradiction with $y(t)>0$.
b) Let $y^{\prime \prime}(t) \geqq 0$ for $t \in\left\langle t_{2}, \infty\right), t_{2} \geqq t_{1}$. As $H[y(t)]<0$ on $\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$, and $y(t)>0$, $y^{\prime}(t) \leqq 0, y^{\prime \prime}(t) \geqq 0$, it must be $y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)<0$ for $t \in\left\langle t_{2}, \infty\right)$. With regard to signs of the functions $y(t), y^{\prime}(t), y^{\prime \prime}(t), q(t)$ and (4), (3) there holds $y(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t) \leqq H[y(t)]<K$, so that $y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)<\frac{K}{y(t)}$. Because $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=c \geqq 0$, so for any $\varepsilon>0$ there holds $y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)<$ $<\frac{K}{c+\varepsilon}$ for $t$ sufficiently large. Hence it follows that, $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{\prime \prime}(t)=-\infty$, which is a contradiction.
c) Let $y^{\prime \prime}(t)$ be oscillatory for $t \in\left\langle t_{2}, \infty\right), t_{2} \geqq t_{1}$. With regard to lemma it means that the function $y(t)$ is also oscillatory for $t \in\left\langle t_{2}, \infty\right)$, which is in contradiction with $y(t)>0$.

The cases 4 , and 5 , can be proved similarly as the cases 2 , and 3 .
Theorem 2. Let the assumptions of lemma be fulfilled and let for $t \in J$ there hold:

$$
p(t) \in C_{1}(J), \quad p(t) \geqq 0, \quad p^{\prime}(t) \leqq 0, \quad r(t) \geqq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} r(t) \mathrm{d} t=+\infty
$$

Then every solution $y(t)$ of the initial problem (1), (2) fulfilling (3) is oscillatory on J.
Proof: Under assumption that the solution $y(t)$ of the initial problem (1), (2) is non-oscillatory, five cases may occur similarly as in the proof of theorem 1:1. $y(t)$ is non-oscillatory and $y^{\prime}(t)$ is oscillatory on $J$; 2. $y(t)>0, y^{\prime}(t) \geqq 0$; 3. $y(t)>0$, $y^{\prime}(t) \leqq 0$; 4. $y(t)<0, y^{\prime}(t) \leqq 0$; 5. $y(t)<0, y^{\prime}(t) \geqq 0$; for $t \in\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right), t_{1} \in J$.

We shall only prove the case 2.

From the differential equation (1) there holds

$$
y^{(4)}(t) \leqq|g(t)|-p(t) y^{\prime \prime}(t)-r(t) y(t)
$$

from that after integrating from $t_{1}$ to $t\left(\geqq t_{1}\right)$ we have:

$$
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \leqq y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{i_{1}}^{t}|g(s)| \mathrm{d} s+p\left(t_{1}\right) y^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-y\left(t_{1}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{t} r(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

From the last inequality there holds $y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. It is a contradiction with $y(t)>0$ for $t \in\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$. The case 4 , can be proved similarly.

The cases $1,3,5$, can be proved similarly as in theorem 1 .
Theorem 3. Let for $t \in J$ assumptions of lemma hold. Let instead of (3) there hold:

$$
H\left[y\left(t_{0}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{2} \int_{i_{0}}^{\infty}|g(t)| \mathrm{d} t \leqq 0 .
$$

Suppose furthermore that $\int_{i_{0}}^{\infty} q(t) \mathrm{d} t=+\infty$. Then every solution $y(t)$ of the initial problem (1), (2) fulfilling (3') is oscillatory on J.

Proof: Let $y(t)$ be a non-oscillatory solution of the initial problem (1), (2). Then $y(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$, where $t_{1} \in J$. Suppose that $y(t)>0$ for $t \in\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$. If we use assumptions of the theorem we obtain from (4) an inequality $H[y(t)] \leqq 0$, hence for $t \in\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$ there follows:

$$
\left[\frac{y^{\prime \prime}(t)}{y(t)}\right]^{\prime} \leqq-\frac{1}{2} q(t) .
$$

By integrating the last inequality from $t_{1}$ to $t\left(\geqq t_{1}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{y^{\prime \prime}(t)}{y(t)} \leqq \frac{y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)}{y\left(t_{1}\right)}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{i_{1}}^{t} q(s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which there holds: $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y^{\prime \prime}(t)}{y(t)}=-\infty$. It means that there exists $t_{2} \in\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$ such that $\frac{y^{\prime \prime}(t)}{y(t)}<0$ for $t \in\left\langle t_{2}, \infty\right)$.

Because $y(t)>0$, so $y^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ for $t \in\left\langle t_{2}, \infty\right)$. With regard to the function $y^{\prime}(t)$ wo cases may occur:
a) There exists $t_{3} \in\left\langle t_{2}, \infty\right)$ such that $y^{\prime}\left(t_{3}\right)<0$.
b) $y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left\langle t_{2}, \infty\right)$.

In the a) case it leads to existence $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=-\infty$, which is a contradiction with $y(t)>0, t \in\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$.

In the b) case for any $t \in\left\langle t_{2}, \infty\right)$ there holds:

$$
\frac{y^{\prime \prime}(t)}{y\left(t_{2}\right)} \leqq \frac{y^{\prime \prime}(t)}{y(t)}
$$

It is evident from the last inequality with regard to (5) that $y^{\prime \prime}(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. That is also a contradiction with $y(t)>0, t \in\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$.

The proof of the cases when $y(t)<0$ for $t \in\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$ can be easily done in a similar way.
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